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## 1 Experimental Section

### 1.1 Materials and instruments

All chemicals and reagents were used as received from commercial sources without further purification. tetrahydrofuran and toluene used in synthetic routes were purified by PURE SOLV (Innovative Technology) purification system. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 400 spectrometer or Bruker 600 spectrometer at room temperature. Mass spectroscopy was performed using a Thermo Fisher ISQ Single Quadrupole GC-MS with direct probe system. Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) was performed on Bruker Autoflex II/Compass 1.0. Elemental analysis was measured using Vario Micro cube. Ultra-violet-visible absorption spectra were measured by a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrophotometer. Fluorescent and phosphorescent spectra were measured by a Hitachi F-4600 spectrophotometer. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed by a METTLER TOLEDO TGA1 under nitrogen atmosphere. The temperature was increased to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a heating rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were performed by a METTLER TOLEDO DSC1 under nitrogen atmosphere. The temperature was increased and decreased with a heating or cooling rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Molecular geometries were extracted in single crystals and performed by Gaussian 09 W program package with density functional theory (DFT) with Beck's three-parameter hybrid exchange functional ${ }^{[1,2]}$ and Lee, and Yang and Parr correlation functional ${ }^{[3]}$ (B3LYP) with 6-31G(d) basic set. Non-covalent
interactions (NCI) of intramolecular interactions analyses were carried out by Multiwfn ${ }^{[4]}$ with reduced density gradient (RDG). ${ }^{[5]}$ The NCI results were plotted via VMD software (version 1.9.3). ${ }^{[6]}$ Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed on a CHI 600D electrochemical work station with a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{S}^{-1}$ at room temperature under an argon flow, in which a Pt disk, a Pt plate and a $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrode were used as working electrode, counter electrode and reference electrode in tetra-nbutylammonium hexa-fluorophosphates $\left(n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}\right)$ dichloromethane/ $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ dimethylformamide solution, respectively. For calibration, the redox potential of ferrocene/ferrocenium $\left(\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}\right)$was measured under the same conditions. The photoluminescence quantum efficiency (PLQY) was measured using Hamamatsu C9920-02G in nitrogen or air atmosphere. Transient spectra were obtained by using Quantaurus-Tau fluorescence lifetime measurement system (C11367-03, Hamamatsu Photonics Co.) in air or nitrogen atmosphere. The separation of isomers with chiral configurations was performed by chiral high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) were separated by IG column which was employed as stationary phase and hexane/dichloromethane/isopropanol (70/20/10) as eluent. The circular dichroism (CD) spectra were measured on a Jasco-1500 circular dichroism spectrometer. The circularly polarized photoluminescence (CPPL) spectra were measured on a Jasco CPL-300 spectrophotometer with "Standard" sensitivity at $200 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$ scan speed and respond time of 2.0 s employing "slit" mode. The circularly polarized electroluminescence (CPEL) spectra were measured on a JASCO CPPL-300 spectrophotometer with 'Standard' sensitivity at $200 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$ scan speed and respond time of 2.0 s employing
"band" mode.

### 1.2 Single crystal information

A suitable crystal was selected and it on a 'Bruker APEX-II CCD' diffractometer. The crystal was kept at 100.0 or 173.0 K during data collection. Using Olex2, the structure was solved with the ShelXT structure solution program using Intrinsic Phasing and refined with the ShelXL refinement package using Least Squares minimization. The crystals of $\mathbf{Q P O}$ and $\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}$ were grown by slow evaporation in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2}$ and methanol. The X-ray crystallographic coordinates for structure reported in this study have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), under deposition number QPO (2051469) and QPO-PhCz (2051470). These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre "http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif".

### 1.3 Device fabrication process

OLEDs were fabricated on ITO glass substrates layer ( $110 \mathrm{~nm}, 15 \Omega /$ square $)$ under a base pressure of $3 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr. The active area of each device is $0.09 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Deposition rates and thicknesses of all materials were monitored with oscillating quartz crystals. Doping layers were deposited by utilizing two different sensors to monitor the deposition rates of both host material and dopant material. The deposition rate of host was controlled at $0.2 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and the deposition rate of the dopant was adjusted according to the volume ratio doped in the host materials. The electroluminescence
(EL) and current density-voltage $(J-V)$ characteristics of the devices were measured by a constant current source (Keithley 2400 SourceMeter) combined with a photometer (Photo Research SpectraScan PR655).

### 1.4 Syntheses of materials

### 1.4.1 Synthesis of compound 2



1

10H-phenothiazine, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF
$150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12$ hours



A mixture of 1 ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 24.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 10 H -phenothiazine ( $5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 27.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 74.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $100 \mathrm{~mL} N$, $N$-Dimethylformamide (DMF) was stirred for 12 hours at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooled to room temperature, the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane solution $(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer with dichloromethane (DCM) solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. We used rotary evaporation to remove off the solvent and used silica gel column to pass the residue, which using petroleum ether (PE)/ DCM (v/v, 4:1) as an eluent to obtain 2 as white solid ( $6.8 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.93$ (dd, $J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 143.7,142.8,135.3,134.8,133.5,129.1,127.1,126.9,123.2,120.9,116.2,115.9$, 115.3, 53.4. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (\%): C, 75.97; H, 4.03; N, 9.33; S, 10.67; found:

C, 75.84; H, 3.92; N, 9.25; S, 10.62.

### 1.4.2 Synthesis of compound 3



A mixture of $2(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \mathrm{wt} \%, 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $50 \mathrm{mLCH} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ was stirred for 4 hours at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an air atmosphere. After cooled to room temperature, the reaction system was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 45 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. We used rotary evaporation to remove off the solvent and used silica gel column to pass the residue, which using $\mathrm{PE} /$ $\operatorname{DCM}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 3: 1)$ as an eluent to obtain $\mathbf{3}$ as white solid ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.7$, 7.3, 1.6 Hz, 2H), $7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 141.3,139.7,136.1,135.2,133.2,132.2,130.6,123.9,123.5,123.0,116.3$, 115.8, 114.9. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (\%): C, 68.66; H, 3.64; N, 8.43; S, 9.65; found: C, 68.63; H, 3.70; N, 8.41; S, 9.76.

### 1.4.3 Synthesis of compound QPO



A mixture of $\mathbf{3}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trifluoromethanesulfonic acid $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ in 50 mL single neck round bottom flask was stirred for 12 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an air atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into 100 mL ice water and filtered to get crude product. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using PE/ DCM (3/2, v/v) as eluent to afford QPO as yellow powder ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45$ (dd, $J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31$ (dd, $J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-$ 7.53 (m, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 177.4, 140.2, 139.6, 138.6, 134.5, 133.9, 131.9, 129.2, 128.6, 127.3, 127.1, 126.7, 126.2, 126.1, 125.0, 124.5, 124.2, 123.2, 121.8. MALDI-MS (m/z) of C19H11NO3S for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calcd. 333.05; found, 334.01. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (\%): C, 68.46; H, 3.33; N, 4.20; S, 9.62; found: C, 68.45; H, 3.33; N, 4.31; S, 9.83 .

### 1.4.4 Synthesis of compound 5



A mixture of $4(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25.13 \mathrm{mmol}), 10 H$-phenothiazine $(5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 27.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ and aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(17.3 \mathrm{~g}, 125.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 100 mL DMF was stirred for 12 hours at 150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooled to room temperature, the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane solution $(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer with DCM solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. We used rotary evaporation to remove off the solvent and used silica gel column to pass the residue, which using PE/ DCM (v/v, 1:1) as an eluent to obtain $\mathbf{5}$ as white solid ( $8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $8.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.05-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.98-5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.3,140.2,139.3,134.1,130.4,128.5,127.0,126.9,123.4,120.2,118.7$, 115.3, 114.8. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (\%): C, 60.17; H, 2.92; N, 7.39; S, 8.45; found: C, $60.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.96 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.46 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.81$.

### 1.4.5 Synthesis of compound 6



A mixture of $5(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 13.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \mathrm{wt} \%, 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $100 \mathrm{mLCH} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ was stirred for 4 hours at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an air atmosphere. After cooled to room temperature, the reaction system was extracted with dichloromethane ( $4 \times 45 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the combined organic solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. We used rotary evaporation to remove off the solvent and used silica gel column to pass the residue, which using PE/ $\mathrm{DCM}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 1: 1)$ as an eluent to obtain 6 as white solid ( $4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-8.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48$ (ddd, $J=8.7,7.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-$ $6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 139.8,139.7,137.9,134.1,133.4,131.7$, 127.4, 124.3, 123.8, 123.3, 117.8, 115.4, 114.2. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (\%): C, 55.49; H, 2.70; N, 6.81; S, 7.80; found: C, 55.60; H, 2.74; N, 6.83; S, 7.86.

### 1.4.6 Synthesis of compound QPO-Br



A mixture of $\mathbf{6}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trifluoromethanesulfonic acid $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ in 100 mL single neck round bottom flask was stirred for 12 hours at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an air atmosphere. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into 150 mL ice water and filtered to get crude product. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using PE/ DCM (1/1, v/v) as eluent to afford QPO-Br as yellow powder ( $0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.47$ (ddd, $J=11.2,7.7$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.36$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19$ (dd, $J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12$ (dd, $J$ $=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{td}, J=8.5,8.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-$ $7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 177.6,140.9$, $140.6,140.3,138.9,133.4,131.7,130.2,129.1,128.5,128.2,128.0,127.0,126.6$, 125.6, 124.9, 123.9, 122.9, 116.3. MALDI-MS (m/z) of C19H10BrNO3S for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: calcd. 410.96; found, 413.13. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (\%): C, $55.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.45 ; \mathrm{N}$, 3.40; S, 7.78; found: C, $55.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 2.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.42 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.72$.

### 1.4.7 Synthesis of compound $\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}$



QPO-Br


QPO-PhCz

A mixture of QPO-Br ( $0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-(9H-carbazol-9-yl) phenylboronic acid $(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol})$, aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.0 \mathrm{M}, 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 100 mL tetrahydrofuran (THF) was stirred for 24 hours at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a nitrogen
atmosphere. After cooled to room temperature, the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane solution $(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layer with dichloromethane solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. We used rotary evaporation to remove off the solvent and used silica gel column to pass the residue, which using PE/ DCM (v/v, 1:1) as an eluent to obtain QPO-PhCz as yellow solid ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.73-8.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62-8.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13$ (d, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ (d, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.77 - $7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (t, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 178.5,140.6,137.7,137.3,137.1,134.4,131.9,131.8$, $128.8,127.4,126.5,125.9,125.5,124.8,124.2,123.4,121.9,120.4,120.1,109.7$. MALDI-MS (m/z) of C37H22N2O3S for [M+H] ${ }^{+}$: calcd. 574.14; found, 574.09. Anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\%): \mathrm{C}, 77.33 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.86 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.87$; S, 5.58 ; found: C, $77.26 ; \mathrm{H}$, 3.82; N, 4.95; S, 5.61.

### 1.5 Density functional theory

The structural optimization of $\mathbf{Q P O}$ and $\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}$ were conducted with the geometries obtained from the X-ray crystallographic analyses. The ground state moments of QPO and QPO-PhCz were obtained using density functional theory (DFT) method by adopting B3LYP/6-31g(d) level of theory.
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H
$5.12398200 \quad-1.98794600 \quad 0.95061200$

C
0.90080400
3.24190200
$-0.60923600$

H
1.61751800
3.81917500
$-0.84494000$

C
3.14438600
$-2.48144700$
1.11853000

H
3.28942400
$-3.32324900$
1.53413800

Cartesian coordinates of $\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}$ in ground state:
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{S} & 1.92428300 & -2.52130300 & -0.48825500\end{array}$

O
1.36334500
-2.04715200
$-1.71936100$

O
1.87828200
$-3.92960300$
$-0.21526400$

O
$6.41540300 \quad 1.84889700 \quad 0.01080800$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & 2.65871500 & 0.25509500 & 0.12632000\end{array}$

N
$-3.63487300 \quad 0.38639300 \quad-0.34253600$

C
1.66190000 $-0.28961500 \quad 0.99744500$

C
$3.75351800 \quad-0.56777600 \quad-0.17296900$

C
$2.79056800 \quad 1.66189700-0.04911300$

C

C

C

C

C

H

C

C

C

H

C

H

C

C

H

C

H

C

C

C

C

C
$3.57143400 \quad-1.94088200 \quad-0.35319700$
$-5.33273100 \quad-1.13587900 \quad-0.22170400$
$5.06000800 \quad-0.06037700 \quad-0.23219400$
$1.24215800 \quad-1.61569500 \quad 0.83042200$
$1.13616400 \quad 0.43925300 \quad 2.05843600$
$1.42823800 \quad 1.32951200 \quad 2.21359100$
$-4.83014400 \quad 1.10365300 \quad-0.26113400$
$1.66203600 \quad 2.48671300-0.21222400$
$-0.71883200 \quad 2.48772100 \quad 0.51769300$
$\begin{array}{lll}-0.50980600 & 3.21357700 & 1.09324400\end{array}$
$-0.07719000 \quad 0.94715900 \quad-1.20489200$
$0.58245400 \quad 0.58601900 \quad-1.78580400$
$0.26835400 \quad 1.96647200 \quad-0.31567500$
$\begin{array}{lll}-2.00117300 & 1.96626400 & 0.51936000\end{array}$
$-2.65354400 \quad 2.30442400 \quad 1.12127100$
$-1.36880400 \quad 0.45800400 \quad-1.24608700$
$-1.60367200 \quad-0.21024900 \quad-1.87917700$
$-3.93140200 \quad-0.98184500 \quad-0.30616100$
$4.08093100 \quad 2.22654200 \quad-0.09631100$
$-2.32883500 \quad 0.94574100 \quad-0.36061400$
$-5.89728700 \quad 0.19375900 \quad-0.18412100$
$6.12738800 \quad-0.94142400 \quad-0.38593100$

H

C

H

C

H

C

H

C

H

C

C

H
C

H

C

H

C

H

C
H
C

H

## C

H

$7.01025700-0.59362000 \quad-0.43344400$

| -5.87865000 | -2.41375600 | -0.17370100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| -6.82059900 | -2.53454400 | -0.14669900 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 0.19029400 | -0.13071400 | 2.88567100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| H | -0.18237500 | 0.38417800 | 3.59149900 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$0.31487200 \quad-2.19440900 \quad 1.69062200$

C

H

| -7.21035700 | 0.66966300 | -0.10960100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -7.94333300 | 0.06751900 | -0.05504800 |
|  |  |  |
| 4.63997700 | -2.80483200 | -0.47206000 |

$4.48929400 \quad-3.73924600 \quad-0.55514500$
$\begin{array}{llll}1.85977300 & 3.85910500 & -0.29492800\end{array}$

| 1.10324300 | 4.42913800 | -0.36180900 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -7.41823900 | 2.03406100 | -0.11876500 |
| -8.30339400 | 2.37237700 | -0.05286300 |
| 5.94089000 | -2.30676800 | -0.47084800 |
| 6.68451000 | -2.89461600 | -0.52793900 |
|  | -3.32983100 | -0.20197800 |
| -3.64547100 | -0.17374000 |  |


| C | -5.03675200 | -3.50246800 | -0.16590400 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | -5.40171400 | -4.37876400 | -0.13574700 |
| C | -0.22467400 | -1.43756700 | 2.70698200 |
| H | -0.87988100 | -1.81299300 | 3.28335100 |
| C | -5.04375300 | 2.47871600 | -0.29550900 |
| H | -4.31932900 | 3.08871100 | -0.36606600 |
| C | -6.35179700 | 2.92218100 | -0.22039500 |
| H | -6.52656500 | 3.85604600 | -0.24093200 |
| C | 4.22741900 | 3.60925100 | -0.20743100 |
| H | 5.09852900 | 3.98829300 | -0.23145400 |
| C | 3.13246100 | 4.42511900 | -0.28149700 |
| H | 3.23891800 | 5.36855100 | -0.32472800 |

## 2 Supplemental Figures

### 2.1 Structural properties



Figure S1. The details structure of QPO.


Figure S2. The details structure of $\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}$.

### 2.2 Photophysical properties




Figure S3. Solvent effect on the ultraviolet-visible absorption spectra and photoluminescence spectra of QPO.


Figure S4. Solvent effect on the ultraviolet-visible absorption spectra and photoluminescence spectra of QPO-PhCz.

### 2.3 Theoretical calculation



Figure S5. HOMO and LUMO orbital distributions based on DFT at the B3LYP functional and $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ basis set.

### 2.4 Chiroptical properties

| 6406 YSY-MS-1 IA 552540.7 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sample Name: | YSY-MS-1 IA 55 254 0.7 | Injection Volume: | 2.0 |
| Vial Number: | RB3 | Channel: | UV_VIS_2 |
| Sample Type: | unknown | Wavelength: | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 0}$ |
| Control Program: | test-dad4 | Bandwidth: | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Quantif. Method: | 20170608 | Dilution Factor: | 1.0000 |
| Recording Time: | $2019-7-1014: 07$ | Sample Weight: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Run Time (min): | $\mathbf{1 7 . 3 0}$ | Sample Amount: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |



| No. | Ret.Time min | Peak Name | Height mAU | Area mAU*min | Rel.Area \% | Amount | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 11.96 | n.a. | 145.169 | 91.435 | 48.43 | n.a. | BM |
| 2 | 13.23 | n.a. | 143.109 | 97.360 | 51.57 | n.a. | MB |
| Total: |  |  | 288.278 | 188.795 | 100.00 | 0.000 |  |

Figure S6. HPLC profile of QPO.

6407 YSY-MS-1 IB 552540.7

| Sample Name: | YSY-MS-1 IB 55 254 0.7 | Injection Volume: | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vial Number: | RB3 | Channel: | UV_VIS_2 |
| Sample Type: | unknown | Wavelength: | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 0}$ |
| Control Program: | test-dad4 | Bandwidth: | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Quantif. Method: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 0 6 0 8}$ | Dilution Factor: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Recording Time: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 7 - 1 0 ~ 1 4 : 3 0 ~}$ | Sample Weight: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Run Time (min): | $\mathbf{2 2 . 3 5}$ | Sample Amount: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |



| No. | Ret.Time min | Peak Name | Height mAU | Area mAU*min | Rel.Area \% | Amount | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13.69 | n.a. | 129.969 | 73.607 | 39.43 | n.a. | BM |
| 2 | 14.52 | n.a. | 161.539 | 113.055 | 60.57 | n.a. | MB |
| Total: |  |  | 291.507 | 186.662 | 100.00 | 0.000 |  |

Figure S7. HPLC profile of QPO.

6390 YSY-MS-1 IC 552540.7

| Sample Name: | YSY-MS-1 IC 55 254 0.7 | Injection Volume: | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vial Number: | RB5 | Channel: | UV_VIS_2 |
| Sample Type: | unknown | Wavelength: | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 0}$ |
| Control Program: | test-dad4 | Bandwidth: | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Quantif. Method: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 0 6 0 8}$ | Dilution Factor: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Recording Time: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 7 - 9 ~ 1 5 : 3 0}$ | Sample Weight: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Run Time (min): | $\mathbf{7 6 . 0 5}$ | Sample Amount: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |



| No. | Ret.Time min | Peak Name | Height mAU | Area mAU* min | Rel.Area \% | Amount | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 31.34 | n.a. | 18.218 | 23.697 | 8.56 | n.a. | BM * |
| 2 | 38.40 | n.a. | 22.050 | 221.236 | 79.96 | n.a. | M * |
| 3 | 45.03 | n.a. | 16.987 | 31.759 | 11.48 | n.a. | MB* |
| Total: |  |  | 57.255 | 276.691 | 100.00 | 0.000 |  |

Figure S8. HPLC profile of QPO.

6392 YSY-MS-1 ID3 552540.7

| Sample Name: | YSY-MS-1 ID3 55 254 0.7 | Injection Volume: | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vial Number: | RB5 | Channel: | UV_VIS_2 |
| Sample Type: | unknown | Wavelength: | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 0}$ |
| Control Program: | test-dad4 | Bandwidth: | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Quantif. Method: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 0 6 0 8}$ | Dilution Factor: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Recording Time: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 7 - 9 ~ 1 7 : 2 7}$ | Sample Weight: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Run Time (min): | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2 0}$ | Sample Amount: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |



| No. | Ret.Time min | Peak Name | Height mAU | Area $\mathrm{mAU}{ }^{*}$ min | Rel.Area \% | Amount | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16.15 | n.a. | 84.192 | 45.533 | 16.77 | n.a. | BM * |
| 2 | 20.39 | n.a. | 29.193 | 178.611 | 65.79 | п.a. | M * |
| 3 | 24.16 | n.a. | 59.380 | 47.342 | 17.44 | n.a. | MB* |
| Total: |  |  | 172.766 | 271.486 | 100.00 | 0.000 |  |

Figure S9. HPLC profile of QPO.

6404 YSY-MS-1 IE3 552540.7

| Sample Name: | YSY-MS-1 IE3 55 254 0.7 | Injection Volume: | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vial Number: | RB3 | Channel: | UV_VIS_2 |
| Sample Type: | unknown | Wavelength: | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 0}$ |
| Control Program: | test-dad4 | Bandwidth: | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Quantif. Method: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 0 6 0 8}$ | Dilution Factor: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Recording Time: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 7 - 1 0 ~ 1 2 : 0 6 ~}$ | Sample Weight: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Run Time (min): | $\mathbf{5 2 . 1 2}$ | Sample Amount: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |



| No. | Ret.Time min | Peak Name | Height mAU | Area mAU*min | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rel.Area } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Amount | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 29.93 | n.a. | 0.010 | 45.901 | 12.07 | n.a. | BM * |
| 2 | 37.98 | n.a. | 43.273 | 265.028 | 69.71 | n.a. | M * |
| 3 | 46.04 | n.a. | 0.004 | 69.277 | 18.22 | n.a. | MB* |
| Total: |  |  | 43.287 | 380.206 | 100.00 | 0.000 |  |

Figure S10. HPLC profile of QPO.

6405 YSY-MS-1 IF3 552540.7

| Sample Name: | YSY-MS-1 IF3 55 254 0.7 | Injection Volume: | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vial Number: | RB3 | Channel: | UV_VIS_2 |
| Sample Type: | unknown | Wavelength: | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 0}$ |
| Control Program: | test-dad4 | Bandwidth: | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Quantif. Method: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 0 6 0 8}$ | Dilution Factor: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Recording Time: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 7 - 1 0 ~ 1 3 : 1 7 ~}$ | Sample Weight: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Run Time (min): | $\mathbf{3 8 . 3 6}$ | Sample Amount: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |



| No. | Ret.Time min | Peak Name | Height mAU | Area mAU*min | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rel.Area } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Amount | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 22.17 | n.a. | 30.711 | 22.934 | 12.08 | n.a. | BM * |
| 2 | 27.18 | n.a. | 19.230 | 141.342 | 74.43 | n.a. | M * |
| 3 | 31.93 | n.a. | 26.651 | 25.636 | 13.50 | n.a. | MB* |
| Total: |  |  | 76.591 | 189.911 | 100.00 | 0.000 |  |

Figure S11. HPLC profile of QPO.

6357 YSY-MS-1 IG 552540.7

| Sample Name: | YSY-MS-1 IG 55 254 0.7 | Injection Volume: | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vial Number: | RA4 | Channel: | UV_VIS_2 |
| Sample Type: | unknown | Wavelength: | $\mathbf{2 5 4 . 0}$ |
| Control Program: | test-dad6 | Bandwidth: | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| Quantif. Method: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 0 6 0 8}$ | Dilution Factor: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Recording Time: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 7 - 5 ~ 1 2 : 2 3 ~}$ | Sample Weight: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |
| Run Time (min): | $\mathbf{4 7 . 0 0}$ | Sample Amount: | $\mathbf{1 . 0 0 0 0}$ |



| No. | Ret.Time min | Peak Name | Height mAU | Area mAU*min | Rel.Area \% | Amount | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 30.90 | n.a. | 20.212 | 18.376 | 12.04 | n.a. | $B M^{*}$ |
| 2 | 33.75 | n.a. | 27.405 | 110.917 | 72.67 | n.a. | M * |
| 3 | 36.29 | n.a. | 21.004 | 23.328 | 15.29 | n.a. | MB* |
| Total: |  |  | 68.621 | 152.621 | 100.00 | 0.000 |  |

Figure S12. HPLC profile of QPO.


Figure S13. HPLC profile of QPO-PhCz.


Figure S14. HPLC profile of (M)-QPO-PhCz.


Figure S15. HPLC profile of (P)-QPO-PhCz.

### 2.5 Thermal properties



Figure S16. TGA/DSC curves of QPO and QPO-PhCz.

### 2.6 Electrochemical properties



Figure S17. CV curves of QPO and QPO-PhCz.

### 2.7 Electroluminescence properties



Figure S18. (a) J-V-L characteristics; (b) CE-J-PE characteristics; (c) EQE-J
characteristics and (d) EL spectra of QPO doped devices.


Figure S19. (a) J-V-L characteristics; (b) CE-J-PE characteristics; (c) EQE-J characteristics and (d) EL spectra of QPO doped devices.


Figure S20. (a) J-V-L characteristics; (b) CE-J-PE characteristics; (c) EQE-J characteristics and (d) EL spectra of QPO-PhCz doped devices.


Figure S21. (a) J-V-L characteristics; (b) CE-J-PE characteristics; (c) EQE-J
characteristics and (d) EL spectra of QPO-PhCz doped devices.
2.8 Circularly polarized electroluminescence properties


Figure S22. (a) Circularly polarized electroluminescence of (P)-QPO-PhCz/ (M)-
QPO-PhCz; (b) CPPL spectra of (P)-QPO-PhCz/ (M)-QPO-PhCz based on $\Delta \mathrm{I}$; (c) $g_{\text {EL }}$ curves of $(\boldsymbol{P})-\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z} /(\mathbf{M})-\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}$.

## 3 Supplementary Tables

### 3.1 Crystal data and structure refinement

Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement for QPO

| Empirical formula | C 19 H 11 NO 3 S |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 333.35 |
| Temperature/K | 100.0 |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}-1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{a} / \AA: 7.9427(4)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b} / \AA: 8.2337(5)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c} / \AA: 11.3541(7)$ |
|  | $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}: 88.5360(10)$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\beta / /^{\circ}: 83.950(2)$ |
|  | $\gamma^{\circ}: 71.4370(10)$ |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3} 399.96(7)$ |  |
| Z | 2 |
| Density/g/cm ${ }^{3}$ | 1.582 |
| Absorption coefficient $/ \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.250 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 344.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.28 \times 0.15 \times 0.12$ |
| Theta range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 5.22 to 55.106 |
|  | $-10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 8$ |
| Index ranges | $-10 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 10$ |
|  | $-14 \leq 1 \leq 14$ |
| Reflections collected | 8610 |
| Independent reflections | $3200\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0400, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0509\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | $3200 / 0 / 217$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.023 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I) $]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0446, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1038$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0615, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1166$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | $0.34 /-0.53$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| CCDC number | 2051469 |
|  |  |

Table S2 Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}$

| Empirical formula | C37H22N2O3S |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{S 3 3}$ |  |  |  |


| Formula weight | 574.62 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Temperature/K | 173.0 |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}-1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{a} / \AA: 9.2936(6)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b} / \AA: 10.7266(7)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c} / \AA \AA: 13.7992(10)$ |
|  | $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}: 92.415(2)$ |
|  | $\beta / /^{\circ}: 98.846(2)$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\gamma^{/}: 104.956(2)$ |
|  | $1308.38(15)$ |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 2 |
| Z | 1.459 |
| Density/g/cm ${ }^{3}$ | 0.169 |
| Absorption coefficient/mm ${ }^{-1}$ | 596.0 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | $0.15 \times 0.11 \times 0.08$ |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | 4.604 to 52.77 |
| Theta range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | $-11 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11$ |
|  | $-13 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12$ |
| Index ranges | $-17 \leq 1 \leq 17$ |
| Reflections collected | 14836 |
| Independent reflections | $5302\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0474, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0604\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | $5302 / 0 / 388$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.080 |
| Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2$ sigma $(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0559, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1287$ |
| R indices (all data $)$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0873, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1478$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | $0.35 /-0.49$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| CCDC number | 2051470 |
|  |  |

### 3.2 Electroluminescence characteristics

Table S3. Electroluminescence characteristics of the QPO and $\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}$ doped devices at different dopant concentrations.

| Device | Dopant ratio | $\eta_{\mathrm{CE}}{ }^{a}$ | $\eta_{\mathrm{PE}}{ }^{a}$ | EQE ${ }^{a}$ | Peak <br> aveleng | CIE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left(\mathrm{cd} \mathrm{A}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | $\left(\mathrm{lm} \mathrm{W}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | (\%) | (nm) | (x,y) |
| QPO | $5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 1.5 | 0.6 | 2.5 | 444 | $(0.16,0.07)$ |
|  | $10 \mathrm{wt} \mathrm{\%}$ | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 448 | $(0.16,0.11)$ |
|  | $15 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 2.6 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 448 | (0.16.0.15) |
|  | $18 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 448 | $(0.16,0.11)$ |
|  | $20 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 448 | $(0.17,0.19)$ |
|  | 21 wt\% | 3.3 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 448 | $(0.16,0.11)$ |
|  | 24 wt\% | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 448 | (0.16.0.13) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { QPO- } \\ & \text { PhCz } \end{aligned}$ | $5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 14.5 | 16.0 | 7.4 | 476 | $(0.18,0.28)$ |
|  | $10 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 17.0 | 12.0 | 8.8 | 476 | $(0.18,0.29)$ |
|  | 15 wt\% | 20.0 | 15.4 | 9.4 | 476 | (0.18, 0.28) |
|  | $18 \mathrm{wt} \%$ | 25.0 | 30.5 | 10.6 | 488 | (0.17, 0.34$)$ |
|  | 20 wt\% | 20.5 | 17.2 | 9.2 | 492 | (0.20.0.36) |
|  | 21 wt\% | 22.0 | 19.3 | 9.2 | 492 | (0.20.0.36) |
|  | 24 wt\% | 22.0 | 20.0 | 8.6 | 500 | $(0.21,0.39)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Efficiencies in the order of the maxima. ${ }^{b}$ Measured at $5 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$.

## 4 Copy of NMR Spectra and MALDI-TOF-MS Plot

4.1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{2}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

4.2 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{2}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

4.3 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


4.4 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{3}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}145 & 140 & 135 & 130 & 125 & 120 & 115 & 110 & 105 & 100 & 95 & 90 & 85 & 80 & 75 & 70 \\ p p 10\end{array}$
4.5 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{Q P O}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO

$4.6{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{Q P O}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO



## 4.7 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{5}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


4.8 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{5}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$



$4.9{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

$4.10{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{6}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$



### 4.11 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR plot of QPO-Br, 400 MHz , DMSO


$4.12{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR plot of QPO-Br, 101 MHz , DMSO


### 4.13 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


$4.14{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR plot of $\mathbf{Q P O}-\mathbf{P h C z}, 101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$



### 4.15 MALDI-TOF-MS plot of QPO


4.16 MALDI-TOF-MS plot of QPO-Br


### 4.17 MALDI-TOF-MS plot of QPO-PhCz
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