Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry C. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

Supporting information

Doping of graphene via adlayer formation of electrochemically reduced dibenzyl viologen

Thi Mien Trung Huynh^{*ay}, Thanh Hai Phan^{by}, Roald Phillipson^c, Alexander Volodine^d and Steven De Feyter^{*c}

^{a)} Quy Nhon University, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Department of Chemistry, 170 An Duong Vuong, Quy Nhon, Vietnam

^{b)} Quy Nhon University, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Department of Physics, 170 An Duong Vuong, Quy Nhon, Vietnam

^{c)} KU Leuven, Department of Chemistry, Division of Molecular Imaging and Photonics, Celestijnenlaan 200F, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

^{d)} KU Leuven, Department of Physics, Division of Quantum Solid State Physics, Celestijnenlaan 200D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium



Figure S1: a-b) AFM images and line profile of DBV^0 functionalized $G-SiO_2$ prepared at E = -0.85 V vs RHE. Due to the influence of the hydrogen evolution reaction the graphene surface is modified by disk-shaped features most likely generated by hydrogen nanobubbles.



Stacking phase forming on HOPG

Figure S2: EC-STM images of the stacking phase of DBV⁰ on HOPG surface under electrochemical control: E = -0.6 V vs HRE, $U_b = 100 \text{ mV}$, $I_t = 0.1 \text{ nA}$. The results prove that the DBV⁰ species adsorb strongly on HOPG surface and align in rows within individual domains.



Figure S3: Topography and CPD mapping of pristine G-SiO₂ showing a significant change both of the contrast and the CDP at the wrinkles.



Figure S4: The CPD histograms of the KPFM images: a) pristine $G-SiO_2$ (corresponding to figure 3b) and b) $G-SiO_2$ exposed to DBV at E= -0.6 V (corresponding to figure 3h).



Figure S5: a-b) AFM images and CPD mapping of DBV⁰ functionalized G-SiO₂ prepared at E = -0.85 V vs HRE; c) Plots of Pos(2D) versus Pos(G) of the DBV⁰ modified G-SiO₂ and pristine G-SiO₂. Due to the influence of the hydrogen evolution reaction the graphene surface is not fully covered by DBV⁰ causing a decrease in the doping effect in comparison with the DBV⁰ functionalized G-SiO₂ prepared at E = -0.6 V vs HRE (mentioned in Figure 4e).



Figure S6: Raman spectra of graphene before and after treatment or functionalization with DBV.

		Pos(G)	Pos(2D)	FWHM(G)	FWHM(2D)	I(2D)/(G)	I(D)/I(G)
Control	Before	1600.6±1.5	2649.6±2.7	12.0±2.1	34.4±3.2	0.90±0.06	0.01±0.03
	After	1598.5±2.5	2648.4±2.9	12.0±2.9	34.8±4.3	0.90±0.08	0.01±0.03
DBV@-0.15V	Before	1605.3±2.4	2652.0±4.1	12.1±2.4	39.8±3.7	0.78±0.10	0.04±0.03
	After	1605.4±2.7	2654.4±4.3	13.0±3.7	39.5±3.3	0.87±0.08	0.05±0.03
DBV@-0.40V	Before	1604.3±1.8	2654.2±3.8	15.8±3.8	39.8±5.2	0.93±0.05	0.01±0.03
	After	1604.2±1.8	2653.5±2.9	14.6±3.3	37.5±2.9	0.84±0.08	0.04±0.04
DBV@-0.60V	Before	1599.7±1.4	2648.9±2.7	11.6±2.1	33.8±3.1	0.93±0.08	0.00±0.01
	After	1588.2±2.3	2642.7±3.6	17.4±3.3	38.9±2.7	1.61±0.27	0.03±0.06

Table S1: G and 2D peak positions, full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the G and 2D band and intensity ratios of the D and 2D band *versus* the G band, before and after treatment or functionalization with DBV.