Supporting information

for

Iron partially occupied sulfur vacancies in WS₂ boosts the electrochemical nitrogen fixation at low potential

Jiuxiao Sun^{a#}, Xue Li^{a#}, Tiantian Xiong^b, Ying Ling^{b*} and Zehui Yang^{b,c*}

^aState Key Laboratory for Hubei New Textile Materials and Advanced Processing

Technology, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Wuhan Textile University,

430200 Wuhan, P. R. China.

^bSustainable Energy Laboratory, Faculty of Materials Science and Chemistry, China

University of Geosciences Wuhan, 388 Lumo RD, Wuhan, 430074, P. R. China.

^cZhejiang Institute, China University of Geosciences, Hangzhou, 311305, P. R. China. #Jiuxiao Sun and Xue Li equally contributed to this work. **Preparation of WS**₂: Firstly, AMT (0.97 g) was dissolved to deionized water (15 mL). The compound was stirred for 10 min to form a transparent, homogeneous solution at room temperature. According to the ratio of W:S=1:10, 17 mL of ammonium thiosulphate solution (8 wt%) was added. The resultant solution was heated to 70 °C for 1 h. After cooling to the room temperature, 270 mL of hydrochloric acid is slowly added to generate H₂S gas. The reaction was terminated until the solution turned to reddish brown. The resultant solution was centrifuged three times and the precipitation was placed in a blower dryer at 50 °C for 5 h. The precursor was ground into a uniform grain size of powder and placed in the tube furnace with Ar/H₂ flow for 30 min. After changing to air flow (60 mL min⁻¹), the precursor was heated to 450 °C for 4 h (5 °C min⁻¹). The WS₂ template was obtained. Finally, the pure WS₂ nanosheets can be obtained by removing the WO₃ template with 0.1 M NaOH solution.

Synthesis of Fe-WS₂: Fe(NO₃)₃ and WS₂ were dispersed in water and the mixture was heated to evaporate water. The powder was heated to 450 °C with Ar/H₂ flow for 2 h. Finally, Fe-WS₂ was obtained.

Material characterization: The morphologies and microstructures of the samples were observed by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) at an accelerating voltage of 5 kV (SU8010, HITACHI, Japan). Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis was performed at the acceleration voltage of 200 kV using a TECNAI G2 F20 (FEI Ltd., USA) TEM equipped with a Bruker X

Flash 5030 detector (Bruker Nano GmbH, Berlin, Germany). N₂ adsorption property measurements were conducted on a temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) apparatus Micromeritics ASAP 2020 using helium as carrier gas, and the adsorbed amount was calculated from thermal conductivity signals. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB 250Xi) were used to investigate the surface composition of samples. The electro-catalyst powder was placed on indium substrate and measured under high vacuum atmosphere. During the calibration process set C1s peak was fixed at 284.5 eV as standard. The absorbance data of spectrophotometer were recorded on SPECORD PIUS200 UV-vis spectrophotometer. NMR was performed on Bruker AVANCE III HD 400 instrument.

Electrochemical measurement: All electrochemical testing were recorded at room temperature using the Reference 1000 Gamry. The electrochemical testing was accomplished in a three-electrode system. Glassy carbon electrode with a diameter of 3 mm (GCE) and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) and a carbon rod were used as working, reference and counter electrodes. The catalyst loading was controlled to be 0.285 mg cm⁻². NRR electrocatalytic activity was carried out in a N₂ saturated 0.05 M H₂SO₄ solution in an H-type electrochemical cell, which was separated by a Nafion 211 membrane. Nitrogen gas was purified by 0.5 M H₂SO₄ before purging into electrolyte. The membrane was boiled in ultrapure water for 1 h, then heated to 80 °C in H₂O₂ (5 %) for 1 h and finally boiled in sulfuric acid (0.5 M) for 2 h. All the potentials in this manuscript were converted to the RHE reference scale using E (vs. RHE) = E (vs. SCE) + $0.0591 \times pH + 0.244 V.$

Ammonia calculation: NRR electrolysis experiment was tested in $0.05 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and the NH₃ concentration was spectrophotometrically determined by the method of indophenol blue. 2 mL of electrolyte was obtained from the cathodic chamber and then added 1 M NaOH solution containing 5% salicylic acid and 5% sodium citrate to the electrolyte. Thereafter, 1 mL of 0.05 M NaClO and 0.2 mL of 1% sodium nitroprusside were added to the above solution. The UV-vis absorption spectrum was measured at 655 nm. The NH₃ accumulation-absorbance curves were plotted with a list of concentration of standard NH₃ solution. It showed an excellent linear relationship between the absorbance value and the (NH₄)₂SO₄ concentration from the fitting curve. The baseline of UV-vis spectroscopy was recorded before measuring the electrolyte after NRR catalysis.

FE and NH₃ yield rate: The FE of nitrogen reduction reaction was evaluated as the amount of electric charge used for synthesizing NH₃ divided the total charge passed through the electrodes during the electrolysis. The total amount of NH₃ produced during NRR electrolysis experiments was measured using colorimetric methods. Assuming three electrons were needed to form a NH₃ molecule, the FE calculation was described as follow:

 $FE=(3\times F\times C_{NH3}\times V)\times (17\times Q)^{-1}$

The rate of NH 3 formation (V_{NH3}) can be measured using the following equation: $V_{NH3}=C_{NH3}\times V\times (t\times m_{cat})^{-1}$

F represents the Faraday constant, $C_{\rm NH3}$ is the measured amount of $\rm NH_3$ accumulation,

V is cathode chamber electrolyte volume, t is the NRR testing time and m_{cat} is the catalyst loading on the electrode.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy: In the electrocatalytic nitrogen reduction experiment, Argon gas was purged to the cathodic cell to remove impurity gas and then purging for 30 min with the gas to be tested. After electrolysis at -0.15 V vs. RHE for 2 h, 50 mL of the electrolyte was taken out and acidized to pH 3 by adding H_2SO_4 (pH=1), and then concentrated to 5 mL by heating via reduced pressure distillation. Afterwards, 0.55 mL of the resulting solution was taken out and mixed with 0.05 mL D₂O for ¹H-NMR measurement. A total of 1024 transient scans were recorded with an inter-scan delay of 1 s. 64 K complex points was acquired for each FID with an acquisition time of 3.4 s. The produced ammonia was quantitatively detected by using ¹H-NMR means on a Bruker AVANCE III HD 400 instrument. A known amount of D₂O was used as an internal standard. By analyzing the acquired spectra, $^{15}NH_4^+$ calibration curve was obtained by integrating the ammonia signal appeared at 7.00 ppm as a function of prepared known ($^{15}NH_4$)₂SO₄ (from Aladdin) standard solution dissolved in 0.05 M H₂SO₄.

Computational study: The calculations were performed with the VASP package, using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) approximation of density functional theory (DFT) to describe the exchange-correlation interactions and the projector augmented wave (PAW) method to account for core-valence interactions. The energy cutoff for plane wave expansions was set to 400 eV, and the reciprocal space was sampled by a $2 \times 2 \times 1$ grid which is generated by VASPKIT. At least 15 Å vacuum layer was applied

in the z-direction of the slab models to prevent the vertical interactions between slabs. All structures were fully relaxed to the ground state and spin-polarization was considered in all calculations. The convergence thresholds for structural optimization was set at 0.02 eV/Å in force and the convergence criterion for energy is 1×10^{-5} eV. The reaction Gibbs free energy changes (Δ G) for each step were based on the computational hydrogen electrode model and can be calculated using the following equation:

$$\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta Z P E - T \Delta S + \Delta G_{U} + \Delta G_{pH}$$
(1)

Where ΔE is obtained directly from DFT calculations, ΔZPE is the change in zeropoint energies (ZPE), T is the temperature (298.15 K), and ΔS is the change in entropy of products and reactants. $\Delta G_U = eU$ is the contribution of electrode potential to ΔG , and $\Delta G_{pH} = k_B T \ln 10 \times pH$, and the pH value in this calculation was set to zero. The overpotential η for N₂ reduction reactions is obtained by,

$$\eta = U_{\text{equilibrium}} - U_{\text{limiting}}$$
(2)

where $U_{equilibrium}$ is the equilibrium potential of the N₂ reduction process (0.16 V) and $U_{limiting}$ is obtained by $U_{limiting} = \Delta G_{max}/e$, in which, ΔG_{max} is the most positive ΔG of each elementary step of the whole process.



Figure S1 XPS survey scan of WS_2 and Fe-WS₂ electrocatalysts.



Figure S2 Deconvoluted Fe 2p peak of Fe-WS₂ electrocatalyst.



Figure S3 SEM images of WS_2 and $Fe-WS_2$ electrocatalysts.



Figure S4 LSV curves of Fe-WS $_2$ and WS $_2$ electrocatalysts recorded in Ar- and N $_2$ -

saturated 0.05 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte.



Figure S5 Standard curve for NH₃ based on intensities of UV-vis spectroscopies.



Figure S6 Standard curve for N₂H₄ based on intensities of UV-vis spectroscopies.



Figure S7 i-t test of Fe-WS₂ in N₂-saturated 0.05 M H_2SO_4 electrolyte at various

potentials.



Figure S8 UV-vis spectroscopies of Fe-WS₂ at various potentials.



Figure S9 UV-vis spectroscopies of WS₂ at various potentials.



Figure S10 UV-vis spectroscopies of Fe-WS₂ at -0.1 V vs. RHE.



Figure S11 Faradaic efficiency and ammonia yield rate of Fe-WS₂ in 5 cycles.



Figure S12 stability test and UV-vis spectroscopies of Fe-WS₂.



Figure S13 TEM images of Fe-WS2 after 12 h catalysis.



Figure S14 Standard curve for NH₃ based on intensities of NMR.



Figure S15 Comparison of Faradaic efficiency and ammonia yield rate from two

different testing methods.



Figure S16 Adsorption of NRR intermediates on $Fe-WS_2$ (a), WS_2 (b) and Fe (c).



Figure S17 Free energy diagrams of NRR catalysis by Fe-WS₂ (a), WS₂ (b) and Fe (c) with different pathways.