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## A. General methods

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature on an AVANCE III 400 BRUKER or an AVANCE I 500 BRUKER at Centre Régional de Mesures Physiques de l'Ouest (CRMPO), Université de Rennes 1. Chemical shifts $\delta$ are given in ppm and coupling constants $J$ in Hz. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are referenced relative to residual protium in the deuterated solvent ( $\delta=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shifts are referenced to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ peaks at $\delta=77.16 \mathrm{ppm}$.

High-resolution mass (HR-MS) determinations were performed at CRMPO on a Bruker MaXis 4G by ASAP (+ or -) or ESI and MALDI with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as solvent techniques. Experimental and calculated masses are given with consideration of the mass of the electron.

UV-Visible (UV-vis, in $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) absorption spectra were recorded on a UV-2401PC Shimadzu spectrophotometer.

Steady-state luminescence spectra were measured using an Edinburgh FS920 Steady State Fluorimeter combined with a FL920 Fluorescence Lifetime Spectrometer. The spectra were corrected for the wavelength dependence of the detector, and the quoted emission maxima refer to the values after correction. Life-times measurements were conducted with 375 nm diode laser excitation (EPL-series) plugged to a TCSPC pulsed source interface. Absolute fluorescence quantum yields $\phi$ were recorded with a Hamamatsu C9920-03 integrating sphere.

Electrochemical measurements were performed with a potentiostat PGSTAT 302N controlled by resident GPES (General Purpose Electrochemical System 4.9) software using a conventional single auxiliary electrode were platinum electrode potassium chloride calomel electrode (SCE). The supporting electrolyte was $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ (tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate) in dichloromethane and solutions were purged with argon before the measurements. All potentials are quoted $r$ experiments, the scan rate was either 100,200 or $400 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$.

Electronic circular dichroism ( ECD , in $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) was measured on a Jasco J-815 Circular Dichroism Spectrometer (IFR140 facility - Biosit - Université de Rennes 1).

The circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) measurements were performed using a home-built CPL spectrofluoropolarimeter (constructed with the help of the JASCO Company). The samples were excited using a $90^{\circ}$ geometry with a Xenon ozone-free lamp 150 W LS. The following parameters were used: emission slit width $\approx 2 \mathrm{~mm}$, integration time $=4 \mathrm{sec}$, scan speed $=50 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$, accumulations $=$ 10 . The concentration of all the samples was ca. $10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$.

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on aluminum sheets precoated with Merck 5735 Kieselgel 60F254. Column chromatography was carried out with Merck 5735 Kieselgel 60F ( 0.040 0.063 mm mesh). Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Alfa Aesar or TCI Europe and used as received.

## B. Synthetic procedures

BICOL was prepared following the previously reported procedure. ${ }^{1}$ Bis-iodo Axial BICOL, Ax1, and Bis-iodo helical BICOL, Hel1, were obtained by iodination reaction using the following procedure: In round bottom flask, a mixture of axial or helical $\mathrm{BICOL}\left(100 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{eq}\right.$.) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH} 6: 3$ ml was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. N -iodosuccinimide ( 1.8 eq.) was slowly added and stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 hours (the reaction was followed by thin layer chromatography), the resulting mixture was then purified through a flash column using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as the eluent. The desired compound was finally obtained as a white solid in $89 \%$. The product was directly used in the next step without characterization.


Hel-o-CHO, Hel-o-CN, Ax-o-CHO, Ax-p-CN and Hel-p-CN were synthesized by using Suzuki cross coupling reactions with corresponding arylboronic acids.
$( \pm)-2,2^{\prime}-\left(3,3^{\prime}\right.$-dimethoxy-9, $9^{\prime}$ 'dimethyl-9H,9'H-[4,4'-bicarbazole]-6,6'-diyl)dibenzaldehyde: ( $\pm$ )-Ax-o-CHO


In a dried Schlenck, 3,6-diiodo-axial BICOL ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-cyanophenyl boronic acid ( 0.21 g , $1.37 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a mixture of DMF ( 12 mL ) and water $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon. The mixture was degassed by argon bubbling for 15 minutes before $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.079 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.07 mmol ) was added and the resulting solution refluxed for 8 hours. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction was poured into 20 mL of water and the organic layer was extracted 3 times with methylene chloride ( $3 * 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and thus washed with brine ( 20 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Solvents were removed under vacuum and the product was purified by chromatography ( EtOAc /heptane, 25:75) to give white product $86 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , chloroform-d (CDCl3) $\delta 9.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.52-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.15 (dd, $J=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 192.3,151.1,146.9,141.6,137.2,135.2-116.4$, 116.7-95.5, 57.8, 29.5.

HR-MS Q-Exactive, ESI, $370{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ion $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated 651.22543 , m/z experimental $651.2250(\Delta=1 \mathrm{ppm})$.

## Experimental optical rotation values

$(+)-\mathbf{A x}-\boldsymbol{o}-\mathbf{C H O}:[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=+98 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=+613\left(\mathrm{c}=1.3 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$(-)$-Ax-o-CHO: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-96 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=-603\left(c=1.2 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
( $\pm$ )-2,2'-(8,17-dimethyl-8,17-dihydro-[1,3]dioxepino[4,5-c:7,6-c']dicarbazole-11,14diyl)dibenzaldehyde: $( \pm)$-Hel-o-CHO


Following the same procedure than Ax-o-CHO, Hel-o-CHO was obtained as a yellow solid in $68 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , chloroform-d (CDCl ${ }_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 9.19$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.81 (dd, $J=7.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.62-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.69$ (s, 2H), 4.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 191.9,146.5,141.6,139.3,133.1,132.7$, $131.5,128.4,127.6,126.8,124.9,122.2,120.7,119.3,109.4,108.2,103.8,29.4$.

HR-MS Q-Exactive, ESI, $370{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ion $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated 667.22034, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ experimental $667.2195(\Delta=0 \mathrm{ppm})$.

## Experimental optical rotation values

$(+)$-Hel-o-CHO: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=+129 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=+790\left(\mathrm{c}=1.3 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$(-)$-Hel-o-CHO: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-132 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=-808\left(c=1.2 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
( $\pm$ )-2,2'-(8,17-dimethyl-8,17-dihydro-[1,3]dioxepino[4,5-c:7,6-c']dicarbazole-11,14diyl)dibenzonitrile: ( $\pm$ )-Hel-o-CN


Following the same procedure than $\mathbf{A x} \boldsymbol{x}-\mathbf{C H O}$, Hel-o-CN was obtained as a yellow solid in $52 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, chloroform-d (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta 7.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.63-$ 5.56 (m, 2H), 4.04 (s, 6H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (400 MHz, chloroform-d ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.8$, 146.1, 141.8, 139.4, 133.1, 131.8, $130.2,128.5,126.5,125.2,122.7,120.9,119.1,110.7,109.4,107.4,103.9,30.2$.

HR-MS Q-Exactive, ESI, $370{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ion $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated 629.1948, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ experimental 629.1954 ( $\Delta=1 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

## Experimental optical rotation values

$(+)-$ Hel $\boldsymbol{o}-\mathbf{C N}:[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=+232 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=+1405\left(\mathrm{c}=1.3 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$(-)-\mathrm{Hel} \boldsymbol{o}-\mathrm{CN}:[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-228 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=-1381\left(\mathrm{c}=1.2 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
( $\pm$ )-4,4'-(3,3'-dimethoxy-9,9'-dimethyl-9H,9'H-[4,4'-bicarbazole]-6,6'-diyl)dibenzonitrile: $( \pm)$ -

## Ax- $\boldsymbol{p}$-CN



Following the same procedure than $\mathbf{A x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{o}-\mathbf{C H O}, \mathbf{A x}-\boldsymbol{p}-\mathbf{C N}$ was obtained as a yellow solid in $86 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, chloroform-d (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right) \delta 7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.8(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, chloroform-d ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 151.56, 146.36, 142.15, 137.47, 132.25, 128.78, $126.80,124.57,123.40,122.31,120.67,119.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=96.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 112.83,109.15,108.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9$ Hz), 58.06.

HR-MS Q-Exactive, ESI, $370{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ion $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$, $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated $645.2261, \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}$ experimental $645.2254(\Delta=1 \mathrm{ppm})$.

## Experimental optical rotation values

$(+)-\mathbf{A x}-p-\mathbf{C N}:[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=+136 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=+846\left(\mathrm{c}=1.3 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$(-)-\mathbf{A x}-\boldsymbol{p}-\mathbf{C N}:[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-132 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=-822\left(\mathrm{c}=1.2 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
( $\pm$ )-4,4'-(8,17-dimethyl-8,17-dihydro-[1,3]dioxepino[4,5-c:7,6-c']dicarbazole-11,14diyl)dibenzonitrile: $( \pm)$-Hel- $p$ - $\mathbf{C N}$


Following the same procedure than Ax-o-CHO, Hel-p-CN was obtained as a white solid in $74 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , chloroform-d (CDCl3) $\delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, chloroform-d ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.7,141.8,139.4,131.8,129.5,127.2,125.3$, 123.5, 122.7, 120.9, 119.6, 119.0, 109.4, 108.0, 103.9, 29.6.

HR-MS Q-Exactive, ESI, $370{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ion $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calculated 629.1948, m/z experimental 629.1947 ( $\Delta=0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

## Experimental optical rotation values

$(+)$-Hel- $\boldsymbol{p}$-CN: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=+618 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=+3749\left(\mathrm{c}=1.3 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$(-)-\mathrm{Hel}-\boldsymbol{p}-\mathrm{CN}:[\alpha]_{D}^{25}=-626 \pm 2 \%,[\phi]_{D}^{25}=-3797\left(\mathrm{c}=1.2 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.


Figure S1. a): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR portion of $\mathbf{A x}-o-\mathbf{C H O}$, Hel- $o-\mathbf{C H O}$ and $\mathbf{C B z}-o-\mathbf{C H O}$ spectra showing the shielding of the aldehydic proton signal owing to the current ring effect induced by the opposite carbazole unit (not present on the model compound CBz-o-CHO). b): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR portion of Hel-o-CHO recorded in deuterated chloroform (red top spectrum) and toluene (brown bottom spectrum).

## 2-(6-methoxy-9-methyl-9H-carbazol-3-yl)benzaldehyde: CBz-o-CHO



In a dried Schlenck, 3-iodo-6-methoxy carbazole ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.038 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-formylphenyl boronic acid $(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 3.115 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 4.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a mixture of DMF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 5 mL ) under argon. The mixture was degassed by argon bubbling for 15 minutes before $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.1038 \mathrm{~g}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the resulting solution refluxed for 8 hours. After cooling down to room temperature, the reaction was poured into 20 mL of water and the organic layer was extracted 3 times with methylene chloride ( $3 * 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and thus washed with brine ( 20 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Solvents were removed under vacuum and the product was purified by chromatography (EtOAc/heptane, 25:75) to give white product $52 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right.$, methylene chloride-d $\left(\mathbf{C D}_{2} \mathbf{C l}_{2}\right) \delta 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , methylene chloride-d $\left(\mathbf{C D}_{2} \mathbf{C l}_{2}\right) \delta$ 192.6, $153.9,146.9,141.2,136.6,134.0$, $133.3,131.3,127.8,127.3,127.0,122.7,122.5,121.9,115.4,109.5,108.5,103.1,55.9,31.8,29.2,29.0$, 22.6, 13.8.

## C. Steady-state photophysical and chiroptical characterizations




Figure S2. Left: UV-vis spectra of Hel-o-CHO (red), Hel-o-CN (blue), Ax-o-CHO (black), Ax-p-CN (green) and Hel-p-CN (purple) measured in toluene $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K , and right: their corresponding bicarbazole precursors measured in chloroform $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .


Figure S3. Normalized luminescence spectra of Hel-o-CHO (red), Hel-o-CN (blue), Ax-o-CHO (black), Ax-p$\mathbf{C N}$ (green) and Hel-p-CN (purple) measured in toluene $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .


Figure S4. Normalized luminescence spectra of Hel-o-CHO (red), Hel-o-CN (blue), Ax-o-CHO (black), Ax-p$\mathbf{C N}$ (green) and Hel-p-CN (purple) measured in chloroform $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .


Figure S5. Normalized luminescence spectra of Hed-bfofHO (red), Hel-o-CN (blue), Ax-o-CHO (black), Ax-p$\mathbf{C N}$ (green) and Hel-p-CN (purple) measured in dimethylformamide $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .


Figure S6. Normalized luminescence spectrum of Ax-o-CHO in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran at 77 K and excited at 375 nm (the onset of both fluorescence ( F ) and phosphorescence ( P ) emissions are taken to estimate the singlet and triplet energy levels).


Figure S7. Left: Normalized luminescence spectrum of Hel-o-CHO in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran at 77 K and excited at 375 nm (the onset of both fluorescence ( F ) and phosphorescence ( P ) emissions are taken to estimate the singlet and triplet energy levels). Right: Luminescence decays of (+)-Hel-o-CHO (black signal, corresponding fits in red) recording under vacuum in solid matrix (bis[2-(diphenylphosphino)phenyl] ether oxide, DPEPO) with an inset focusing on the short lifetime decays.


Figure S8. Normalized luminescence spectrum of Hel-o-CN in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran at 77 K and excited at 360 nm (the onset of both fluorescence ( F ) and phosphorescence ( P ) emissions are taken to estimate the singlet and triplet energy levels).


Figure S9. Normalized luminescence spectrum of Ax-p-CN in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran at 77 K and excited at 360 nm (the onset of both fluorescence ( F ) and phosphorescence ( P ) emissions are taken to estimate the singlet and triplet energy levels).


Figure S10. Normalized luminescence spectrum of Hel-p-CN in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran at 77 K and excited at 360 nm (the onset of both fluorescence ( F ) and phosphorescence ( P ) emissions are taken to estimate the singlet and triplet energy levels).


Figure S11. ECD spectra of Hel-o-CHO (red), Hel-o-CN (blue), Ax-o-CHO (black), Ax-p-CN (green) and Hel-p-CN (purple) measured in toluene $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .


Figure S12. CPL spectra of Hel-o-CHO (red), Hel-o-CN (blue), Ax-o-CHO (black), Ax-p-CN (green) and Hel-p-CN (purple) measured in toluene $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .


Figure S13. CPL spectra of Hel-o-CHO (red), Hel-o-CN (blue), Ax-o-CHO (black), Ax-p-CN (green) and Hel-p-CN (purple) measured in chloroform $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .


Figure S14. CPL spectra of Hel-o-CHO (red), Hel-o-CN (blue), Ax-o-CHO (black), Ax-p-CN (green) and Hel-p-CN (purple) measured in dimethylformamide $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .

Table S1. Summary of the photophysical and chiroptical data for Hel-o-CHO, Hel-o-CN, Ax-o-CHO, Ax-pCN and Hel-p-CN.

| Compound | $\lambda_{\text {abs }}$ Tol $^{a}$ (onset in nm ) | $\begin{gathered} \left\|g_{\text {Abs }}\right\|^{a} \\ \mathrm{Tol} \\ \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\lambda_{\text {em }}$ Tol (nm) / $\phi_{\text {fluo }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\mathrm{em}} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} \\ (\mathrm{~nm}) / \\ \phi_{\mathrm{flu}} \mathrm{~b}(\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\text {em }} \text { DMF } \\ (\mathrm{nm}) / \\ \phi_{\mathrm{fluo}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}(\%) \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\tau_{\text {Fluo }}{ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{ns}\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} \mid \text { Slum } \\ \left(\times 10^{-3}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tol | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | DMF |
| Hel-o-CHO | 410 | 0.0 | 439 / 2 | 477 / 7 | 490 / 6 | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 / 10.8 \\ (8 / 36 / 804)^{d} \end{gathered}$ | ~ 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| Hel-o-CN | 375 | 0.6 | 435 / 20 | 435 / 10 | 455 / 13 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Hel-p-CN | 401 | 2.0 | 438/17 | 438 / 15 | 444/20 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 |
| Ax-o-CHO | 415 | 1.0 | 460 / 3 | 531 / 4 | 543 / 2 | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \\ (8.4 / 71 / \\ 1040)^{\mathrm{d}} \end{gathered}$ | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 |
| Ax-p-CN | 390 | 2.2 | 412 / 25 | 436 / 37 | 461 / 84 | 3.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ In toluene solution. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Absolute quantum yield (error $\pm 10 \%$ ), measured using an integrating sphere (Tol for toluene, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ for chloroform and DMF for dimethylformamide). ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Fluorescence lifetime measured in dichloromethane solution (error $\pm 5 \%$ ) and weight in parenthesis. ${ }^{d}$ Lifetimes measured in DPEPO matrix.

Table S2. Electrochemical data for Hel-o-CHO, Hel-o-CN, Ax-o-CHO, Ax-p-CN and Hel- $p$-CN. The redox potentials are referenced versus saturated calomel electrode (SCE) and given in V with $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$as an internal reference and $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ in dichloromethane as the electrolyte.

| Compound | Hel-o-CHO | Hel-o-CN | Hel- $p-\mathbf{C N}$ | Ax-o-CHO | Ax- $p$-CN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{0 x}$ | 1.17 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 0.95 | 0.98 |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{0 x}}$ | 1.39 | 1.39 | 1.19 | 1.19 | 1.19 |



Figure S15. ECD spectra of (+)-Hel-p-CN (solid lines) and (-)-Hel-p-CN (dashed lines) in toluene (blue) and in dimethylformamide (red) $\left(\sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at 298 K .

## D. X-Ray experimental data

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| CCDC number | 2085967 |
| Extended formula | $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | $748.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| Temperature | 150(2) K |
| Radiation type | Mo-K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 £ |
| Crystal system, space group | triclinic, P 1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=8.9208$ (12) $\AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=13.4572$ (16) $\AA$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=15.7062$ (19) $\AA$ |
|  | $\alpha=107.913$ (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\beta=91.259$ (5) ${ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Y}=95.152(4)^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | 1784.4(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | 2, $1.392 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.305 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 776 |
| Crystal size | $0.550 \times 0.350 \times 0.210 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal color | yellow |
| Crystal description | prism |
| Diffractometer | APEXII Kappa-CCD diffractometer |
|  | $\theta$ range for data collection 2.403 to $27.538^{\circ}$ _ |
| $(\sin \theta=\lambda)_{\text {max }}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ | 0.651 |
| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{h}_{\text {max }}$ | -11, 11 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{k}_{\text {max }}$ | -12, 17 |
| $1_{\text {min }}, 1_{\text {max }}$ | -20,19 |
| Reflections collected / unique | $29926 / 8200$ [ ${ }^{\text {R }}$ (int) $=0.0439$ ] |
| Reflections [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma$ ] | 6634 |
| Completeness to $\theta$ max | 0.994 |
| Absorption correction type | multi-scan |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.938, 0.890 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| H -atom treatment | H -atom parameters constrained |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 8200 / 0 / 473 |
| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Goodness-of-fit | 0.992 |
| Final R indices [I > 2 $\sigma$ ] | ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0402,{ }^{\text {d }}$ wR2 $=0.1117$ |
| R indices (all data) | ${ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0529,{ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1231$ |
| $\Delta \rho \max , \Delta \rho \min$ | 0.459, -0.593 e. $\mathrm{A}^{-3}$ |



Figure S16. ORTEP diagrams of compound Ax-o-CHO with ellipsoids at $50 \%$ probability )at 150 K (.

## E. HPLC separation

## Conditions Analytiques:

| Colonne : | CHIRALPAK IG $250 \times 4,6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Débit: | $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |  |  |
| Détection: | $200-400 \mathrm{nM}$ MaxAbs |  |  |
| température <br> pression BPR | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-120 \mathrm{bars}$ |  |  |
| Solvant: | $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{CO} 2$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH}$ |  |  |
| Gradient: | Isocratique |  |  |
|  | $\% \mathrm{~A}: 65$ | $\% \mathrm{~B}: 35$ |  |


| Date : | $03 / 05 / 2021$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Opérateur : | LEBREQUIER Sabrina |
| Demandeur : | PIETERS Grégory |
| Encadrant: | PIETERS Grégory |

## Conditions Prep :

| Colonne: | CHIRALPAK IG $250 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Débit : | $80 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |  |
| Détection : | 200-400 nM MaxAbs |  |
| température pression BPR | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-100$ bars |  |
| Solvant : | A : CO2 |  |
|  | B : i-PrOH |  |
| Gradient : | Isocratique |  |
|  | \%A : 65 | \%B : 35 |

## Profil de purification:




## Conditions analytiques : Racémique avant purification



Vérification des énantiomères
E1




Figure S17. Chiral HPLC of Ax-o-CHO.

Conditions Analytiques:

| Colonne : | CHIRALPAK IG $250 \times 4,6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Débit : | $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |  |
| Détection : | $200-400 \mathrm{nM}$ MaxAbs |  |
| température <br> pression BPR | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-120 \mathrm{bars}$ |  |
| Solvant : | $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{CO} 2$ |  |
|  | B : i-PrOH |  |
| Gradient : | Isocratique |  |
|  | $\% \mathrm{~A}: 55$ | $\% \mathrm{~B}: 45$ |

## Profil de purification:

| Opérateur: | LEBREQUIER Sabrina |
| :--- | :--- |
| Demandeur: | PIETERS Grégory |
| Encadrant: | PIETERS Grégory |

## Conditions Prep

| Colonne : | CHIRALPAK IG $250 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Débit : | $80 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |  |
| Détection : | $200-400 \mathrm{nM}$ MaxAbs |  |
| température <br> pression BPR | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-100$ bars |  |
| Solvant : | $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{CO} 2$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH}$ |  |
| Gradient : | Isocratique |  |
|  | $\% \mathrm{~A}: 55$ | $\% \mathrm{~B}: 45$ |




Conditions analytiques: Racémique avant purification:
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Figure S18. Chiral HPLC of Hel-o-CHO

Conditions Analytiques:

| Colonne : | CHIRALPAK IG $250 \times 4,6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Débit: | $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |  |
| Détection : | $200-400 \mathrm{nM}$ MaxAbs |  |
| température <br> pression BPR | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-120$ bars |  |
| Solvant: | $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{CO} 2$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{DCM} 20 / 20$ |  |
| Gradient: | Isocratique |  |
|  | $\% \mathrm{~A}: 60$ | $\% \mathrm{~B}: 40$ |

Profil de purification:



## Conditions analytiques: Racémique avant purification:




## Vérification des énantiomères






Figure S19. Chiral HPLC of Ax-o-CN.

## Conditions Analytiques:

| Colonne : | CHIRALPAK IG $250 \times 4,6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Débit: | $4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ |  |
| Détection : | $200-400 \mathrm{nM}$ MaxAbs |  |
| température <br> pression BPR | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-120 \mathrm{bars}$ |  |
| Solvant: | $\mathrm{A}: \mathrm{CO} 2$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{~B}: \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH}$ |  |
| Gradient : | Isocratique |  |
|  | $\% \mathrm{~A}: 60$ | $\% \mathrm{~B}: 40$ |

Profil de purification:


Conditions analytiques: Racémique avant purification:



## Vérification des énantiomères

E1




Figure S20. Chiral HPLC of Hel-p-CN

## F. NMR spectra



Figure S21. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{A x - o - C H O}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S22. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{A x}-\boldsymbol{o}-\mathbf{C H O}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(101 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S23. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{H e l}-\boldsymbol{o}-\mathbf{C H O}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S24. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of Hel-o-CHO in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(101 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Hel-o-CN in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S26. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of Hel-o-CN in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(101 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S27. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{A x}-\boldsymbol{p}-\mathbf{C N}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S28. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{A x}-\boldsymbol{p}-\mathbf{C N}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(101 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S29. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Hel-p-CN in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.



Figure S30. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of Hel-p-CN in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(101 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S31. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{C B z - C H O}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$.


Figure S32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{C B z - C H O}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $298 \mathrm{~K}(101 \mathrm{MHz})$.

## G. Theoretical calculations

All computations were performed by using Kohn-Sham Density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the Gaussian (G16) package, ${ }^{2}$ along the PBE0 functional ${ }^{3}$ and the def2-SV(P) basis. ${ }^{4}$ Solvent effects were included by means of the polarizable continuum model $(\mathrm{PCM})^{5}$ for toluene and dimethylformamide (DMF) to match the experimental conditions. Dispersion corrections from Grimme 'D3' were considered in the calculations. ${ }^{6}$

The 200 lowest-energy vertical spin-allowed electronic excitations were calculated for the absorption and electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra. In order to simulate the spectral envelopes, the transitions were subsequently Gaussian-broadened with $\sigma=0.20 \mathrm{eV}$. For overviews of the theoretical approach to model natural optical activity by quantum chemical calculations, esp. via TD-DFT, see, for example, References [7,8]. ${ }^{7}$

(+)-Ax-o-CHO

(+)-Hel-o-CHO

(+)-Hel-o-CN

(+)-Ax-p-CN

(+)-Hel-p-CN

Figure S33. Optimized molecular structures of (+)-Hel-o-CHO, (+)-Hel-o-CN, (+)-Ax-o-CHO, (+)-Ax-p-CN and (+)-Hel-p-CN.


Figure S34. (Left) Calculated absorption and (right) ECD spectra of ( $\mathbf{\pm} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{- A x} \boldsymbol{o} \mathbf{o} \mathbf{- C H O}$. Selected transitions and, oscillator and rotatory strengths are indicated as 'stick spectra'. Predominant transitions for (+)-Ax-o-CHO are numbered according to Table S3.

Table S3. Selected excitations and occupied (occ)-unoccupied (unocc) MO pair contributions (greater than 10\%) for (+)-Ax-o-CHO with toluene. H and L indicate the HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

| Excitation | $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{e V}]$ | $\lambda[\mathbf{n m}]$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{R}\left[\mathbf{1 0}^{-40} \mathbf{c g s}\right]$ | occ. no. | unocc no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#1 | 3.107 | 399 | 0.092 | 30.04 | $165(\mathrm{H})$ | $166(\mathrm{~L})$ | 45 |
| \#4 | 3.150 | 394 | 0.105 | 122.46 | 164 | 167 | 47 |
| \#15 | 4.121 | 301 | 0.045 | -242.43 | 165 | 170 | 34 |
| \#16 | 4.134 | 300 | 0.169 | 138.83 | 165 | 171 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 164 | 170 | 17 |
| \#19 | 4.341 | 286 | 0.610 | 843.43 | 163 | 168 | 23 |
| \#20 | 4.361 | 284 | 0.140 | -161.49 | 161 | 166 | 10 |
| \#21 | 4.362 | 284 | 0.111 | -273.45 | 161 | 167 | 11 |
| \#22 | 4.384 | 283 | 0.115 | -286.56 | 162 | 168 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 163 | 168 | 10 |
| \#25 | 4.591 | 270 | 0.059 | -287.31 | 163 | 169 | 42 |
| \#26 | 4.628 | 268 | 0.366 | 337.27 | 162 | 169 | 41 |



Figure S35. Isosurfaces ( $\pm 0.035 \mathrm{au}$ ) of Molecular Orbitals (MOs) for ( + )-Ax-o-CHO (toluene). Values listed in parentheses are the corresponding orbitals energies in eV .



Figure S36. Calculated UV/Vis (left) and ECD (right) spectra of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-Hel-o-CHO. Selected transitions and, oscillator and rotatory strengths are indicated as 'stick spectra'. Predominant transitions for (+)-Hel-o-CHO are numbered according to Table S4.

Table S4. Selected excitations and occupied (occ)-unoccupied (unocc) MO pair contributions (greater than 10\%) for (+)-Hel-o-CHO with toluene. H and L indicate the HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

| Excitation | $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{e V}]$ | $\lambda[\mathrm{nm}]$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{R}\left[10^{-40} \mathbf{c g s}\right]$ | occ. no. | unocc no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#2 | 3.341 | 371 | 0.132 | 2.69 | $160(\mathrm{H})$ | 162 | 40 |
| \#3 | 3.396 | 365 | 0.073 | 255.78 | 159 | $161(\mathrm{~L})$ | 38 |
| \#4 | 3.425 | 362 | 0.139 | -57.71 | 160 | 163 | 35 |
| \#13 | 4.065 | 305 | 0.058 | 171.98 | 158 | 163 | 26 |
| \#16 | 4.205 | 295 | 0.159 | -264.00 | 160 | 164 | 22 |
| \#17 | 4.261 | 291 | 0.143 | 175.17 | 159 | 164 | 20 |
| \#18 | 4.316 | 287 | 0.101 | 102.58 | 159 | 165 | 36 |
| \#19 | 4.371 | 284 | 0.203 | -60.92 | 160 | 166 | 40 |
| \#26 | 4.759 | 261 | 0.222 | 169.06 | 158 | 164 | 32 |
| \#27 | 4.780 | 259 | 0.138 | -4.95 | 157 | 164 | 22 |
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Figure S37. Isosurfaces ( $\pm 0.035 \mathrm{au}$ ) of Molecular Orbitals (MOs) for (+)-Hel-o-CHO (toluene). Values listed in parentheses are the corresponding orbitals energies in eV .

Table S5. Selected excitations and occupied (occ)-unoccupied (unocc) MO pair contributions (greater than $10 \%$ ) for (+)-Hel-o-CHO with DFM. H and L indicate the HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

| Excitation | $\mathbf{E}$ <br> $[\mathbf{e V}]$ | $\lambda[\mathbf{n m}]$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{R}\left[10^{-40}\right.$ <br> $\mathbf{c g s}]$ | occ. no. | unocc no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#2 | 3.329 | 372 | 0.148 | 119.22 | 160 | 162 | 26 |
| \#3 | 3.405 | 364 | 0.045 | 180.67 | 160 | 163 | 29 |
| \#4 | 3.423 | 262 | 0.114 | -34.31 | 159 | 162 | 40 |
| \#15 | 4.185 | 296 | 0.133 | -60.07 | 160 | 164 | 13 |
| \#16 | 4.235 | 293 | 0.067 | 153.45 | 159 | 164 | 31 |
| \#17 | 4.271 | 290 | 0.221 | -370.98 | 160 | 165 | 36 |
| \#18 | 4.352 | 285 | 0.100 | 282.69 | 159 | 165 | 40 |
| \#19 | 4.400 | 282 | 0.100 | 52.79 | 160 | 166 | 40 |
| \#22 | 4.532 | 274 | 0.144 | -251.38 | 159 | 166 | 38 |
| \#27 | 4.778 | 259 | 0.328 | 360.77 | 158 | 164 | 42 |
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Figure S38. Isosurfaces ( $\pm 0.035 \mathrm{au}$ ) of Molecular Orbitals (MOs) for ( + )-Hel-o-CHO (DMF). Values listed in parentheses are the corresponding orbitals energies in eV .


Figure S39. Calculated UV/Vis (left) and ECD (right) spectra of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-Hel-o-CN. Selected transitions and, oscillator and rotatory strengths are indicated as 'stick spectra'. Predominant transitions for (+)-Hel-o-CN are numbered according to Table S6.

Table S6. Selected excitations and occupied (occ)-unoccupied (unocc) MO pair contributions (greater than $10 \%$ ) for (+)-Hel-o-CN with toluene. H and L indicate the HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

| Excitation | $\mathbf{E}$ <br> $[\mathbf{e V}]$ | $\lambda[\mathbf{n m}]$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{R}\left[\mathbf{1 0}^{-40}\right.$ <br> $\mathbf{c g s}]$ | occ. no. | unocc no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#1 | 3.414 | 363 | 0.077 | 108.34 | $158(\mathrm{H})$ | 160 | 46 |
| \#2 | 3.529 | 351 | 0.049 | -126.88 | 158 | $159(\mathrm{~L})$ | 47 |
| \#3 | 3.587 | 346 | 0.191 | 63.20 | 158 | 161 | 48 |
| \#5 | 3.663 | 338 | 0.109 | 193.05 | 157 | 159 | 48 |
| \#7 | 4.046 | 306 | 0.080 | 391.05 | 155 | 160 | 34 |
| \#10 | 4.144 | 299 | 0.089 | -151.96 | 156 | 159 | 22 |
| \#11 | 4.148 | 299 | 0.144 | -262.99 | 158 | 162 | 18 |
| \#14 | 4.236 | 293 | 0.109 | 308.73 | 157 | 162 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 158 | 163 | 17 |
| \#17 | 4.344 | 285 | 0.142 | -30.66 | 157 | 164 | 34 |
| \#18 | 4.410 | 281 | 0.181 | -308.64 | 157 | 163 | 42 |



Figure S40. Isosurfaces ( $\pm 0.035 \mathrm{au}$ ) of Molecular Orbitals (MOs) for ( + )-Hel-o-CN (toluene). Values listed in parentheses are the corresponding orbitals energies in eV .


Figure S41. Calculated UV/Vis (left) and ECD (right) spectra of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$-Ax-p-CN. Selected transitions and, oscillator and rotatory strengths are indicated as 'stick spectra'. Predominant transitions for (+)-Ax-p-CN are numbered according to Table S7.

Table S7. Selected excitations and occupied (occ)-unoccupied (unocc) MO pair contributions (greater than $10 \%$ ) for (+)-Ax-p-CN with toluene. H and L indicate the HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

| Excitation | $\mathbf{E}$ <br> $[\mathbf{e V}]$ | $\lambda[\mathbf{n m}]$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{R}\left[\mathbf{1 0}^{-40}\right.$ <br> $\mathbf{c g s}]$ | occ. no. | unocc no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#1 | 3.350 | 370 | 0.200 | 392.81 | $163(\mathrm{H})$ | 165 | 27 |
| \#2 | 3.396 | 365 | 0.096 | -588.51 | 163 | $164(\mathrm{~L})$ | 48 |
| \#3 | 3.481 | 356 | 0.610 | 413.28 | 163 | 166 | 26 |
| \#9 | 4.067 | 305 | 0.102 | -585.58 | 161 | 164 | 26 |
| \#10 | 4.084 | 304 | 0.810 | 737.25 | 161 | 165 | 24 |
| \#13 | 4.247 | 292 | 0.211 | 199.03 | 161 | 166 | 34 |
| \#21 | 4.640 | 267 | 0.066 | -264.83 | 161 | 167 | 41 |
| \#27 | 4.940 | 251 | 0.418 | 427.80 | 163 | 170 | 39 |



Figure S42. Isosurfaces ( $\pm 0.035 \mathrm{au}$ ) of Molecular Orbitals (MOs) for ( + )-Ax-p-CN (Toluene). Values listed in parentheses are the corresponding orbitals energies in eV .

Table S8. Selected excitations and occupied (occ)-unoccupied (unocc) MO pair contributions (greater than 15\%) for (+)-Ax-p-CN with DFM. H and L indicate the HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

| Excitation | $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{e V}]$ | $\lambda[\mathrm{nm}]$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{R}\left[10^{-40} \mathbf{c g s}\right]$ | occ. no. | unocc no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#1 | 3.327 | 373 | 0.271 | 466.59 | $163(\mathrm{H})$ | 165 | 35 |
| \#2 | 3.358 | 369 | 0.086 | -532.28 | 163 | $164(\mathrm{~L})$ | 48 |
| \#3 | 3.451 | 359 | 0.313 | 305.76 | 162 | 164 | 47 |
| \#4 | 3.473 | 357 | 0.040 | -218.15 | 162 | 165 | 47 |
| \#5 | 3.488 | 355 | 0.245 | 86.26 | 163 | 166 | 34 |
| \#9 | 4.042 | 307 | 0.093 | -575.91 | 161 | 164 | 27 |
| \#10 | 4.066 | 305 | 0.824 | 664.72 | 161 | 165 | 27 |
| \#13 | 4.267 | 291 | 0.216 | 252.49 | 161 | 166 | 39 |
| \#21 | 4.633 | 268 | 0.065 | -263.98 | 161 | 167 | 39 |
| \#27 | 4.944 | 251 | 0.403 | 426.93 | 163 | 170 | 38 |



Figure S43. Isosurfaces ( $\pm 0.035 \mathrm{au}$ ) of Molecular Orbitals (MOs) for (+)-Ax-p-CN (DMF). Values listed in parentheses are the corresponding orbitals energies in eV .


Figure S44. Calculated UV/Vis (left) and ECD (right) spectra of ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-Hel-p-CN. Selected transitions and, oscillator and rotatory strengths are indicated as 'stick spectra'. Predominant transitions for ( + )-Hel-p-CN are numbered according to Table S8.

Table S8. Selected excitations and occupied (occ)-unoccupied (unocc) MO pair contributions (greater than $10 \%$ ) for (+)-Hel-p-CN with toluene. H and Lindicate the HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

| Excitation | $\mathbf{E}$ <br> $[\mathbf{e V}]$ | $\lambda[\mathbf{n m}]$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{R}\left[10^{-40}\right.$ <br> $\mathbf{c g s}]$ | occ. no. | unocc no. | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#1 | 3.366 | 368 | 0.044 | 102.37 | $158(\mathrm{H})$ | 160 | 48 |
| \#2 | 3.518 | 352 | 0.160 | -331.09 | 158 | $159(\mathrm{~L})$ | 49 |
| \#5 | 3.627 | 342 | 0.540 | 755.04 | 157 | 159 | 37 |
| \#6 | 3.697 | 335 | 0.179 | -439.87 | 157 | 161 | 40 |
| \#7 | 4.015 | 309 | 0.238 | -392.92 | 156 | 160 | 39 |
| \#8 | 4.023 | 308 | 0.119 | 520.71 | 155 | 160 | 45 |
| \#9 | 4.072 | 304 | 0.150 | -215.00 | 158 | 162 | 24 |
| \#10 | 4.113 | 301 | 0.110 | 15.69 | 156 | 159 | 35 |
| \#14 | 4.324 | 287 | 0.235 | 43.96 | 157 | 162 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 155 | 161 | 22 |
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Figure S45. Isosurfaces ( $\pm 0.035 \mathrm{au}$ ) of Molecular Orbitals (MOs) for ( + )-Hel-p-CN (toluene). Values listed in parentheses are the corresponding orbitals energies in eV .

Table S9. Selected excitations and occupied (occ)-unoccupied (unocc) MO pair contributions (greater than $10 \%$ ) for (+)-Hel-p-CN with toluene. H and L indicate the HOMO and LUMO, respectively.

| Excitation | $\mathbf{E}$ <br> $[\mathbf{e V}]$ | $\lambda[\mathbf{n m}]$ | $\mathbf{f}$ | $\mathbf{R}\left[10^{-40}\right.$ <br> $\mathbf{c g s}]$ | occ. no. | unocc no. | \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#1 | 3.366 | 368 | 0.044 | 102.37 | $158(\mathrm{H})$ | 160 | 48 |
| \#2 | 3.518 | 352 | 0.160 | -331.09 | 158 | $159(\mathrm{~L})$ | 49 |
| \#5 | 3.627 | 342 | 0.540 | 755.04 | 157 | 159 | 37 |
| \#6 | 3.697 | 335 | 0.179 | -439.87 | 157 | 161 | 40 |
| \#7 | 4.015 | 309 | 0.238 | -392.92 | 156 | 160 | 39 |
| \#8 | 4.023 | 308 | 0.119 | 520.71 | 155 | 160 | 45 |
| \#9 | 4.072 | 304 | 0.150 | -215.00 | 158 | 162 | 24 |
| \#10 | 4.113 | 301 | 0.110 | 15.69 | 156 | 159 | 35 |
| \#14 | 4.324 | 287 | 0.235 | 43.96 | 157 | 162 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 155 | 161 | 22 |
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Figure S46. Isosurfaces ( $\pm 0.035 \mathrm{au}$ ) of Molecular Orbitals (MOs) for ( + )-Hel-p-CN (DMF). Values listed in parentheses are the corresponding orbitals energies in eV .
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