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Table S1. EDX analysis results of $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ prepared by different techniques.

| Preparation | Solid-state <br> synthesis | Sol-gel method <br> followed by annealing at 893 K | Czochralski <br> technique |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K, at.\% | $50.23 \pm 3.20$ | $48.63 \pm 3.25$ | $47.79 \pm 1.86$ |
| Mo, at.\% | $39.34 \pm 2.17$ | $41.55 \pm 2.81$ | $42.54 \pm 2.13$ |
| Eu, at.\% | $10.43 \pm 1.25$ | $9.82 \pm 1.60$ | $9.67 \pm 0.56$ |

Table S2. Unit cell parameters and estimated crystallite size for $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ prepared by solid state synthesis (ss), sol-gel method followed by annealing at 893 K (sg893) and the Czochralski (CZ) techniques (SG $R \overline{3} m$ ) and reference data.

| Technique | $a, \AA$ | $c, \AA$ | $V, \AA^{3}$ | Crystallite size (nm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sg893 | $5.9730(1)$ | $20.6674(7)$ | $638.55(2)$ | $97 \pm 14$ |
| $s s$ | $5.9758(1)$ | $20.7091(6)$ | $640.46(1)$ | $94 \pm 11$ |
| $C Z$ | $5.9818(3)$ | $20.699(1)$ | $641.42(6)$ |  |
| PDF-2, №45-0340 | 5.980 | 20.74 | 642.53 |  |




Fig. S1. Particle size distributions (a) and PXRD patterns (b) of $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ synthesized by various methods: sg893-KEMO (1), ss-KEMO (2) and crushed crystal (3). Tick marks denote the peak positions of Bragg reflections for $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ from ICDD Data Base (JCPDS, PDF-2, №45-0340). The $h k l$ indexes for strong reflections are listed. Low intensities reflections of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ phase (PDF\#2 Card no. 36-0347) in the PXRD pattern of sg893-KEMO are shown by red arrows.


Fig. S2. Fragments of DSC and TG curves for ss-KEMO sample in the temperature ranges of 370$1120 \mathrm{~K}(a)$ and $980-1070 \mathrm{~K}(b)$ in heating (1) and cooling (2) cycle, successively.


Figure S3. Fragments of the experimental, calculated and difference synchrotron XPD patterns for $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ structure in the $R \overline{3} m$ model. Tick marks denote the peak positions of possible Bragg reflections. Inset shows the one of the parts of the profile with extra broad reflections shown by red arrows.


Figure S4. [ $\left.\rho_{d i f:}:(x ; y ; z)\right]$ residual electron density maps for $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ structure after the refinement in the $R \overline{3} m$ model in the (001) plane ( $a, b$ ) through $\mathrm{O} 1(a)$ and $M 1(b)$ atoms and in the (010) plane (c). Lines correspond to positive values of the electron density with $0.5 e \times \AA^{-3}$ steps, respectively. The color scale of the residual electron density is shown.

Annex 1. $\boldsymbol{R}_{3}{ }^{2} m$ models tested during the Rietveld refinement of $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ structure using the SXPD data:
i) the original model $\boldsymbol{R} \overline{3} \boldsymbol{m}$ : the atomic coordinates of the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ structure [28-29]. In $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, cations occupy two crystallographic positions $M 1(\mathrm{~Pb})$ and $M 2(\mathrm{~K})$. In KEMO, potassium cations occupy the $M 2$ position of the palmierite-type structure, while the $M 1$ positions are statistically occupied by $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}\left(M 1=0.5 \mathrm{~K}^{+}+0.5 \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}\right)$. The anion positions are fully occupied by the $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}^{2-}$ tetrahedra.

After the refinement of KEMO structure in the $R \overline{3} m$ model, the isotropic atomic displacement parameters for oxygen atoms O 1 (site symmetry $6 c$ ) and O 2 (site symmetry $18 m$ ) were $U_{\text {iso }}=0.1800(6)$ and $U_{\text {iso }}=0.062(2)$, respectively (Table S3). Fig. S5 shows the [ $\rho_{\text {dif: }}(x, y, z)$ ] residual electron density maps for KEMO structure after the refinement in the $R \overline{3} m$ model in the (001) plane through O1 and $M 1$ atoms and in the (010) plane. Residual electron density after refinement is observed around the $\mathrm{O} 1\left(\sim 2 e \times \AA^{-3}\right)$ and $M 1\left(\sim 3.5 e \times \AA^{-3}\right)$ positions. Moreover, residual electron density in the (010) plane is observed in the K2 ( $M 2$ site) position ( $\sim 2.5 e \times \AA^{-3}$ ) and between two $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}^{2-}$ tetrahedra on the 3 -fold axis $\left(\sim 2 e \times \AA^{-3}\right)$. In the palmierite-type structure, O1 oxygen atoms as well as $M 1$ and $M 2$ positions lie on the 3-fold axis and the presence of residual density shows that displacement of the $\mathrm{O} 1, M 1$ and K 2 atoms from the 3 -fold axis is possible in contrast to the $\alpha-\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Yb}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ phase [45]. Earlier, a similar displacement of these atoms from the 3-fold axis was found during the structure refinement of $\alpha-\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}[46]$ and $M_{5} R\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}(M$ $=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Rb} ; R=\mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Gd}, \mathrm{Bi}$ ) single crystals [47].
ii) the disordered model $\boldsymbol{R} \overline{3} \boldsymbol{m}$ : the $M 1$ and O1 atoms are displaced from special positions with site symmetry $3 a(M 1)$ and $6 c(\mathrm{O} 1)$ to the special position $(x, \bar{x}, z ;$ symmetry $18 m)$. The refinement is characterized by essentially lower values of structural $R$-factors, atomic displacement parameters and max/min residual density peaks (Table S3). However, the refinement of the disordered $R \overline{3} m$ model results in a strong distortion of the $\mathrm{MoO}_{4}^{2-}$ tetrahedra (O1-Mo-O2 angles in Table S3.

Table S3. Crystallographic Data for $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ in different models

| Space group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Original } R \overline{3}_{m} \\ & \text { model } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{\mathrm{B}}_{m} \text { model } \\ \text { with } M 1 \text { and } \mathrm{O} 1 \\ \text { disorder } \end{gathered}$ | primary $C 2 / m$ model |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lattice parameters: $a(\AA)$ | 5.98647(1) | 5.98663(1) | 10.37099(5) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) |  |  | 5.98542(3) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 20.72495(5) | 20.72517(5) | 7.72496(4) |
| $\beta$ (deg.) |  |  | 116.5836(5) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 643.229(2) | 643.249(2) | 428.831(4) |
| Z | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1 |
| Refinement |  |  |  |
| № reflections (All / Obs.) | 361/313 | 361/306 | 452/436 |
| $R$ and $R_{\mathrm{w}}$ (\%) for Bragg reflections | 14.40/12.64 and | 11.18/10.17 and | 10.23/9.44 and |
| $\left(R_{\text {all }} / R_{\text {obs }}\right)$ | 15.10/14.99 | 12.98/12.93 | 13.19/13.04 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{P}} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{wP}} ; \mathrm{R}_{\exp }$ | 3.62, 6.94, 1.24 | 3.48,6.54, 1.24 | 3.31, 6.15, 1.14 |
| Goodness of fit (ChiQ) | 5.59 | 5.27 | 5.38 |
| Max./min. residual density ( $e \times \AA^{-3}$ ) | 4.10 / -7.22 | 3.29/-3.92 | 2.97 / -2.80 |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{O} 1)\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | 0.1800(6) | 0.032(4) | 0.061(5) |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{O} 2)\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | 0.062(2) | 0.087(2) | 0.071(4) |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{O} 3)\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |  |  | 0.035(4) |
| $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }}(M 1)\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | 0.0676(9) | 0.027(1) | 0.059(1) |
| Mo-O1 distance ( $\AA$ ) | 1.554(11) | 1.667(9) | 1.645(13) |
| Mo-O2 distance ( $\AA$ ) | 1.656(4) | 1.656(4) | 1.691(12) |
| Mo-O3 distance ( ( $)$ |  |  | 1.805(16) |
| O1-Mo-O2 angle ( $\AA$ ) | 103.67(18) | 84.2(3), 114.5(2) | 84.4(4) |
| O2-Mo-O2 angle ( $\AA$ ) | 114.59(19) | 113.03(17) | 110.0(7) |

Table S4. Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic atomic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{iso}}$ ) for $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{SG} \mathrm{C2/m})$

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {iso }} * 100$ | Occup. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $M 1(0.5 \mathrm{Eu}+0.5 \mathrm{~K})$ | $0.0213(9)$ | 0 | $-0.001(2)$ | $3.96(1)$ | $0.5 M 1$ |
| K | $0.8259(7)$ | 0 | $0.4163(6)$ | $4.62(17)$ | $1 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$ |
| Mo | $0.4014(3)$ | 0 | $0.1992(3)$ | $3.43(5)$ | 1 Mo |
| O1 | $0.3721(12)$ | 0 | $0.9735(16)$ | $6.3(6)$ | 1 O |
| O 2 | $-0.001(2)$ | $0.2650(16)$ | $0.2215(10)$ | $7.1(4)$ | 1 O |
| O 3 | $0.2974(13)$ | 0 | $0.3347(18)$ | $4.0(4)$ | 1 O |

Table S5. Selected distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{SG} C 2 / m)$

| Polyhedra | Distance | d, Á | Polyhedra | Distance | d, Á |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{M1O}_{8}$ | M1-O1×2 | 3.164(5) | $\mathrm{KO}_{10}$ | K-O1 | 2.784(11) |
|  | M1-O2×2 | 2.268(15) |  | K-O2×2 | 3.228(16) |
|  | M1-O2×2 | 2.424(17) |  | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{O} 2 \times 2$ | 3.342(15) |
|  | M1-O3 | 2.877(14) |  | $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{O} 2 \times 2$ | 3.015(9) |
|  | M1-O3 | 3.154(14) |  | K-O3×2 | 3.045(2) |
|  | <M1-O> | 2.718 |  | K-O3 | 2.746 (18) |
| M1-M1 |  | 0.449(17) |  | <K-O> | 3.079 |
| $\mathrm{MolO}_{4}$ - tetrahedron |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo | O1 | O2 | O2 |  | O3 |
| O1 | 1.630(13) | 85.8(4) | 85.8( |  | 138.1(6) |
| O2 |  | 1.696(12) | 112.1 |  | 115.1(5) |
| O2 |  | 112.1(7) | 1.696 |  | 115.1(5) |
| O3 |  |  |  |  | 1.808(17) |
| <Mo-O> | 1.708 |  |  |  |  |



Figure S5. Photoluminescence emission spectra at temperatures 78, 300 and 500 K of sg893KEMO ( $a-c$ ), ss-KEMO ( $d-f$ ) and crushed crystal ( $g-i$ ) measured at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ex}}=2.66 \mathrm{eV}(a, d, g)$; $3.14 \mathrm{eV}(b, e, h)$ and $5.63 \mathrm{eV}(c, f, i)$.

 $c)$ and monoclinic $\gamma$-phase ( $b, d$ ).


Figure S7. Photoluminescence emission spectra for the ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{0} \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$ transition of $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}: \operatorname{sg} 893-\mathrm{KEMO}$ (1), ss-KEMO (2) and crushed crystal (3).

Table S6. Positions ( $\lambda_{\text {max }}, \mathrm{nm}$ ) of the ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{0}$ transition, integral intensities ( $\mathrm{I}_{\text {max }}$, a.u.) of the ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ and ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ transitions, ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0}-{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{2} /{ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0}{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$ ratio (R/O), lifetimes ( $\tau, \mathrm{ms}$ ) and quantum yield (QY, \%) for $\mathrm{K}_{5} \mathrm{Eu}\left(\mathrm{MoO}_{4}\right)_{4}$ prepared by solid state synthesis (ss), sol-gel method followed by annealing at 893 K (sg893) and the Czochralski (CZ) techniques. All samples are measured under the same conditions.

| Technique | ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{0}$, <br> $\lambda_{\max }, \mathrm{nm}$ | ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{1}$, <br> $\mathrm{I}_{\max }$ | ${ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0} \rightarrow{ }^{7} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$, <br> $\mathrm{I}_{\max }$, | $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{O}$ <br> ratio | $\tau, \mathrm{ms}$ | QY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sg893 | 578.0 | 23738 | 140146 | 4.33 | 0.099, | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.282 |  |
| ss | 577.9 | 33583 | 196046 | 4.34 | 1.433 | 48 |
| $C Z$ | 578.0 | 54866 | 283315 | 4.03 | 1.473 | 66.5 |

