Supporting Information

Multifunctional pyridine styrylphenanthroimidazoles: Electron transport materials for blue FOLEDs with low efficiency roll-off and host for PHOLEDs with low turn-on voltage Jayaraman Jayabharathi*, Sekar Sivaraj, Venugopal Thanikachalam, Jagathratchagan Anudeebhana

Department of Chemistry, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamilnadu- 608 002, India, Email: jtchalam2005@yahoo.co.in

Address for correspondence

Dr. J. Jayabharathi Professor of Chemistry Department of Chemistry Annamalai University Annamalai nagar 608 002 Tamilnadu, India. Tel: +91 9443940735 E-mail:jtchalam2005@yahoo.co.in **Contents:**

- SI-1: Scheme S1
- **SI-2: Experimental Section**
- SI-3: Natural Transition Orbitals: Figures S1-S3
- SI-4: Solvatochromism: Figures S4 & S5
- SI-5: Tables: S1-S6

SI-1: Scheme S1. Synthetic route of electron transport materials.



SI-2. Experimental Section

SI-2.1. Measurements

¹H and ¹³C NMR measurements have been recorded on Bruker 400 MHz spectrometer and mass spectra were recorded on Agilent LCMS VL SD. The UV-Vis spectra were measured on Lambda 35 PerkinElmer (solution)/ Lambda 35 spectrophotometer (RSA-PE-20) (film). The emission spectra were measured with Perkin Elmer LS55 spectrometer and quantum yield was recorded with fluorescence spectrometer (Model-F7100 with integrating sphere). The decomposition temperature (T_d) and glass transition temperature (T_g) were measured with Perkin Elmer thermal analysis system (10 °C min⁻¹; N₂ flow rate - 100 ml min⁻¹) and NETZSCH (DSC-204) (10 °C min⁻¹; N₂ atmosphere), respectively. Fluorescence lifetime was estimated by time correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) method on Horiba Fluorocube-01-NL lifetime system: nano LED is an excitation source with TBX-PS is detector; DAS6 software was employed to analyze the decay by reconvolution method. Oxidation potential of electron transport materials was measured from SP-200 electrochemical analyzer (Bilogic science instruments, France). Ferrocene was used as an internal standard with HOMO energy of -4.80 eV and 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate in CH₂Cl₂ as supporting electrolyte.

SI-2.2. Synthesis of electron transport materials

The starting materials were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Synthetic route of these novel materials have been outlined in Scheme S1. The intermediates, 2-(4-bromostyryl)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d] imidazole (SPPI-C₂Br), 1-(1-bromonaphthalen-5-yl)-2-styryl-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d] imidazole (SPPI-N₁Br) and 2-(4-bromostyryl)-1-(1-bromonaphthalen-5-yl)-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d] imidazole (SPPI-C₂N₁Br₂) was obtained through one-pot reaction [1].

A mixture of 3,6-dibromophenanthrene-9,10-dione (8.2 mmol), 3-(4-bromophenyl) acrylaldehyde (12.3 mmol) and 1-naphthylamine (50.0 mmol) for SPPI-C₂Br [3,6-

dibromophenanthrene-9,10-dione (8.2 mmol), cinnamaldehyde (12.3 mmol) and 4bromonaphthalen-1-amine (50.0 mmol) for SPPI-N₁Br/3,6-dibromophenanthrene-9,10-dione (8.2 mmol), 3-(4-bromophenyl) acrylaldehyde (12.3 mmol) and 4-bromonaphthalen-1-amine (50 mmol) for SPPI-C₂N1Br and ammonium acetate (101.8 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (30 ml) was refluxed for 12 h (120 °C; N₂ stream). The reaction mixture was poured into ethanol and the separated solid SPPPI-C₂Br was washed with methanol and dried. The solid SPPPI-C₂Br was purified by column chromatography.

Suzuki cross-coupling reaction was employed to synthesize the target ETMs namely, 2-(4-(pyridin-3-yl)styryl)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazole (SPPI-C₂Py), 1-(1-(pyridin-3-yl)naphthalen-4-yl)-2-styryl-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazole (SPPI-N₁Py) and 2-(4-(pyridin-3-yl)styryl)-1-(1-(pyridin-3-yl)naphthalen-4-yl)-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d] imidazole (SPPI-C₂N₁Py). A mixture of SPPI-C₂Br (SPPI-C₂Py) or SPPI-N₁Br (SPPI-N₁Py) or SPPI-C₂N₁Br₂ (SPPI-C₂N₁Py) (4.0 mmol), pyridin-3-yl-boronic acid (4.0 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.12 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (15 ml of 2.0 M aqueous solution) in toluene (30 ml) and ethanol (15.0 ml) was stirred at 100 °C for 12 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with dichloromethane and purified by column chromatography to afford white powder. The synthesised electron transport materials have been characterized by NMR spectroscopy, mass spectrometry and elemental analysis.

(i). 2-(4-bromostyryl)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazole (SPPI-C₂Br)

Yield: 66 %. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.80 (d, 2H), 7.19 (d, 2H), 7.30- 7.36 (m, 5H), 7.38 (t, 3H), 7.42 (d, 2H), 7.79 (m, 4H), 8.10 (t, 2H), 8.43 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 109.0, 111.3, 113.0, 115.2, 117.8, 120.0, 122.1, 123.0, 124.0, 125.0, 126.0, 128.0, 130.0.

(ii). 1-(1-bromonaphthalen-5-yl)-2-styryl-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazole (SPPI-N₁Br)

Yield: 69 %.¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.82 (d, 2H), 7.08 (d, 2H), 7.20-7.30 (m,

6H), 7.45-7.50 (m, 4H), 7.70 (t, 2H), 7.82-7.88 (m, 3H), 8.12-8.20 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz;

CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 110.8, 111.1, 122.0, 114.0, 115.0, 118.0, 120.4, 122.0, 124.0, 127.0, 130.2, 131.0, 132.0.

(iii). 2-(4-bromostyryl)-1-(1-bromonaphthalen-5-yl)-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazole (SPPI-C₂N₁Br₂)

Yield: 52%.¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.86 (d, 2H), 7.13 (d, 2H), 7.16-7.20 (m,

4H), 7.38-7.50 (m, 5H), 8.00-8.16 (m, 3H), 8.46 - 8.528 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 100.0, 105.0, 111.3, 115.0, 120.0, 122.0, 125.1, 128.0, 129.0, 130.0, 132.3, 134.0, 136.0, 139.0, 140.0.

(iv). 2-(4-(pyridin-3-yl)styryl)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazole (SPPI-C₂Py).

Yield: 69 %.¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.86 (d, 2H), 7.13 (d, 2H), 7.16 - 7.20 (m, 5H), 7.38-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.56-7.63 (m, 4H), 7.82-7.88 (m, 4H), 8.53 - 8.66 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 112.8, 122.4, 124.1, 127.2, 127.4, 127.6, 127.8, 128.3, 130.7, 131.5, 132.2, 133.2, 133.4, 134.1, 134.6, 135.2, 135.6, 141.5, 148.0, 149.1. MS: m/z. 523.2 [M⁺]; Calcd. 523.63. Anal. calcd (%) for C₃₈H₂₅N₃: C, 87.16; H, 4.81; N, 8.02. Found: C, 87.10; H, 4.79; N, 8.00.

(v). 1-(1-(pyridin-3-yl)naphthalen-4-yl)-2-styryl-1H-phenanthro[9,10-d]imidazole (SPPI-N₁Py)

Yield: 52%.¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.99 (d, 2H), 7.10 (d, 2H), 7.14-7.21 (m, 3H), 7.30-7.44 (m, 5H), 7.4- 7.7 (m, 4H), 7.82-7.97 (t, 3H), 8.12 - 8.93 (m, 6H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 112.8, 122.4, 124.6, 125.6, 126.3, 126.4, 126.6, 128.0, 128.3, 131.2, 131.5, 132.2, 133.4, 133.6, 135.2, 136.1, 141.5, 148.0, 149.1. MS: m/z. 523.2 [M⁺]; Calcd. 523.63. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₃₈H₂₅N₃: C, 87.16; H, 4.81; N, 8.02. Found: C, 87.15; H, 4.78; N, 8.04.

(vi). 2-(4-(pyridin-3-yl)styryl)-1-(1-(pyridin-3-yl)naphthalen-4-yl)-1H-phenanthro[9,10d]imidazole (SPPI-C₂N₁Py)

Yield: 69 %. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 6.85 (d, 2H), 7.10 (d, 2H), 7.15-7.25 (m, 4H), 7.30-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.7 (t, 3H), 7.82-7.88 (m, 3H), 7.97(m, 8H), 8.93 (d, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz; CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 112.8, 122.4, 124.0, 125.6, 126, 126.6, 127.4, 127.6, 128.3, 131.2, 131.5, 132.2, 133.6, 134.1, 135.2, 135.6, 141.1, 148.0, 149.1. MS: m/z. 600.23. [M⁺]; Calcd: 600.71. Anal. Calcd (%) for C₄₃H₂₈N₄: C, 85.98; H, 4.70; N, 9.33. Found: C, 85.82; H, 4.68; N, 9.26.

SI-2.3. Device fabrication and measurement

ITO glass (resistance 20 Ω /sq) was cleaned with acetone, deionized water and isopropanol and dried (120 °C) followed by UV treatment (20 min) and transferred into deposition system. The devices were fabricated by multiple source beam deposition method (vacuum pressure-4×10⁻⁵ mbar). Evaporation rate of 2-4 Å s⁻¹ (organic materials) and 0.1 and 4 Å s⁻¹ for LiF and metal electrodes were applied, respectively. The thickness of each deposition layer was monitored with quartz crystal thickness monitor. The EL measurement was recorded with USB-650-VIS-NIR spectrometer (Ocean Optics, Inc, USA). The current density-voltage-luminance (J-V-L) characteristics was performed using source meter (Keithley 2450) equipped with LS-110 light intensity meter. The external quantum efficiency was determined from luminance, current density and EL spectrum assuming Lambertian distribution.

SI-2.4. Computational details

The ground (S_0) (DFT) and excited (S_n^*) (TD-DFT) state characteristics of SPPI -C₂Py, SPPI-N₁Py and SPPI-C₂N₁Py were analyzed by Gaussian 09 program [2] and Multiwfn [2]. The natural transition orbitals (HONTOs & LUNTOs) with hole-particle contribution were studied in detail.







Figure S2. Distribution of natural transition orbital pairs with transition character of SPPI-N₁Py [*f*-oscillator strength and % weights of hole-particle].



Figure S3. Distribution of natural transition orbital pairs with transition character of SPPI-C₂N₁Py [*f*-oscillator strength and % weights of hole-particle].

SI-4: Solvatochromism







Figure S5. Normalised emission spectra of (a) SPPI-C₂Py; (b) SPPI-N₂Py; (c) SPPI-C₂N₁Py and (d) Decay curve of SPPI-C₂PY, SPPI-N₁Py and SPPI-C₂N₁Py.

Figure S6. (a) Energy level diagram of hole only device (ITO/MoO₃ (6nm)/TAPC (50 nm)/MADN: 3 wt% BUBD-1 (50 nm)/MoO₃ (6 nm)/Al(100 nm)) and (b) electron only device (Al/LiF (1 nm)/ MADN: 3 wt% BUBD-1 (50 nm)/ SPPI-C₂Py or SPPI-N₁Py or SPPI-C₂N₁Py or BPhen (20 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/ Al(100 nm)); (c) Current density -Voltage (J-V) characteristics of hole-only and electron-only devices and (d) Comparison of device operational stability for devices.





Figure S7. EL spectra of (a) blue; (b) red and (c) yellow PHOLEDs at different voltages.

SI-5: Tables

States	Excitation energy	Excitation coefficient	Δr intex	Oscillator strength	μ	NTO Transitions
S 1	3.22	0.4286	8.5845	0.6813	1.40	64% $96 \rightarrow 97$
S2	3.57	0.4042	7.4312	0.1283	0.45	$96 \xrightarrow{25\%} 100$
S3	3.64	0.4119	3.4340	0.1583	0.56	56% $95 \rightarrow 96$
S4	3.70	0.4224	4.8019	0.0349	0.30	$95 \xrightarrow{28\%} 100$
S5	3.85	0.4010	7.5693	0.2970	0.25	$96 \xrightarrow{39\%}{99}$
T1	1.34	0.7826	3.2051	0.0007	0.40	59% $95 \rightarrow 97$
T2	1.45	0.8526	5.2762	0.0573	0.25	$94 \xrightarrow{70\%}{96}$
T3	1.79	0.8352	4.7198	0.0123	0.25	$52\% \\ 84 \rightarrow 96$
T4	2.05	0.7002	5.8626	0.0003	0.49	$95 \xrightarrow{24\%} 100$
T5	2.10	0.6735	5.1118	0.0225	0.44	$95 \xrightarrow{18\%}{96}$

Table S1. Computed excitation energy (eV), excitation coefficient, Δr intex (Å), oscillator strength (f) and dipolemoment (μ) for singlet and triplet states of SPPI-C₂Py.

States	Excitation energy	Excitation coefficient	Δr intex	Oscillator strength	μ	NTO Transitions
S 1	3.32	0.4391	4.9251	0.5242	0.40	59% $96 \rightarrow 97$
S2	3.35	0.4150	4.0085	0.5189	0.25	$96 \xrightarrow{45\%}{98}$
S 3	3.62	0.4160	2.7423	0.0120	0.25	$96 \xrightarrow{21\%}{99}$
S4	3.64	0.4133	3.9192	0.0498	0.49	$95 \xrightarrow{24\%} 100$
S5	3.77	0.4212	4.6690	0.0064	0.44	$92 \xrightarrow{27\%} 100$
T1	1.25	0.3891	3.6508	0.0000	0.40	$\begin{array}{c} 29\%\\95 \rightarrow 97\end{array}$
T2	1.55	0.3422	5.2126	0.0000	0.25	$96 \xrightarrow{45\%}{98}$
Т3	1.77	0.3525	3.9134	0.0000	0.25	$\begin{array}{c} 59\%\\ 96 \rightarrow 97\end{array}$
T4	2.21	0.3107	5.5096	0.0000	0.49	$95 \xrightarrow{32\%} 100$
T5	2.22	0.2858	5.3152	0.0000	0.44	$96 \xrightarrow{19\%} 100$

Table S2. Computed excitation energy (eV), excitation coefficient, Δr intex (Å), oscillator strength (f) and dipole moment (μ) for singlet and triplet states of SPPI-N₁Py.

States	Excitation energy	Excitation coefficient	Δr intex	Oscillator strength	μ	NTO Transitions
S1	3.244	0.4122	7.3862	0.6383	2.05	$\begin{array}{r} 44\% \\ 110 \rightarrow 100 \end{array}$
S2	3.33	0.4478	5.2105	0.4980	0.58	$\begin{array}{c} 32\%\\ 109 \rightarrow 98 \end{array}$
S3	3.59	0.3666	7.1865	0.0120	0.56	$110 \xrightarrow{29\%} 100$
S4	3.60	0.4221	5.4879	0.0028	0.99	$\begin{array}{c} 39\%\\ 106 \rightarrow 99 \end{array}$
S5	3.63	0.3793	5.4318	0.0430	0.50	$109 \xrightarrow{29\%}{98}$
T1	1.25	0.3638	4.3975	0.0007	0.42	^{59%} 95 → 97
T2	1.48	0.2913	6.4795	0.0573	0.17	$94 \xrightarrow{70\%}{96}$
Т3	1.72	0.3144	7.5338	0.0123	0.38	$ \begin{array}{r} 52\%\\ 84 \rightarrow 96 \end{array} $
T4	2.07	0.2314	5.5455	0.0003	0.93	$95 \xrightarrow{24\%} 100$
T5	2.22	0.3332	4.7561	0.0225	0.52	$\begin{array}{c} 18\%\\ 95 \rightarrow 96 \end{array}$

Table S3. Computed excitation energy (eV), excitation coefficient, Δr intex (Å), oscillator strength (f)) and dipole moment (μ) for singlet and triplet states of SPPI-C₂N₁Py.

Solvents	3	n	f(ɛ,n)	λ_{ab}	v _{ab}	λ _{em}	v _{em}	v _{ss}
				(nm)	(cm ⁻¹)	(nm)	(cm ⁻¹)	(cm ⁻¹)
Hexane	1.88	1.37	0.0004	250	40000	387	25839.79	14160.20
Dioxane	2.22	1.42	0.0214	249	40160.64	388	25773.19	14387.45
Carbontetrachloride	2.238	1.46	0.0110	252	39682.54	395	25316.45	14366.08
Benzene	2.284	1.42	0.0266	253	39525.69	398	25125.63	14400.06
Chloroform	4.81	2.22	0.1482	256	39062.5	410	24390.24	14672.25
Ethyl acetate	6.09	1.41	0.1865	255	39215.69	407	24570.02	14645.66
THF	7.52	1.40	0.2096	254	39370.08	405	24691.35	14678.72
Dichloromethane	9.08	1.42	0.2183	256	39062.5	410	24390.24	14672.25
Acetonitrile	37.5	1.34	0.3053	258	38759.69	418	23923.44	14836.24

Table S4. Photophysical properties of SPPI-C₂Py in different solvents.

Solvents	3	n	f(ɛ,n)	λ _{ab} (nm)	v _{ab} (cm ⁻¹)	λ _{em} (nm)	v _{em} (cm ⁻¹)	v _{ss} (cm ⁻¹)
Hexane	1.88	1.37	0.0004	251	39840.64	347	28818.44	11022.19
Dioxane	2.22	1.42	0.0214	250	40000	348	28735.63	11264.36
Carbontetrachloride	2.23	1.46	0.0110	254	39370.08	357	28011.20	11358.87
Benzene	2.28	1.42	0.0266	256	39062.5	366	27322.40	11740.09
Chloroform	4.81	1.44	0.1482	255	39215.69	363	27548.21	11667.48
Ethyl acetate	6.09	1.41	0.1865	254	39370.08	360	27777.78	11592.30
THF	7.52	1.40	0.2096	253	39525.69	358	27932.96	11592.73
Dichloromethane	9.08	1.42	0.2183	255	39215.69	363	27548.21	11667.48
Acetonitrile	37.5	1.34	0.3053	257	38910.50	369	27100.27	11810.23

Table S5. Photophysical properties of SPPI- N_1 Py in different solvents.

Solvents	3	n	f(ɛ,n)	λ_{ab}	v _{ab}	λ_{em}	v _{em}	V _{ss}
				(nm)	(cm ⁻¹)	(nm)	(cm ⁻¹)	(cm ⁻¹)
Hexane	1.88	1.37	0.0004	248	40322.58	377	26525.19	13797.38
Dioxane	2.22	1.42	0.0214	247	40485.83	378	26455.03	14030.80
Carbontetrachloride	2.23	1.46	0.0110	250	40000	385	25974.02	14025.97
Benzene	2.28	1.42	0.0266	251	39840.64	388	25773.19	14067.44
Chloroform	4.81	1.44	0.1482	254	39370.08	401	24937.65	14432.42
Ethyl acetate	6.09	1.41	0.1865	253	39525.69	398	25125.63	14400.06
THF	7.52	1.40	0.2096	252	39682.54	395	25316.45	14366.08
Dichloromethane	9.08	1.42	0.2183	254	39370.08	400	25000	14370.08
Acetonitrile	37.5	1.34	0.3053	256	39062.5	408	24509.80	14552.69

Table S6. Photophysical properties of SPPI- C_2N_1Py in different solvents.

 $\eta_p(lm/W)$ Emitter $V_{on}(V)$ $L(cd/m^2)$ EL(nm) $\eta_c(cd/A)$ CIE(x,y)ref SPI-C₂Py 2.8 20236 482 14.28 9.32 (0.15, 0.31)This work SPI-N₁Py 3.0 15589 482 9.63 4.74 (0.15, 0.31)This work 2.6 19108 482 16.93 11.23 (0.15, 0.31)This work SPI- C₂N₁Pv 3.4 419 4.9 4.3 (0.15, 0.08)3 **Cz-DPVI** 13629 4 PPI 3.8 3307 412 0.71 0.40 (0.161, 0.065)(0.161, 0.049)4 mTPA-PPI 3.2 4065 404 0.84 0.48 8.5 70 440 0.01 (0.16, 0.11)5 L-BPPI(50nm) -295 5 L-BPPI(40nm) 6.5 440 (0.16, 0.11)0.13 _ L-BPPI(30nm) 5 5.0 420 440 0.40 (0.16, 0.10)-5 L-BPPI(20nm) 4.5 391 440 0.68 (0.16, 0.10)_ 5 Z-BPPI(50nm) 6.5 105 440 0.07 (0.17, 0.12)-Z-BPPI(40nm) 502 440 (0.16, 0.12)5 5.0 0.34 _ 5 Z-BPPI(30nm) 4.5 267 440 0.45 (0.16, 0.12)_ 5 Z-BPPI(20nm) 5.0 100 440 0.31 (0.16, 0.11)-6 MADN(BUBD) 7.8 440 2.1 (0.15, 0.10)_ -7 **CPPPI** 3322 420 0.65 0.48 (0.165, 0.050)-7 4329 1.53 **PPICPPPI** 428 0.86 (0.166, 0.056)-8 2.8 450 1.87 PhBPI _ 1.85 9 bilayer-TPBI 3.2 _ 468 2.03 1.00 (0.15, 0.15)1.83 1.58 (0.15, 0.09)10 **TPA-BPI** 2.8 448 -**DPVBi** 7.5 457 0.03 (0.15, 0.13)_ -11 **DPVICz** 4.2 470 0.92 (0.15, 0.22)11 _ -**DPVTCz** 3.8 470 1.94 (0.14, 0.22)11 --3,6-DPVTCz 5.0 449 0.11 (0.15, 0.11)11 _ PEDOt-PSS: 3 (100nm) 4.0 2800 460 0.61 0.14 (0.15, 0.14)12 PEDOt-PSS:3(50 nm) 3 10600 407 1.68 (0.16, 0.13)12 1.10 392 PEDOt-PSS:4(40nm) 2.5 21200 1.90 1.55 (0.16, 0.14)12 2.7 0.15, 0.10 BBTPI 5.48 4.77 13 _ _ 2.8 4.62 4.55 0.15, 0.08 BiPI-1 14 _ _ **3-CzPOPPI** 2.9 2.71 2.73 0.15, 0.06 15 _ _ 1.88 TTP-TPI 3.1 2.10 0.16, 0.05 16 _ _ 2.9 3.13 3.22 0.16, 0.07 **DPT-TPI** 16 _ _ **PMSO** 3.2 4.64 4.0 0.152, 0.077 17 --PPI-2TPA 4.40 0.150, 0.063 3.0 4.60 18 _ _ PPI-2NPA 3.0 _ 3.98 3.88 0.151, 0.066 18 _ 0.157, 0.074 **TPIBNCz** 3.2 3.29 2.80 19 -_ PPi-Pid + CBP 4.13 0.151, 0.076 20 3.15 -_ -

 Table S7. Summary of blue efficiencies with reported efficiencies.

Emittor	Von	L	EL	EQE	η _c	η _p	CIE	nof
Emitter	(V)	(cd/m ²)	(nm)	(%)	(cd/A)	(lm/W)	(x,y)	rei
B1	2.6	8961	478	18.56	34.32	32.09	(0.15,0.37)	This work
B2	3.0	8032	478	16.32	30.65	28.32	(0.15,0.37)	This work
B3	2.5	10238	478	22.43	43.23	40.54	(0.15,0.37)	This work
1	7	1390	474	1.9	3.9	1.4	(0.17, 0.38)	21
2	7	1440	472	3.8	7.1	2.7	(0.17, 0.36)	21
3	7	1080	472	4.3	10.1	4.0	(0.24, 0.43)	21
4	9	470	472	5.2	8.9	3.1	(0.24, 0.41)	21
5	6.5	2290	477	4.6	10.1	3.6	(0.16, 0.38)	21
6	7	1560	478	3.2	7.7	2.7	(0.18, 0.43)	21
5	7	5455	477	8.7	19.1	6.6	(0.18, 0.39)	21
1	6	1960	472	2.8	4.4	2.0	(0.16, 0.29)	21
1	5.5	1640	472	3.3	6.3	3.3	(0.16, 0.33)	21
1	6	1800	472	2.5	5.2	2.4	(0.17, 0.36)	21
1	6.5	1410	474	1.4	3.2	1.3	(0.19, 0.39)	21
1	7	1430	478	0.7	1.7	0.6	(0.21, 0.41)	21
5	8	530	478	1.9	2.1	0.8	(0.17, 0.25)	21
5	7	920	474	4.7	7.0	3.4	(0.16, 0.35)	21
5	5.5	2850	478	5.5	11.8	5.6	(0.17, 0.38)	21
5	5	4600	478	6.8	14.9	7.7	(0.17, 0.40)	21
5	5	4370	478	6.8	15.1	7.7	(0.17, 0.41)	21
5	5	4600	478	10.4	23.7	12.6	(0.18, 0.40)	21

 Table S8. Summary of blue PHOLEDs efficiencies with reported efficiencies.

Emitter	Von(V)	$L(cd/m^2)$	EL(nm)	EQE(%)	η _c (cd/A)	η _p (lm/W)	CIE(x,y)	ref
R1 R2	2.6 2.6	40876 32013	564 564	15.67 13.32	17.78 16.31	16.34 14.89	(0.62,0.36) (0.62,0.36)	This work This work
R3	2.6	44879	564	18.56	25.7	22.68	(0.62,0.36)	This work
TPP	-	42	655			-	(0.70, 0.28)	22
TPC	-	100	660		-	0.061	(0.67, 0.29)	23
TPDPP	-	150	635		-	0.035	(0.69, 0.29)	24
ACY	-	6400	-		-	1.3	(0.68, 0.32)	25
CQY	-	1000	-		-	0.28	(0.70, 0.30)	25
BDPMB	-	2880	640		1.34	-	(0.67, 0.33)	26
NPAMLMe	-	8000	650		1.5	0.9	(0.66, 0.32)	27
ACEN3	-	2705	630		0.31	0.27	(0.65, 0.34)	28
ACEN4	-	1528	630		0.28	0.12	(0.64, 0.32)	28
BZTA2		9138	626		2.0	1.6	(0.63, 0.35)	29
BZTA1	-	8087	640		0.91	0.58	(0.63, 0.35)	29
INDMLMe	-	1750	650		-	-	(0.63, 0.36)	30
pAAA	-	-	616		0.6	-	(0.63, 0.36)	31

 Table S9. Summary of red PHOLEDs efficiencies with reported efficiencies.

E :44 or	Von	L	EQE	CE	PE			
Emitter	(V)	(cd/m ²)	(%)	(cd/A)	(lm/W)	CIE(x,y)	rei	
SPPI-C ₂ py	2.7	94231	21.67	65.32	36.34	(0.49,0.50)	This work	
SPPI-N ₁ py	2.7	92645	20.56	62.67	34.88	(0.49, 0.50)	This work	
SPPI- C ₂ N ₁ py	27	99863	25.89	70.53	40.86	(0.49, 0.50)	This work	
Y-1	3.1	11360	-	10.54	-	-	32	
Y-2	5.2	9311	-	6.5	-	-	32	
Y-3	3.2	14270	-	11.84	-	-	32	
PO-01 : TCTA	8.8	70	10.9	34.0	12.2	(0.49, 0.50)	33	
PO-01 : CBP	9.6	295	18.3	53.9	17.7	(0.51, 0.49)	33	
PO-01: TPBI	6.7	420	17.2	50.3	23.7	(0.51, 0.49)	33	
PO-01 : DBFTrz	7.7	391	18.0	54.5	22.4	(0.51, 0.49)	33	
PO-01 : CTA:DBFTrz	7.0	105	18.5	56.0	25.2	(0.50, 0.49)	33	
PO-01 : CBP:TPBI	6.9	502	16.5	47.8	21.7	(0.50, 0.48)	33	
PO-01 : CBP:DBFTrz	7.9	267	19.0	58.4	23.3	(0.50, 0.49)	33	
5a	5.93	2862	5.28	17.63	9.38	(0.49, 0.51)	34	
5b	8.29	23857	7.17	13.01	3.74	(0.49, 0.51)	34	
5c	-	51960	3.61	13.01	0.48	(0.49, 0.51)	34	
C1	2.8		4.5	13.3		$(0.50\ 0.49)$	35	
C2	2.9		6.9	21.2		(0.47, 0.51)	35	
D1	2.9		2.8	8.07		(0.41, 0.46)	35	
D2	2.9		8.7	28.0		(0.44, 0.54)	35	
III	3.4	40700	15.1	45.2	40.1	(0.50, 0.49)	36	
(F-BT)2Ir(acac)	-		14.6	45.6	55.1	-	37	
pPhBICP	2.2	31 950	19.3	57.2	59.8	(0.51, 0.49)	38	
mPhBICP	3.1	34 350	16.9	49.6	46.4	(0.51, 0.49)	38	
pPhBINCP	3.0	33 200	19.3	57.3	55.3	(0.50, 0.48)	38	
MPhBINCP	2.8	32 700	17.5	52.9	54.7	(0.49, 0.49)	38	

 Table S10. Summary of yellow PHOLEDs efficiencies with reported devices.

References

- J. Jayabharathi, J. Anudeebhana, V. Thanikachalam, S. Sivaraj, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 8866-8879.
- [2] (a) M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, *Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT (Revision A.02), Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT*. 2009.
- [3] J. Jayabharathi, R. Ramyaa, V. Thanikachalam, P. Jeeva and E. Sarojpurani, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 2948-2966.
- [4] H. Liu, Q. Bai, L. Ya, H. Zhan, H. Xu, S. Zhang, W. Li, Y. Gao, J. Li, P. Lu, H. Wang,
 B. Yang and Y. Ma, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 3797-3804.
- [5] Z. Wang, Y. Feng, H. Li, Z. Gao, X. Zhang, P. Lu, P. Chen, Y. Mab and S. Liu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, 16, 10837-10843.
- [6] Y. F. Chang, H. F. Meng, G. L. Fan, K. T. Wong, H. W. Zan, H. W. Lin, H. L. Huang and S. F. Horn, *Org. Electron.*, 2016, 29, 99-106.

- [7] X. L. Li, X. Ouyang, D. Chen, X. Cai, M. Liu, Z. Ge, Y. Cao and S. J. Su, *Nanotechnol.*, 2016, 27, 124001-124011.
- [8] X. L. Li, X. Ouyang, D. Chen, X. Cai, M. Liu, Z. Ge, Y. Cao and S. J. Su, *Nanotechnol.*, 27, 12.
- [9] Y. Zhang, T. Wai, N. F. Lua, Q. X. Tong, S. L. Lai, M. Y. Chan, H. L. Kwong and C. S. Lee, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2013, 98, 190-194.
- [10] G. Li, J. Zhao, D. Zhang, J. Zhu, Z. Shi, S. Tao, Feng Lu and Q. Tong, *New J. Chem.*, 2017, 41, 5191-5197.
- [11] S. Liu, F. He, H. Wang, H. Xu, C. Wang, F. Li and Y. Ma, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, 18, 4802-4807.
- [12] S. Kim, B. Sanyoto, W. T. Park, S. Kim, S. Mandal, J. C. Lim, Y. Y. Noh and J. H. Kim, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, 28, 10149-10154.
- [13] W. C. Chen, Y. Yuan, G. F. Wu, H. X. Wei, L. Tang, Q. X. Tong and C. S. Lee, Adv. Opt. Mater., 2014, 2, 626-631.
- [14] Z. L. Zhu, M. Chen, W. C. Chen, S. F. Ni, Y. Y. Peng, C. Zhang, Q. X. Tong, F. Lu and C. S. Lee, Org. Electron., 2016, 38, 323-329.
- [15] Z. L. Zhu, S. F. Ni, W. C. Chen, M. Chen, J. J. Zhu, Y. Yuan, Q. X. Tong, F. L. Wong and C. S. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, 6, 3584-3592.
- [16] Y. Yuan, J. X. Chen, F. Lu, Q. X. Tong, Q. D. Yang, H. W. Mo, T. W. Ng, F. L. Wong,
 Z. Q. Guo, J. Ye, Z. Chen, X. H. Zhang and C. S. Lee, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 4957-4965.
- [17] Z. Wang, W. Qiu, S. Y. Pang, Y. Zhou, Y. Gao, C. T. Guan and J. Jiang, *Chem. Eng.*, 2019, **371**, 842-847.
- B. Liu, Z. W. Yu, D. He, Z. L. Zhu, J. Zheng, Y. D. Yu, W. F. Xie, Q. X. Tong and C.
 S. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, 5, 5402-5410.

- [19] W. C. Chen, Y. Yuan, S. F. Ni, Q. X. Tong, F. L. Wong and C. S. Lee, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017,
 8, 3599-3608.
- [20] J. Zhao, B. Liu, Z. Wang, Q. Tong, X. Du, C. Zheng, H. Lin, S. Tao and X. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces., 2018, 10, 9629-9637.
- [21] K. Mullen and U. Scherf, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2006, 10, 1081-1083.
- [22] (a) P. E., Burrows, S. R. Forrest, S. P. Silbey and M. E., Thompson, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, 69, 2959-2961. (b) Z. Shen, P. E. Burrows, V. Bulovic, S. R. Forrest and M E. Thompson, *Sci.s*, 1997, 276, 2009-2011.
- [23] (a) Y. Sakakibara, S. Okutsu, T. Enokida and T. Tani, *Thin Sol. Film.*, 2000, 363, 29-32;
 (b) Y. Sakakibara, S. Okutsu, T. Enokida and T. Tani, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1999, 74, 2587-2588.
- [24] X. H. Zhang, Z. Y. Xie, F. P. Wu, L. L. Zhou, O. Y. Wong, C. S. Lee H. L. Kwong, S.T. Lee and S. K. Wu, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, **382**, 561-566.
- [25] J. Yu and Y. A Shirota, Chem. Lett., 2002, 31, 984-985.
- [26] P. Wang, Z. Hong, Z. Xie, S. Tong, O. Wong, C. S. Lee, N. Wong, L. Hung and Lee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 0, 1664-1668.
- [27] W. C. Wu, H. C. Yeh, L. H. Chan and C. T. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2002, 14, 1072-1075.
- [28] T. H. Huang, J. T. Lin, Y. T. Tao and C. H. Chuen, Chem. Mater., 2003, 15, 4584-4862.
- [29] K. R. J. Thomas, J. T. Lin, M. Velusamy, Y. T. Tao and C. H. Chuen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2004, 14, 83-90.
- [30] C. W. Chiu, T. J. Chow, C. H. Chuen, H. Me. Lin and Y. T. Tao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, 15, 4527-4532.
- [31] B. X. Mi, Z. Q. Gao, M. W. Liu, K. Y. Chan, H. L. Kwong, N. B. Wong, C. S. Lee, L. S. Hung and S. T. Lee, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2002, **12**, 1307-1310.

- [32] Y. Guan, W. Lin, Q. Wang, P. Zhou, B. Wei and Y. Liao, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2004, 10, 1392-1320.
- [33] W. Song, J. Y. Lee, Y. J. Cho, H. Yu, H. Aziz and K.M. Lee, *Adv. Sci.* 2018, 5, 1700608-1700613.
- [34] C. L. Li, Y. J. Su, Y. T. Tao, P. T. Chou, C. H. Chien, C. C. Cheng and R. S. Liu, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2005, 15, 387-395.
- [35] J. P. Spindler, W. J. Begley, T. K. Hatwar, and D. Y. Kondakov, *Kodak*, 2009, 9, 420-423
- [36] J. H. Jou, Y. X. Lin, S. H. Peng, C. Ju. Li, Y. M. Yang, C. L. Chin, J. J. Shyue, S. S. Sun, M. Lee, C. T. Chen, M. C. Liu, C. C. Chen, G. Y. Chen, J. H. Wu, C. H. Li, C. F. Sung, M. J. Lee, and J. P. Hu. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2014, 24, 555-562.
- [37] Z. Zhang, S. Yue, Y. Wu, P. Yan, Q. Wu, D. Qu, S. Liu, and Y. Zhao, *Opt. Express.*, 2014, 22, 1815-1816.
- [38] H. Huang, Y. Wang, S. Zhuang, X. Yang, L. Wang, and C. Yang, J. Phys. Chem. C., 2012, 116, 19458-19466.