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## Enhanced blue TADF in D-A-D type naphthyridine derivative with asymmetric carbazole-donor motif
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## Synthesis and identification

All reagents and starting materials were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. Reaction solvents, dimethylformamide was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, THF was distilled from sodium/ benzophenone. All moisture-sensitive reactions were performed in oven-dried ( $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) glassware under an atmosphere of dry argon. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel plates with QF-254 indicator. Visualization was accomplished with UV ( 254 nm ). Column chromatography was performed using Merck silica 60 (40-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ particle size). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a NMR spectrometer at 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 101 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are referenced to residual solvent ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 7.29$ and 77.16 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, respectively). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm, and multiplicities are indicated by s (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $m$ (multiplet), and combinations thereof. HRMS was recorded on Bruker Daltonics microTOF-II spectrometer equipped with ESI ion source in positive mode or Brucker Autoflex speed MALDI TOF spectrometer.

3,3',6,6'-tetra-tert-butyl-9H-1,9'-bicarbazole 2 [1] and 2,7-dichloro-1,8-naphthyridine $\mathbf{3}$ [2] were synthesized according to literature.

Abbreviations: AcOH, acetic acid; n-BuLi, n-butyllithium; DCM, dichloromethane; DMF, dimethylformamide; dppp, 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane; EtOAc, ethyl acetate; PE, petrol ether ( $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ fraction); PhCl , chlorobenzene; THF, tetrahydrofuran.


Compound 4. To a solution of 3,3',6,6'-tetra-tert-butyl-9H-1,9'-bicarbazole 2 ( $315 \mathrm{mg}, 0.566$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv.) in dry THF ( 10 ml ) $n$-BuLi ( $0.226 \mathrm{ml}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}, 2.1$ equiv.) was added dropwise at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was left to stir for 2 h at r.t. before adding 2,7 -dichloro-1,8naphthyridine 3 ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.269 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in one portion. The vial was screw-capped and heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 96 h , the mixture was cooled to r.t., diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using gradient eluent system DCM/PE (1:6 to 2:1) afforded 165 mg ( $86 \%$ ) of 4 as a light-yellow solid.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.6$ (1/10 EtOAc:PE).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=8.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=28.4,1.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72$ - $7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.50 (dd, J=8.8, 2.0, 1H), 7.33 (d, J=8.3, 2H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.12 (d, J=8.7, 2H), $6.77-6.66$ (m, 1H), 6.50 (d, J=8.4, 1H), 1.53 (s, 9H), 1.49 ( $s, 9 H$ ), 1.35 ( $s, 18 H$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.50,153.31,153.15,145.36,144.94,142.66,139.23,138.03$, 138.00, 135.22, 132.98, 129.49, 128.05, 124.98, 124.50, 123.97, 123.59, 123.23, 122.85, 122.33, $121.47,119.26,118.66,116.18,115.86,115.03,111.93,109.56,109.51,34.98,34.84,34.56$, $31.98,31.96,31.94,31.92,29.72,29.00,22.99,14.15$.

MS (MALDI-TOF) calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{ClN}_{4}$ (M): 718.38; Found: 718.39.


Compound DCz-ND-Cz. To a solution of 3,5-di-tert-butyl-carbazole (19 mg, $0.068 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv.) in dry THF ( 2.5 ml ) NaH ( $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, 2.0 equiv.) was added at once at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , before adding 4 ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.049 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in one portion. The vial was screw-capped and heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 86 h , the mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using eluent EtOAc/PE (1:50) afforded 47 mg (88\%) of DCz-ND-Cz as a light-yellow glass.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=8.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ (d, $J=8.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.7,2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ (ddd, J=8.8, 4.2, 2.0, 3H), 7.24 $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.96,153.82,153.13,145.03,144.73,142.57,139.59,138.45$, $137.74,137.55,135.42,133.19,129.50,128.00,125.12,125.09,124.66,124.13,123.91,123.55$, 122.99, 121.30, 118.11, 117.74, 116.45, 116.24, 115.88, 115.74, 115.24, 112.56, 112.13, 109.48, $34.98,34.85,34.80,34.54,32.10,31.99,31.96,31.94$.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.34$ ( $1 / 50 \mathrm{EtOAc}: P E$ ).
HRMS (ESI) calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{~N}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ : 962.6095; Found: 962.6099.


Compound DCz-ND. A mixture of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\left(7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.0027 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05$ equiv), $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.55$ equiv) and $4(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in dry DMF ( 2.0 ml ) was stirred for few minutes at $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Isopropanol ( $8.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added and the mixture was remained at the above temperature for 32 h . The mixture was diluted with 1.0 M HCl and extracted with EtOAc. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using eluent EtOAc/PE (1:4) afforded 14 mg (36\%) of DCz-ND as a light-yellow glass.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.29$ (1/4 EtOAc:PE).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,1.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7,1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.66 (d, J=8.0, 1H), 7.60 (d, J=1.7, 1H), 7.53 (s, 2H), 7.41 (dd, J=8.7, 1.9, 1H), 7.22 (d, J=8.6, 2H), $7.17-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 152.64,152.35,145.15,144.74,142.44,139.40,138.21,135.99$, $135.53,133.16,127.95,124.88,124.50,123.97,123.49,122.80,121.54,120.84,120.15,119.16$, $116.09,115.86,115.04,111.82,109.54,34.96,34.82,34.52,31.98,31.95,31.92,29.70$.

HRMS (ESI) calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ : 658.4265; Found: 658.4263.


Compound DCz-ND-DCz. To a solution of 2 ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.084 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv) in dry THF (), NaH ( 5.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, 3.0 equiv) was added at once. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , then 4 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.042 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was added. The mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h , cooled to room temperature, diluted with 1.0 M HCl and extracted with EtOAc. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel using gradient eluent system DCM/PE (1:6 to 2:1) afforded 21 mg (40\%) of DCz-ND-DCz as a light-yellow glass.
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.55$ ( $1 / 10 \mathrm{EtOAc}: P E$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=25.8,1.9,4 \mathrm{H}), 7.82$ (dd, J=8.6, 5.6, 4 H ), 7.61 (d, J=1.8, 5H), 7.47 (dd, J=8.7, 2.0, 3H), 7.24-7.17 (m, 4H), 7.08 (d, J=8.7, 4H), 6.83 (d, $J=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 36 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.54,152.57$, 145.09, 144.70, 142.48, 139.59, 138.29, 136.38, $135.56,133.23,127.87,124.92,124.52,123.92,123.39,121.49,120.73,120.31,119.43,116.11$, 115.86, 115.28, 111.64, 109.45, 34.97, 34.84, 34.45, 31.97, 31.93, 31.86, 29.70, 29.36, 22.69, 14.12, 1.03.

HRMS (ESI) calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{88} \mathrm{H}_{99} \mathrm{~N}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}):$ 1239.7926; Found: 1239.7926.

## Copies of NMR spectra



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.





Figure S2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of DCz-ND-Cz (CDCl $\left.3,400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of DCz-ND-Cz $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{D C z}-\mathrm{ND}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure S6. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of DCz-ND ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of DCz-ND-DCz $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Figure S8. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of DCz-ND-DCz ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

## X-Ray Crystallography

Single crystals of investigated naphthyridines were grown by slow evaporation of solvent/antisolvent mixtures. Suitable crystals were mounted on a microloop (MiTeGen) and analyzed on a XtaLab Synergy diffractometer equipped with HyPix-6000HE hybrid photon counting detector and PhotonJet microfocus X-ray source (CuK $\alpha, \lambda=1.54184$ ). Diffraction experiments were carried out at 100.0 K using Oxford Cryostream 800 cooling system. Data were collected and processed with CrysAlisPro software. The structure was solved by Intrinsic Phasing with the ShelXT [3] program and refined with the ShelXL [4] package using Least Squares minimization as implemented in Olex2 graphical interface [5]. Due to the co-crystallization of highly disordered solvent molecules in DCz-ND and DCz-ND-DCz crystals, solvent masking was applied during the structure refinement. The structure files DCz-ND, DCz-ND-Cz and DCz-ND-DCz crystals were deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and can be accessed free of charge (CCDC deposition numbers: 2113723, 2113744 and 2113780, respectively).

Table S1. Crystallographic data of naphthyridine derivatives.

| Compound | DCz-ND | DCz-ND-Cz | DCz-ND-DCz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCDC deposition number | 2113723 | 2113744 | 2113780 |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{~N}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{88} \mathrm{H}_{98} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ |
| Formula weight | 684.93 | 962.33 | 1239.72 |
| Temperature/K | 100.00(10) | 100.00(10) | 100.00(10) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | triclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | P2 ${ }_{1}$ | P-1 | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a/Å | 12.72429(16) | 12.69725(17) | 24.3736(3) |
| b/Å | 24.1867(2) | 17.0233(3) | 22.3138(3) |
| c/Å | 14.22098(19) | 28.0493(4) | 31.3310(5) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 76.7216(12) | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 108.7464(14) | 81.3818(12) | 97.1858(11) |
| $\mathrm{y} /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 78.1997(12) | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 4144.45(9) | 5742.26(15) | 16906.1(4) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $1.098+$ solvent | 1.113 | 0.974 + solvent |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.171 \times 0.083 \times 0.03$ | $0.231 \times 0.057 \times 0.043$ | $0.18 \times 0.07 \times 0.033$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection | 6.564 to $153.65^{\circ}$ | 5.42 to $155.04^{\circ}$ | 4.874 to $155.388^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -14 \leq h \leq 15,-29 \leq k \leq \\ & 30,-17 \leq 1 \leq 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -15 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 16,-21 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \\ & 21,-33 \leq \mathrm{l} \leq 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -28 \leq h \leq 30,-27 \leq k \leq \\ & 27,-39 \leq 1 \leq 39 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected | 56243 | 87555 | 110834 |
| Independent reflections | 16763[R(int) $=0.0351$ ] | 23628[R(int) $=0.0316$ ] | 34596[R(int) $=0.0485$ ] |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 16763/1/962 | 23628/0/1382 | 34596/6/1914 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.030 | 1.037 | 1.027 |
| Final R indexes [l>=2 ${ }^{\text {( }} \mathrm{I}$ ] | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0511 \\ & w R_{2}=0.1316 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0512, \\ & w \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.1309 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0630, \\ & \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1535 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0530 \\ & w \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.1330 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0603 \\ & w R_{2}=0.1368 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}=0.0906 \\ & w R_{2}=0.1670 \end{aligned}$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.56/-0.26 | 0.69/-0.31 | 0.72/-0.34 |
| Solvents used | DCM/Methanol | IPA/DMSO | IPA/DMF |

## Solvatochromism studies

Solvent dependent spectral shifts were analyzed in terms of the Lippert equation,
$\Delta v=v_{a b s}-v_{f l}=\frac{2\left(\mu_{e}-\mu_{g}\right)^{2}}{h c a^{3}} * \Delta f+$ const.

Here $v_{a b s} v_{f l}$ is the Stokes' shift in wavenumbers determined from absorption and fluorescence spectra; $\mu_{e}$ and ${ }^{\mu_{g}}$ are dipole moments of the ground and excited states; $h$ is a Planck constant; $c$ - velocity of light; $a$ - cavity radius in which a fluorophore resides.

Solvent orientation polarizability $(\Delta f)$ is described by refractive index $(n)$ and static dielectric constant $(\varepsilon)$.
$\Delta f=\frac{\varepsilon-1}{2 \varepsilon+1}-\frac{n^{2}-1}{2 n^{2}+1}$.

Absorption and fluorescence spectra of naphthyridines in different solvents (Fig. S9) were used to obtain Lippert-Mataga plots (Fig. S10). The increase of dipole moments ( $\mu_{\mathrm{e}}-\mu_{\mathrm{g}}$ ) in the excited state compared to the ground state for DCz-ND, DCz-ND-Cz and DCz-ND-DCz was estimated to be 40.6 D, 53.0 D and 58.1 D, respectively. However, different dynamics of the Stokes shifts with increasing solvent orientation polarizability $(\Delta f)$ can be determined by different excited state relaxation mechanisms in different compounds as well as by other effects. E.g., unlike the other two compounds, DCz-ND-Cz demonstrates a blue shift of absorption spectrum with increasing $\Delta f$ likely associated with ground state geometry changes. DCz-ND-DCz, which has the most heavily shielded naphthyridine acceptor (by the bulky donor groups) exhibits weaker solvation of the excited state. Thus, in fact, the data obtained from Lippert-Mataga plots are not well suited to directly compare the compounds and disclose the effect of asymmetric donor motif. Nonetheless, these studies revealed that DCz-ND-Cz displays well-structured FL spectrum in nonpolar cyclohexane solvent, which gradually transforms to broad and structureless ICT-like FL spectrum with increasing solvent polarizability. We believe that the latter results provide a support for the existence of close lying CT and LE states ensuring high RISC rate in asymmetric DCz-ND-Cz compound.


Figure S9. Absorption and fluorescence spectra of the studied naphthyridine derivatives in different solvents. Absorption spectra of the compounds in DPEPO host are shown for reference.


Figure S10. Lippert-Mataga plots of the studied naphthyridine derivatives in different solvents showing the variation of Stokes shift as a function of solvent orientation polarizability.
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