## **Supporting Information**

# Selective Detection of Ozone in Inflamed Mice using a Novel Activatable Chemiluminescent Probe

Yurong Feng,<sup>a</sup> Shuai Xu,<sup>a</sup>\* Zhi-Ling Song,<sup>b</sup> Tian-Bing Ren,<sup>a</sup> Shuang-Yan Huan,<sup>a</sup>\* Lin Yuan<sup>a</sup> and Xiao-Bing Zhang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Molecular Science and Biomedicine Laboratory, State Key Laboratory of Chemo/Biosensing and Chemometrics, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Collaborative Innovation Center for Chemistry and Molecular Medicine, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, P. R. China <sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Optic-electric Sensing and Analytical Chemistry for Life Science, MOE, Shandong Key Laboratory of Biochemical Analysis, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, PR China

# Table of contents

Materials and general experimental methods	S3
Synthesis procedure	S8
Optical propertiesS1	11
NMR and MS spectra	21

#### **Materials and General Experimental Methods**

**Reagents and Apparatus.** All chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to form solution and divided into several parts for daily experiments. To keep activity of PMA, all these solutions were stored at -20 °C before use. Twice-distilled water was used throughout all experiments. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker-400 spectrometer, using TMS as an internal standard. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted using silica gel 60 F254, and column chromatography was carried out over silica gel (100-200 mesh), both of them were obtained from Qingdao Ocean Chemicals (Qingdao, China). The in vivo imaging was carried out using an IVIS Lumina XR (IS1241N6071) *in vivo* imaging system.

Spectral experiments. The 10 mM CL-O<sub>3</sub> and CL-PEG-O<sub>3</sub> stock solutions were prepared by dissolving **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> or **CL-PEG-O**<sub>3</sub> in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at -20  $^{\circ}$ C. The test solution of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> and **CL-PEG-O**<sub>3</sub> was prepared by diluting an appropriate volume of stock solution of CL-O3 and CL-PEG-O3 into PBS (pH 7.4, 10% DMSO) before each use. The chemiluminescent response of CL-**O**<sub>3</sub> and **CL-PEG-O**<sub>3</sub> toward O<sub>3</sub> was measured by IVIS Lumina XR and the response mechanism of  $CL-O_3$  towards  $O_3$  was further confirmed by absorption and fluorescence spectra, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis and mass spectrometry. The fluorescence spectra were recorded from 500 to 620 nm with an excitation at 420 nm on the F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer. In the selectivity experiment, the solutions of various testing species were prepared in twice-distilled water. The selectivity experiment and chemiluminescence imaging of the solution were both acquired by IVIS Lumina XR. The kinetics of reaction between **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> were measured by Microplate reader for 8 h. Except kinetic experiment, the test solutions were both oscillated at 37 °C for 40 min before the test.

**Preparation of O**<sub>3</sub> and Concentration of O<sub>3</sub> Calculation. O<sub>3</sub> prepared by ozone generator. Oxygen passed through the ozone generator and then bubble into PBS at 0 °C for 30 min. The UV-vis absorption spectra of O<sub>3</sub> in PBS was detected immediately. The concentration of O<sub>3</sub> is calculated using the Beer-Lambert law:

$$A = Kbc$$

in which A is the absorption of  $O_3$  at 260 nm, K of  $O_3$  is 2900 L mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, b is the absorbent layer thickness that is 1 cm.

**Detection Limit Calculation.** The detection limit was calculated based on the chemiluminescence and fluorescence titration. The detection limit is calculated using the following equation:

#### Detection limit = $3\sigma/k$

where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of blank measurements, k is the slope between the chemiluminescence or fluorescence intensity versus sample concentration.

**Selectivity Experiment.** The superoxide anion radical  $(O_2^{--})$  was freshly prepared by dissolving potassium superoxide (KO<sub>2</sub>) in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Singlet oxygen (<sup>1</sup>O<sub>2</sub>) was freshly prepared by mixing ClO<sup>-</sup> with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in a ratio of 1:1. The hydroxyl radical (·OH) was freshly prepared by mixing FeCl<sub>2</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in a ratio of 1:1. The hydrogen sulfide (S<sup>2-</sup>) was prepared from Sodium sulfide (Na<sub>2</sub>S). The Na<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> were prepared from NaCl, MgCl<sub>2</sub> and ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, respectively. All analytes involved in the selectivity experiment were incubation with CL-O<sub>3</sub> (10  $\square$ µM) in PBS (pH 7.4, 10% DMSO) at 37 °C for 40 min.

**Detection of O**<sub>3</sub> **in PBS solutions with Paper-Based O**<sub>3</sub> **Sensor.** The paper-based O<sub>3</sub> sensors was made by cutting qualitative filter paper into rectangular pieces with the same size and then absorbed the solution of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub>. Later, the paper-based sensors were dipped into freshly prepared ozone solutions that were diluted to different concentrations. Images were acquired

after 40 min on the IVIS Lumia XR system.

Detection of  $O_3$  in Ambient Air with Paper-Based  $O_3$  Sensor. The paperbased  $O_3$  sensors that with 200  $\mu$ M CL- $O_3$  were prepared. And the sensors were placed for 1 h in a fume hood with closed ventilation system and window, and the  $O_3$  generator was worked at the same time. A negative control was prepared in the same manner, but the sensors were placed in a normal fume hood with closed ventilation system and window for 1 h. Then the images were acquired after 40 min on the IVIS Lumia XR system.

Cytotoxicity Study of CL-O<sub>3</sub> for RAW 264.7 Cells. The cytotoxicity of CL-O<sub>3</sub> by MTT [3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2Hwas determined tetrazolium bromide] assay. RAW 264.7 cells were placed in a 96-well plate in 200  $\mu$ L of culture medium and incubated in 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C for 24 h. Then the culture medium was removed and cells were treated with different concentrations (0-40 µM) of CL-O<sub>3</sub>. After 12 h of incubation, CL-O<sub>3</sub> was removed and MTT solution (5.0 mg/mL) was added into each well. Residual MTT solution was removed after 4 h, and then dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 150  $\mu$ L) was added to each well to dissolve the formazan crystals. After the plates were shaken for 20 min at 37 °C, the absorbance values of the wells were recorded by use of a microplate reader at 490 nm. The cytotoxic effects (VR) of CL-O<sub>3</sub> were assessed by the following equation:  $VR = A/A_0 \times 100\%$ , where A and A<sub>0</sub> represent absorbance of the experimental group and control group, respectively. The assays were performed in five sets for each concentration.

**Chemiluminescence Imaging of O**<sub>3</sub> *in vivo*. All animal procedures were performed in accordance with protocol No. SYXK (Xiang) 2008-0001 approved by the Laboratory Animal Center of Hunan and experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of College of Biology (Hunan University). All female Kunming and nude mice (20-25 g) were used in accordance with institutional

ethics committee regulations and guidelines on animal welfare. For the detection of exogenous  $O_3$ , the mixture of  $O_3$  and **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> or **CL-PEG-O**<sub>3</sub> in PBS solutions was subcutaneously injected into Kunming mice. For the detection of endogenous  $O_3$ , different concentrations of PMA ware subcutaneously injected into Kunming mice and further incubated for 10 min, and then treated with **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> for another 10 min. All of the whole body chemiluminescence images of mice were recorded by the IVIS Lumia XR system (IS1241N6071). The imaging parameters: Bioluminescence modes: open filter; Exposure time: 60 s; Field of view: D.

Name of	Type of probe	Excitation	Detection	Applications	Reference
probe		/emission	limit (nM)		
		(nm)	0 5 /54 5		
CL-PEG-O <sub>3</sub>	probe	and None/530	0.3/31/	paper-based sensor for visualize $O_3$ in environmental	THIS WOLK
Тгр-Су	Fluorescent probe	630 /770	17	sample Exogenous and endogenous $O_3$ in cell	Chem. Commun., <b>2012</b> , 48, 684
Acy7	Fluorescent probe	570 /690	10	Exogenous and endogenous O <sub>3</sub> <i>in vivo</i>	Chem.Sci.,2019,10,2805
DCM-O <sub>3</sub>	Fluorescent probe	560 /680	620	Exogenous O <sub>3</sub> in cell	Spectrochim. Acta. A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc., <b>2021</b> 248, 119192
HBT-OZO	Fluorescent probe	385 /460	34	Paper-based sensor for visualize $O_3$ in environmental sample environmental	Sensor Actuat B- Chem., <b>2018</b> 266, 717
		,020		sample and exogenous $O_3$ in cell	<b>2009</b> , <i>1</i> , 316
	Fluorescent probe	550 /580	5.9	Exogenous $O_3$ in cell	Sci  Rep.,    2013,  3,    2830
	Bioluminescence probe	None/560 or 580	0.01 or 0.11	Environmental sample	Chem. Commun.,
					<b>2016</b> , <i>52</i> , 1128

Table S1	Currently	reported	03	probes.
----------	-----------	----------	----	---------

**Scheme S1** (a) Synthesis procedure of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub>, **CL-PEG-O**<sub>3</sub>, compound **7** and compound **8** and (b) the response mechanism of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> with  $O_3$ .



**Synthesis of CL-O**<sub>3</sub>**.** Compound **2** (50 mg, 0.12 mmol) and methylene blue (10 mg) was dissolved in 50 mL dichloromethane in two-necked round bottom flask,

then the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C. Oxygen was bubbled into the solution while irradiating with a yellow light for 30 min. After reaction completion, the reaction mixture was filtered to remove excess methylene blue, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column with PE: EA (14:1), giving a viscous colorless oil (35 mg, 66% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.87 (d, *J* = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (s, 2H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 5.97 – 5.87 (m, 1H), 5.22 – 5.17 (m, 1H), 5.12 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 4.26 – 4.15 (m, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.15 (s, 3H), 2.56 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.81 – 1.42 (m, 10H), 1.22 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  167.80, 157.59, 139.58, 138.14, 134.06, 129.03, 119.53, 117.70, 111.85, 95.61, 68.01, 51.64, 49.97, 39.25, 36.35, 34.79, 33.71, 33.27, 33.20, 32.31, 31.70, 31.53, 29.69, 27.45, 26.02, 25.88. HRMS (ESI): calcd for

#### C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>33</sub>O<sub>6</sub><sup>+</sup> [M+Na] <sup>+</sup> 463.2091, found 463.2092

**Synthesis of CL-PEG-O**<sub>3</sub>. Compound **2** (100 mg, 0.24 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL THF:  $H_2O$  (3:1) solution, and NaOH (48 mg, 1.2 mmol) was added. The

mixture was stirred at 50  $^\circ C$  for 2 h. After completion, the pH of the solution was

adjusted to 6. The mixture was extracted with EA (50 mL $\times$ 2) and concentrated

under reduced pressure. The crude product was re-dissolved in 10 mL N, N-Dimethylformamide, and HATU was further introduced and stirred at room temperature for 10 min. then  $NH_2$ -PEG<sub>4</sub> was added and the mixture was stirred for another 2 h. After completion, the mixture was diluted with dichloromethane

and washed with  $H_2O$  (50 mL $\times$ 3). The organic layer was separated, dried and

concentrated under reduced pressure. The product and methylene blue (5 mg) was dissolved in 50 mL dichloromethane in two-necked round bottom flask, then

the reaction mixture was cooled to 0  $^\circ C$ . Oxygen was bubbled into the solution

while irradiating with a yellow light for 30 min. After reaction completion, the reaction mixture was filtered to remove excess methylene blue, and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by silica gel column with PE: EA (8:1), giving a light pink solid. (31 mg, 20%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.89 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 6.01 – 5.86 (m, 1H), 5.27 – 5.20 (m, 1H), 5.17 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (t, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.69 (m, 10H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.65 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 3H), 3.63 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, *J* = 5.8, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.65 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.32 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 2.05 (d, *J* = 13.1 Hz, 4H), 1.94 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 4H), 1.77 (dd, *J* = 9.1, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 1.60 (d, *J* = 12.7 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  203.39, 166.25, 157.56, 138.62, 135.02, 134.52, 130.73, 128.72, 128.17, 128.10, 125.68, 124.03, 120.49, 117.40, 111.77, 111.25, 71.88, 70.52, 70.40, 70.16, 70.04, 69.26, 67.85, 58.96, 52.26, 39.47, 38.82, 37.42, 33.63, 32.80, 30.43, 27.94, 27.50, 17.90. MS (ESI): calcd for C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>49</sub>NO<sub>9</sub> [M] 615.3407, found 615.46

**Synthesis of compound 7.** Methyl 2-hydroxy-3-iodobenzoate (0.277 g, 1 mmol), methyl acrylate (0.258 g, 3 mmol) and  $Et_3N$  (0.45 mL) were dissolved in anhydrous ACN. Then Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (34 mg, 0.15 mmol) were added. The flask was

sealed and the solution was stirred at  $120^{\circ}$ C for 2 hours. Upon completion, the

solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel PE: EA (5:1) to afford compound 7 as a white solid (152 mg, 65%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.87 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J* = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.73 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  167.31, 166.20, 157.30, 139.30, 132.34, 129.54, 125.59, 120.11, 119.83, 117.06,

52.70, 51.95. HRMS (ESI): calcd for  $C_{12}H_{13}O_5^+$  [M+H] + 237.0757, found 237.0765 **Synthesis of compound 8.** Methyl 2-hydroxy-3-iodobenzoate (0.277 g, 1 mmol), tert-Butyl acrylate (0.384 g, 3 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.45 mL) were dissolved in anhydrous ACN. Then Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (34 mg, 0.15 mmol) were added. The flask was

sealed and the solution was stirred at 120°C for 2 hours. Upon completion, the

solvent was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was re-dissolved in 20 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and 6 mL TFA was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t for 3 h. Upon completion, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was washed with Et<sub>2</sub>0. The residue was re-dissolved in 20 mL CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Then EDCI (0.191 g, 1 mmol), DMAP (0.122 g, 1 mmol), HOBt (0.135 g, 1 mmol) and NH<sub>2</sub>-PEG<sub>4</sub> (1.5 eq) were added. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and washed with brine. The solvent was dried over  $Na_2SO_4$  and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> to afford compound 8 as colorless oil. (80 mg, 20%).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) δ 7.93 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.68 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 12H), 3.64 - 3.60 (m, 2H), 3.60 – 3.56 (m, 2H), 3.38 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 167.31, 166.77, 156.02, 135.82, 131.50, 129.03, 126.82, 123.56, 120.91, 117.60, 71.81, 70.38, 70.34, 70.30, 70.21, 70.05, 69.98, 58.93, 52.20, 39.61. MS (ESI): calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>8</sub><sup>+</sup> [M+H] <sup>+</sup> 412.1966, found 412.16

### **Optical properties**



**Fig. S1.** (a, b) The fluorescence emission spectra of compound **7** (10  $\mu$ M) and compound **8** (10  $\mu$ M) in buffer solution with different pH values from pH 4.0 to pH 12. ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =440 nm). (c, d) The linear relationship between lg[(I<sub>max</sub>-I)/(I-I<sub>min</sub>)] and pH (7.0-10.0 or 6.5-9.0) of compound **7** or compound **8**. The pKa value was calculated using the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation: lg[(I<sub>max</sub>-I)/(I-I<sub>min</sub>)] = pH – p*Ka*.



**Fig. S2.** The response of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> to nanomolar concentrations of O<sub>3</sub>. (a) The images of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> reacting with different concentrations of O<sub>3</sub> (0, 100, 200, 400, 500 nM). (b) The chemiluminescence intensity of (a). (mean ±SD, where n = 3)



**Fig. S3.** Chemiluminescence kinetic profile of CL-PEG-O<sub>3</sub> (10  $\mu$ M) for different O<sub>3</sub> concentrations (0, 25.5, 63.8 and 127.6  $\mu$ M) at 37 °C for 7.5 h.



**Fig. S4.** Paper-based sensor for the detection of  $O_3$  in PBS solutions (a) and in  $O_3$  atmosphere (c). (b, d) The chemiluminescence intensity of (a) and (c). (mean ±SD, where n = 3).



**Fig. S5.** Cell viabilities (%) estimated by MTT proliferation tests versus incubation concentrations of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub>. RAW264.7 cells were incubated with (0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40  $\mu$ M) **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> at 37 °C for 12 h. (mean ±SD, where n = 3)



**Fig. S6.** (a) Chemiluminescence (left) and fluorescence (right) images of Kunming mice. b) Relative chemiluminescence and fluorescence intensity from (a) (mean  $\pm$ SD, where n = 3)



**Fig. S7.** (a) Chemiluminescence image of different concentrations of exogenous  $O_3$  (0, 48.3, 72.4  $\mu$ M) in Kunming mice using **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> (20  $\mu$ M). (b) The chemiluminescence intensity from (a). (mean ±SD, where n = 3)



**Fig. S8.** (a) Chemiluminescence image of exogenous  $O_3$  (112 µM) in Kunming mice using different concentration of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> (0, 10 µM, 20 µM in PBS solution containing 10% DMSO). (b) The chemiluminescence intensity from (a). (mean ±SD, where n = 3)



**Fig. S9.** Real-time chemiluminescence imaging of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> (10  $\mu$ M) + **O**<sub>3</sub> (98  $\mu$ M) and **CL-PEG-O**<sub>3</sub> (10  $\mu$ M) + **O**<sub>3</sub> (98  $\mu$ M)



**Fig. S10. (a)** HPLC analysis of PBS (containing 30% DMSO), **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> (100  $\mu$ M), **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> (100  $\mu$ M) + lipase (0.8 mg/mL) and **CL-O**<sub>3</sub> (100  $\mu$ M) + carboxylesterase (20  $\mu$ g/mL). (b) TLC monitoring of the reaction mixtures under excitation of 365 nm.

## MS and NMR spectra



Fig. S12. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound 2.



Fig. S13. HRMS spectrum of compound 2.



**Fig. S14.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub>.



**Fig. S15.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **CL-O**<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S16. HRMS spectrum of CL-O<sub>3</sub>.





Fig. S17. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of CL-PEG-O<sub>3</sub>.



**Fig. S18.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **CL-PEG-O**<sub>3</sub>.



**Fig. S20.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR of compound **7**.



![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. S22. HRMS spectrum of compound 7.

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Fig. S24.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR of compound **8**.

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. S25. MS spectrum of compound 8.