Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for ChemComm. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

Supporting Information

Chiral emissive porous organic cages

Yu-Ling Sun, ^a Zhen Wang, ^{a, b} Hui Ma, ^a Qing-Pu Zhang, ^a Bin-Bin Yang, ^a Xianggao Meng, ^c * Yaohua Zhang, ^d * and Chun Zhang^a *

- a. College of Life Science and Technology, National Engineering Research Center for Nanomedicine, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, China.
- b. Technology Institute, National Engineering Laboratory for Advanced Yarn and Fabric Formation and Clean Production, Wuhan Textile University, Wuhan, Hubei 430200, China.
- c. College of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China.
- d. Technology R&D Center, Hubei Tobacco (Group) Co., Ltd., Wuhan, 430070, China.

*Corresponding Author(s): Chun Zhang: <u>chunzhang@hust.edu.cn</u>; Xianggao Meng: <u>mengxianggao@ccnu.edu.cn</u>; Yaohua Zhang: <u>zhangyh@hbtobacco.cn</u>

Table of Contents

General information, Experimental details	1
FT-IR , NMR and TG analyse	3
X-ray crystallographic data	10
UV-vis, and Fluorescence spectra	12
Pore size distribution calculated of 3P-1 and 3M-1	14
Chiral resolution of mandelic acid	15
Chiral resolution of 1-naphthaleneethanol	16
Chiral resolution of 1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol	17

1. General information.

4,4',4'',4'''-(ethene-1,1,2,2-tetrayl)-tetrabenzaldehyde (ETTBA). (*R*, *R*)-(*S*, *S*)or diaminocyclohexane (CHDA) and reagents were of AR grade quality, which were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a DMX600 NMR.¹H chemical shifts were determined using residual signals of the deuterated solvents or using TMS as the internal standard, and were reported in parts per million (ppm). All spectra used for characterization were obtained using deuterated chloroform as the solvent. The terms s., d., t., q., and m. indicate singlet, doublet, triplet, quartet, and multiplet, respectively; dd is doublet of doublets; dt is doublet of triplets. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker model VERTEX 70 infrared spectrometer. Surface areas and pore size distributions were measured by nitrogen adsorption and desorption at 77 K using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 volumetric adsorption analyzer. MALDI-TOF mass spectra were obtained on a BIFLEXIII mass spectrometer. UV spectra were recorded on SHIMADZU UV-2041PC spectrometer at 20 °C in a 1 cm quartz cell. Emission spectra were obtained on HITACHI F-4500 spectrometer. Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded on a JASCO J-810 spectrometer at 20 °C in a 1 cm quartz cell. circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) spectra were recorded on a JASCO CPL-300 spectrometer.

2. Experimental details

Synthesis of 3P-1 or 3M-1.

To a stirred solution of 4, 4', 4'', 4'''-(ethene-1,1,2,2-tetrayl)-tetrabenzaldehyde (ETTBA) (300 mg, 0.67 mmol, 1 eq.) and (R, R)- or (S, S)-cyclohexane-1,2-diamine (CHDA) (153 mg, 1.34 mmol, 2 eq.) in

chloroform (100 mL), 2μ L TFA were added¹. The reaction was stirred at RT for 3d. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo to ~2 mL, methanol (~100 mL) was added with stirring and the resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, affording yellow solid (334.0 mg, 83% for the **3***P***-1**, 366.2 mg, 91% for the **3***M***-1**).

3*P***-1**: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 7.85 (s, 6H), 7.78 (s, 6H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 12H), 7.02 (d, *J* = 6.18 Hz, 12H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 7.38 Hz, 12H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 12H), 3.31 (s, 6H), 3.16 (s, 6H), 2.29 – 1.62 (m, 48H). HRMS: m/z calcd. for C₁₂₆H₁₂₀N₁₂ [M+H]⁺ 1801.9792, found 1801.9821.

3*M*- 1: ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm) = 7.85 (s, 6H), 7.78 (s, 6H), 7.35 (d, *J* = 5.82 Hz, 12H),
7.02 (d, *J* = 7.68 Hz, 12H), 7.00 (d, *J* = 7.80 Hz, 12H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 12H), 3.31 (s, 6H), 3.16 (s, 6H), 2.25 - 1.64 (m, 48H). HRMS: m/z calcd. for C₁₂₆H₁₂₀N₁₂ [M+H]⁺ 1801.9792, found 1801.9819.

3. FT-IR, NMR and TG analyses



Figure S1. FT-IR deta for 3P-1 and ETTBA. (red for 3P-1, black for ETTBA)



Figure S2. FT-IR deta for 3M-1 and ETTBA. (red for 3M-1, black for ETTBA)



Figure S3. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of *3P*-1. (* chloroform; **△** methanol)



Figure S4. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of 3P-1.



Figure S5. ¹H-¹H COSY NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of **3***P*-**1**.



Figure S6. ¹H-¹H NOESY NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of *3P*-1.



Figure S7. ¹³C-¹H HSQC NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of **3***P***- 1**.



Figure S8. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of *3M*-1. (* chloroform; **△** methanol)



Figure S9. ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of *3M*-1.



Figure S10. ¹H-¹H COSY NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of *3M*-1.



Figure S11. ¹H-¹H NOESY NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of *3M*-1.



Figure S12. ¹³C-¹H HSQC NMR (CDCl₃) spectrum of *3M*-1.



Figure S14. Thermal gravimetric analysis(TGA) of 3M-1.

4. X-ray crystallographic data

The X-ray intensity data for **3P-1** and **3M-1** were collected on a standard Bruker SMART-1000 CCD Area Detector System equipped with a normal-focus molybdenum-target X-ray tube ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) operated at 2.0 kW (50 kV, 40 mA) and a graphite monochromator. The structures were solved by using direct methods and were refined by employing full-matrix least-squares cycles on F2 (Bruker, SHELXTL-97).

Crystallographic data for **3P-1**: Mr = 1286.48, Cubic, Space group *P23*, a = 24.8853(2) Å, b = 24.8853(2) Å, c = 24.8853(2) Å, $a = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 90^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$, V = 15410.9(4) Å³, Z = 6, $\rho_{calcd.} = 0.832$ g/cm³, $\mu = 0.839$ mm⁻¹, reflections collected 83118, data/restraints/parameters 10373/10/439, GOF on F² 1.011, final $R_I = 0.0617$, $wR_2 = 0.1790$, R indices (all data): $R_I = 0.0817$, $wR_2 = 0.1997$, largest diff. peak and hole: 0.40/-0.45 e/Å⁻³, CCDC - 2077306.

Crystallographic data for **3***M***-1**: Mr = 1286.48, Cubic, Space group *P23*, a = 24.96801(9) Å, b = 24.96801(9) Å, c = 24.96801(9) Å, $a = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 90^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$, V = 15565.10(17) Å³, Z = 6, $\rho_{calcd.} = 0.823$ g/cm³, $\mu = 0.831$ mm⁻¹, reflections collected 106403, data/restraints/parameters 10506/295/438, GOF on F² 1.068, final $R_1 = 0.0475$, $wR_2 = 0.1561$, R indices (all data): $R_1 = 0.0510$, $wR_2 = 0.1608$, largest diff. peak and hole: 0.20/-0.43 e/Å⁻³, CCDC - 2077307.



Figure S15. a) Top view and b) side view of the X-ray crystal structure of **3***P***-1**. c) Top view and d) side view of the X-ray crystal structure of **3***M***-1**. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecule were omitted for

clarity.

5. UV-vis, and Fluorescence spectra



Figure S16. UV-vis spectra of *3M*-1 and *3P*-1 (solvent: CH_2Cl_2 , $c = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$).







Figure S18. Fluorescence spectrum of 3M-1 in the solid state, excited at 398 nm.

6. Pore size distribution calculated of 3P-1 and 3M-1



Figure S19. Pore size distributions of 3P-1 calculated using the NLDFT method.



Figure S20. Pore size distributions of 3M-1 calculated using the NLDFT method.

7. Chiral resolution by 3P-1 and 3M-1

Chiral resolution of mandelic acid 3

18 mg of cage compound **3P-1** (0.01 mmol) was added into a solution of racemic mandelic acid **3** (4.56 mg, 0.03 mmol, in 3 mL of isopropanol). The mixture was sonicated for one minute and kept at room temperature for 24 hours with stirring. The suspension was filtered and washed with isopropanol, and then the solid was extracted with methanol (3 mL \times 2) to get the encapsulated guest molecules out. The solution was centrifuged(1 \times 10⁴ r/min, 10 min, 2 times) and concentrated to ~1ml, followed by the determination of *ee* value by chiral HPLC using a Daicel CHIRALCEL. AD-H column with specified conditions (Table S1). Resolution of mandelic acid **3** by cage compound **3M-1** was done following the same procedure as that of cage compound **3P-1**.²

Tuble 51. Childi III EC condition for the resolution of 5		
Column	CHIRALPAK®ADHOCE-RK014	
Column size	0.46 cm I.D. ×25 cm L ×5 μm	
Injection	30µ1	
Mobile phase	n-Hexane/ IPA/Trifluoroacetic acid= 95/5/ 0.1(v/v/v)	
Flow rate	1.0ml/min	
Wave length	UV 220 nm	
Temperature	35℃	

Table S1. Chiral HPLC condition for the resolution of 3





Figure S22. Chiral HPLC data for the resolution of **3** by **3***M***-1**.

2

Chiral resolution of 1-naphthaleneethanol 4

18 mg of cage compound **3***P***-1** (0.01 mmol) was added into a solution of racemic 1-naphthaleneethanol **4** (5.17 mg, 0.03 mmol, in 3 mL of isopropanol). The mixture was sonicated for one minute and kept at room temperature for 24 hours with stirring. The suspension was filtered and washed with isopropanol, and then the solid was extracted with methanol (3 mL \times 2) to get the encapsulated guest molecules out. The solution was centrifuged(1 \times 10⁴ r/min, 10 min, 2 times) and concentrated to ~1ml, followed by the determination of *ee* value by chiral HPLC using a Daicel CHIRALCEL. OJ-H column with specified conditions (Table S2). Resolution of 1-naphthaleneethanol **4** by cage compound **3***M***-1** was done following the same procedure as that of cage compound **3***P***-1**.²

Column	CHIRALCEL®OJH0CE-NJ031
Column size	0.46 cm I.D. ×25 cm L ×5μm
Injection	50µ1
Mobile phase	n-Hexane/Ethanol/Diethylamine=80/20/0.1(v/v/v)
Flow rate	1.0ml/min
Wave length	UV 274nm
Temperature	
-	35°C

Table S2. Chiral HPLC condition for the resolution of 4.



Figure S23. Chiral HPLC data for the resolution of 4 by 3P-1.



Figure S24. Chiral HPLC data for the resolution of 4 by 3M-1.

Chiral resolution of 1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol 5

18 mg of cage compound **3P-1** (0.01 mmol) was added into a solution of racemic 1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol **5** (8.49 mg, 0.03 mmol, in 3 mL of isopropanol). The mixture was sonicated for one minute and kept at room temperature for 24 hours with stirring. The suspension was filtered and washed with isopropanol, and then the solid was extracted with methanol (3 mL \times 2) to get the encapsulated guest molecules out. The solution was centrifuged(1 \times 10⁴ r/min, 10 min, 2 times) and concentrated to ~1ml, followed by the determination of *ee* value by chiral HPLC using a Daicel CHIRALCEL. OJ-H column with specified

conditions (Table S3). As for the recyclability of cage's separation capabilities, we repeated the above steps for three consecutive times and measured the separation ability of 3P-1 to 5. Resolution of 1,1'-Bi-2-naphthol 5 by cage compound 3M-1 was done following the same procedure as that of cage compound

3*P***-1**.²

Table S3. Chiral HPLC condition for the resolution of **5**.

Column	CHIRALCEL®OJH0CE-UF035
Column size	0.46 cm I.D. ×25 cm L ×5 μm
Injection	20µ1
Mobile phase	n-Hexane/Isopropanol=70/30(v/v)
Flow rate	1.0ml/min
Wave length	UV 220nm
Temperature	35℃







Figure S26. Chiral HPLC data for the resolution of 5 by 3*P*-1(the second time).



Figure S27. Chiral HPLC data for the resolution of **5** by **3***P***-1**(the third time).



Figure S28. Chiral HPLC data for the resolution of 5 by 3*M*-1.





Figure S30.FT-IR spectrum of 3P-1 and recycling 3P-1

References

- 1. Qu, H.; Wang, Y.; Li, Z.; Wang, X.; Fang, H.; Tian, Z.; Cao, X. Molecular Face-Rotating Cube with Emergent Chiral and Fluorescence Properties. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 18142–18145.
- Malik, A U.; Gan, F.; Shen, C.; Yu, N.; Wang, R.; Crassous, J.; Shu, M.; Qiu, H. Chiral Organic Cages with a Triple-Stranded Helical Structure Derived from Helicene. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 2769–2772.