Static discrete disorder in the crystal structure of Iododiflunisal: On the Importance of Hydrogen Bond, Halogen Bond and π -Stacking Interactions

Rafael Barbas,¹ Mercè Font-Bardia,² Alfredo Ballesteros,³ Gemma Arsequell,⁴ Rafel Prohens^{1,*} and Antonio Frontera^{5,*}

- ¹ Unitat de Polimorfisme i Calorimetria, Centres Científics i Tecnològics, Universitat de Barcelona, Baldiri Reixac 10, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.
- ² Unitat de Difracció de Raigs X, Centres Científics i Tecnològics, Universitat de Barcelona, Spain.
- ³ Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica, Instituto de Química Organometálica "Enrique Moles", Universidad de Oviedo, Julián Clavería, Oviedo, 8, 33006-Oviedo, Spain.
- ⁴ Institut de Química Avançada de Catalunya (I.Q.A.C.-C.S.I.C.), E-08034, Barcelona, Spain
- ⁵ Department of Chemistry, Universitat de les Illes Balears, Crta. de Valldemossa km 7.5, 07122 Palma, Spain

Electronic Supplementary Information

Table of contents:

1. Crystal data and structure refinement	2
2. Characterization of iododiflunisal bulk powder	3
3. Hirshfeld analysis	6
4. Crystal structures of diflunisal reported in the CCDC	7

1. Crystal data and structure refinement

1.1 Iododiflunisal (IDIF) (mo_023WB108_0m_a)

Table S1.	Crystal o	data and	structure r	efinement	for mo	023WB108	0m	a.

Identification code	mo_023WB108_0m_a		
Empirical formula	$C_{13}H_7F_2IO_3$		
Formula weight	376.09		
Temperature	296(2) K		
Wavelength	0.71073 Å		
Crystal system	Monoclinic		
Space group	$P 2_1/n$		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 16.9777(10) Å	<i>α</i> = 90°.	
	b = 4.0424(2) Å	β=93.412(2)°.	
	c = 18.0441(11) Å	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$.	
Volume	1236.18(12) Å ³		
Ζ	4		
Density (calculated)	2.021 Mg/m ³		
Absorption coefficient	2.616 mm ⁻¹		
F(000)	720		
Crystal size	0.348 x 0.196 x 0.078 mm ³		
Theta range for data collection	2.404 to 30.592°.		
Index ranges	-24<=h<=24, -5<=k<=5, -25<=l<=25		
Reflections collected	26273		
Independent reflections	3717 [R(int) = 0.0638]		
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	96.6 %		
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents		
Max. and min. transmission	0.7461 and 0.5695		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Data / restraints / parameters	3717 / 0 / 189		
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.080		
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0352, wR2 = 0.0908		
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0394, wR2 = 0.0956		
Extinction coefficient	n/a		
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.014 and -1.418 e.Å ⁻³		

Donor HAcceptor	[ARU]	d(D – H)	d(HA)	d(DA)	<(D - HA)
O(2)H(2O)O(1)	[1-x,1-y,-z]	0.68(6)	1.99(5)	2.660(3)	175(8)
Intra O(3)H(3O)O(1	l) [x,y,z]	0.76(4)	1.91(4)	2.619(3)	154(4)

Table S2. Hydrogen bonds for mo_023WB108_0m_a [Å and $^\circ].$

2.- Characterization of iododiflunisal bulk powder

Figure S1: Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) of IDIF analysis was carried out by means of a Mettler-Toledo DSC-822e calorimeter. Experimental conditions: aluminum crucibles of 40 μ L volume, atmosphere of dry nitrogen with 50 mL/min flow rate, heating rate of 10 °C/min. The calorimeter was calibrated with indium of 99.99% purity (m.p.: 156.4 °C, Δ H: 28.67 J/g).



Figure S2: Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) of IDIF was performed on a Mettler-Toledo TGA-851e thermobalance. Experimental conditions: alumina crucibles of 70 μ L volume, atmosphere of dry nitrogen with 50 mL/min flow rate, heating rate of 10 °C/min. SDTA signal is represented in red.



Figure S3: Comparative PXRD diffractograms between bulk IDIF (black) and simulated from the cif file (red). Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern was obtained on a PANalytical X'Pert PRO MPD diffractometer in transmission configuration using Cu K α 1+2 radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) with a focusing elliptic mirror and a PIXcel detector working at a maximum detector's active length of 3.347°. Configuration of convergent beam with a focalizing mirror and a transmission geometry with flat sample sandwiched between low absorbing films measuring from 2 to 40° in 20, with a step size of 0.026° and a total measuring time of 30 minutes at room temperature (298 K).



3.- Hirshfeld analysis

Hirshfeld surfaces fingerprint plots of two different asymmetric units of IDIF: comparative footprint and contribution (%) of intermolecular contacts



IDIF_Syn

IDIF_Anti

Table S3. Contribution (%) of intermolecular contacts of two different asymmetric units of IDIF at 296 K

Contacts	IDIF_Syn	IDIF_Anti
НН	16.3	19.5
F ····· H	15.9	12.1
0Н	12.2	12.2
С·····Н	10.1	7.9
IH	8.5	8.5
\mathbf{F} ····· \mathbf{F}	2.7	3.4
Residual	34.3	36.4

CCDC refcode	Space group	Solid form	Temperature (K)	Disorder (in F ortho position)
FAFWIS	C 2/c	anhydrous (polymorph V)	283-303	Yes
FAFWIS01	<i>P</i> -1	anhydrous (polymorph I)	283-303	Yes
FAFWIS02	$P 2_1 2_1 2_1$	anhydrous (polymorph III)	298	No ¹
NUZGUM	C 2/c	pTHF inclusion complex	100	Yes
NUZHAT	$P 2_1/n$	caprolactone inclusion complex	100	Yes
OPOGAD	$P 2_1/c$	theophylline cocrystal	150	No
QOQXAV	C 2/c	monohydrate clathrate	283-303	Yes
RUXRUX	C 2/c	chloroform solvate	153	Yes
RUXSAE	$P 2_1/n$	acetic acid solvate	150	Yes
UWOKEY	<i>P</i> -1	1,2-bis(Pyridinium-4- yl)ethene) salt acetonitrile solvate	150	No
UWOKIC	P -1	pyrazine cocrystal	283-303	No
UWOKOI	$P 2_1/c$	1,3-bis(Pyridinium-4- yl)propane salt	150	No
YEJWEP	C 2/c	hexane solvate	283-303	Yes

4.- Crystal structures of diflunisal reported in the CCDC

¹ Crystal structure solved from PXRD data, no F atom disorder was considered

Table S4.