Supporting Information

Designing coordination polymers as multi-drug-self-delivery system for tuberculosis and cancer therapy: in vitro viability and in vivo toxicity assessment

Protap Biswas^a, Hemanta Kumar Datta^a, and Parthasarathi Dastidar^{*a}

^a School of Chemical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, 2A & 2B Raja S. C. Mullick Road, Kolkata-700032, West Bengal., India. Email: <u>ocpd@iacs.res.in</u>

Table of Contents

Page No

1.	Crystallographic table
2.	IR data of Coordination polymers (Fig. S1-S5)4-5
3.	Oak Ridge Thermal Ellipsoid Plot (ORTEP) and H bond Table of crystals (Fig. S6-S10,
	Table S1-S6)6-8
4.	Single Crystal Structure of the crystals S11-S179-11
5.	Powder X-ray Diffraction data (Fig. S16) 11
6.	Dynamic Light Scattering and Zeta Potential measurements (Fig. S17,S18)12
7.	Anti-Bacterial Zone assays (Fig. S19-S22)13-15
8.	Turbidity and Resazurin assay (Fig. S23-S26)15-17
9.	Flow cytometry data of M. tb (Fig.S27-S28)18-19
10.	Cytotoxicity measurements by MTT Assays (Fig. S29)19
11.	Infection experiment data (Fig. S30)20
12.	Cell Migration data (Fig. S31)20
13.	Invivo Experimental data (Fig. S32-S35, Table S7)24-23
14.	Bulk PXRD, TGA and temperature variant PXRD data IZDIC (Fig. S36-S38) 24-25
15.	Invivo Histopathological data (Fig. S39) 25

1. TableS1: Crystallographic table									
Identification code	IZIBU	IZFEN	IZNAP	IZDIC	IZMEF				
CCDC No	2116236	2116240	2116237	2116241	2116238				
	Water:MeOH:Et	Water:MeOH:Et	Water:MeOH:Et	Water:MeOH:EtO	Water:MeOH:Et				
Crystalizing Solvent	OH (1:1:1)	OH (1:1:1)	OH (1:1:1)	H (1:1:1)	OH (1:1:1)				
Empirical formula	$C_{32}H_{41}N_3O_5Zn$	$C_{18}H_{15}N_{1.5}O_{3.5}Zn_{0.5}$	C ₃₄ H ₃₅ N ₃ O ₈ Zn	$C_{34}H_{27}Cl_4N_5O_5Zn$	$C_{53}H_{50}N_6O_{7.5}Zn_2$				
Formula weight	613.05	341.00	679.02	792.77	1021.73				
Temperature/K	296.15	150	298	293	108.68				
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	orthorhombic	orthorhombic	monoclinic				
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 ₁ /c	C2/c	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$	Pna2 ₁	$P2_1/n$				
a/Å	5.5050(2)	34.8416(12)	5.6410(10)	9.945(16)	12.3515(9)				
b/Å	15.5099(5)	5.7437(2)	15.610(3)	9.340(15)	20.4246(15)				
c/Å	36.8473(10)	18.0193(6)	35.093(7)	36.37(6)	19.0171(14)				
α/°	90	90	90	90	90				
β/°	90.06	119.322(2)	90	90	97.138(2)				
$\gamma/^{\circ}$	90	90	90	90	90				
Volume/Å ³	3146.09(18)	3144.02(19)	3090.1(10)	3378(9)	4760.3(6)				
Z	4	8	4	4	4				
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.294	1.441	1.460	1.559	1.426				
μ/mm^{-1}	0.823	0.837	0.853	1.094	1.069				
F(000)	1296.0	1412.0	1416.0	1616.0	2120.0				
Crystal size/mm ³	0.5 imes 0.3 imes 0.1	0.36 imes 0.2 imes 0.1	$0.3 \times 0.15 \times 0.1$	$0.31 \times 0.31 \times 0.21$	0.32 imes 0.3 imes 0.2				
Radiation	MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71073$)				
20 range for data collection/°	2.848 to 52.036	4.524 to 54.706	2.856 to 50.782	4.48 to 54.568	4.536 to 57.99				
Index ranges	$-6 \le h \le 6, -19 \le k$	$-44 \le h \le 44, -7 \le$	$-6 \le h \le 6, -18 \le k$	$-12 \le h \le 12, -12 \le$	$-16 \leq h \leq 16, -27 \leq$				
	$\leq 19, -45 \leq l \leq 44$	$k \le 7, -22 \le l \le 23$	$\leq 18, -42 \leq l \leq 42$	$k \le 11, -46 \le l \le 46$	$k \le 27, -25 \le l \le 25$				
Reflections collected	38063	20524	38249	42885	55019				
Independent	5639 [$R_{int} = 0.0786$,	3359 [$R_{int} = 0.1088$,	5652 [$R_{int} = 0.0947$,	7516 [$R_{int} = 0.0547$,	12443 [$R_{int} = 0.0659$,				
reflections	$R_{sigma} = 0.0956$]	$R_{sigma} = 0.0941$	$R_{sigma} = 0.0684$]	$R_{sigma} = 0.0411$	$R_{sigma} = 0.0627$				
Data/restraints/paramet ers	5639/0/382	3359/0/243	5652/0/422	7516/1/450	12443/0/692				
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.895	1.260	1.111	1.038	1.095				
Final R indexes	$R_1 = 0.0435,$	$R_1 = 0.0998,$	$R_1 = 0.0535,$	$R_1 = 0.0531,$	$R_1 = 0.0571,$				
[I>=2σ (I)]	$wR_2 = 0.0912$	$wR_2 = 0.1787$	$wR_2 = 0.0857$	$wR_2 = 0.1285$	$wR_2 = 0.1214$				
Final R indexes [all	$R_1 = 0.0929,$	$R_1 = 0.1474,$	$R_1 = 0.0859,$	$R_1 = 0.0720,$	$R_1 = 0.0781,$				
data]	$wR_2 = 0.1019$	$wR_2 = 0.1922$	$wR_2 = 0.0952$	$wR_2 = 0.1383$	$wR_2 = 0.1291$				
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	0.28/-0.23	0.95/-0.96	0.44/-0.64	0.50/-0.43	0.95/-0.93				
Flack parameter	-	-	0.017(8)	-	-				

2. IR data of the Coordination polymers (CPs).



Figure S1: FT-IR spectra of IZIBU



Figure S2: FT-IR spectra of IZFEN





Figure S4: FT-IR spectra of IZDIC



Figure S5: FT-IR spectra of IZMEF

3. ORTEP and H bond table of the crystals structure



Figure S6: ORTEP of IZIBU.

Table S2 - Hydrogen Bonds (Angstrom, Deg) for: IZIBU									
D-H···A $d(D-H) d(H···A) \angle DHA$ (°) $d(D···A)$ Symmetry									
	(Å)	(Å)		(Å)					
N2 - H2…O3	0.91(4)	1.81(4)	166(3)	2.708(4)	[2-x, 1/2 +y,1/2 -z]				
N3 - H3A…O1	0.98(4)	2.01(4)	167(3)	2.964(4)	[1-x, 1/2 +y,1/2 -z]				
C2 - H2A…O4	0.98	2.59	177	3.564(5)	[-1+x, y, z]				
С30 - Н30…О3	0.93	2.54	150	3.377(4)	[2-x, 1/2+y, 1/2-z]				
С31 -Н31…О1	0.93	2.52	148	3.344(4)	[1+x, y, z]				



Figure S7: ORTEP of IZFEN.

Table S3 - Hydrogen Bonds (Angstrom, Deg) for: IZFEN								
D-H··· A	d(D-H) (Å)	d(H····A) (Å)	∠DHA (°)	d(D····A) (Å)	Symmetry			
N3-H3…O3	0.94(8)	2.23(7)	124(6)	2.870(14)	[1-x, 1+y, 3/2-z]			
С5-Н531	0.93	2.43	170	3.354(9)	[1-x, +y, z]			



Figure S8: ORTEP of IZNAP.

Table S4 - Hydrogen Bonds (Angstrom, Deg) for: IZNAP									
D-H····A d(D-H)		$d(H \cdot \cdot \cdot A)$	$\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}) \qquad \mathbf{\angle DHA} (^{\circ})$		Symmetry				
	(A)	(A)		(A)					
N2-H2…O5	0.86	1.903	150.57	2.686(6)	[-x+2, y+1/2, -z+3/2]				
N3-H3A…O8S	0.89	2.018	163.25	2.882(8)	[x+1, y, z]				
N3-H3B…O2	0.89	2.125	163.02	2.987(6)	[-x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2]				
С3-Н3Е…О1	0.96	2.53	3.484(7)	175	[-1+x,y,z]				
С23-Н23О5	0.93	2.4900	3.381(7)	161	[-1+x,y,z]				



Figure S9: ORTEP of IZDIC.

Table S5 - Hydrogen Bonds (Angstrom, Deg) for: IZDIC										
D-H···A $d(D-H) d(H···A) \angle DHA (^{\circ}) d(D···A)$ Symmetry										
	(Å)	(Å)		(Å)						
N1-H1A…01	0.89	2.351	132.22	3.022(10)	[x-1/2,- y+1/2, z]					
N2- H2…O5	0.56	2.002	152.9	2.796(10)	[x-1/2, -y+1/2, z]					
С32-Н32…ОЗ	0.93	2.630	122.56	3.226(12)	[x, y+1, z]					
С33-Н33 …О43	0.93	2.333	141.43	3.114(12)	[x,y+1,z]					



Figure S10: ORTEP of IZMEF.

Table S6 - Hydrogen Bonds (Angstrom, Deg) for: IZMEF									
D-H···A $d(D-H) d(H···A) \angle DHA$ (°) $d(D···A)$ Symmet									
	(Å)	(Å)		(Å)					
C13-H13…O8S	0.95	2.51	137	3.269(10)	1+x,y,z				
С18-Н18… О5	0.95	2.49	155	3.376(4)	1/2+x,3/2-y,1/2+z				
C43-H43…O1	0.95	2.43	153	3.309(6)	-1/2+x,3/2-y,-1/2+z				
С53А-Н53С…О5	0.98	2.52	155	3.428(9)	-1/2+x,3/2-y,-1/2+z				

4. Single Crystal structure and model.



Figure S11: Overall packing diagram of IZIBU in its crystal structure.



Figure S12: Overall packing diagram of IZFEN in its crystal structure.



Figure S13: Crystal structure illustration of **IZNAP**; a) the asymmetric unit, b) 1D extended polymeric chain c) coordination environment of Zn(II) metal d) overall packing diagram.



Figure S14: Overall packing diagram of IZDIC in its crystal structure.



Figure S15: Crystal structure illustration of **IZMEF** (**IZ-EtMEF**); a) the asymmetric unit, b) 1D extended polymeric chain c) [Zn(II)]₂[**MEF**]₃ cluster d) overall packing diagram.



5. Powder X-ray diffration study

Figure S16: PXRD patterns of a) IZIBU, b) IZFEN, c) IZNAP, d) IZDIC, e) IZMEF represented as mirror plot to assess the agreement of peak position.

6. Dynamic Light scattring and Zeta potential measurements

Figure S17: DLS traces of water dispersed nano CPs a) IZIBU, b) IZFEN, c) IZNAP, d) IZDIC, e) IZMEF

Figure S18: Zeta potential measurement of water dispersed nano CPs a) IZIBU, b) IZFEN, c) IZNAP, d) IZDIC, e) IZMEF.

7. Anti-bacterial zone inhibition assays:

Figure S19: Assessing anti-bacterial property of the CP by zone inhibition assay (each groove contains 1 mg CP in 20 μ L DMSO; control - 20 μ L DMSO); a-b) gram +ve bacteria, c-f) gram –ve bacteria g) zone diameter.

Figure S20: Assessing anti-bacterial property of the CP by zone inhibition assay (each groove contains 1 mg CP in 20 μ L DMSO; control - 20 μ L DMSO) against a-c) antibiotic resistant strains, d-f) zone diameter, g) antibiogram of the bacterial strains.

Figure S21: Assessing anti-bacterial property of the CP by zone inhibition assay (each groove contains 0.5 mg CP in 20 μ L DMSO; control - 20 μ L DMSO) against a-b) M.smeg, c) zone diameter, d) concentration dependent zone inhibition of M.smeg by **IZDIC** and e) the corresponding zone diameters (data represented as mean + SD, where *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, n=3)

Figure S22: Assessing anti-bacterial property of the CP by zone inhibition assay (each groove contains 0.5 mg CP in 20 μ L DMSO; control - 20 μ L DMSO) against a-b) M.tb, c) zone diameter, d) concentration dependent zone inhibition of M.Tb by **IZDIC** and e) the corresponding zone diameters (data represented as mean + SD, where *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, n=3)

8. Turbidity and Resazurin assays

Figure 23: Assessing MIC of IZDIC by turbidity assay (incubation period 24h).

Figure S24: Assessing MIC of IZDIC by turbidity assay against a) M.smegmatis, b) M.tb

Figure S25: Assessing MIC of **IZDIC** by resazurin assay against mycobacterium sp. with fluorometric measurements and its optical colourisation; a-b) M. smegmatis, c-d) M.tb.

Figure S26: Assessing MIC of **IZDIC** by resazurin assay against a-b) gram positive bacteria, c-f) gram negative bacteria, g-i) various antibiotic resistant strains.(optical colourisation of each bacterial set shown below the graph)

9. Flow cytometry data of M.tb

Figure S27: Flow cytometry data of variously treated M. tb bacteria under different staining conditions; a) cell barrier permeability assay (PI staining), b) ROS assay (DCFDA staining) ([#]The components **IZ**, **DICNa** and Zn(NO₃)₂ of **IZDIC** were treated individually with equivalent concentration of 100 μ g/mL, ^{*}physical mixture of the components of **IZDIC** at the same concentration of 100 μ g/mL)

Figure S28: Assessing NO formation: a) flow cytometry data of variously treated M.tb bacteria stained with DAN ([#]The components **IZ**, **DICNa** and Zn(NO₃)₂ of CP were treated individually with equivalent concentration of 100 μ g/mL, ^{*} physical mixture of components of **IZDIC** at the same concentration of 100 μ g/mL), b) Measurements plot of flow cytometry data with statistical analysis (Data represented as mean + SD, where *p<0.05, ***p<0.001 and ns represent non-significant n=3), c) CLSM images of M.tb under various conditions.

10. MTT-Assay data

Figure S29: MTT-assay of **IZDIC** in different cell lines incubated for 72 h: a)in bar plot, b) in tabular form.

11. Infection experiment

Figure S30: Treatment of M.tb infected macrophage (RAW 264.7) with **IZDIC**: a) experimental scheme, b) CFU measurement c) resazurin assay; (data represented as mean + SD, where ***p<0.001).

12. Cell Migration data

Figure S31: a) time dependent migration speed of cancer cell A549 and b) average migration speed.

13. Invivo experimental data

Figure S32: Mean body weight of the mice treated with IZDIC and control set with time.

Figure S33: Organ to body weight ratio of mice under treatment and control condition (*IZ treatment at the concentration of equivalent amount present in 100mg/kg dosing of **IZDIC**, #only IZ treatment at the 100mg/kg), (data represented as mean \pm SD, where n=6 (3 male and 3 female).

Figure S34: Serum parameter of the mice under various treatment (data represented as mean \pm SD, where *p<0.05, **p<0.01 ***p<0.001 and ns represent non-significant (n=6; 3 male and 3 female).

Table S7: Serum parameter analysis in tabular form										
	Control	IZDIC (5 mg/kg)	IZDIC (25 mg/kg)	IZDIC (50 mg/kg)	IZDIC (100 mg/kg)	IZ* (eq. to100mg/kg)	IZ# (100 mg/kg)	DICNa* (eq. to 100mg/kg)		
ALP (IU/L)	52.25 ±20.02	41.46±23.30	40.59±14.51	34.29 ±7.56	53.58 ±11.94	48.32 ±18.44	52.83 ±13.40	74.04 ±24.23		
SGOT (IU/L)	42.74 ±15.05	50.21 ±10.05	6.58 ±1.94	6.43 ±1.73	58.14 ±11.34	75.56 ±26.23	91.48 ±7.01	72.61 ±24.32		
SGPT (IU/L)	6.59 ±2.93	12.69 ±1.46	0.81 ±0.17	1.24 ±0.52	6.24 ±1.93	11.75 ±3.5	9.71 ±1.38	12.71 ±4.45		
Total Bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.18 ±0.06	0.16 ±0.06	0.12 ±0.06	0.07 ±0.1	0.53 ±0.23	0.71 ±0.33	0.69 ±0.11	0.66 ±0.37		
Direct Bilirubin (mg/dL)	0.17 ±0.08	0.14 ±0.06	0.23 ±0.07	0.14 ±0.06	0.31 ±0.10	0.53 ±0.15	0.57 ±0.14	0.48 ±0.16		
LDH (U/L)	352.7 ±47.09	349.2 ±32.70	369.1 ±6.98	341.3 ±14.76	262.3 ±59.01	329.1 ±41.53	286.4 ±67.22	253.6 ±80.32		
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.61 ±0.17	0.59 ±0.16	0.74 ±0.29	0.71 ±0.25	0.52 ±0.27	0.36 ±0.10	0.97 ±0.34	0.34 ±0.08		
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	117.5 ±27.26	156.2 ±8.46	96.94 ±9.58	96.26 ±14.33	69.87 ±11.48	83.71 ±36.80	66.91 ±7,93	82.83 ±40.33		
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	98.93 ±10.11	98.57 ±8.84	92.71 ±11.02	89.91 ±6.84	117.5 ±24.85	160.4 ±41.92	156.5 ±21.44	156.0 ±34.22		
CRP (mg/L)	3.228 ±1.75	4.108 ±1.52	1.660 ±1.35	0.7517 ±0.28	1.027 ±0.96	1.224 ±0.68	0.8880 ±0.04	1.489 ±1.33		
Total Protein (gm/dL)	4.180 ±1.12	4.975 ±0.22	5.103 ±0.30	4.753 ±0.25	4.612 ±0.53	4.917 ±0.42	4.395 ±1.16	5.795 ±1.40		

Figure S35: Hemolysis of mice blood sample treated with varying concentration of **IZDIC** (control experiment with 1% SDS considered as 100 % hemolysis, (data represented as mean \pm SD, where *p<0.05, **p<0.01 ***p<0.001 and ns represent non-significant, n=3)

14. Bulk PXRD, TGA and temparature varient PXRD data IZDIC

Figure S36: PXRD of **IZDIC** under various conditions; (bulk* - as synthesized in bulk scale, bulk[#] - crystals kept over six month under ambient condition followed by keeping it in a humid chamber for 72h).

Figure S37: Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of IZDIC.

Figure S38: Temperature dependent PXRD of IZDIC.

Figure S39: Histopathological images of different organs of mice treated with IZ and DICNa. (Scale bar in $50\mu m$).