Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry B. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

## Supporting information

for

## Polylysine derivative coated black phosphorus as a nanoplatform for

## photothermal-chemotherapy to enhance anti-tumor efficiency

Anning Li<sup>a</sup>, Siqi Wang<sup>a</sup>, Zhiqiang Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Na Xu<sup>a</sup>, Guixia Ling<sup>a</sup>, Peng Zhang<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Wuya College of Innovation, Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, Shenyang,

Liaoning 110016, PR China

<sup>b</sup> School of Pharmacy, Xiamen Medical College, Xiamen 361023, PR China

\* Corresponding Author

Peng Zhang, E-mail: zhangpengspu@163.com

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR data of PLL-SS-NH<sub>2</sub> and its intermediate:

PLL. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 8.84 (s, 1H, NH), 8.36 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 3.79 (t, 1H, CH), 3.10 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.76 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.46 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.36 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>).
PLL-SA. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>): δ 8.02 (d, 1H, NH), 7.82 (s, 1H, NH), 4.12 (dd, 1H, CH), 2.99 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.40 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.37 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.63 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.35 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.24 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>).

**PLL-SS-NH<sub>2</sub>.** <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): 8.49 (d, 1H, NH), 7.02 (d, 1H, NH), 4.14 (s, 1H, CH), 3.50 (t, 1H, CH), 3.17 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.98 (t, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.88 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.85 (q, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.56 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.49 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.67 (d, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.50 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.35 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>).



Fig. S1. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of PLL.







Fig. S3. The PDI changes of BP nanosheets and PLL-SS@DOX-BP nanocomposites at ambient temperature in darkness during 48 h.



**Fig. S4.** The *zeta*-potential changes of BP nanosheets and PLL-SS@DOX-BP nanocomposites at ambient temperature in darkness during 48 h.



Fig. S5. FT-IR spectra of different preparations.



Fig. S6. Photothermal performance of BP nanosheets with different power density of laser irradiation (A); Photothermal performance of BP nanosheets of different concentrations (B); Photothermal performance of PLL-SS@DOX-BP nanocomposites of different concentrations (C).



Fig. S7. Tumor weight of different treatment groups (A); Tumor volume of different

treatment

groups

(B).

**Table S1.** Size distribution, PDI and *zeta*-potential of BP nanosheets and PLL-SS@DOX-BP nanocomposites.

Item	Size (nm)	PDI	Zeta-potential (mV)
BP	83.34 ± 1.25	$0.107 \pm 0.068$	$-32.0 \pm 0.902$
PLL-SS@DOX-BP	$187 \pm 1.097$	$0.168 \pm 0.014$	$-14.8 \pm 0.153$

Table S2.  $IC_{50}$  values of different treatments on 4T1 cells measured *via* CCK-8 assay.

Group	IC <sub>50</sub> (µg·mL <sup>-1</sup> )	
PLL-SS-NH <sub>2</sub>	-	
BP	-	
PLL-SS@DOX-BP	$1.749 \pm 0.079$	
BP + NIR	-	
PLL-SS@DOX-BP + NIR	$0.954 \pm 0.021$	
DOX	$0.776 \pm 0.018$	

Table S3. In vivo pharmacodynamics parameters of each groups.

Group	Tumor weight (g)	Tumor volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Tumor inhibition rate (%)
NS	$1.09\pm0.16$	$1349.32 \pm 143.88$	-
NS + NIR	$0.95\pm0.15$	$1053.26 \pm 225.00$	$12.91\pm13.43$
BP + NIR	$0.73\pm0.11$	$838.08\pm58.71$	$32.48\pm9.94$
DOX	$0.59\pm0.08$	$676.58\pm63.60$	$45.30\pm7.73$
PLL-SS@DOX-BP	$0.24\pm0.04$	$290.85\pm19.01$	$77.59\pm3.69$