Supplementary Information: Carboxylate Binding to Two Ions

Mark J. Stevens^{*,†} and Susan L. B. Rempe^{*,‡}

†Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, 87185

‡Center for Biological and Engineering Sciences, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, 87185

E-mail: msteve@sandia.gov; slrempe@sandia.gov

Additional Geometries

In Fig. S1 the configuration for n = 3 that puts two Na atoms in 4-fold coordination by the O atoms is shown. This structure was created by starting with the optimized structure for a single Na and two acetates with has the single Na in a 4-fold coordination. The midpoint between the two O atoms of the bottom acetate is moved to the origin and the acetate is rotated 90 degrees. The top acetate and Na atom are copied via a mirror image in the z-plane (i.e. $z \rightarrow -z$). In this way the O atoms and both Na atoms are in the tetrahedral coordination that is optimal for the single Na with two acetates. For this structure $\Delta G = -303$ kcal/mol which is much higher than the -341 kcal/mol of the optimal structural.

The n = 4 structure for Na is similar to that for K and Cs, but has 1 3-fold coordinated ion and 1 4-fold coordinated. In Figure S2, which shows the geometry of the Na case with 4 acetates, the O atom labeled 10 in the figure is the key difference. For both Na and K 10 binds to cation atom 16, but for K it also binds to other cation, atom 1. For Na the



Figure S1: Configuration for two Na atoms (blue) and 3 acetate with both Na atoms having 4 fold coordination with the O atoms.



Figure S2: Optimized configuration for four acetates (n = 4) for Na. Labels are used for distances in Table S4.

1:10 distance is 3.599Å compared to 2.240Å and 2.283Å for the 1:11 and 10:16 distances, respectively. For the K and Cs n = 4 cases, the atoms 1, 29, 16 and 10 almost form a square (it is a coplanar rectangle). In contrast, for Na atoms 1, 29, 16, 10, 11 form a pentagon. For the Na case, a rotation of the ligand containing O atoms 10 and 11, will transform the structure into a structure equivalent to the K structure. However, as stated in the main text, this structure has a higher free energy.

Data Tables

Table S1: Free energies (ΔG in kcal/mol) for formation of ion-ligand complexes composed of two monovalent ions and n acetate ligands in a low dielectric environment ($\epsilon = 1$)

\overline{n}	Li	Na	К	Cs
1	-210.1	-173.9	-148.1	-92.1
2	-362.9	-307.0	-261.7	-152.8
3	-397.0	-343.1	-295.0	-147.0
4	-359.0	-309.8	-264.6	-89.1

Table S2: Distances (in Å) between atom pairs of types A:B in optimized structures for n = 1. X represents cation. Indices are given in Fig. 1.

indices	А	В	Li	Na	Κ	\mathbf{Cs}
1:9	Х	Х	5.253	5.992	6.680	7.552
1:4	Х	0	1.696	2.054	2.401	2.839
3:9	Х	0	1.695	2.054	2.399	2.839
2:3	С	0	1.266	1.265	1.265	1.264
2:4	С	0	1.264	1.265	1.264	1.265
2:5	С	С	1.510	1.520	1.525	1.532

Table S3: Distances (in Å) between atom pairs of types A:B in optimized structures for n = 2. X represents cation. Indices are given in Fig. 2.

indices	А	В	Li	Na	K	Cs
1:9	Х	Х	2.794	3.130	3.797	4.575
1:4	Х	Ο	1.767	2.153	2.517	2.967
1:11	Х	0	1.808	2.239	2.604	3.047
1:12	Х	0	2.859	2.410	2.745	3.228
2:3	С	0	1.288	1.283	1.258	1.278
2:4	С	0	1.255	1.259	1.282	1.258
2:5	С	С	1.511	1.519	1.525	1.532
9:3	Х	0	1.909	2.239	2.604	3.047
9:4	Х	0	2.142	2.410	2.745	3.228
9:12	Х	0	1.809	2.153	2.517	2.967
10:11	С	0	1.271	1.259	1.258	1.258
10:12	С	0	1.269	1.283	1.282	1.278
10:13	С	С	1.516	1.519	1.525	1.532

indices	А	В	Li	Na	Κ	Cs
1:16	Х	Х	5.025	3.286	3.883	4.628
1:3	Х	0	1.972	2.351	2.695	3.157
1:4	Х	0	1.973	2.302	2.665	3.114
1:11	Х	0	1.829	2.303	2.666	3.114
1:10	Х	0		2.351	2.696	3.157
3:16	Х	0		2.289	2.672	3.143
16:10	Х	0	1.803	2.289	2.676	3.143
16:18	Х	0	1.970	2.333	2.684	3.141
16:19	Х	0	1.970	2.333	2.684	3.141
2:3	С	0	1.270	1.272	1.273	1.272
2:4	С	0	1.270	1.265	1.263	1.263
2:5	С	С	1.526	1.527	1.532	1.537
9:10	С	0	1.262	1.272	1.273	1.272
9:11	С	0	1.261	1.265	1.263	1.263
9:12	С	С	1.529	1.527	1.532	1.537
17:18	С	0	1.271	1.267	1.267	1.266
17:19	С	0	1.270	1.267	1.267	1.266
17:20	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{C}	1.525	1.535	1.539	1.543

Table S4: Distances (in Å) between atom pairs of types A:B in optimized structures for n = 3. X represents cation. Indices are given in Fig. 3.

indices	Α	В	Li	Na	K	Cs
1:16	Х	Х	3.919	3.822	4.111	4.914
1:3	Х	0	1.871	2.178	2.559	3.021
1:11	Х	0	1.894	2.240	2.761	3.216
1:29	Х	0	1.904	2.245	2.662	3.109
1:10	Х	0		3.597	2.840	3.346
16:10	Х	0	1.893	2.283	2.662	3.106
16:19	Х	0	1.872	2.207	2.559	3.022
16:25	Х	0	1.904	2.407	2.760	3.338
16:29	Х	0		2.490	2.841	3.217
2:3	С	0	1.275	1.271	1.271	1.269
2:4	С	0	1.252	1.252	1.254	1.255
2:5	С	С	1.545	1.549	1.550	1.552
9:10	С	0	1.262	1.258	1.271	1.270
9:11	С	0	1.261	1.266	1.260	1.260
9:12	С	С	1.539	1.541	1.539	1.543
17:18	С	0	1.253	1.254	1.254	1.255
17:19	С	0	1.274	1.269	1.271	1.269
17:20	С	С	1.545	1.550	1.550	1.552
26:25	С	0	1.261	1.257	1.260	1.260
26:29	С	Ο	1.261	1.274	1.271	1.270
26:27	С	С	1.539	1.535	1.539	1.543

Table S5: Distances (in Å) between atom pairs of types A:B in optimized structures for n = 4. X represents cation. Indices are given in Fig. 4.

^{*a*} top structure in Fig. 4, lowest G. ^{*b*} bottom structure in Fig. 4.