## Photochemical carbon-sulfur bond cleavage in thioethers mediated via excited state Rydberg-to-valence evolution

Nikoleta Kotsina, Sebastian L. Jackson, Thomas Malcomson, Martin J. Paterson and Dave Townsend

## Electronic Supplementary Information

Absorption spectra plus additional quantum chemistry calculations, TRPEI data and analysis.



**Figure S1:** Vapour-phase UV absorption spectra of DES and THT recorded using a commercial benchtop spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2550).



**Figure S2:** Normalized data points show 200/267 nm pump/probe transient photoelectron signals integrated over energy regions corresponding to 4s and  $n\sigma^*$ -type ionization in DES under lower probe intensity conditions. No significant differences in timescale are seen, indicating that any extremely rapid transitory Rydberg-to-valence dynamics are not resolvable given our experimental cross-correlation of 200 ± 10 fs FWHM.



**Figure S3:** EOM-CCSD potential energy cuts for the  $S_0$ , 4s and 4p states of THI along C-S-C bending coordinate. The point of electronic degeneracy between the 4s state and 4p manifold (~15° from the  $S_0$  minimum) is slightly more displaced here than for DES and THT (~6°) but does not appear to significantly reduce internal conversion rates following 200 nm excitation.



**Figure S4:** Transient signals from THT under both lower and higher intensity probe conditions when integrating TRPEI signals over all photoelectron kinetic energies following 200/267 nm pump/probe measurements. The enhancement of the long-lived signal extending to 50 ps is clearly seen in the higher probe intensity regime. See Figs. 4 and 7 in the main text for the corresponding energy resolved data.

	nơ*	Radical intermediate	4s	4p
(Higher power)	$\begin{array}{l} \beta_2 = 0.30(1) \\ \beta_4 = 0.03(1) \\ \beta_6 = 0.01(1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \beta_2 = 0.90(4) \\ \beta_4 = 0.19(2) \\ \beta_6 = 0.03(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \beta_2 = 1.34(4) \\ \beta_4 = 0.28(4) \\ \beta_6 = 0.18(3) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \beta_2 = 1.58(4) \\ \beta_4 = 0.44(3) \\ \beta_6 = 0.10(4) \end{array}$
(Lower power)	$\beta_2 = 0.33(2)$ $\beta_4 = -0.01(2)$	-	$\beta_2 = 0.94(6)$ $\beta_4 = 0.09(6)$	$\beta_2 = 0.87(3)$ $\beta_4 = 0.18(4)$
(Higher power)	$ \begin{aligned} \beta_2 &= 0.10(1) \\ \beta_4 &= -0.09(1) \\ \beta_6 &= 0.00(2) \end{aligned} $	$ \begin{aligned} \beta_2 &= 0.57(2) \\ \beta_4 &= -0.04(3) \\ \beta_6 &= -0.02(3) \end{aligned} $	$ \begin{aligned} \beta_2 &= 0.94(2) \\ \beta_4 &= 0.10(3) \\ \beta_6 &= 0.02(3) \end{aligned} $	$\begin{array}{l} \beta_2 = 0.87(1) \\ \beta_4 = 0.17(1) \\ \beta_6 = 0.06(2) \end{array}$
(Lower power)	$\beta_2 = 0.18(3)$ $\beta_4 = -0.20(4)$	-	$\beta_2 = 1.22(8)$ $\beta_4 = 0.25(7)$	$\beta_2 = 1.31(6)$ $\beta_4 = 0.34(6)$
(Higher power)	$      \beta_2 = 0.22(2) \\       \beta_4 = -0.03(2) \\       \beta_6 = -0.02(3) $	$ \begin{aligned} \beta_2 &= 0.59(2) \\ \beta_4 &= -0.01(2) \\ \beta_6 &= 0.00(3) \end{aligned} $	$\beta_2 = 1.32(2)$ $\beta_4 = 0.29(3)$ $\beta_6 = 0.01(4)$	$ \begin{aligned} \beta_2 &= 1.31(2) \\ \beta_4 &= 0.27(3) \\ \beta_6 &= -0.01(3) \end{aligned} $

**Table S1:** Photoelectron anisotropy parameters obtained for all systems and probe power regimes investigated in this study. Values were obtained from fits to the image data using a time- and energy-integrated form of Eq. 1 given (and discussed) in the main article text. Values in parentheses denote  $1\sigma$  uncertainties in the final significant figure. Energy integration windows vary slightly between systems but span the individual spectral features assigned to ionization from states exhibiting predominantly 4p, 4s and  $n\sigma^*$  character, as well as (for the higher probe intensity scenario) an electronically excited radial fragment (DES) or biradical ring-opened intermediate (THT and THI). See Fig. 7 in the main article text for guidance.