Electronic Supplementary Information

for

Formaldehyde Recognition through Aminal Formation in a

Luminescent Metal-Organic Framework

Jia-Li Li, Xiao Xiong, Dong Luo, Yu-Bai Wei, Weigang Lu, * and Dan Li*

College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Functional Supramolecular Coordination Materials and Applications, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510632, P. R. China

*E-mail: weiganglu@jnu.edu.cn; danli@jnu.edu.cn

Experimental

Materials and physical measurement

All the reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources without further purification. 4,4'-dicarboxylic acid (BPDC) was purchased from Energy Chemical. HCHO aqueous solution (analytical grade, 40 wt.%) was purchased from Guangzhou Chemical Reagent Factory. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were collected on Thermo Scientific FT-IR Nicolet iS10 spectrophotometer. UV-Vis spectra were obtained on Bio-Logic MOS-450/AF-CD Spectrometer in the range of 200 to 800 nm. Photoluminescence spectra were measured on Horiba FluoroLog-3 spectrofluorometer with a 400 nm UV cut. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded on Rigaku Ultima IV X-ray Diffractometer (Cu K α , $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) in a step of 0.02° at 40 kV and 40 mA. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were measured on Mettler-Toledo thermal analyser in range of 40 to 800 °C with 10 °C/min heating rate in a nitrogen atmosphere at a flow rate of 50 mL/min.

Synthesis of JNU-105, JNU-105-(NH₂)₂, and JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂

JNU-105. Zn(NO₃)₂ • 6H₂O (14.9 mg, 0.05 mmol), BPDC (6.05 mg, 0.025 mmol), and xanthine (2.85 mg, 0.019 mmol), 40 µL of concentrated HNO₃, 2 mL of mixed solvent $(DEF : H_2O = 10 : 1)$ were added into a 10 mL glass tube. After ultrasonic treatment for 30 min, the tube was sealed and placed into an oven at 120 °C for 3 days. Colorless crystals were filtered and washed with DEF and acetone three times (yield: 48%, based on the xanthine). Elemental analysis (%): calculated for $Zn_8(\mu_3 -$ O)4(xanthine)4(BPDC)2(DEF)2.5(H2O)10: C, 34.87; H: 3.41; N: 12.43. found: C, 34.77; H, 3.19; N, 11.98.

JNU-105-(NH₂)₂. 2,2'-diamino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4,4'-dicarboxylic acid ((NH₂)₂-BPDC) was synthesized according to the reported work.¹⁻³ Zn(NO₃)₂•6H₂O (14.9 mg, 0.05 mmol), (NH₂)₂-BPDC (6.8 mg, 0.025 mmol), xanthine (3.8 mg, 0.025 mmol), 15 μ L of concentrated HNO₃, and 2 mL of mixed solvent (DEF : H₂O = 10 : 1) were added into a 10 mL glass tube. After ultrasonic treatment for 30 min, the tube was sealed and placed into an oven at 120 °C for 5 days. Yellow to brown crystals were filtered and washed with DEF and acetone three times (yield: 53%, based on the xanthine). Elemental analysis (%): calculated for Zn₄(μ ₃-O)₂(xanthine)₂[(NH₂)₂-BPDC](DEF)_{1.5}(H₂O)₃: C, 34.47; H, 3.72; N, 14.67. found: C, 33.71; H, 3.47; N, 14.19.

JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂. 20 mg of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ and 2 mL HCHO aqueous solution (40 wt.%) were mixed in a 10 mL quartz vial at room temperature for 4 days. The crystals were collected and washed with deionized water and acetone three times, and then dried in 80 °C vacuum over overnight to afford JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂.

X-ray crystallography

The as-synthesized petal-like crystal clusters of JNU-105, JNU-105- $(NH_2)_2$, and JNU-105- $(NH)_2CH_2$ were cut into suitable sizes for single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) analysis. The SCXRD data were collected by Oxford Cryostream system on a XtaLAB PRO MM007-

DW diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54184$ Å) at 100 or 298 K. The structures of JNU-105, JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ and JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂ were solved by using Olex2 software with ShelXT program and refined by ShelXL program. The intensively disordered solvent molecules in voids were removed by the SQUEEZE/PLATON program. CCDC Nos. 2164136-2164138 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for JNU-105, JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ and JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.

Photoluminescence measurement

HCHO titration experiment. 10 mg of dried JNU-105 and JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ were finely ground, immersed into 20 mL deionized water and ultrasonicated for 15 min to afford 0.5 mg/mL MOF suspension. In a 3.5 mL quartz cell, 2.7 mL of MOF suspension was added as the blank sample. 20 μ L of HCHO aqueous solution (1 mM) was added into suspension each time until the concentration of HCHO in suspension reached to 0.1 mM. The luminescence emission was recorded after mixing and shaking.

VOCs sensing experiment. In luminescence response experiment of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ for individual VOC, a certain amount of 13 different kinds of aqueous solution of VOCs was individually added into MOF suspension (0.1 M for VOCs, 0.25 mg/mL for MOF, total 3mL). In luminescence response experiment for mixed VOCs, 5 μ L of each VOCs (THF, methanol, iso-propanol, ethanol, DMSO, DMF, DMA, acetonitrile, acetone, 1,4-dioxane) were added together into 2.7 mL MOF suspension. The luminescence emission was measured after shaking. **MOF-based test papers.** 0.5 mg/mL of MOF-dichloromethane suspension was dropped on the filter paper stripes (1 × 4 cm) and dried in air. The test paper was used for the detection of HCHO in aqueous solutions under the UV (365 nm) irradiation.



Fig. S1. (a) Tetranuclear zinc nuclear SBU in **JNU-105**. (b) The dense *ab* layer formed by xanthine linkers and zinc ions. (c) 3D pillar-layer framework.



Fig. S2. (a) Asymmetric unit of JNU-105-(NH_2)₂. (b) Coordination modes of two kinds of Zn ions. (c) Hydrogen bonds between two xanthine linkers. (d) 3D framework looking through *a* axis.



Fig. S3. 2D channels going through *a* axis (a) and *b* axis (b).



Fig. S4. (a) Schematic of building block in JNU-105-(NH₂)₂. (b) pcu topological structure of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂.



Fig. S5. The simulated and experiment PXRD pattern of JNU-105, JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ and JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂.



Fig. S6. PXRD pattern of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ after soaking in organic solvent.



Fig. S7. N₂ adsorption isotherm of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ at 77 K.



Fig. S8. The thermogravimetric analysis curve of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂.



Fig. S9. The variable-temperature in situ PXRD pattern of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ (in air).



Fig. S10. (a) The emission spectra of JNU-105, xanthine and BPDC. (b) The emission spectra of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂, xanthine and (NH₂)₂-BPDC.



Fig. S11. The excitation and emission spectra of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ (a) and JNU-105 (b).



Fig. S12. The emission spectra of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ suspension with no addition, JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ suspension with mix 10 kinds of VOCs, 0.1 mM HCHO aqueous suspension of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂, 0.1 mM HCHO aqueous suspension of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ with 10 kinds of VOCs.



Fig. S13. The relative luminescence intensity of 0.1 M formaldehyde, acetaldehyde and propionaldehyde aqueous suspension of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂.



Fig. S14. CIE changes of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ in HCHO solutions from 0.0 to 0.1 mM.



Fig. S15. The relative luminescence intensity of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ and JNU-105 before and after the addition of HCHO.



Fig. S16. Under the irradiation of 365 nm UV light, the optical pictures of the original and halfimmersed **JNU-105-(NH₂)**₂ MOF - based test paper in 0.05 M VOCs aqueous solution.



Fig. S17. The ¹³C-solid state NMR spectrum of JNU-105-(NH₂)₂ and JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂.



Fig. S18. Solid-state UV-Vis spectra of MOFs JNU-105, JNU-105-(NH₂)₂, JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂ and the linkers.



Fig. S19. FTIR spectra of linkers and JNU-105, JNU-105-(NH₂)₂, JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂.

Compound	JNU-105-(NH ₂) ₂	JNU-105	JNU-105-
			(NH) ₂ CH ₂
Empirical formula	$C_{24}H_{18}N_{10}O_{12}Zn_4$	$C_{48}H_{24}N_{16}O_{20}Zn_8$	$C_{25}H_{18}N_{10}O_{12}Zn_4$
$M_{ m r}$	899.96	1667.79	911.97
Temperature(K)	99.99(17)	293(2)	293(2)
Crystal system	orthorhombic	orthorhombic	orthorhombic
Space group	Pccn	Aea2	Pccn
a/Å	19.49970(10)	32.3895(2)	19.6175(6)
b/Å	19.64560(10)	27.4040(2)	19.741(2)
c/Å	32.3093(2)	28.0141(2)	32.3260(19)
lpha /°	90	90	90
β /°	90	90	90
$\gamma / ^{\circ}$	90	90	90
$V/Å^3$	12377.15(12)	24865.4(3)	12519.1(17)
Ζ	8	8	8
F(000)	3584.0	6584.0	3632.0
Reflection collected	123274	73414	40469
Independent	13122 [R _{int} =	19263 [$R_{int} = 0.0318$,	$12424 [R_{int} =$
reflections	$0.0491, R_{sigma} =$	$R_{sigma} = 0.0271$]	$0.0679, R_{sigma} =$
	0.0248]		0.0632]
GOF on F^2	1.058	1.568	1.030
Final R indexes [I>=2σ	$R_1 = 0.0514,$	$R_1 = 0.1133,$	$R_1 = 0.0627,$
(I)]	$wR_2 = 0.1478$	$wR_2 = 0.3069$	$wR_2 = 0.1870$
Final R indexes [all	$R_1 = 0.0578,$	$R_1 = 0.1145,$	$R_1 = 0.0703,$
data]	$wR_2 = 0.1529$	$wR_2 = 0.3105$	$wR_2 = 0.1942$

Table S1. Crystallographic data for JNU-105-(NH₂)₂, JNU-105, JNU-105-(NH)₂CH₂.

Table S2. LOD comparison of formaldehyde luminescence probes in water environment.

Material	LOD (ppb)	Reference
HUN-44	27.41	4
CMERI-1	19	5
CMERI-2	41	5
UiO-66-NH ₂	4000	6
MOF-1	370	7
Eu ³⁺ @Bio-MOF-1	5500	8
Al-MIL-53	250	9
JNU-105-(NH ₂) ₂	1.87	This work

Reference

- Y.-B. Wei, M.-J. Wang, D. Luo, Y.-L. Huang, M. Xie, W. Lu, X. Shu and D. Li, *Mater. Chem. Front.*, 2021, 5, 2416-2424.
- 2. N. Ko, J. Hong, S. Sung, K. E. Cordova, H. J. Park, J. K. Yang and J. Kim, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 2047-2051.
- R. Gil-San-Millan, E. Lopez-Maya, M. Hall, N. M. Padial, G. W. Peterson, J. B. DeCoste, L. M. Rodriguez-Albelo, J. E. Oltra, E. Barea and J. A. R. Navarro, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 23967-23973.
- 4. Y. Fang, G. J. Ren, M. L. Li, Y. H. Yang, D. Y. Guo and Q. H. Pan, *Sensor: Actuat. B-Chem.*, 2021, **349**.
- 5. S. Bej, S. Mandal, A. Mondal, T. K. Pal and P. Banerjee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 25153-25163.
- K. Vellingiri, A. Deep, K. H. Kim, D. W. Boukhvalov, P. Kumar and Q. Yao, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem., 2017, 241, 938-948.
- 7. X. B. Yin, Y. Q. Sun, H. Yu, Y. Cheng and C. Wen, *Anal. Chem.*, 2022, **94**, 4938-4947.
- 8. Y. Zhang and B. Yan, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2019, 7, 5652-5657.
- 9. S. Nandi, E. Sharma, V. Trivedi and S. Biswas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 57, 15149-15157.