Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Catalysis Science & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

## **Supporting Information**

## Cerium versus zirconium UiO66 metal organic frameworks in coupling with CdS for H<sub>2</sub> evolution under visible light

Wenqing Hou, <sup>a</sup> Chen Chen, <sup>a</sup> Yaru Wang <sup>a</sup> and Yiming Xu\*<sup>a</sup>

State Key Laboratory of Silicon Materials and Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China.

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: +86 57187951895, Email: xuym@zju.edu.cn

Contents	Pages
Experimental procedures for sample synthesis	2/7
Table S1. Amounts of reagents used for synthesis	3/7
Table S2. Band gap energy estimation	
Table S3. XPS peak assignment	
Figure S1. SEM and TEM images	4/7
Figure S2–S3. N <sub>2</sub> adsorption, XRD, absorption spectra and $E_{g}$ calculation	5/7
Figure S4-S5. XPS spectra and solid characterization for others	
Figure S6. Characterization for irradiated solid and a six-repeat stability test	6/7
Figure S7-S9. (Photo)electrochemical date	7/7
References	

## **Experimental procedures for sample synthesis**

**Ce-U66 and CdS/Ce-U66.** Ce-U66 was prepared according to previous report.<sup>1</sup> Terephthalic acid (286 mg, 1.72 mmol) was dissolved in 9.6 mL of DMF, and then mixed with  $Ce(NH_4)_2(NO_2)_6$  (3.2 mL, 0.535 M). The mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 15 min. After that, the precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed three times with DMF and ethanol, respectively, and dried under a vacuum oven (60 °C, 12 h) CdS/Ce-U66 was prepared with the same procedure used for the synthesis of CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>).

**Zr-U66 and CdS/Zr-U66.** Zr-U66 was synthesized according to previous report.<sup>2</sup> ZrCl<sub>4</sub> (233 mg) and terephthalic acid (166 mg) were dispersed in DMF (50 mL), followed by addition of 150  $\mu$ L acetic acid. After heating at 120 °C for 24 h, the solid was collected, washed with DMF and ethanol several times, respectively, and dried under a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight. Then 40% CdS/Zr-UiO-66 was synthesized with the same procedure used for the synthesis of CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>).

**Zr-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>) and CdS/Zr-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>).** Zr-U66-NH<sub>2</sub> was synthesized according to previous report.<sup>2</sup> ZrCl<sub>4</sub> (233 mg) and 2-aminoterephthalic acid (181 mg) were dispersed in DMF (50 mL), followed by addition of 150  $\mu$ L H<sub>2</sub>O. After heating at 120 °C for 24 h, the solid was collected, washed with DMF and ethanol several times, respectively, and dried under a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight. Then 40% CdS/Zr-UiO-66-NH<sub>2</sub> was synthesized with the same procedure used for the synthesis of CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>).

**0.5% Pt/CdS and 0.5% Pt/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>).** A mixture of  $3.2 \,\mu$ L H<sub>2</sub>PtCl<sub>6</sub> solution (8 wt%), 50 mL sacrifice aqueous solution (0.35 M Na<sub>2</sub>S, and 0.25 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>), and 25 mg CdS or Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>) was purged with N<sub>2</sub> for 30 min, and then irradiated for 2 h with four 3 W LED lamps (420 nm). After that, the solid was collected by centrifugation, washed with water, and dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight.

## References

 M. Lammert, M. T. Wharmby, S. Smolders, B. Bueken, A. Lieb, K. A. Lomachenko, D. D. Vos and N. Stock, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 12578–12581.
C. Gomes Silva, I. Luz, F. X. Llabrés i Xamena, A. Corma and H. García, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, **16** 11133–11138.

Table S1. Recipe for synthesis of CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>) samples

Reagents	30% CdS	40% CdS	50% CdS	60% CdS	CdS
Ce-U66(NH <sub>2</sub> ) (mg)	70	60	50	40	0
$Cd(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (mg)	55.3	73.8	92.2	110.6	184.4
$Na_2S \cdot 9H_2O$ (mg)	49.8	66.4	83.0	99.6	166

Table S2. Band gap energies estimated through different methods<sup>a</sup>

Samples	E <sub>sp</sub> (eV)	E <sub>diff</sub> (eV)	E <sub>g, direct</sub> (eV)	E <sub>g, indirect</sub> (eV)
Zr-U66	3.92	3.85	4.00	3.82
Ce-U66	3.05	2.95	3.18	2.98
Zr-U66(NH <sub>2</sub> )	2.80	2.85	2.82	2.65
Ce-U66-66(NH <sub>2</sub> )	1.92	_	2.86	1.60
CdS	2.25	2.25	2.38	2.08

 ${}^{a}E_{sp}$  is the spectral edge, while  $E_{diff}$ ,  $E_{g, direct}$ , and  $E_{g, indirect}$  are the differentiated, direct and indirect band gap energies, respectively. Please see the details in Fig. S3. Because  $E_{diff}$  is independent of the transition type, its value is used as the working band gap energy. However, the  $E_{diff}$  for Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>) was not clearly defined. Then the  $E_{sp}$  is used as the working band gap energy for Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>).

Table S3. XPS analysis for Ce<sup>3+</sup> and Ce<sup>4+</sup> species in different samples<sup>a</sup>

Spacios -	U66(Ce)-NH <sub>2</sub>		40% CdS/U66(Ce)-NH <sub>2</sub>			<sup>b</sup> 40% CdS/U66(Ce)-NH <sub>2</sub>			
species	BE (eV)	Α	Y (%)	BE (eV)	А	Y (%)	BE (eV)	Α	Y (%)
Ce <sup>3+</sup>	881.2	5322		881.3	3963		881.3	5907	
	885.6	66820	45.4	885.7	31254	<b>1E</b> 1	885.6	46795	40.5
	899.4	21364		899.4	14230	45.1	899.4	18903	
	904.0	112709		904.0	41811		904.0	53462	
Ce <sup>4+</sup>	883.0	58591		883.0	38520		882.8	44138	
	887.5	52388	54.6	887.6	22316		887.6	35676	59.5
	898.4	4322		898.5	2041	E4 0	898.5	7336	
	901.5	23936		901.5	10051	54.9	901.3	22936	
	907.4	49349		907.5	20006		907.4	37996	
	917.1	59796		917.1	18022		917.0	35627	

<sup>a</sup>BE, binding energy; A, peak area; Y, relative content. <sup>b</sup>After 8 h photoreaction.



**Fig. S1** SEM images (top two panels) for (A) Ce-U66, (B) Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>), (C) CdS, (D) 40% CdS/ Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>), and (E-I) Elemental mapping for 40% CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>). TEM images (bottom panel) for (J) Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>), (K) CdS, and (L) 40% CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>).



**Fig. S2** (A, B) Adsorption and desorption isotherms  $N_2$ , (C) BJH pore size distribution, (D) XRD patterns, and (E) absorption spectra for *x*CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>), where *x* was (a) 0, (b) 30, (c) 40, (d) 50, and (e) 60 wt%. The column bars represent the patterns for cubic CdS (PDF 80-0019).



**Fig. S3** Spectral edge  $(E_{sp})$ , differentiated band gap energy  $(E_{diff})$ , direct transition band gap energy  $(E_{g, direct})$ , and indirect transition band gap energy  $(E_{g, indirect})$ . The calculation is based on the equation of  $\alpha E_{hv} = a(E_{hv} - E_g)^m$ , where  $\alpha$  is absorption coefficient,  $E_{hv}$  is light energy, a is constant,  $E_g$  is band gap energy (m = 0.5, direct; m = 2, indirect). From the equation, we have  $d(\alpha E_{hv})/dE_{hv} = m/(E_{hv} - E_g)$ . Then  $d(\alpha E_{hv})/dE_{hv}$  is infinite at  $E_{hv} = E_g$ , which is  $E_{diff}$ , and is independent of m. These values are compiled in Table S2.



Fig. S4 XPS spectra for (a) CdS, (b) 40% CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>), and (c) Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>).



Fig. S5 (A) XRD patterns and (B) N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherms for differnt samples.



**Fig. S6 (A)** XRD patterns, (B) FT-IR spectra, and (C–E) XPS spectra for 40%CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>), (a) before and after H<sub>2</sub> production for 8 h. (F) Six-repeat production of H<sub>2</sub> on 40%CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>). After one run, the suspension was purged with N<sub>2</sub> for 30 min, and then irradiated, without addition of any new components.



Fig. S7 Curve fitting for proton reduction (top panels) and water oxidation (bottom panels).



**Fig. S8** (A) Nyquist plots at 0.2 V vs. NHE for (a) CdS, (b) Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>), (c) 40% CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>), measured in 0.5 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> under N<sub>2</sub>. The solid curves match an equivalent circuit model (insert), where  $R_1$  is series resistance,  $R_2$  is charge transfer resistance, and CPE<sub>1</sub> is bulk capacitance. (B) Measured and calculated emission intensity for CdS/Ce-U66(NH<sub>2</sub>), where the CdS content was measured by ICP.



Fig. S9 Mott–Schottky plots for the samples as indicated by the legends. Experiment was conducted at different frequencies in a bubbling  $N_2$  of 0.5 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>.