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S1  Coil-globule equilibrium

The LCST-type transition in aqueous solutions of PNIPAM is experimentally observed to be first order (two state)\(^{1,3}\). Such a two state behaviour has also been observed in the coil-to-globule transitions of short polymer chains in simulation studies.\(^{4,5}\) Therefore, the experimental salting in/out behaviour of PNIPAM will herein be correlated with salt effects on the single-chain coil-globule equilibrium. To probe the salt effects on the coil and globule states, the simulation trajectories were separated into coil and globule structural ensembles using the radius of gyration, \(R_g\), as a criterion for distinguishing between coils and globules.\(^{6,8}\) As in the work of Dalgicdir et al.,\(^{7}\) a configuration was considered to be part of the ensemble of coil structures for \(R_g > 1.8 \text{ nm}\), and was considered to be part of the ensemble of globule structures for \(R_g < 1.2 \text{ nm}\) (see Fig. S1).

S2  Preferential binding coefficients

In this work, the preferential binding coefficients were computed using the following expression,\(^{9}\)

\[
\Gamma_{pa}(r) = \left\langle \frac{n_a(r) - N_a - n_a(r)}{N_w - n_w(r)} \right\rangle
\]

(S1)

where \(n_a(r)\) and \(n_w(r)\) are the number of ions (cations and anions considered indistinguishable) and the number of water molecules within a proximal distance of \(r\) from the polymer surface, respectively. \(N_a\) and \(N_w\) are the total number of ions and water molecules in the system, respectively. Similarly, one can also define preferential binding coefficients for different ions such as iodide and sulfate in the following way,

\[
\Gamma_{pl}(r) = \left\langle \frac{n_l(r) - N_l - n_l(r)}{N_w - n_w(r)} \right\rangle,
\]

\[
\Gamma_{ps}(r) = \left\langle \frac{n_s(r) - N_s - n_s(r)}{N_w - n_w(r)} \right\rangle,
\]

(S2)

where \(n_l(r)\) and \(n_s(r)\) are the number of iodide and sulfate ions within a proximal distance of \(r\) from the polymer surface, respectively. \(N_l\) and \(N_s\) are the total number of iodide and sulfate ions in the system, respectively.

S2.1  Pure NaI solutions

Figures S2a and S2b show the dependence of the preferential binding coefficients, \(\Gamma_{pa}(r)\), \(\Gamma_{ps}(r)\), on the proximal distance, \(r\), from the polymer at different \(c_{\text{NaI}}\) in the absence of the background salt. The thermodynamic limiting values of the preferential binding coefficients were evaluated by averaging in the range 0.8 nm < \(r\) < 1.2 nm. Ions are depleted from both the coil and globule states at all NaI concentrations. At low (high) concentrations, ions deplete to a larger extent from the globule (coil) state thereby shifting the coil-globule equilibrium towards the coil (globule) state. Figures S3a and S3b show the dependence of the preferential binding coefficients, \(\Gamma_{pl}(r), \Gamma_{ps}(r)\), on the proximal distance, \(r\), from the polymer at different \(c_{\text{NaI}}\) in the absence of the background salt. The thermodynamic limiting values of the preferential binding coefficients were evaluated by averaging in the range 0.8 nm < \(r\) < 1.2 nm. Here, it can be seen that the trends in the depletion of iodide ions from the coil and globule states are similar to the trends in Fig. S2.

S2.2  Mixed salt solutions

Figure S4a shows the dependence of the preferential binding coefficients, \(\Gamma_{pa}(r), \Gamma_{ps}(r)\), on the proximal distance, \(r\), from the polymer at different \(c_{\text{NaI}}\) in the presence of the background salt. Ions are depleted from both the coil and globule states at all NaI concentrations. In contrast to pure NaI solutions, ions are always depleted to a larger extent from the coil state, at low NaI concentrations (region I), thereby shifting the coil-globule equilibrium to the globule state. Note, from Fig. S4b, that the preferential binding coefficients for the sulfate ions, \(\Gamma_{ps}(r), \Gamma_{ps}(r)\), show a similar dependence on \(c_{\text{NaI}}\) at low NaI concentrations. On the other hand, from Figs. S5a and S5b, it can be seen that the iodide ions are preferentially adsorbed on both the coil and globule states and shift the coil-globule equilibrium towards the coil state.

The thermodynamic limiting values of the preferential binding coefficients, for the mixed salt solutions, were evaluated by averaging in the range, 1.8 nm < \(r\) < 2.5 nm, for \(c_{\text{NaI}} = 0.05 \text{ m}\) and in the range, 1.5 nm < \(r\) < 2.0 nm, for other concentrations.

S3  Ion pairing and ion hydration

The excess ion pairing (or affinity), \(\Delta N_{an,cat}\), between an anion (an) and a cation (cat) is defined as

\[
\Delta N_{an,cat} = \frac{N_{\text{cat}}}{V} \int_{r_0}^{r_{\text{out}}} [g_{an,\text{cat}}(r) - 1] 4\pi r^2 dr
\]

(S3)

where \(g_{an,\text{cat}}\) is the anion-cation radial distribution function, \(V\) is the average volume of the simulation box and \(N_{\text{cat}}\) the total number of cations. \(\Delta N_{an,cat}\) can be interpreted as the change in the number of cations in a spherical observation region before and after placing
Table S1 Radii \( r_{\text{in}} \) and \( r_{\text{out}} \) used for the calculation of excess anion-cation contact ion pairing (CIP), solvent shared ion pairing (SSIP) and solvent separated ion pairing (SSIP). Radii \( r_{\text{in}} \) and \( r_{\text{out}} \) used for the calculation of excess hydration (water affinity) of the 1st and 2nd hydration shells of the iodide and sulfate ions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th></th>
<th>SIP</th>
<th></th>
<th>SSIP</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( r_{\text{in}} )</td>
<td>( r_{\text{out}} )</td>
<td>( r_{\text{in}} )</td>
<td>( r_{\text{out}} )</td>
<td>( r_{\text{in}} )</td>
<td>( r_{\text{out}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(^-) Na(^+)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.41 nm</td>
<td>0.41 nm</td>
<td>0.65 nm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_4^{2-}) Na(^+)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.68 nm</td>
<td>0.68 nm</td>
<td>0.92 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First hydration shell</td>
<td>2nd hydration shell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(^-) OW (c(_{Na_2SO_4}) = 0 m)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.42 nm</td>
<td>0.42 nm</td>
<td>0.66 nm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I(^-) OW (c(_{Na_2SO_4}) = 0.3 m)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.42 nm</td>
<td>0.42 nm</td>
<td>0.64 nm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_4^{2-}) OW</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.44 nm</td>
<td>0.44 nm</td>
<td>0.68 nm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S1 summarises the values of \( r_{\text{in}} \) and \( r_{\text{out}} \) for CIP, SIP and SSIP for different cation-anion combinations.


S3.1 Iodide-sodium affinity

Figures S6a and S6b show the iodide-sodium radial distribution functions (rdf) at different NaI concentrations in the presence and absence of the background salt, \( c_{Na_2SO_4} = 0.3 \text{ m} \). The first and second peaks in the iodide-sodium rdf correspond to contact ion pairing and solvent shared ion pairing. Figures S6c and S6d show that the excess contact ion pairing and the excess solvent shared ion pairing, respectively. From Fig. 6a in the main text, it can be seen that the excess iodide-sodium ion pairing in mixed salt solutions is smaller as compared to pure NaI solutions at all NaI concentrations. This can be attributed to the iodide-sodium excess contact ion pairing in mixed salt solutions which decreases with the concentration of NaI but is smaller as compared to pure NaI solutions (see Fig. S6c).

S3.2 Iodide-water affinity

Figures S7a and S7b show the iodide-water rdfs at different NaI concentrations in the presence and absence of the background salt, \( c_{Na_2SO_4} = 0.3 \text{ m} \). The first and second peaks in the iodide-water rdf correspond to first and second hydration shell, respectively. Figures S7c and S7d show that the iodide-water affinity in the first and second hydration shell respectively. From Fig. S7c it can be seen that the first hydration shell of the iodide ions is not perturbed significantly by the background salt.

S3.3 Sulfate-water affinity

Figure S8a shows the sulfate-sodium rdf at different NaI concentrations (c\(_{Na_2SO_4}\) = 0.3 m). The first and second peaks in the sulfate-water rdf correspond to first and second hydration shell, respectively. Figures S8b and S8c show that trends in the excess solvent shared
ion pairing and the excess solvent separated ion pairing, respectively.

### S3.4 Sulfate-sodium affinity

Figure S9a shows the sulfate-sodium rdf at different NaI concentrations ($c_{Na_2SO_4} = 0.3$ m). The first and second peaks in the iodide-sodium rdf correspond to solvent shared ion pairing and solvent separated ion pairing. Note that sulfate and sodium ions do not form contact ions pairs. Figures S9b and S9c show that the trends in the excess solvent shared ion pairing and the excess solvent separated ion pairing, respectively.

### S4 Relation between the preferential binding coefficients and the change in LCST

We used the semi-quantitative theoretical framework proposed by Heyda and Dzubiella to relate the preferential binding coefficients of the coil and globule states, $\Gamma^C_{pa}$ and $\Gamma^G_{pa}$, to the change in the LCST, $\Delta$LCST, with increase in the ion concentration. The two-state coil-globule equilibrium in aqueous ion solutions is determined by the polymer collapse free energy, $\Delta G^{C\rightarrow G} = \Delta G^C - \Delta G^G$, which depends on the salt concentration and temperature. For small changes in salt concentration and temperature, $\Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}(c,T)$ can be Taylor-expanded (upto the first order term) with respect to the variables $c$ and $T$, taking the neat water state ($c = 0$) at $T_0$ as the reference state,

$$\Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}(c,T) = \Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}(0,T_0) + \left( \frac{\partial \Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}}{\partial c} \right)_{0,T_0} \Delta c + \left( \frac{\partial \Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}}{\partial T} \right)_{0,T_0} \Delta T,$$

(S8)

where $\Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}(0,T_0)$ is the polymer collapse free energy in neat water solutions at temperature $T_0$. The above equation assumes that the polymer collapse free energy, $\Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}(c,T)$, has a linear dependence on the salt concentration and temperature. For a given salt concentration $c$, $\Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}(c,T)$ is zero at the transition temperature $T_c$. If $\Delta T = T_c(c) - T_c(c = 0)$, then the above equation can be rewritten in the following way,

$$\left( \frac{\partial \Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}}{\partial T} \right)_{0,T_0} \Delta T \simeq -\left( \frac{\partial \Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}}{\partial c} \right)_{0,T_0} \Delta c,$$

(S9)

Note that, for aqueous PNIPAM solutions, the LCST and coil-to-globule transition temperature, $T_c$, are equal. Combining the above expression with $(\partial \Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}/\partial c)_{p,T} = -\frac{RT \Delta \Gamma^C_{pa}}{c_a(1 + c_a(G_{aa} - G_{aw}))}$ and assuming that the factor, $1 + c_a(G_{aa} - G_{aw}) \approx 1$, we obtain

$$\Delta T \simeq \frac{RT \Delta \Gamma^C_{pa}}{\Delta \Gamma^G_{pa}} = T_c/c_a,$$

(S10)

where $\Delta \Gamma^C_{pa} = -\frac{\partial \Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}/\partial T}_{0,T_0}(c = 0)$ is the polymer collapse entropy in neat water solutions. $\Delta \Gamma^C_{pa}$ has been measured by different techniques and has a median value of is $17$ J mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ per monomer. Therefore, for a 40mer chain, $\Delta \Gamma^C_{pa} = 0.68$ kJ mol$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$. The $\Delta \Gamma^G_{pa}$ values from the pure NaI and mixed salt solutions (Fig. 1b and 1d) were employed to calculate $\Delta T(c_{NaI})$ which were subsequently compared with the experimental data for $\Delta$LCST($c_{NaI}$) (see Table S2). From Table S2 it can be seen that, apart from the case of $c_{NaI} = 0.1$ m, $\Delta T(c_{NaI})$ from the simulations is in agreement with the experimentally measured $\Delta$LCST($c_{NaI}$). Note that $\Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}$ has a non-monotonic dependence on the NaI concentration in pure NaI concentrations where it first increases with increase in NaI and subsequently decreases with increase in NaI. The transition between the regimes occurs at $c_{NaI} \approx 100$ mM where the assumption of linear dependence of $\Delta G^{C\rightarrow G}$ is no longer valid. This is the reason for the large mismatch between the experimental and simulation $\Delta T$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$c_{Na_2SO_4}$ [m]</th>
<th>$c_{NaI}$ [m]</th>
<th>$\Delta T^{C\rightarrow G}_{pa}$ (pure NaI)</th>
<th>$\Delta T = T_c - T_c(c_{NaI} = 0)$ (sim) °C</th>
<th>$\Delta$LCST(exp) °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.099082</td>
<td>0.36342</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.00595</td>
<td>0.021842</td>
<td>0.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.9929</td>
<td>-1.489</td>
<td>-2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.1289</td>
<td>-2.220</td>
<td>-2.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2: Comparison of $\Delta T(c_{NaI})$ obtained from simulation with $\Delta$LCST($c_{NaI}$) from experimental measurements, for pure NaI and mixed salt solutions. The experimental data was adopted from ref. 12.
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Fig. S1 Dependence of the radius of gyration, $R_g$, on the simulation time in PNIPAM solutions with $c_{\text{NaI}} = 0.05$ m and $c_{\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4} = 0.3$ m at 300 K. Configurations above $R_g > 1.8$ nm (red dashed line) are considered to be part of the coil ensemble. Configurations below the green dashed lines ($R_g < 1.2$ nm) are considered to part of the globule ensemble.

Fig. S2 All ions: dependence of the preferential binding coefficients of the coil, $\Gamma_{\text{pa}}^C$ (solid lines), and globule, $\Gamma_{\text{pa}}^G$ (dashed lines), states on the proximal distance $r$ from the polymer surface at (a) $c_{\text{NaI}} = 0.05$ m, 0.1 m and (b) $c_{\text{NaI}} = 0.4$ m. In these cases, $c_{\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4} = 0$. The shaded intervals indicate the standard deviation of the mean, $\sigma/\sqrt{N}$, using sample standard deviations, $\sigma$, and $N = 10$ blocks, respectively.
Fig. S3 Iodide ions: dependence of the preferential binding coefficients of the coil, $\Gamma_{CpI}^C$ (solid lines), and globule, $\Gamma_{GpI}^C$ (dashed lines), states on the proximal distance $r$ from the polymer surface at (a) $c_{NaI}=0.05$ m, 0.1 m and (b) $c_{NaI}=0.4$ m. In these cases, $c_{Na_2SO_4}=0$. The shaded intervals indicate the standard deviation of the mean, $\sigma/\sqrt{N}$, using sample standard deviations, $\sigma$, and $N=10$ blocks, respectively.

Fig. S4 Dependence of the preferential binding coefficients of the coil (solid lines) and globule (dashed lines) states on the proximal distance $r$ from the polymer surface at different NaI concentration for (a) all ions ($\Gamma_{pC}^C$, $\Gamma_{pG}^C$) and (b) sulfate ions ($\Gamma_{pC}^{pS}$, $\Gamma_{pG}^{pS}$). In these cases, the concentration of the background salt is fixed at $c_{Na_2SO_4}=0.3$ m. The shaded intervals indicate the standard deviation of the mean, $\sigma/\sqrt{N}$, using sample standard deviations, $\sigma$, and $N=10$ blocks, respectively.
Fig. S5 Iodide ions: dependence of the preferential binding coefficients of the coil, $\Gamma_{CpI}^C$ (solid lines), and globule, $\Gamma_{GpI}^C$ (dashed lines), states on the proximal distance $r$ from the polymer surface at (a) $c_{\text{NaI}}$=0.05 m, 0.1 m and (b) $c_{\text{NaI}}$=0.4 m. In these cases, the concentration of the background salt is fixed at $c_{\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4}$ = 0.3 m. The shaded intervals indicate the standard deviation of the mean, $\sigma/\sqrt{N}$, using sample standard deviations, $\sigma$, and $N$ = 10 blocks, respectively.

Fig. S6 Iodide-sodium: dependence of the iodide-sodium radial distribution function, $g_{I-Na^+}(r)$, on the distance $r$ for different $c_{\text{NaI}}$ at (a) $c_{\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4}$ = 0 and (b) $c_{\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4}$ = 0.3 m. Dependence of the excess ion pairing between iodide and sodium on $c_{\text{NaI}}$, in the presence and absence of sodium sulfate, for (c) contact ion pairs (CIP) and (d) solvent shared ion pairs (SIP). The vertical lines in (a) and (b) indicate the regions from which the excess ion pairing is calculated for CIP and SIP.
Fig. S7 Iodide-water: dependence of the iodide-water radial distribution function, $g_{I^{-}--OW}$, on the distance $r$ for different $c_{NaI}$ at (a) $c_{NaSO_4} = 0$ and (b) $c_{NaSO_4} = 0.3$ m. Dependence of the iodide-water affinity on $c_{NaI}$, in the presence and absence of sodium sulfate, for (c) the 1st hydration shell (d) 2nd hydration shell. The vertical lines in (a) and (b) indicate the regions from which the iodide-water affinity is calculated for the 1st and 2nd hydration shells.
Fig. S8 Sulfate-water: (a) dependence of the sulfate-water radial distribution function, $g_{SO_4^{2-}-OW}$, on the distance $r$ for different $c_{NaI}$ at $c_{Na_2SO_4} = 0.3$ m. Dependence of the sulfate-water affinity on $c_{NaI}$, in the presence and absence of sodium sulfate, for (b) the 1st hydration shell (c) 2nd hydration shell. The vertical lines in (a) indicate the regions from which the iodide-water affinity is calculated for the 1st and 2nd hydration shells.
**Fig. S9** Sulfate-sodium: (a) dependence of the sulfate-sodium radial distribution function, $g_{SO_4^{2-}-Na^+}(r)$, on the distance $r$ for different $c_{NaI}$ at $c_{Na_2SO_4} = 0.3$ m. Dependence of the excess ion pairing between sulfate and sodium on $c_{NaI}$ for (b) solvent shared ion pairs (SIP) and (c) solvent separated ion pairs (SSIP). The vertical lines in (a) indicate the regions from which the excess ion pairing is calculated for SIP and SSIP.