Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## Supporting Information

## Influences of Oxygen Source and Substrate temperature on the Unusual Growth Mechanism of Atomic Layer Deposited Magnesium Oxide Using Bis(cyclopentadienyl)Magnesium Precursor

Bo Wen Wang<sup>a,b</sup>, Jinwoo Choi<sup>a,b</sup>, Hyoung Gyun Kim<sup>a</sup>, Seung Dam Hyun<sup>a,b</sup>, Chanyoung Yoo<sup>a,b</sup>, Seungsoo Kim<sup>a,b</sup>, Hoin Lee<sup>a,b</sup> and Cheol Seong Hwang<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea.

<sup>b</sup> Inter-University Semiconductor Research Center, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea.

\* Author for correspondence. E-mail: cheolsh@snu.ac.kr

Oxygen source	cycle	T <sub>s</sub> (°C)	XRR (SiO <sub>2</sub> layer)			XRR (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> layer)		
			Thickness (nm)	Density (g/cm³)	Roughness (nm)	Thickness (nm)	Density (g/cm³)	Roughness (nm)
$H_2O$ (t <sub>4</sub> = 0 s)	78	250	1.4	2.506	0.551	-	-	-
H <sub>2</sub> O (t <sub>4</sub> = 12 s)	78	250	2.2	2.818	0.606	-	-	-
H <sub>2</sub> O (t <sub>4</sub> = 60 s)	78	250	2.5	2.611	0.551	-	-	-
O <sub>3</sub>	140	230	0.2	2.000	0.441	10.6	3.417	0.430
O <sub>3</sub>	49	290	0.1	1.960	0.796	10.3	3.569	0.623
O <sub>3</sub>	58	335	0.5	1.749	0.739	10.9	3.349	0.913
O <sub>3</sub>	72	390	1.5	2.369	0.739	10.9	3.467	0.974

## Table S1. Results of XRR curve fitting for $SiO_2$ bottom layer and $AI_2O_3$ top layer

Bulk density:  $SiO_2 = 2.650 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ;  $Al_2O_3 = 3.950 \text{ g/cm}^3$ .



Fig. S1 Depth profiles measured by ToF-SIMS with substrate temperature dependence (a, d, g, j) x = 2. (b, e, h, k) x = 3 and (c, f, i, l) x = 4, where the x represents the carbon number in the ionized fragments. Blue dot lines represented Si<sup>-</sup> fragment signals.



Fig. S2 (a) Secondary electron image (SEI) and elemental mapping by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) in scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM). Elemental mapping of (b) carbon and (c) magnesium by Energy-Filtered TEM. (a) images were collected by FETEM (JEM-F200), while (b) and (c) images were collected by Tecnai (F20).



Fig. S3 (a) just-focus and (b) – (i) defocus TEM bright-field images with 360,000 magnification collected by EFTEM (Tecnai F20). The deposited MgO film thickness is around 25 nm, grown by  $O_3$  ALD process at 290 °C.



Fig. S4 (a) just-focus and (b) – (i) defocus TEM bright-field images with 360,000 magnification collected by EFTEM (Tecnai F20). The deposited MgO film thickness is around 25 nm, grown by  $O_3$  ALD process at 390 °C.



Fig. S5 (a – b) Fourier masking of FFT images in Figs. 11 (e) and (f) at MgO/TiN interfaces. MgO films were grown at  $T_s$  of (a) 290 °C and (b) 390 °C. (c) FFT and inverse FFT analysis for the TiN grain (in green square) without inducing epitaxial growth, at 290 °C. Non-preferable orientation (111) was identified. (d) FFT and inverse FFT analysis for the whole HRTEM image. Extensive (200) grain growth was confirmed. Due to the relatively low quality of HRTEM image of 390 °C sample, direct FFT and IFFT analysis for the TiN grain (with green line indicated grain boundaries) without inducing epitaxial growth was difficult. Therefore, Fourier masking was performed for the dominant orientation.



Fig. S6 GAXRD ( $\omega = 0.5^{\circ}$ ) of ~10 nm MgO thin films by O<sub>3</sub> ALD process as a function of T<sub>s</sub>, deposited on TiN substrate. Deconvoluted peaks of (a) (111) and (b) (200), by Gaussian function fitting. The adopted sequence time is 6 - 30 - 6 - 30 s and the O<sub>3</sub> ALD cycle number is 140, 49, 58, and 72, respectively.



Fig. S7 FESEM plane-view of MgO deposited by  $O_3$  ALD process with substrate temperature dependence (a) – (d) on TiN substrate. (e) – (h) on bare-Si substrate.