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Fig. S1 : Cut-off energy optimization for WZ phase of MO and MX compounds.


Fig. S2: CBM (red line) and VBM (blue line) as a function of uniaxial strain of MX 2L (high symmetry direction $\Gamma \rightarrow K$ )


Fig. S3: Ball and stick model of optimized structures of various thick (1L to 10 L ) ZnS (lower diagonal) and CdS (upper diagonal) surface slabs. Grey, sandal and yellow coloured ball represent $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Cd}$ and S atoms, respectively.


Fig. S4 : Layer resolved DOS of 10L thick WZ slab of ZnTe compound is given. For clarity, atomic structure is provided in right panel where layers are indexed. This figure clearly indicates the holes and electrons are spatially separated in the surface slab. This kind of feature can be seen in WZ slabs of these compounds.

Table S1: Typical $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{X}$ bond distances (in $\AA$ ) along xy-axis of various thick (1L to 10 L ) of 2D-MX surface slabs. For comparsion, bulk bond distances are given at last row.

| $\mathbf{n L}$ | Zn-O | Zn-S | Zn-Se | Zn-Te |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.896 | 2.236 | 2.364 | 2.563 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.925 | 2.303 | 2.448 | 2.649 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.935 | $2.269-2.293$ | $2.383-2.439$ | $2.567-2.647$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.942 | $2.297-2.303$ | $2.444-2.447$ | $2.647-2.648$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.946 | $2.258-2.303$ | $2.383-2.446$ | $2.566-2.654$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 1.949 | $2.295-2.305$ | $2.443-2.449$ | $2.648-2.650$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 1.952 | $2.304-2.277$ | $2.375-3.449$ | $2.599-2.671$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 1.954 | $2.294-2.304$ | $2.385-2.469$ | $2.648-2.650$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 1.955 | $2.295-2.305$ | $2.383-2.449$ | $2.564-2.652$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1.956 | $2.295-2.306$ | $2.390-2.455$ | $2.646-2.648$ |
| Bulk | 1.99 | 2.32 | 2.45 | 2.63 |

Table S2: Typical Cd-X bond distances (in $\AA$ ) along xy-axis of various thick (1L to 10L) of 2D-MX surface slabs. For comparsion, bulk bond distances are given at last row.

| $\mathbf{n L}$ | $\mathbf{C d}-\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{C d}-\mathbf{S}$ | Cd-Se | Cd-Te |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 2.115 | 2.446 | 2.572 | 2.760 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2.153 | 2.517 | 2.649 | 2.840 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 2.170 | $2.497-2.510$ | $2.594-2.646$ | $2.758-2.840$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 2.179 | $2.513-2.525$ | $2.642-6.50$ | $2.840-2.842$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 2.185 | $2.508-2.528$ | $2.589-2.658$ | $2.756-2.844$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 2.190 | $2.512-2.531$ | $2.639-2.652$ | $2.838-2.842$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 2.193 | $2.516-2.537$ | $2.587-2.666$ | $2.756-2.844$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 2.196 | $2.518-2.538$ | $2.640-2.655$ | $2.801-2.868$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 2.198 | $2.519-2.540$ | $2.597-2.662$ | $2.743-2.848$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 2.199 | $2.521-2.540$ | $2.643-2.656$ | $2.800-2.873$ |
| Bulk | 2.23 | 2.55 | 2.68 | 2.81 |

In these Tables (S1 \& S2), M-X bond distances, along xy axis of layers, in odd nL are observed to varied significanly as compared to even $n \mathrm{n}$, owing to instability of former case.

Table S3 : Interlayer distances (in $\AA$ ) of various thick ( nL ) of $2 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{ZnX}$ surface slabs are reported. Left and right diagonal panels correspond to even and odd number layered surface slabs, repectively.


Table S4: Interlayer distances (in $\AA$ ) of various thick ( nL ) of 2D-CdX surface slabs are reported. Left and right diagonal panels correspond to even and odd number layered surface sla bs, repectively.



Table S5: Interlayer distances (in $\AA$ ) of MX (expect CdO) various thick surface slabs (nL) are provided. Pink and yellow color marked boxes correspond to M-X bonding side and nonbonding side of surface slabs (refer Fig. 2), respectively.

| nL |  | INTERLAYERDISTANCE OF WURZITE SLABS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-2 | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 2.16 | 2.13 | 2.12 | 2.13 | 2.14 | 2.22 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.88 | 3.00 | 3.02 | 3.02 | 3.01 | 2.94 |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 2.14 | 2.09 | 2.08 | 2.08 | 2.09 | 2.10 | 2.18 |  |  |
|  |  | 2.91 | 3.04 | 3.06 | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.07 | 3.01 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 2.13 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.08 | 2.16 |  |
|  |  | 2.93 | 3.08 | 3.11 | 3.11 | 3.11 | 3.11 | 3.10 | 3.04 |  |
|  | 10 | 2.12 | 2.06 | 2.06 | 2.05 | 2.05 | 2.05 | 2.05 | 2.07 | 2.14 |
|  |  | 2.94 | 3.10 | 3.14 | 3.14 | 3.14 | 3.14 | 3.13 | 3.13 | 3.07 |
| $\stackrel{\curvearrowleft}{N}$ | 6 | 2.56 | 2.52 | 2.50 | 2.51 | 2.49 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.96 | 3.60 | 3.55 | 3.57 | 3.64 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 2.51 | 2.46 | 2.45 | 2.45 | 2.45 | 2.45 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.19 | 3.69 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.74 |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 2.43 | 2.40 | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.40 |  |  |
|  |  | 3.71 | 3.80 | 3.79 | 3.79 | 3.79 | 3.79 | 3.87 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 2.42 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 2.39 |  |
|  |  | 3.75 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.81 | 3.81 | 3.89 |  |
|  | 10 | 2.41 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 2.37 | 2.38 | 2.39 |
|  |  | 3.77 | 3.84 | 3.83 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 3.83 | 3.83 | 3.83 | 3.90 |
| $\stackrel{\cong}{n}$ | 5 | 2.62 | 2.64 | 2.68 | 2.67 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.89 | 3.77 | 3.92 | 2.82 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 2.59 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.63 | 2.66 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.96 | 3.85 | 3.83 | 3.93 | 2.84 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 2.57 | 2.59 | 2.65 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.00 | 3.91 | 3.92 | 3.90 | 3.96 | 2.88 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.53 | 2.53 | 2.53 | 2.54 | 2.54 | 2.57 | 2.66 |  |  |
|  |  | 4.02 | 3.94 | 3.96 | 3.96 | 3.93 | 3.97 | 2.91 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 2.52 | 2.52 | 2.51 | 2.52 | 2.52 | 2.53 | 2.56 | 2.67 |  |
|  |  | 4.04 | 3.97 | 3.98 | 3.98 | 3.98 | 3.95 | 3.98 | 2.91 |  |
|  | 10 | 2.52 | 2.51 | 2.51 | 2.51 | 2.51 | 2.51 | 2.52 | 2.56 | 2.67 |
|  |  | 4.05 | 3.99 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.97 | 3.99 | 2.92 |
|  |  | 2.86 | 2.92 | 2.82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.24 | 4.44 | 2.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.77 | 2.78 | 2.81 | 2.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.39 | 4.24 | 4.40 | 2.88 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.73 | 2.74 | 2.74 | 2.76 | 2.79 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.44 | 4.31 | 4.28 | 4.37 | 2.90 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.70 | 2.71 | 2.71 | 2.71 | 2.73 | 2.79 |  |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { N }}$ |  | 4.48 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.29 | 4.37 | 2.90 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.69 | 2.70 | 2.70 | 2.70 | 2.70 | 2.72 | 2.78 |  |  |
|  |  | 4.51 | 4.35 | 4.35 | $4 . .5$ | 4.30 | 4.36 | 2.91 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 2.68 | 2.69 | 2.71 | 2.69 | 2.69 | 2.69 | 2.71 | 2.78 |  |
|  |  | 4.52 | 4.37 | 4.38 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.33 | 4.38 | 2.91 |  |
|  | 10 | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.68 | 2.70 | 2.78 |
|  | 10 | 4.53 | 4.38 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.37 | 4.36 | 4.31 | 4.35 | 2.91 |



Note that in last two layers (particularly for MSe, MTe cases), the M-X interlayer distances in both bonding and non-bonding sides are almost equal due to formation of bilayer in the WZ slabs.

Table S6- Buckling distances ( $\Delta \mathrm{z}$ in $\AA$ ) of various thick $2 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{ZnX}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te})$ surface slabs.

| Layer | 1L | 2L | 3L | 4L | 5L | 6L | 7 L | 8L | 9L | 10L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1L | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2L | 10.473 | $-0.47 \overline{5}$ I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3L | 10.254 | 0.006 | 0.26 ${ }^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4L | 10.402 | -0.33 | 0.331 | -0.4 ${ }^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5L | 10.318 | -0.171 | 0.005 | 0.162 | -0.309 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6L | 10.371 | -0.275 | 0.213 | -0.212 | 0.279 | $-0.37 \overline{2} 1$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7L | 10.007 | -0.005 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.002 | 0.005 | -0.007 |  |  |  |
| 8L | 10.335 | -0.222 | 0.134 | -0.108 | 0.109 | -0.135 | 0.228 | $-0.33 \overline{6}$ I |  |  |
| 9L | 10.324 | -0.203 | 0.096 | -0.045 | 0.002 | -0.035 | 0.089 | -0.194 | 0.318 |  |
| 10L | 10.318 | -0.197 | 0.099 | -0.06 | 0.044 | -0.046 | 0.064 | -0.103 | $0.202[-0.321$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1L | 0.409 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\operatorname{ZnSe}$ |  |
| 2L | 0.724 | -0.723 I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3L | 10.271 | 0.477 | -0.584 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4L | 10.688 | -0.656 | 0.653 | $-0.65 \overline{8} 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5L | 10.022 | -0.018 | 0 | 0.018 | -0.022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6L | 10.684 | -0.65 | 0.632 | -0.632 | 0.649 | -0.682 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 7L | 10.658 | -0.629 | 0.595 | -0.595 | 0.51 | -0.426 | -0.297 |  |  |  |
| 8L | 10.679 | -0.642 | 0.626 | -0.622 | 0.622 | -0.623 | 0.642 | $-0.67 \overline{7} 1$ |  |  |
| 9L | 0.658 | -0.63 | 0.603 | -0.609 | 0.597 | -0.599 | 0.511 | -0.426 | $-\overline{-296}$ I |  |
| 10L | 10.673 | -0.637 | 0.619 | -0.619 | 0.618 | -0.619 | 0.621 | -0.623 | 0.641 [-0.675 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1L | 0.565 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ZnTe |  |
| 2L | 10.985 | -0.984 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3L | 0.66 | 0.942 | -0.948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4L | 10.98 | -0.974 | 0.974 | -0.98 ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5L | 0.662 | 0.94 | -0.938 | 0.966 | -0.965 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6L | 0.981 | -0.974 | 0.971 | -0.972 | 0.973 | $-0.981$ |  |  |  |  |
| 7L | 0.663 | 0.939 | -0.936 | 0.962 | -0.954 | 0.969 | -0.97 |  |  |  |
| 8L | 0.98 | -0.973 | 0.969 | -0.97 | 0.97 | -0.969 | 0.973 | -0.98 |  |  |
| 9L | 0.668 | 0.942 | -0.939 | 0.962 | -0.955 | 0.964 | -0.957 | 0.969 | $-0.968$ |  |
| 10L | 0.978 | -0.97 | 0.97 | -0.969 | 0.969 | -0.968 | 0.969 | -0.969 | 0.969 | -0.978 |

This buckling distances is higher value of MTe case, owing to having high covalency.

Table S7- Buckling distances (in $\AA$ ) of various thick 2D- $\mathrm{CdX}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}, \mathrm{Te})$ surface slabs.


This buckling distances is higher value of MTe case, owing to having high covalency,

We calculated the exfoliation energy ( $E_{\text {exf }}$ ) to form $n$ number of monolayers from respective surface slabs, with their thicknesses varying from 2 L to 10 L , from following equation.

$$
E_{e x f}=\frac{n * E_{1 L}-E_{n L}}{n}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{nL}}$ is total energy of surface slab with thickness of $\mathrm{nL}, \mathrm{E}_{1 \mathrm{~L}}$ is the energy of the monolayer and $n$ is the number of layer. Deduced $E_{\text {exf }}$ values for 2D-MO and 2D-MX surface slabs are given in Fig. S5. It shows that thinner slabs are easier to exfoliate, as compared to thicker slabs.


Fig. S5: Calculated $E_{\text {exf }}$ values for various thick (2L to 10L) 2D-MO and 2D-MX surface slabs are shown.


Fig. S6: DOS (a) and BS (b) of 3L (red line) and 4L (blue line) layered 2D- CdX surface slabs.


Fig. S7: DOS (a) and BS (b) of 10L thick WZ surface slab of MX compounds.

Table S 7 : Elastic constants $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11}, \mathrm{C}_{12}, \mathrm{C}_{22}, \mathrm{C}_{66}\right.$ in unit of $\left.\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}\right)$, layer modulus ( $\gamma, \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}$ ), Young's modules ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}$ ), Poisson's ratio (v) of 2L 2D-MX compounds are given.

| MX | Elastic Constants <br> $(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m})$ |  |  | Layer <br> modulus <br> $(\gamma)$ | Young <br> modulus <br> $(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m})$ | Poisson's <br> ratio <br> $(\mathrm{v})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{66}$ |  |  |  |
| ZnO | 124.4 | 79.09 | 22.66 | 90.43 | 74.139 | 0.636 |
| ZnS | 72.98 | 28.36 | 22.31 | 39.51 | 61.967 | 0.389 |
| ZnSe | 61.45 | 21.61 | 19.92 | 31.57 | 53.85 | 0.352 |
| ZnTe | 54.08 | 16.74 | 18.67 | 26.07 | 48.895 | 0.31 |
| CdO | 95.40 | 76.74 | 9.33 | 81.40 | 33.673 | 0.804 |
| CdS | 53.30 | 27.98 | 12.66 | 34.31 | 38.608 | 0.525 |
| CdSe | 42.93 | 19.38 | 11.77 | 25.27 | 34.178 | 0.452 |
| CdTe | 39.41 | 15.85 | 11.78 | 21.74 | 33.027 | 0.402 |

These following formulae are used to calculate mechanical properties mentioned in table as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma=\frac{1}{4}\left(c_{11}+c_{12}+2 c_{12}\right) \\
\mathrm{Y}=\frac{\left(C_{11} C_{22}-C_{12} C_{21}\right)}{C_{22}} \\
\mathrm{v}=\frac{c_{21}}{C_{22}}
\end{gathered}
$$



Fig. S8: Occupied DOS of 2L ZnTe is shown, where different discrete peaks (a to e) are marked. The partial change density isosurface plots are plotted for those peaks and their side and top views are presented.

Despite of vdW driven interlayer interaction in 2D-MX surface slabs, the bonding nature within layer is explored by performing partial charge density diagrams for 2 L ZnTe cases and they are shown in Fig. S5. Structurally, $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized cations (M) are located in the centre of tetrahedra that is formed by X atoms. Each X atom interacts with three M atoms and holding one lone pair, forming trigonal pyramid shape with bond angle of $107^{\circ}$. It is also seen in the charge density diagrams, donut like shapes (mixing of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ orbitals) that are presented in the both sides of bonding states ( $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ orbitals).

Table S9: Band gap (in eV ) of various thicknesses ( nL ) of 2D-MX surface slabs are shown, along with WZ bulk.

| nL | ZnO | ZnS | ZnSe | ZnTe | CdO | CdS | CdSe | CdTe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.66 | 2.61 | 1.91 | 1.694 | 0.83 | 1.68 | 1.33 | 1.31 |
| 2 | 1.41 | 2.66 | 1.85 | 1.01 | 0.55 | 1.87 | 1.61 | 1.11 |
| 3 | 1.28 | 1.54 | 0.62 | 0.06 | 0.39 | 1.60 | 0.66 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 1.20 | $2.34^{*}$ | 1.67 | 0.91 | 0.30 | $1.61^{*}$ | $1.28^{*}$ | 0.99 |
| 5 | 1.15 | $1.98^{*}$ | 0.55 | 0.047 | 0.24 | $1.44^{*}$ | $0.85^{*}$ | 0.00 |
| 6 | 1.11 | $2.12^{*}$ | $1.55^{*}$ | 0.885 | 0.20 | $1.52^{*}$ | $1.09^{*}$ | 0.96 |
| 7 | 1.08 | 1.86 | 0.52 | 0.073 | 0.17 | 1.47 | 0.51 | 0.00 |
| 8 | 1.07 | $2.00^{*}$ | $1.46^{*}$ | 0.883 | 0.14 | $1.47^{*}$ | $0.98^{*}$ | 0.90 |
| 9 | 1.05 | 1.91 | 0.54 | 0.07 | 0.12 | $1.43^{*}$ | $0.47^{*}$ | 0.00 |
| 10 | 1.04 | $1.96^{*}$ | $1.40^{*}$ | 0.87 | 0.10 | $1.42^{*}$ | 0.90 | 0.88 |
| $\infty \mathrm{~L}$ | 0.94 | 1.81 | 1.29 | 0.93 | 0.00 | 1.25 | 0.74 | 1.06 |
| WZ <br> Bulk | 0.76 | 2.28 | 1.40 | 1.36 | 0.00 | 1.23 | 0.67 | 0.78 |

The band gap is calculated by deducing BS calculations. We observed direct band gap in all the cases. It is also noticed that indirect band gap value, in a few cases (* marked), is also lied closer to direct band gap.

Table S10 (a): Effective mass ( $e^{*}$ ) of various thicknesses of 2D-ZnX various surface slabs

| nL | electron $\left(e_{e}^{*}\right)$ |  |  |  |  | hole $\left(e_{h}^{*}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ZnO | ZnS | ZnSe | ZnTe | ZnO | ZnS | ZnSe | ZnTe |  |
| 1 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 1.07 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.97 |  |
| 2 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 1.19 | 1.35 | 1.03 | 1.38 |  |
| 3 | 0.22 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 1.26 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 1 |  |
| 4 | 0.21 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 1.3 | 1.03 | 1.12 | 1.12 |  |
| 5 | 0.2 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 1.33 | 0.8 | 0.82 | 0.66 |  |
| 6 | 0.2 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 1.36 | 1.26 | 0.4 | 1.01 |  |
| 7 | 0.2 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 1.37 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.99 |  |
| 8 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 1.39 | 1.72 | 3.31 | 1.1 |  |
| 9 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 1.43 | 2.92 | 0.82 | 0.98 |  |
| 10 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 1.44 | 2.56 | 3.07 | 1.1 |  |

Table S10 (b): Effective mass $\left(e^{*}\right)$ of various thicknesses of 2D-CdX various surface slabs.

| nL | $\left(e_{e}^{*}\right.$ |  |  |  |  | $\left(e_{h}^{*}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CdO | CdS | CdSe | CdTe | CdO | CdS | CdSe | CdTe |  |
| 1 | 0.2 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 0.65 | 0.69 | 0.67 |  |
| 2 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.76 | 1.13 | 0.89 | 0.93 |  |
| 3 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 1.31 | 0.86 | 0.89 | 0.99 |  |
| 4 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 1.41 | 0.89 | 2.66 | 1.22 |  |
| 5 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 1.87 | 0.91 | 0.75 | 1 |  |
| 6 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 1.4 | 1.49 | 0.28 | 1.26 |  |
| 7 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 1.4 | 1.06 | 0.89 | 1.01 |  |
| 8 | 0.19 | 0.2 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 1.92 | 1.21 | 0.8 | 1.69 |  |
| 9 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 1.72 | 1.28 | 0.9 | 1 |  |
| 10 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1.64 | 1.41 | 1.58 | 1.54 |  |

