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Materials: All chemicals and reagents were purchased from Aladdin, Alfa Aesar and used as received without further purification. Nematic liquid crystal E7 $\left(n_{e}=1.741, n_{0}\right.$ $=1.517$, at $589 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}=-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and was purchased from Suzhou King Optonics Co. Ltd. The LC cells were purchased from Suzhou King Optonics CO. Ltd. Characterizations: The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ) spectra were record on a 400 MHz Bruker AVANCE III-400 spectrometer by using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent and the TMS as internal standard. Fluorescence (FL) spectra were measured by using a HORIBA Scientific Fluoromax-4 Spectrofluorometer. The UV-visible (UV-vis) absorption spectra were recorded Hitachi U-3900 spectrophotometer. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra and circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) spectra were recorded by using JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter and JASCO CPL-300 spectrofluoropolarimeter in quartzose cells (the thickness of the LC cell is $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), respectively. Fluorescence lifetime measurements were recorded on Edinburg FLS-980 fluorescence spectrometer.

## Synthesis of the $R / S-B F$.

Intermediate $R / S$-1 were prepared as our previously described. ${ }^{\mathrm{S} 1}$


Scheme S1. The synthesis procedures of $R / S$-BF enantiomers.

Compound 2: Intermediate 2 was synthesized from (9,9-Dimethyl-9H-fluoren-2yl)boronic acid ( $2 . .00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-Bromophenylacetonitrile ( $1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 10.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 12.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a mixture solvent of 30 mL 1,4-Dioxane and $10 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 12 h . After the reaction was finished, the mixture was poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (30 $\mathrm{ml} \times 3$ ). The organic layer was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate and filtrated. Then evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified with silica gel colum chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 4: 1$ ) to give 2.08 g of 2 (yellow solid in $80 \%$ yeild) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.80-7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.55$ (dd, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.37,153.87,141.54,139.31,138.90,138.68,128.65$,
128.37, 127.89, 127.42, 127.08, 126.14, 122.64, 121.32, 120.37, 120.15, 46.99, 27.23, 23.37.

Compound $\boldsymbol{R} / \boldsymbol{S}$-BF: Compound $\mathbf{2}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\boldsymbol{R} / \boldsymbol{S}-\mathbf{1}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 20 mL ethanol and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}(0.052 \mathrm{~g}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, respectively. Then stirred at room temperature for 3 h . After the reaction was finished, the product was purified by recrystallization from ethanol to give compound $\boldsymbol{R} / \boldsymbol{S}$-BF as a yellow solid $(0.28 \mathrm{~g})$ in $62 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 8.14-8.11 (t, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.88-7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-$ $7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.66,153.91,148.87,142.86,139.21,138.95,138.61,135.37$, 133.10, 132.86, 131.24, 129.76, 129.60, 127.82, 127.54, 127.11, 126.70, 126.14, $126.09,122.67,121.29,120.47,120.21,113.66,47.01,27.23$.


Fig. S1. UV-vis absorption spectra of $S$-BF in THF solution $\left(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ with different states.


Fig. S2. POM images of a) $0.25 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{~S}$-BF in E7 LCs before 365 nm UV irradiation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. b) $0.25 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{~S}$-BF in E7 LCs after 365 nm UV irradiation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. c) 1.0 $\mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{~S}$-BF in E7 LCs before 365 nm UV irradiation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. d) $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \% S$-BF in E7 LCs after 365 nm UV irradiation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S3. POM images of a) $0.5 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NR}$ in E7 LCs. b) $1.0 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{NR}$ in E7 LCs.
The energy transfer efficiencies can be assessed from steady-state fluorescence spectrums by using the Equation $\mathbf{S 1}$ as shown in Figure S4. The $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{DA}}$ are defined the maximum fluorescence intensity of Donor in the absence and presence of acceptor, respectively. ${ }^{\text {S2 }}$
$E=1-\frac{F_{D}}{F_{D A}}$
(Equation S1)


Fig. S4. The energy transfer efficiency (83\%) of Z-S-T-N*-LCs in the cases of $1 / 1$ ratio of $\mathrm{NR} / S-\mathrm{BF}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=370 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$.


Fig. S5. a) POM images of $S$-T-N*-LCs before 365 nm UV irradiation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. b)
POM images of $S$-T-N*-LCs after 365 nm UV irradiation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. c) The GrandjeanCano lines of $S$-T-N*-LCs before 365 nm UV irradiation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. d) The Grandjean-

Cano lines of $S$-T-N*-LCs after 365 nm UV irradiation at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $2\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.


Fig. S7. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $\mathbf{2}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.


Fig. S8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $S$-BF $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.


Fig. S9. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $S$ - $\mathrm{BF}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$.
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