Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry C. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

# Asymmetric Sky-Blue Thermally Activated Delayed Fluorescence Emitters Bearing Tris(triazolo)triazine Moiety for Solution Processable Organic Light-Emitting Diodes

Zhou Fang,<sup>a</sup> Shengyue Wang,<sup>a</sup> Junxu Liao,<sup>b</sup> Xinrui Chen,<sup>a</sup> Yuanyuan Zhu,<sup>a</sup> Weiguo Zhu<sup>a</sup>\*, Yafei Wang<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Photovoltaic Science and Engineering, Jiangsu Engineering Laboratory of Light-Electricity-Heat Energy-Converting Materials and Applications, School of Materials Science & Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, China. Email: W. Zhu: <u>zhuwg18@126.com</u>; Y. Wang: <u>qiji830404@hotmail.com</u> <sup>b</sup>School of Chemical Engineering and Energy Technology, Dongguan University of Technology, Dongguan, 523808, China

### **Contents**

#### **Experimental part**

Figure S1. Chemical structures and performances of the reported TTT-based TADF

emitters.

NMR & MS spectra (Figure S2-S11).

Figure S12. TGA curves of the compounds at  $N_2$  atmosphere with a heating rate of 20°C min<sup>-1</sup>.

Figure S13. PL spectra of TTT-Ac and TTT-2Ac in different solvent at room temperature.

Table S1. Calculated Photophysical data of the TADF emitters.

Figure S14. CV curves of compounds in CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution.

**Figure S15**. The energy level diagrams and chemical structures of the materials in the devices.

Figure S16. CIE coordinates of the devices: (a): TTT-Ac (b) TTT-2Ac.

Figure S17. The EL performance of solution-processed devices.

Table S2. EL data for the devices based on TTT-Ac with different EML thickness.

Figure S18. Comparison of electroluminescence performances between reported

TADF emitters based on the tris(triazolo)triazine moiety and the new ones.

#### **Experimental part**

All synthetic materials are commercial from Energy Chemical Company Ltd. and used in the reaction directly. All reactions were carried out under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

In order to determine the structure of the compound, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were acquired using a Bruker Dex-300/400 NMR instrument using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as a solvent. The NMR chemical shifts are reported in ppm with reference to residual protons and carbons of CDCl<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  7.26 ppm in <sup>1</sup>H NMR,  $\delta$  77.0 ppm in <sup>13</sup>C NMR). Mass spectra (MS) were recorded on a Bruker Autoflex MALDI-TOF instrument using dithranol as a matrix.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was detected with a NETZSCH STA449 from 25°C to 600°C at a 20°C/min heating rate under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. To reveal the photophysical properties of emitters, UV-vis absorption spectra were measured by a SHIMADZU UV-1650PC. Steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectra were obtained with a PTI QuantaMaster 40 steady-state fluorescence spectrofluorometer at room temperature. The luminescence lifetime, low temperature (77 K) fluorescence and phosphorescence spectra of the compounds in solution/film were measured with an Edinburgh FLS1000 transient-fluorescence spectrophotometer. Electrochemical property was evaluated by cyclic voltammetry with three typical electrodes in degassed CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution with a rate of 100 mV/s in using a 273A (Princeton Applied Research). The CV system employed Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> as electrolyte. Platinum disk was used as the working electrode, platinum wire was regarded as the counter electrode and silver wire was used as the reference electrode. Ferrocenium/ferrocene

(Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>) was used as the external standard compound. Each oxidation potential was calibrated using ferrocene as a reference.



**Figure S1**. Chemical structures and performances of the reported TTT-based TADF emitters.



Figure S2. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of TTT-Br in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.











-1.60







Figure S6. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of TTT-Ac in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S7. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of TTT-2Ac in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S8. TOF-MS spectrum of TTT-Ac.



Figure S9. TOF-MS spectrum of TTT-2Ac.

## MALDI, TTT-AC, 20211213



Meas. m/z # Ion Formula Score m/z err [ppm] Mean err [ppm] mSigma rdb e Conf N-Rule 637.256144 1 C39H29N10 100.00 637.257117 1.5 1.2 15.3 30.5 even ok

Figure S10. HRMS spectrum of TTT-Ac in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

### MALDI, TTT-ZAC, 20211213



Meas. m/z # Ion Formula Score m/z err [ppm] Mean err [ppm] mSigma rdb e Conf N-Rule 844.360839 1 C54H42N11 100.00 844.361917 1.3 0.9 17.4 39.5 even ok

Figure S11. HRMS spectrum of TTT-2Ac in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S12. TGA curves of the compounds at  $N_2$  atmosphere with a heating rate of 20°C min<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S13. PL spectra of TTT-Ac (a) and TTT-2Ac (b) in different solvents at room temperature.

Compound	$ au_{ m p}/arPsi_{ m p}$ (ns/%)	τ <sub>d</sub> /Φ <sub>d</sub> (μs/%)	k <sub>p</sub> (10 <sup>7</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>d</sub> (10 <sup>4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>ISC</sub> (10 <sup>7</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>rISC</sub> (10 <sup>4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>r</sub> (10 <sup>7</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	k <sub>nr</sub> (10 <sup>4</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
TTT-Ac	10.9/21	27.2/42	9.17	3.68	7.24	9.32	1.93	1.72
TTT-2Ac	11.9/10	20/37	8.4	5	7.56	20.55	0.84	2.95
$k_{\rm p} = 1/\tau_{\rm p}$		(1)						
$k_{\rm d} = 1/\tau_{\rm d}$		(2)						
$k_{\rm ISC} = (1 - \Phi_{\rm p})k_{\rm p}$		(3)						
$k_{\rm rISC} = k_{\rm p} k_{\rm d} \Phi_{\rm d} / k_{\rm ISC} \Phi_{\rm p}$		(4)						
$k_{\rm r} = \Phi_{\rm p}/\tau_{\rm p}$		(5)						
$k_{\rm nr} = k_{\rm d} - \Phi_{\rm p} k_{\rm rISC}$		(6	5)					

Table S1. Calculated Photophysical data of the TADF emitters.

Herein,  $\tau_p$  and  $\tau_d$  are the lifetime of prompt and delayed components.  $\Phi_p$  and  $\Phi_d$  are the prompt and delayed luminescence quantum efficiency, respectively. The  $k_p$  and  $k_d$  are the rate constant of prompt and delayed fluorescence.  $k_{ISC}$  is the rate constant of intersystem crossing, while  $k_{rISC}$  is the rate constant of reverse intersystem crossing between the  $S_1$  and  $T_1$ .  $k_r$  and  $k_{nr}$  are the radiative and nonradiative decay rate constant from  $S_1$  to  $S_0$ , respectively.



Figure S14. CV curves of compounds in CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution.



Figure S15. The energy level diagrams and chemical structures of the materials in the devices.



Figure S16. CIE coordinates of the devices: (a): TTT-Ac; (b) TTT-2Ac.



Figure S17. Current density-voltage-luminance (J-V-L) curves (c) of TTT-Ac with different EML

thickness of 20 wt% dopants.

Table S2. EL data for the devices with different EML thickness.

Material	EML Thickness (nm)	Dopant wt%	V <sub>ON</sub> V	L <sub>max</sub> cd m <sup>-2</sup>	CE <sub>max</sub> cd A <sup>-1</sup>	EQE <sub>max</sub> %	CIE (x, y)	Peak nm
TTT-Ac	30	20%	3.6	1078	16.21	9.26	(0.16 , 0.21)	470
	25	20%	3.6	996.4	17.37	10.01	(0.16 , 0.22)	474
	20	20%	3.6	935.3	14.52	8.48	(0.16 , 0.23)	470



Figure S18. EQE-wavelength curves of the TTT-based TADF emitter.