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11. General Experiment. All experiments were performed under passive conditions inside the glovebox, except for any case to be displayed. All solvents were purchased from Finar and used without further purification. Tetrahydrofuran, and toluene were dried with calcium hydride followed by distillation on $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{benzophenone}$. commercially available and used directly as received. 4,4-biphenyldicarboxylic acid was purchased from Alfa Aesar, and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker NMR 500 DRX spectrometer at 500 MHz and referenced to the proton resonance resulting from incomplete deuteration of the deuterated water ( $\delta 4.79$ ). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed on a PerkinElmer TGA7 system on wellground samples in a flowing nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ with a range of $40-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Room temperature powder X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker Advance diffractometer using Ni-filtered $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.5406 \AA$ ). Data were collected with a step size of $0.05^{\circ}$ and at a count time of 1s per step over the range $4^{\circ}<2 \theta<70^{\circ}$. The experimental and calculated PXRD patterns are in good agreement indicating the monophasic nature of the bulk samples. Samples were dried at room temperature for powder X-ray diffraction measurement. The liquid phase of catalytic reactions was determined by gas chromatography (GC) using Agilent 7890B gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and mass detector (Agilent 5977B GC/MSD). GC-MS conditions: Inj: $220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Det: $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Column temp: $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by a ramp of $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Column flow: $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Samples were diluted in a $5 \% \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ matrix and analyzed with a six-point standard curve over the range from 0.1 ppm to 20 ppm . The correlation coefficient was $>0.9990$ for all analytes of interest. All the N -formylation reactions were performed using 100 mL Parr pressure vessels (4793 (VGR)-T-SS-3000-DVD). The vessel was pressurized directly from a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas tank and a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas tank using a gauge ( $0-3000 \mathrm{psi}$ displayed, $0-200$ bar). To analyse the chemical state of transition elements, XPS was recorded on an X-ray photoelectron spectrometer, PHI 5000 VersaProbe III using Al-K $\alpha(h v=1486.6 \mathrm{eV}$ ) X-ray source. MOF samples were vacuum dried at room temperature, and then powder samples were measured ultra-high vacuum environment.
12. Synthesis and characterization of DUT-5-CoH. DUT-5-CoH was synthesized according to the reported procedure. ${ }^{1}$ A solvothermal reaction between 4,4'-biphenyldicarboxylic acid and aluminum chloride hexahydrate in DMF at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h resulted DUT-5-MOF as a white crystalline solid. The post-synthetic modification of the SBUs of the DUT-5-MOF was performed via deprotonation of its $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{OH}$ by $n$-BuLi followed by the addition of THF solution
of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ to afford the cobalt functionalized DUT-5 MOF (DUT-5-CoCl). The DUT-5-CoCl MOF was further treated with $\mathrm{NaEt}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$ to give DUT-5-CoH MOF via halide-hydride exchange reaction (Fig. S1).


Figure S1. Synthesis of DUT-5-CoH via post-synthetic metalation of SBUs of DUT-5 MOF.


Figure S2. TGA curve of freshly prepared DUT-5 (black) and DUT-5-CoCl (red). A solvent weight loss of $81 \%$ and $32 \%$ was observed in the room temperature to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range, respectively.

## 3. DUT-5-CoH catalysed $N$-formylation of amines using phenyl silane.

### 3.1. General procedure for DUT-5-CoH catalysed $N$-formylation of amines using phenyl

 silane. DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) in 3 ml of THF was transferred into a 50 mL glass liner in a glovebox. The amine substrate and phenyl silane were added to the mixture. Then, the liner was fitted in a Parr reactor and sealed. The sealed Parr reactor was taken out from the glovebox and purged it with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas two times. The Parr reactor was pressurized to 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or heating at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20-40 \mathrm{~h}$. After the completion of the reaction, the pressure of the reactor was released. The solid MOF was removed from suspension inside the glove box, washed with THF three times and reused. The combined organic extracts were concentrated in vacuo to yield corresponding $N$-formaldehyde as the pure product.
### 3.2. A Typical procedure for DUT-5-CoH catalysed $N$-formylation of $p$-methoxy benzylamine.



DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) in 3 ml of THF was transferred into a 50 mL glass liner in a glovebox. To the mixture, p-methoxy benzylamine ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane were added. Then, the liner was fitted in a Parr reactor and sealed. The sealed Parr reactor was taken out from the glovebox and purged it with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas two times. The Parr reactor was pressurized to 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and stirred at room temperature for 20 h . After the completion of the reaction, the pressure of the reactor was released. The solid MOF was removed from suspension inside the glove box, washed with THF multiple times, and reused. The combined organic extracts were concentrated in vacuo, followed by column chromatography using hexane and ethyl acetate ( $50: 1, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.6$ ) as an eluent to yield $N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)formamide as the pure product $(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.335 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%)$.

Table S1. Optimization reaction conditions for the $N$-formylation of $p$-methoxy benzylamine. ${ }^{a}$


| Entry <br> no. | Catalyst | Reductant | Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time (h) | Solvent | GC-Yield <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DUT-5-CoH <br> $(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | Phenylsilane (2 eq) | 25 | 24 h | THF | 80 |
| 2 | DUT-5-CoH <br> $(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}(10 \mathrm{bar})$ | 25 | 24 h | THF | 0 |
| 3 | DUT-5-CoH <br> $(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | Phenylsilane (1 eq) | 25 | 24 h | THF | 50 |
| 4 | DUT-5-CoH <br> $(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | Phenylsilane (2 eq) | 25 | 24 h | Toluene | 63 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | DUT-5-CoH <br> $(\mathbf{0 . 5 ~ m o l \% )}$ | Phenylsilane (2.3 eq) | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{~ h}$ | THF | $\mathbf{9 0}$ |
| 6 | DUT-5-CoH <br> $(0.1$ mol\%) | Phenylsilane (2.3 eq) | 25 | 72 h | THF | 81 |
| 7 | DUT-5-CoH <br> $(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | Phenylsilane (2.3 eq) | 25 | 6 h | THF | 55 |
| 8 | DUT-5-CoH <br> $(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | diethoxymethylsilane <br> $(2.3$ eq) | 25 | 20 h | THF | 0 |
| 9 | DUT-5-CoH <br> $(0.5 ~ m o l \%)$ | Poly(methylhydrosilo <br> xane) (2.3 eq) | $25-100$ | 20 h | THF | 0 |
| 10 | No MOF <br> catalyst | Phenylsilane (2.3 eq) | 25 | 20 h | THF | 3 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: DUT-5-CoH, 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 3.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ solvent.

### 3.3. General procedure DUT-5-CoH catalyzed $\boldsymbol{N}$-formylation of amines using $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$.

DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) in 3 ml of THF was transferred into a 50 mL glass liner in a glovebox. The amine substrate was added to the mixture. Then, the liner was fitted in a Parr reactor and sealed. The sealed Parr reactor was taken out from the glovebox and purged it with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas two times. The Parr reactor was pressurized to 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ followed by 10 bar of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h . After the successful completion of the reaction, the reactor was cooled to room temperature, and the pressure was released. The solid MOF was removed from suspension inside the glove box, washed with THF three times and reused. The combined organic extracts were concentrated in vacuo to yield corresponding $N$-formaldehyde as the pure product.

### 3.4. Typical procedure for the DUT-5-CoH catalyzed $\boldsymbol{N}$-formylation of $\boldsymbol{o}$-anisidine using $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.



DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) in 3 ml of THF was transferred into a 50 mL glass liner in a glovebox. To the mixture, o-anisidine ( $42 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Then, the liner was fitted in a Parr reactor and sealed. The sealed Parr reactor was taken out from the glovebox and purged it with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas two times. The Parr reactor was pressurized to 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ followed by 10 bar of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h . After the successful completion of the reaction, the reactor was cooled to room temperature, and the pressure was released. The solid MOF was removed from suspension inside the glove box, washed with THF multiple times, and reused. The combined organic extracts were concentrated in vacuo to yield N -(2methoxyphenyl)formamide as the pure product. ( $0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 0.300 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ).

Table S2. Optimization reaction conditions for the $\boldsymbol{N}$-formylation of $\boldsymbol{p}$-toluidine. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$


| Entry <br> no. | Catalyst | Reductant | Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time (h) | Solvent | GC-Yield <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DUT-5-CoH | Phenylsilane <br> $(2.3$ eq. $)$ | 100 | 40 h | THF | 4 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | DUT-5-CoH | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (10 bar) | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0} \mathbf{h}$ | THF | $\mathbf{8 0}$ |
| 3 | DUT-5-CoH | $\mathrm{H}_{2}(10 \mathrm{bar})$ | 25 | 40 h | THF | 2 |
| 4 | DUT-5-CoH | $\mathrm{H}_{2}(10 \mathrm{bar})$ | 100 | 40 h | Toluene | 0 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: DUT-5-CoH $(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Co}), 10$ bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 3.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ solvent.

### 3.7. Investigation of the effect of varying substrate sizes on the rate of catalysis.



Figure S3. Comparative study of the effect of varying substrate sizes on the rate of N formylation catalysed by DUT-5-CoH.

Inside the glovebox, butylamine ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 2-ethyl hexylamine ( $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.375 mmol ), were added separately into two 50 mL glass liners. Then, DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) as a slurry in 3 mL THF and $106 \mu \mathrm{l}$ phenylsilane were added to each of the liners, and were fitted in two separate Parr reactors. The reactors were sealed, then taken out from the glove box, purged two times with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and then pressurized each of them to 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Reactors were then stirred at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . After the successful completion of the reaction, the pressure of the reactors was released. The solid MOF was removed from suspension inside the glove box, washed with THF multiple times, and reused. The combined organic extract of both the reactions was concentrated and analyzed using GC-MS. The $N$-butylformamide from butylamine and $N$-(2-ethylhexyl)formamide from 2-ethyl hexylamine were produced in $99 \%$ and $81 \%$ GC-yields, respectively. This experiment demonstrates that the rate of $N$-formylation with larger substrates is slower, presumably due to the slower diffusion of the larger branched substrates and the corresponding products through the MOF's channels.

### 3.8. Test for "heterogeneity" of DUT-5-Co in $\boldsymbol{N}$-formylation of amines.



Figure S4. Heterogeneity test of DUT-5-CoH for the $N$-formylation of amines.

DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) in 3 ml of THF was transferred into a 50 mL glass liner in a glovebox. To the mixture, p-methoxy benzylamine ( $49 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $106 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Phenyl silane were added. Then, the liner was fitted in a Parr reactor and sealed. The sealed Parr reactor was taken out from the glovebox and purged it with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas two times. The Parr reactor was pressurized to 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . After the completion of the reaction, the pressure of the reactor was released. The solid MOF was removed from suspension to separate the solid and the supernatant, inside the glove box and washed with THF multiple times. The conversion analysed by taking aliquots from the organic extract gave $90 \% \mathrm{~N}$-(4methoxybenzyl)formamide.

Two reactions were set up separately in two different Parr reactors, one with the solid and the other one with the supernatant recovered from the previous reaction. The extracted solid and supernatant were added into two separate liners, and benzylamine ( $41 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{l}$ phenylsilane, and 3 mL of THF were added to each liner. Then both the liners were fitted into two separate Parr reactors and sealed. The sealed Parr reactor was taken out from the glovebox and purged it with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas two times. The Parr reactor was pressurized to 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . After the completion of the reaction, the pressure of the reactors was released. The reactions were analysed with the GC-MS, which showed that the reaction with the solid MOF gave $100 \%$ conversion with $85 \%$ yield, while the reaction with supernatant gave $0 \%$ conversion. This experiment excludes the potential of any leached Cospecies responsible for catalysis and confirms that solid DUT-5-CoH was the actual catalyst for the $N$-formylation of amines.
3.9. Hg test. A catalytic $N$-formylation of amine was carried out in the presence of a drop of Hg to investigate the role of any leached Co-nanoparticles in the solution responsible for catalysis. Hg is known to form an amalgam with metallic cobalt, thus removing any in-situborne metallic cobalt during catalysis. The details of the experiments are as follows:


Figure S5. Hg test in the $N$-formylation of benzylamine with DUT-5-CoH.

DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) was charged into two separate 3 mL vials and washed with THF multiple times inside the glovebox. The MOF as a slurry in 3 mL THF was transferred to the two separate 50 mL glass liners. Benzylamine ( $41 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{l}$ phenylsilane and 3 mL of THF was added to both the liners. In one of the reaction mixtures, a drop of mercury was added. Then, the liners were fitted in a Parr reactor and sealed. The sealed Parr reactors were taken out from the glovebox and purged it with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas two times, then pressurized with 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The reactors were then stirred at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . After the completion of the reaction, the pressure of the reactor was released. The solid MOF was removed from the suspension inside the glove box and washed with THF multiple times. The catalytical conversion was $100 \%$ for both the reactions analysed by GC-MS. We thus conclude that any leached Co-particles were not responsible for the catalytical activity, and DUT-5-CoH was the actual catalyst.

### 3.10. Recycling of DUT-5-CoH for the $\boldsymbol{N}$-formylation of amines.

In order to monitor the intrinsic initial activity of each run and also to check the stability of the MOF-catalyst, the recycle and reuse experiment using DUT-5-CoH was conducted at incomplete conversion ( $\sim 50-60 \%$ ) for same reaction time ( 4 h ). The catalytic activity of DUT-5-Co remained the same till run 16, and the leaching of Co and Al was very low (Table S3). The detailed procedure of the recycling experiments is given below.




Figure S6. Recycle and reuse of DUT-5-CoH in $N$-formylation of $p$-methoxy benzylamine.

DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) in 3 ml of THF was transferred into a 50 mL glass liner in a glovebox. To the mixture, p-methoxy benzylamine ( $49 \mu 1,0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ and $106 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Phenylsilane were added. Then, the liner was fitted in a Parr reactor and sealed. The sealed Parr reactor was taken out from the glovebox and purged it with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas two times. The Parr reactor was pressurized to 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After certain time intervals, the reaction was depressurized and a small aliquot from the reaction mixture was taken out and analysed by GC-MS. The solid was centrifuged out of the suspension, washed with THF multiple times, and recycled.

The recovered MOF-catalyst was again added to the liner. Then, 3 mL of THF, $106 \mu \mathrm{l}$ phenylsilane and $p$-methoxy benzylamine ( $49 \mu 1,0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to it and fitted in a Parr reactor. The reactor was sealed, taken out from the glovebox, and purged it with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas two times. The Parr reactor was pressurized to 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After the reaction, the solution was analysed in the same way as mentioned previously in run 1 . The recycling and reuse experiments were performed 15 times in total.

Table S3. \% GC-Yield of $\boldsymbol{N}$-(4-methoxybenzyl)formamide, the leaching of Co at various runs of the recycling of DUT-5- CoH in the $\boldsymbol{N}$-formylation of $\boldsymbol{p}$-methoxy benzylamine.

| No. of Run | Time | \% GC-Yield | \%Leaching (Co, Al) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run-1 | 4 h | 55 | $0.02,0.9$ |
| Run-2 | 4 h | 59 |  |
| Run-3 | 4 h | 52 |  |
| Run-4 | 4 h | 53 |  |
| Run-5 | 4 h | 58 |  |
| Run-6 | 4 h | 57 | $0.04,1.02$ |
| Run-7 | 4 h | 56 |  |
| Run-8 | 4 h | 58 | $0.05,1.67$ |
| Run-9 | 4 h | 55 |  |
| Run-10 | 4 h | 53 |  |
| Run-11 | 4 h | 57 | $0.08,2.01$ |
| Run-12 | 4 h | 54 |  |
| Run-13 | 4 h | 56 | 58 |
| Run-14 | 4 h | 58 | 55 |
| Run-15 | 4 h | 55 |  |
| Run-16 | 4 h | 54 |  |

3.9 Investigation of the effect of pore sizes on the rate of catalysis. The effect of pore sizes on the rate of catalytic reaction was investigated by comparing the rate of N -formylation reactions of amines catalyzed by DUT-5-CoH with that of MIL-53(Al)-CoH under identical reaction conditions. MIL-53(Al)-CoH has the same topology but smaller pore sizes compared to DUT-5-CoH.
3.9.3. Synthesis and characterizations of MIL-53(Al)-CoH. MIL-53(Al) was synthesized according to the reported procedure. ${ }^{1}$ MIL-53(Al) was then treated with $n$-BuLi in THF, to which a solution of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 0.144 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF was added to give a blue-coloured MOF of MIL-53(Al)-CoCl. It was then treated with $\mathrm{NaEt}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$ to finally produce MIL-53(Al)CoH MOF.

### 3.9.4. Comparison of the catalytic activities of DUT-5-CoH and MIL-53(AI)-CoH in the $N$-formylation of amines under identical conditions.




Figure S7. The $N$-formylation of 4-methoxybenzylamine catalyzed by DUT-5-CoH and MIL-$53(\mathrm{Al})-\mathrm{CoH}$ under identical reaction conditions.

Two separate 5 ml vials were charged with pre-activated DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{of} \mathrm{Co}$ ) and MIL-53(Al)-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) each and washed with THF multiple times. Each MOF slurry in 3 ml THF were transferred to two different 50 ml glass liners containing 4methoxybenzylamine ( $49 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Phenylsilane ( $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.865 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The liners were fitted into the Parr reactors and sealed properly. The sealed Parr reactors were taken out from the glove box. The reactors were purged twice with the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, then charged with 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ each and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . After the completion of the reaction, the pressure from the reactors was released. The solid MOF was then removed from suspension inside the glove box and the combined organic extracts were evaporated and analysed by GC-MS. The catalytical conversions for both the reactions were $100 \%$, while the selectivity to get $N$-(4methoxyphenyl)formamide were $90 \%$ and $40 \%$ with DUT-5-CoH and MIL53(Al)-CoH, respectively. This experiment indicates that the larger pore size MOF (DUT-5-CoH) has comparatively higher efficiency than the smaller pore size MIL-53(Al)-CoH MOF due to the facile diffusion of substrates into the larger pores of DUT-5-CoH.

### 3.10. Comparison of the catalytic activities of DUT-5-CoH and Co-nanoparticles in the $N$-formylation of amines under identical reaction conditions.



Figure S8. The $N$-formylation of morpholine catalyzed by DUT-5-CoH and Co-nanoparticles under identical conditions.

A 5 ml vial was charged with pre-activated DUT- $\mathrm{CoH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ) and washed with THF multiple times. The MOF slurry in 3 ml THF was transferred to a 50 ml glass liners containing morpholine ( $32 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Phenylsilane ( $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Another 5 ml vial was charged with $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co$)$ in 3 ml THF to which $\mathrm{NaBEt}_{3} \mathrm{H}(10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) was added and stirred gently for 1 h . The solution was then transferred to another 50 ml liner containing morpholine ( $32 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Phenylsilane ( $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Both the liners were fitted into Parr reactors and sealed properly. The sealed Parr reactors were taken out from the glove box. The reactors were purged two times with the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and then charged with 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ each and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . After the completion of the reaction, the pressure from the reactors was released. The solid MOF was then removed from suspension inside the glove box and washed with THF multiple times. The catalytical conversions were $100 \%$ and $0 \%$ with DUT-5-CoH and Co-Np, respectively, as analyzed by GC-MS suggesting the inactivity of Cobalt-nanoparticle in N -formylation reaction.

## 4. Determination of the rate law for DUT-5-CoH catalysed $N$-formylation of benzylamine.

 The rate law for N -formylation of benzylamine was determined by the method of initial rates (up to $10 \%$ conversion). ${ }^{2}$ The reactions were conducted in THF (total volume of solution was 3.0 mL ) in a Parr reactor at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For each kinetic experiment, a glass liner was charged with MOF-catalyst, benzylamine, phenylsilane and THF under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The liner was fitted in a Parr reactor and sealed properly, pressurized with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The concentration of the product was analysed by GC-FID using mesitylene as an internal standard. The initial rates for the $N$-formylation of benzylamine were measured for several substrateconcentrations at constant catalyst concentration. Linear regression fits for [benzylamine] versus time for the first 30 min of the reaction provided the initial rate ( $\mathrm{d}[$ benzylamine $] / \mathrm{d} t$ ) for a particular initial substrate concentration. To determine the rate dependence on one reagent, the concentration of that reagent was varied, while the concentration of other reagents, pressure, and the total volume of solution ( 3.0 mL ) were kept constant. The rate dependence on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure was measured, while keeping all the reagents constant and varying $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure. The rates refer to the rates of consumption of benzylamine in units of $\mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. To determine the rate dependence on the catalyst, the concentration of Co was varied between $6.33 \times 10^{-3}-2.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mM}$, while the initial concentrations of benzylamine were $1.83 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mM}$, concentration of phenylsilane was $4.21 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mM}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure was 10 bar. To determine the rate dependence on benzylamine, initial concentration of phenylsilane was $4.21 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mM}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure was 10 bar , while the concentration of benzylamine was varied between $9.1 \times 10^{-2}$ to $3.6 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mM}$, while the concentration of Co was $6.33 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mM}$. To determine the rate dependence on pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the concentrations of benzylamine were $1.83 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mM}$, concentration of phenylsilane was $4.21 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mM}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure was varied from 10-40 bar, while the concentration of Co was $6.33 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mM}$. To determine the rate dependence on phenylsilane, the concentration of phenylsilane was varied between $2.67 \times 10^{-1}-5.1 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mM}$, while the initial concentrations of benzylamine were $1.83 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mM}$, concentration of Co was $6.33 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mM}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure was 10 bar.



Figure S9. (a) Plot of initial rate -(d[benzylamine $] / \mathrm{d} t$ ) versus initial pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (bar) for the first 30 min ( $<10 \%$ conversion) in THF showing the independence of initial rates on $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure. (b) Plot of initial rate -(d[benzylamine]/dt) versus initial concentration of phenylsilane $(\mathrm{mM})$ for the first $30 \mathrm{~min}(<10 \%$ conversion) in THF showing the independence of initial rates on phenylsilane concentration.
5. Analysis of products by GC-MS. The conversions and yields of the reactions were determined by Agilent 7890B gas chromatograph equipped with a mass detector (Agilent 5977B GC/MSD) and HP-5MS Ultra Inert $30 \mathrm{~m}-250 \mu \mathrm{~m}-0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column for GC-MS. GCMS conditions: Inj: $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Det: $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Column temp: $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by a ramp of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Column flow: $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

Table S4. The GC-MS retention times of the arene substrates and the products.

| Entry | Substrate | Product | Retention <br> time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 20.02 |  |
| 2 |  | CHO |  |

(12)

| 15 |  |  | 10.62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 |  |  | 7.51 |
| 17 |  |  | 6.73 |
| 18 |  |  | 16.83 |
| 19 |  |  | 9.42 |
| 20 |  |  | $6.2^{a}$ |
| 21 |  |  | $4.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 22 |  |  | 9.18 |
| 23 |  |  | 16.03 |
| 24 |  |  | 15.96 |
| 25 |  |  | 14.88 |

${ }^{a}$ MS conditions: Inj: $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Det: $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Column temp: $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by a ramp of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Column flow: $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.
6. Table S5. Comparison of catalytic activity of DUT-5-CoH with that of other reported heterogeneous catalysts in N -formylation of amines with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Sl } \\ \text { no. } \end{array}$ | Reference | Catalyst | Conditions | Productivity | \% Yield of N Formaldehyde |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | New J. <br> Chem., $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2021,45, \\ 9501-9505 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \text { on }$ mesoporous organosilica | 1 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ( 5 bar), $\mathrm{PhSiH}_{3}$ ( 1 mmol), $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Catalyst, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ |  | 33-86\% |
| 2 | ACS <br> Sustainable <br> Chem. <br> Eng., 2020, <br> 8, 14, <br> 5576-5583 | Ru- $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}-\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Na} @ \mathrm{POPs}$ | 1 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (30 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (30 bar), $0.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Catalyst, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{DMI}$ | TON 250 | 30-80\% |
| 3 | Chem. Cat. <br> Chem., <br> 2018, 10, <br> 22, 5124- <br> 5127 | Mg -Al layered double hydroxide supported Pd catalyst | 1 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (30 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (30 bar), $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Catalyst, $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ |  | 88-97\% |
| 4 | Sci. China Chem., 2018, 61, 725-731 | $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{N}$-doped carbon nanocatalysts | 1 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (30 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (40 bar), 20 mg ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) Catalyst, $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24$ h, ethanol | TON 247 | 11-99\% |
| 5 | Chem. <br> Asian J., <br> 2018, 13, <br> 20, 3018- <br> 3021 | NHC-Ir coordination assembly | 10 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (30 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (30 bar), $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Catalyst, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | TON 990 | 53-97\% |
| 6 | Inorganica Chim. <br> Acta., 2020, 501, <br> 119274 | UiO-66 MOF | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{mmol} \text { amine, } \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (10 \mathrm{bar}), \text { DMAB }(1.5 \\ & \text { eq.), } 10 \mathrm{mg}(0.3 \\ & \text { mol } \%) \text { Catalyst, } 60^{\circ} \\ & \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, \text { DMF } \end{aligned}$ |  | 30-94\% |
| 7 | New J. Chem., $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2017, 41, } \\ & 2869-2872 \end{aligned}$ | CarPy-CMP@Ru <br> (Pyridine-functionalized organic porous polymers) | 1 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (40 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (40 bar), $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Catalyst, $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | TON 188 | 89-91\% |
| 8 | Chem. Cat. <br> Chem., <br> 2017, 9, <br> 11, 1939- <br> 1946 | Pd NPs@Hypercrosslinked Microporous Polymer | 1 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (10 bar), <br> Diphenylmethylsilane ( 2.5 mmol ), 50 mg ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) Catalyst, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$, dioxane+water |  | 79-97\% |


| 9 | Catal. <br> Lett., 2018, <br> 148, 2487- <br> 2500 | $\mathrm{FeNi}_{3} / \mathrm{KCC1} / \mathrm{APTPOSS} /$ TCT/PVA/Cu(II) MNPs (Copper(II) ComplexBased $\mathrm{FeNi}_{3} / \mathrm{KCC}-1$ ) | 10 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (15 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (20 bar), 18 mg Catalyst, $90^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$, dioxane |  | 58-96\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | ACS <br> Sustainable <br> Chem., <br> Eng. 2017, <br> 5, 3, 2516- <br> 2528 | Pd-Au@PolyanilineFunctionalized CNs | 1 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ( 35 bar ), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 35 bar ), $50 \mathrm{mg}(\mathrm{Pd} 1.6 \mathrm{wt} \%$, Au 3.0 wt \%) Catalyst, $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48$ h , dioxane |  | 0.1-95\% |
| 11 | Chem. Cat. <br> Chem., <br> 2017, 9, <br> 19, 3632- <br> 3636 | $\mathrm{Au} \mathrm{NPs} @ \mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 0.5 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (20 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (30 bar), $4.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Catalyst, $100-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5-20 \mathrm{~h}$, DMA |  | 91-99\% |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Chin. J. } \\ & \text { Catal., } \\ & 2019,40, \\ & 8,1141- \\ & 1146 \end{aligned}$ | Pd/PAL catalyst (PAL-Palygorskite) | 1 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (10 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (30 bar), $0.35 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Catalyst, $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | TON 283 | 76-99\% |
| 13 | ACS <br> Sustainable <br> Chem., <br> Eng., 2021, <br> 9, 48, <br> 16153- <br> 16162 | $\mathrm{Pd}_{0.25} \mathrm{Cu}_{0.75} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3-0.1}$ | 1 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (20 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (40 bar), 60 mg Catalyst, $150^{\circ}$ C, 3 h , THF | TON 527 | 8-99\% |
| 14 | Appl. <br> Catal. B., <br> 2021, 294, <br> 5, 120238 | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{TpPa}$ ( Zn single atom catalyst@COF) | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (10 bar), phenylsilane(2 eq.), $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, DMF | TON 51467 | 79-99\% |
| 15 | This work | DUT-5-CoH MOF | 0.375 mmol amine, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (10 bar), $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (10 bar), Phenylsilane ( 0.862 mmol ), 0.5 mol \% Catalyst, 25$100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{THF}$ | TON 4738 | 35-100\% |

## 7. Characterization of the products.

## $N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)formamide ${ }^{3}$


$N$-(4-methoxybenzyl)formamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $90 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), p-methoxy benzylamine ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and $10 \mathrm{bar}_{\mathrm{CO}}^{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.21$ (s, 1 H ), 7.16-7.28 (m, 2 H ), 6.85-6.91 (m, 2 H), $6.05(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.61 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## $N$-benzylformamide ${ }^{4}$


$N$-benzylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $85 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), benzylamine ( $41 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, 2 H ).

## $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylformamide ${ }^{5}$


$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $99 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), diisopropylamine ( $53 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(\mathrm{ppm}) 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 167.7, 46.1, 18.7.

## Piperidine-1-carbaldehyde ${ }^{4}$


piperidine-1-carbaldehyde was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $81 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT- $5-\mathrm{CoH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), piperidine ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.19\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.59-1.54 (m, 2 H ), 1.48-1.39 (m, 4 H$)$.

## $N$-(3-methylbenzyl)formamide ${ }^{6}$


$N$-(3-methylbenzyl)formamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $80 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT- $5-\mathrm{CoH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), 3methyl benzylamine ( $46 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.20\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.07-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.98$ (br, 1 H ), $4.48\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## $N$-(4-methylbenzyl)formamide ${ }^{6}$


$N$-(4-methylbenzyl)formamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $99 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT- $5-\mathrm{CoH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), 4methyl benzylamine ( $46 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.13\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.12-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.10$ (br, 1 H ), $4.41\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## $N$-hexylformamide ${ }^{4}$


$N$-hexylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $99 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT- $5-\mathrm{CoH}$ ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), hexylamine ( 49 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}), 106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h in $3 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{THF} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.14\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.52-1.49 (m, 2 H ), 1.32-1.28 (m, 6 H), 0.88-0.85 (m, 3 H ).

## $N$-butylformamide ${ }^{7}$


$N$-butylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $99 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), butylamine ( 37 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}), 106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h in $3 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{THF} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.05$ (d, 1 H ), 6.74 (s, 1 H ), 3.15 (m, 2 H ), 1.41 (q, 2 H ), 1.26 (h, 2 H), $0.83(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## $N$-octylformamide ${ }^{7}$


$N$-octylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $99 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co), octylamine (62
 $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): \delta 8.24-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.76$ (m, 3 H ).

## $N$-(3-chlorobenzyl)formamide ${ }^{6}$


$N$-(3-chlorobenzyl)formamide was synthesized in $85 \%$ yield with $76 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH $(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co$), m$ chloro benzylamine ( $46 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20
h. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.10\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.09-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.97$ (br, 1 H ), $4.39\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$.

## $N$-(4-fluorobenzyl)formamide ${ }^{3}$


$N$-(4-fluorobenzyl)formamide was synthesized in $60 \%$ yield with $42 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), $p$ fluoro benzylamine ( $43 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and $10 \mathrm{bar}_{\mathrm{CO}}^{2}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $05.98(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$.

## $N$-phenethylformamide ${ }^{8}$


$N$-phenethylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $75 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), phenethylamine ( $47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.09\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.33-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-$ $7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 161.2,138.4,128.7,128.6,126.6,39.1,35.4$.

## $N, N$-dibenzylformamide ${ }^{8}$


$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibenzylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $75 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT- $5-\mathrm{CoH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), dibenzylamine ( $41 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $27 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 162.8,135.9,135.5,128.8,128.6$, 128.4, 128.1, 127.6, 127.6, 50.2, 44.6.

## $N$-cyclohexylformamide ${ }^{7}$


$N$-cyclohexylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $81 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), cyclohexylamine ( $43 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 1.44-1.03 (m, 5 H).

## Morpholine-4-carbaldehyde ${ }^{4}$


morpholine-4-carbaldehyde was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $99 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), morpholine ( $32 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 7.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.44\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.35\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.21\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$.

## 4-Methylpiperazine-1-carbaldehyde ${ }^{7}$



4-methylpiperazine-1-carbaldehyde was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $99 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co), 1-methylpiperazine ( $42 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{dt}, 4$ H), $2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## $N$-isobutylformamide


$N$-isobutylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $92 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), isobutylamine ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ :

## $N$-isopropylformamide


$N$-isopropylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $99 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), isopropylamine ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ :

## Indoline-1-carbaldehyde ${ }^{9}$


indoline-1-carbaldehyde was synthesized in $75 \%$ yield with $62 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT- $5-\mathrm{CoH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), indoline $(42 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}), 106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.07\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.16\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=\right.$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ )

## N -(furan-2-ylmethyl)formamide ${ }^{10}$



N -(furan-2-ylmethyl)formamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $71 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT- $5-\mathrm{CoH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), furfurylamine ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $106 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Phenyl silane and $10 \mathrm{bar} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## $N$-(4-methoxyphenyl)formamide ${ }^{3}$



N -(4-methoxyphenyl)formamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $99 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), p-anisidine ( $43 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 10 bar $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $40 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 10.04(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=1.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.50\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=9.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.88\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=9.06 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$

## $N$-p-tolylformamide ${ }^{3}$


$N$ - $p$-tolylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ conversion with $72 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Co ), $p$ toluidine ( $41 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 10 bar $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and 10 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $40 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.55\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.07(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right)$, $6.91\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## N-tert-butylformamide. ${ }^{11}$



N -tert-butylformamide was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $87 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT- $5-\mathrm{CoH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{of} \mathrm{Co}$ ), 2-
 $20 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm}): 8.24\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 7.51 (brs, 1 H ), $1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

## $N$-Formyl-2,4,6-trimethylaniline. ${ }^{12}$


$N$-Formyl-2,4,6-trimethylaniline was synthesized in $100 \%$ yield with $40 \%$ selectivity according to the general procedure for the $N$-formylation of amines using DUT-5-CoH ( 0.5 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ of Co ), 2,4,6-trimethylaniline ( $52 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 10 bar $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $10 \mathrm{bar} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $40 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ : $8.39-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.26-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75$ (broad s, 1 H, NH), 2.29-2.21 (m, 9H); 8.39-8.03 (m, 1 H), 7.26-6.91 (m, 2 H), 6.75 (broad s, 1 H), 2.29-2.21 (m, 9 H).
8. DFT calculations. All quantum chemical calculations were done using the density functional theory (DFT) functional B3LYP/6-311G+g(d,p) as implemented in the Gaussian 09 software suite. ${ }^{13-17}$ Electronic structure complexes were optimized at the unrestricted level. All calculations were performed in the solvated state. We used the Polarizable Continuum Model (PCM) using the integral equation formalism variant (IEFPCM) as the default SCRF method by using THF as the solvent for DUT-5-CoH, TS-1, INT-1, INT-2, TS-2 in this DFT calculation. Each structure was first optimized, and then frequency calculation was performed to confirm its geometry and to obtain the thermochemical data.
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Figure S10. DFT-optimized structures of intermediates and transition states of the catalytic cycle in the proposed DUT-5-Co-catalyzed N -formylation of benzylamine.

## Cartesian coordinates of DUT-5-CoH

| S.No. | Atoms | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | O | 23.319438 | 12.268251 | 17.495488 |
| 2 | O | 20.453957 | 10.982861 | 19.188515 |
| 3 | O | 21.168411 | 13.120943 | 19.041482 |
| 4 | O | 22.064300 | 10.436492 | 17.187536 |
| 5 | O | 20.877464 | 12.655697 | 16.361554 |
| 6 | C | 23.165787 | 11.019724 | 17.328150 |
| 7 | C | 20.530048 | 12.211665 | 19.578564 |
| 8 | O | 26.283710 | 18.351115 | 19.347890 |
| 9 | O | 22.940871 | 14.333228 | 15.828435 |
| 10 | O | 21.749063 | 17.001247 | 17.684796 |
| 11 | O | 23.210770 | 16.710230 | 20.169471 |
| 12 | O | 20.723885 | 15.059633 | 17.251512 |
| 13 | O | 23.900483 | 18.782372 | 20.731556 |
| 14 | O | 25.580014 | 16.273207 | 18.786077 |
| 15 | O | 24.190005 | 16.106385 | 16.433502 |
| 16 | Al | 22.119407 | 13.747129 | 17.424833 |
| 17 | Al | 23.756035 | 16.563609 | 18.269648 |
| 18 | O | 23.877033 | 18.309594 | 18.028682 |
| 19 | C | 26.442759 | 17.119114 | 19.156911 |
| 20 | O | 23.195984 | 14.781673 | 18.515061 |
| 21 | C | 20.736276 | 16.310896 | 17.386579 |
| 22 | C | 23.795752 | 15.244508 | 15.619519 |
| 23 | C | 23.358361 | 17.675580 | 20.970943 |
| 24 | O | 27.935769 | 24.303806 | 21.763422 |
| 25 | O | 25.635252 | 20.346411 | 17.570777 |


| 26 | O | 23.297511 | 22.621092 | 19.498421 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | O | 24.699633 | 22.730863 | 21.830642 |
| 28 | O | 22.991093 | 20.570517 | 18.645541 |
| 29 | O | 25.805888 | 24.267225 | 23.059383 |
| 30 | O | 27.249472 | 22.676728 | 20.355817 |
| 31 | O | 25.734577 | 22.533638 | 18.109242 |
| 32 | Al | 24.738284 | 19.494970 | 19.041395 |
| 33 | Al | 25.236869 | 22.745796 | 19.994282 |
| 34 | O | 25.258679 | 24.519309 | 19.862523 |
| 35 | C | 28.103687 | 23.423624 | 20.840330 |
| 36 | O | 25.301822 | 20.855218 | 20.168215 |
| 37 | C | 22.589811 | 21.732296 | 18.998648 |
| 38 | C | 25.926128 | 21.552132 | 17.351781 |
| 39 | C | 24.879604 | 23.466704 | 22.852322 |
| 40 | H | 26.976457 | 24.295734 | 22.187709 |
| 41 | H | 25.148591 | 20.625990 | 21.091087 |
| 42 | H | 23.081634 | 14.612428 | 19.456998 |
| 43 | H | 21.111071 | 11.704591 | 16.301894 |
| 44 | H | 20.530773 | 12.981870 | 15.522935 |
| 45 | H | 25.530449 | 24.827564 | 18.995656 |
| 46 | H | 21.527252 | 21.939069 | 18.827343 |
| 47 | H | 26.391906 | 21.765425 | 16.380472 |
| 48 | H | 27.449404 | 16.724162 | 19.341415 |
| 49 | H | 22.968858 | 17.525479 | 21.986593 |
| 50 | H | 19.796321 | 16.848038 | 17.229513 |
| 51 | H | 24.223886 | 15.276346 | 14.611383 |
| 52 | H | 24.087635 | 10.424315 | 17.309205 |
| 53 | H | 19.955147 | 12.410631 | 20.484634 |
| 54 | H | 21.025177 | 10.782203 | 18.380883 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 55 | H | 29.138128 | 23.362732 | 20.484876 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 56 | H | 24.119401 | 23.354408 | 23.641962 |
| 57 | Co | 22.042075 | 18.977837 | 17.911091 |
| 58 | H | 20.746920 | 19.532208 | 17.527887 |

## Cartesian coordinates of TS-1

| S.No. | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atoms | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | O | 23.252696 | 12.293904 | 17.449888 |
| 2 | O | 20.429230 | 10.957190 | 19.173820 |
| 3 | O | 21.141240 | 13.098544 | 19.072277 |
| 4 | O | 21.983441 | 10.476912 | 17.114935 |
| 5 | O | 20.785088 | 12.723862 | 16.385315 |
| 6 | C | 23.090359 | 11.051547 | 17.245786 |
| 7 | C | 20.516848 | 12.172941 | 19.598747 |
| 8 | O | 26.287394 | 18.326934 | 19.416129 |
| 9 | O | 22.836847 | 14.416298 | 15.866088 |
| 10 | O | 21.723303 | 17.022023 | 17.724160 |
| 11 | O | 23.243293 | 16.669553 | 20.239707 |
| 12 | O | 20.653804 | 15.095601 | 17.366504 |
| 13 | O | 23.907817 | 18.753336 | 20.792527 |
| 14 | O | 25.606559 | 16.242407 | 18.849481 |
| 15 | O | 24.198395 | 16.089919 | 16.506593 |
| 16 | Al | 22.053555 | 13.772698 | 17.457651 |
| 17 | Al | 23.789506 | 16.518356 | 18.344479 |
| 18 | O | 23.870185 | 18.265510 | 18.112788 |
| 19 | C | 26.460926 | 17.097544 | 19.223450 |
| 20 | O | 23.159226 | 14.767155 | 18.563942 |
| 21 | C | 20.680698 | 16.345223 | 17.490199 |
| 22 | C | 23.733156 | 15.288940 | 15.667336 |
| 23 | C | 23.385796 | 17.638452 | 21.039013 |
| 24 | O | 27.838697 | 24.365984 | 21.738886 |
| 25 | O | 25.625918 | 20.292869 | 17.624538 |
| 26 | O | 23.232047 | 22.581185 | 19.469857 |
| 27 | O | 24.618208 | 22.752770 | 21.814473 |
| 28 | O | 22.958118 | 20.507638 | 18.674666 |
| 29 | O | 25.700854 | 24.320848 | 23.023102 |
| 30 | O | 27.179264 | 22.706356 | 20.357359 |
| 31 | O | 25.674425 | 22.494045 | 18.108260 |
| 32 | Al | 24.743049 | 19.463467 | 19.104223 |
| 33 | Al | 25.173677 | 22.742716 | 19.984499 |
| 34 | O | 25.167419 | 24.512487 | 19.818848 |
| 35 | C | 28.021397 | 23.475207 | 20.829312 |
| 36 | O | 25.261198 | 20.853984 | 20.205322 |
| 37 | C | 22.537121 | 21.679215 | 18.982413 |
| 38 | C | 25.887502 | 21.499021 | 17.373802 |
| 39 | C | 24.783282 | 23.508856 | 22.824303 |
| 40 | H | 26.878567 | 24.350251 | 22.160205 |
| 41 | H | 25.100075 | 20.647125 | 21.132246 |
| 42 | H | 23.037121 | 14.585394 | 19.502914 |
| 43 | H | 21.013622 | 11.774121 | 16.291805 |
| 44 | H | 20.426713 | 13.076438 | 15.562278 |
| 45 | H | 25.412174 | 24.808572 | 18.939752 |
| 46 | H | 21.477813 | 21.871176 | 18.780111 |
| 47 | H | 26.345687 | 21.698435 | 16.396463 |
| 48 | H | 27.470644 | 16.712288 | 19.407930 |
| 49 | H | 23.009525 | 17.483449 | 22.058546 |
| 50 | H | 19.739486 | 16.892179 | 17.383918 |
| 51 | H | 24.131472 | 15.345553 | 14.648652 |
| 52 | H | 24.009364 | 10.454917 | 17.183380 |
| 53 | H | 19.966630 | 12.344915 | 20.525346 |
| 54 | H | 20.978574 | 10.780718 | 18.345370 |
| 55 | H | 29.056289 | 23.426095 | 20.473801 |
| 56 | H | 24.018019 | 23.403794 | 23.609904 |
| 57 | Co | 22.051687 | 18.909856 | 18.050397 |
| 58 | H | 20.704478 | 19.387119 | 18.004058 |
| 59 | O | 22.064411 | 19.513165 | 16.330255 |
| 60 | C | 20.956318 | 19.866766 | 16.322221 |

$\begin{array}{lllll}61 & O & 19.855565 & 20.223887 & 16.281016\end{array}$

## Cartesian coordinates of DUT-5-Co(OCHO) (INT-1)

| S.No. | Atoms | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | O | 23.319438 | 12.268251 | 17.495488 |
| 2 | O | 20.453957 | 10.982861 | 19.188515 |
| 3 | O | 21.168411 | 13.120943 | 19.041482 |
| 4 | O | 22.064300 | 10.436492 | 17.187536 |
| 5 | O | 20.877464 | 12.655697 | 16.361554 |
| 6 | C | 23.165787 | 11.019724 | 17.328150 |
| 7 | C | 20.530048 | 12.211665 | 19.578564 |
| 8 | O | 26.283710 | 18.351115 | 19.347890 |
| 9 | O | 22.940871 | 14.333228 | 15.828435 |
| 10 | O | 21.749063 | 17.001247 | 17.684796 |
| 11 | O | 23.210770 | 16.710230 | 20.169471 |
| 12 | O | 20.723885 | 15.059633 | 17.251512 |
| 13 | O | 23.900483 | 18.782372 | 20.731556 |
| 14 | O | 25.580014 | 16.273207 | 18.786077 |
| 15 | O | 24.190005 | 16.106385 | 16.433502 |
| 16 | A1 | 22.119407 | 13.747129 | 17.424833 |
| 17 | Al | 23.756035 | 16.563609 | 18.269648 |
| 18 | O | 23.877033 | 18.309594 | 18.028682 |
| 19 | C | 26.442759 | 17.119114 | 19.156911 |
| 20 | O | 23.195984 | 14.781673 | 18.515061 |
| 21 | C | 20.736276 | 16.310896 | 17.386579 |
| 22 | C | 23.795752 | 15.244508 | 15.619519 |
| 23 | C | 23.358361 | 17.675580 | 20.970943 |
| 24 | O | 27.935769 | 24.303806 | 21.763422 |
| 25 | O | 25.635252 | 20.346411 | 17.570777 |
| 26 | O | 23.297511 | 22.621092 | 19.498421 |
| 27 | O | 24.699633 | 22.730863 | 21.830642 |
| 28 | O | 22.991093 | 20.570517 | 18.645541 |
| 29 | O | 25.805888 | 24.267225 | 23.059383 |
| 30 | O | 27.249472 | 22.676728 | 20.355817 |
| 31 | O | 25.734577 | 22.533638 | 18.109242 |
| 32 | Al | 24.738284 | 19.494970 | 19.041395 |
| 33 | Al | 25.236869 | 22.745796 | 19.994282 |
| 34 | O | 25.258679 | 24.519309 | 19.862523 |
| 35 | C | 28.103687 | 23.423624 | 20.840330 |
| 36 | O | 25.301822 | 20.855218 | 20.168215 |
| 37 | C | 22.589811 | 21.732296 | 18.998648 |
| 38 | C | 25.926128 | 21.552132 | 17.351781 |
| 39 | C | 24.879604 | 23.466704 | 22.852322 |
| 40 | H | 26.976457 | 24.295734 | 22.187709 |
| 41 | H | 25.148591 | 20.625990 | 21.091087 |
| 42 | H | 23.081634 | 14.612428 | 19.456998 |
| 43 | H | 21.111071 | 11.704591 | 16.301894 |
| 44 | H | 20.530773 | 12.981870 | 15.522935 |
| 45 | H | 25.530449 | 24.827564 | 18.995656 |
| 46 | H | 21.527252 | 21.939069 | 18.827343 |
| 47 | H | 26.391906 | 21.765425 | 16.380472 |
| 48 | H | 27.449404 | 16.724162 | 19.341415 |
| 49 | H | 22.968858 | 17.525479 | 21.986593 |
| 50 | H | 19.796321 | 16.848038 | 17.229513 |
| 51 | H | 24.223886 | 15.276346 | 14.611383 |
| 52 | H | 24.087635 | 10.424315 | 17.309205 |
| 53 | H | 19.955147 | 12.410631 | 20.484634 |
| 54 | H | 21.025177 | 10.782203 | 18.380883 |
| 55 | H | 29.138128 | 23.362732 | 20.484876 |
| 56 | H | 24.119401 | 23.354408 | 23.641962 |
| 57 | Co | 22.042075 | 18.977837 | 17.911091 |
| 58 | O | 20.427566 | 19.668903 | 17.433398 |
| 59 | C | 20.535682 | 20.062968 | 16.037890 |
| 60 | H | 21.358407 | 20.054109 | 15.353826 |
| 61 | O | 19.241962 | 20.481239 | 15.594903 |

## Cartesian coordinates of INT-2

| S.No. | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Atoms | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | O | 23.373595 | 12.576824 | 16.879202 |
| 2 | O | 20.805526 | 10.929117 | 18.764209 |
| 3 | O | 21.408686 | 13.104699 | 18.788057 |
| 4 | O | 22.169977 | 10.717338 | 16.534477 |
| 5 | O | 20.772597 | 12.934467 | 16.137071 |
| 6 | C | 23.253258 | 11.345834 | 16.590473 |
| 7 | C | 20.879357 | 12.106397 | 19.286657 |
| 8 | O | 26.256762 | 18.622088 | 18.990952 |
| 9 | O | 22.645816 | 14.794895 | 15.553734 |
| 10 | O | 21.627569 | 17.152737 | 17.760958 |
| 11 | O | 23.414097 | 16.716608 | 20.034613 |
| 12 | O | 20.626657 | 15.199607 | 17.347810 |
| 13 | O | 24.075761 | 18.766177 | 20.706929 |
| 14 | O | 25.595871 | 16.563769 | 18.329748 |
| 15 | O | 23.922284 | 16.538216 | 16.182422 |
| 16 | Al | 22.101062 | 13.960472 | 17.156849 |
| 17 | Al | 23.718025 | 16.757614 | 18.085363 |
| 18 | O | 23.681179 | 18.540968 | 18.026883 |
| 19 | C | 26.457458 | 17.426322 | 18.664874 |
| 20 | O | 23.263623 | 14.942251 | 18.213469 |
| 21 | C | 20.601248 | 16.429098 | 17.585758 |
| 22 | C | 23.434721 | 15.761139 | 15.333053 |
| 23 | C | 23.623364 | 17.611828 | 20.903000 |
| 24 | O | 27.864724 | 24.446188 | 21.784637 |
| 25 | O | 25.279899 | 20.706187 | 17.524917 |
| 26 | O | 23.105756 | 22.666140 | 19.892549 |
| 27 | O | 24.762920 | 22.669673 | 22.056202 |
| 28 | O | 22.800940 | 20.673675 | 18.917214 |
| 29 | O | 25.889763 | 24.192272 | 23.280306 |
| 30 | O | 27.128653 | 22.887275 | 20.326083 |
| 31 | O | 25.376263 | 22.838125 | 18.250526 |
| 32 | Al | 24.645126 | 19.697793 | 19.014079 |
| 33 | Al | 25.104046 | 22.875284 | 20.187317 |
| 34 | O | 25.004454 | 24.648115 | 20.207303 |
| 35 | C | 27.987315 | 23.647033 | 20.785906 |
| 36 | O | 25.265295 | 20.979519 | 20.186610 |
| 37 | C | 22.384239 | 21.785971 | 19.410103 |
| 38 | C | 25.499455 | 21.938969 | 17.384810 |
| 39 | C | 25.001068 | 23.342940 | 23.109609 |
| 40 | H | 26.953906 | 24.351329 | 22.299342 |
| 41 | H | 25.232757 | 20.668108 | 21.097680 |
| 42 | H | 23.288939 | 14.663446 | 19.135883 |
| 43 | H | 21.037893 | 12.012200 | 15.933108 |
| 44 | H | 20.310420 | 13.333124 | 15.390168 |
| 45 | H | 25.110621 | 25.043940 | 19.339942 |
| 46 | H | 21.300364 | 21.940922 | 19.382294 |
| 47 | H | 25.826697 | 22.257824 | 16.387055 |
| 48 | H | 27.498096 | 17.082394 | 18.679039 |
| 49 | H | 23.380417 | 17.345246 | 21.939694 |
| 50 | H | 19.626105 | 16.920300 | 17.642506 |
| 51 | H | 23.705605 | 15.933488 | 14.286365 |
| 52 | H | 24.188581 | 10.813472 | 16.376160 |
| 53 | H | 20.415562 | 12.171118 | 20.272150 |
| 54 | H | 21.279371 | 10.855699 | 17.875310 |
| 55 | H | 28.984062 | 23.668404 | 20.332657 |
| 56 | H | 24.338572 | 23.119762 | 23.960855 |
| 57 | Co | 21.884630 | 19.093362 | 18.149864 |
| 58 | H | 21.757771 | 19.615025 | 16.308225 |
| 59 | Si | 21.072569 | 20.201060 | 15.163544 |
| 60 | O | 19.382387 | 20.396278 | 16.605098 |
| 61 | O | 20.087971 | 19.522681 | 18.630921 |
| 62 | C | 19.160262 | 20.062956 | 17.922164 |
| 63 | H | 18.213948 | 20.191913 | 18.480319 |
| 64 | H | 20.814387 | 21.645215 | 15.333845 |
| 65 | C | 22.479943 | 20.033359 | 13.910195 |
| 66 | C | 23.551555 | 20.942095 | 13.892354 |
| 67 | C | 22.490501 | 18.993623 | 12.967805 |
| 68 | C | 24.595174 | 20.812633 | 12.978446 |
| 69 | H | 23.567756 | 21.768608 | 14.596210 |


| 70 | C | 23.531707 | 18.857955 | 12.048993 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 71 | H | 21.669511 | 18.282988 | 12.941354 |
| 72 | C | 24.588034 | 19.766899 | 12.054961 |
| 73 | H | 25.411814 | 21.526250 | 12.983077 |
| 74 | H | 23.514830 | 18.049310 | 11.326282 |
| 75 | H | 25.398893 | 19.665210 | 11.342288 |
| 76 | H | 19.952548 | 19.387964 | 14.645674 |

## Cartesian coordinates of TS-2

| S.No. | Atoms | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | о | 23.373595 | 12.576824 | 16.879202 |
| 2 | O | 20.805526 | 10.929117 | 18.764209 |
| 3 | O | 21.408686 | 13.104699 | 18.788057 |
| 4 | O | 22.169977 | 10.717338 | 16.534477 |
| 5 | O | 20.772597 | 12.934467 | 16.137071 |
| 6 | C | 23.253258 | 11.345834 | 16.590473 |
| 7 | C | 20.879357 | 12.106397 | 19.286657 |
| 8 | O | 26.256762 | 18.622088 | 18.990952 |
| 9 | O | 22.645816 | 14.794895 | 15.553734 |
| 10 | O | 21.627569 | 17.152737 | 17.760958 |
| 11 | O | 23.414097 | 16.716608 | 20.034613 |
| 12 | O | 20.626657 | 15.199607 | 17.347810 |
| 13 | O | 24.075761 | 18.766177 | 20.706929 |
| 14 | O | 25.595871 | 16.563769 | 18.329748 |
| 15 | O | 23.922284 | 16.538216 | 16.182422 |
| 16 | Al | 22.101062 | 13.960472 | 17.156849 |
| 17 | Al | 23.718025 | 16.757614 | 18.085363 |
| 18 | O | 23.681179 | 18.540968 | 18.026883 |
| 19 | C | 26.457458 | 17.426322 | 18.664874 |
| 20 | O | 23.263623 | 14.942251 | 18.213469 |
| 21 | C | 20.601248 | 16.429098 | 17.585758 |
| 22 | C | 23.434721 | 15.761139 | 15.333053 |
| 23 | C | 23.623364 | 17.611828 | 20.903000 |
| 24 | O | 27.864724 | 24.446188 | 21.784637 |
| 25 | O | 25.279899 | 20.706187 | 17.524917 |
| 26 | O | 23.105756 | 22.666140 | 19.892549 |
| 27 | O | 24.762920 | 22.669673 | 22.056202 |
| 28 | O | 22.800940 | 20.673675 | 18.917214 |
| 29 | O | 25.889763 | 24.192272 | 23.280306 |
| 30 | O | 27.128653 | 22.887275 | 20.326083 |
| 31 | O | 25.376263 | 22.838125 | 18.250526 |
| 32 | Al | 24.645126 | 19.697793 | 19.014079 |
| 33 | Al | 25.104046 | 22.875284 | 20.187317 |
| 34 | O | 25.004454 | 24.648115 | 20.207303 |
| 35 | C | 27.987315 | 23.647033 | 20.785906 |
| 36 | O | 25.265295 | 20.979519 | 20.186610 |
| 37 | C | 22.384239 | 21.785971 | 19.410103 |
| 38 | C | 25.499455 | 21.938969 | 17.384810 |
| 39 | C | 25.001068 | 23.342940 | 23.109609 |
| 40 | H | 26.953906 | 24.351329 | 22.299342 |
| 41 | H | 25.232757 | 20.668108 | 21.097680 |
| 42 | H | 23.288939 | 14.663446 | 19.135883 |
| 43 | H | 21.037893 | 12.012200 | 15.933108 |
| 44 | H | 20.310420 | 13.333124 | 15.390168 |
| 45 | H | 25.110621 | 25.043940 | 19.339942 |
| 46 | H | 21.300364 | 21.940922 | 19.382294 |
| 47 | H | 25.826697 | 22.257824 | 16.387055 |
| 48 | H | 27.498096 | 17.082394 | 18.679039 |
| 49 | H | 23.380417 | 17.345246 | 21.939694 |
| 50 | H | 19.626105 | 16.920300 | 17.642506 |
| 51 | H | 23.705605 | 15.933488 | 14.286365 |
| 52 | H | 24.188581 | 10.813472 | 16.376160 |
| 53 | H | 20.415562 | 12.171118 | 20.272150 |
| 54 | H | 21.279371 | 10.855699 | 17.875310 |
| 55 | H | 28.984062 | 23.668404 | 20.332657 |
| 56 | H | 24.338572 | 23.119762 | 23.960855 |
| 57 | Co | 21.884630 | 19.093362 | 18.149864 |
| 58 | H | 21.757771 | 19.615025 | 16.308225 |
| 59 | Si | 20.883086 | 20.222945 | 15.325153 |
| 60 | O | 19.571869 | 20.374393 | 16.443489 |


| 61 | O | 20.087971 | 19.522681 | 18.630921 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 62 | C | 19.160262 | 20.062956 | 17.922164 |
| 63 | H | 20.624904 | 21.667101 | 15.495454 |
| 64 | C | 22.290461 | 20.055245 | 14.071804 |
| 65 | C | 23.362073 | 20.963980 | 14.053963 |
| 66 | C | 22.301019 | 19.015509 | 13.129414 |
| 67 | C | 24.405692 | 20.834519 | 13.140055 |
| 68 | H | 23.378274 | 21.790493 | 14.757819 |
| 69 | C | 23.342224 | 18.879840 | 12.210602 |
| 70 | H | 21.480029 | 18.304874 | 13.102963 |
| 71 | C | 24.398551 | 19.788784 | 12.216570 |
| 72 | H | 25.222332 | 21.548135 | 13.144686 |
| 73 | H | 23.325348 | 18.071195 | 11.487891 |
| 74 | H | 25.209411 | 19.687095 | 11.503897 |
| 75 | H | 19.763066 | 19.409850 | 14.807283 |
| 76 | H | 18.865841 | 20.978425 | 18.391349 |
| 77 | N | 18.003486 | 19.156128 | 17.901446 |
| 78 | H | 17.393736 | 19.410746 | 17.150864 |


| 79 | H | 18.319000 | 18.216297 | 17.770415 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | C | 17.279235 | 19.256560 | 19.176701 |
| 81 | H | 17.283975 | 20.273162 | 19.510463 |
| 82 | H | 17.757297 | 18.638841 | 19.907987 |
| 83 | C | 15.825623 | 18.787682 | 18.979807 |
| 84 | C | 15.299575 | 17.785192 | 19.795135 |
| 85 | C | 15.034907 | 19.365266 | 17.986473 |
| 86 | C | 13.983310 | 17.359973 | 19.616654 |
| 87 | H | 15.923460 | 17.329499 | 20.577664 |
| 88 | C | 13.717911 | 18.940720 | 17.808456 |
| 89 | H | 15.449300 | 20.155521 | 17.343896 |
| 90 | C | 13.192070 | 17.938144 | 18.623238 |
| 91 | H | 13.568801 | 16.569366 | 20.258852 |
| 92 | H | 13.094572 | 19.396589 | 17.025445 |
| 93 | H | 12.154251 | 17.602751 | 18.482738 |
| --------------------------------------------------------1 |  |  |  |  |

9.1. X-ray absorption spectroscopic analysis. X-ray Near Edge Structure (XANES) and Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS) measurements have been carried out at the Energy-Scanning EXAFS beamline (BL-9) at the Indus-2 Synchrotron Source at Raja Ramanna Centre for Advanced Technology (RRCAT), Indore, India. ${ }^{18,19}$ All the measurements were performed at room temperature. This beamline operates in the energy range of 4 keV to 25 keV . The beamline optics consist of a $\mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{Pt}$ coated collimating meridional cylindrical mirror and the collimated beam reflected by the mirror is monochromatized by a $\operatorname{Si}(111)$ based double crystal monochromator (DCM). The second crystal of the DCM is a sagittal cylindrical crystal which is used for horizontal focusing of the beam while another $\mathrm{Rh} / \mathrm{Pt}$ coated bendable post mirror facing downward is used for vertical focusing of the beam at the sample position. Two ionization chambers ( 300 mm length each) have been used for data collection in transmission mode; one ionization chamber for measuring incident flux, second one for measuring transmitted flux. For energy calibration, standard metal foils were used. Appropriate gas pressure and gas mixture have been chosen so as to achieve 10-20\% absorption in first ionization chamber and $70-90 \%$ absorption in second ionization chamber to obtain better signal-to-noise ratio. Pellets were made from powder samples for recording absorption spectra. Sample powder was mixed homogeneously with cellulose powder in appropriate proportion and pressed ( 2 Ton ) into a 15 mm diameter disc. The amount of the sample was estimated such that to get reasonable edge jump at particular absorption edge of the element to be probed. Spectra were collected at the cobalt K-edge in transmission mode and were calibrated against the reference spectrum of metallic cobalt $(7709 \mathrm{eV})$. Data were processed using Demeter software. ${ }^{20}$ A metallic cobalt foil standard was used as a reference for energy calibration and was measured simultaneously with experimental samples.

EXAFS fitting using DFT optimized structures. The EXAFS spectrum of DUT-5-Co$\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{H}$ were calibrated against the reference spectra and aligned to the first peak in the smoothed first derivative of the absorption spectrum, the background noise was removed, and the spectra were processed to obtain a normalized unit edge step. The fitting parameters of DUT-5-Co-OC(O)H are summarized in Table S5.

Table S5. Summary of EXAFS fitting parameters of DUT-5-Co-OC(O)H.

| Sample | DUT-5-Co-OC(O)H | Fitting range | $\begin{aligned} & k 2.7-11 \AA^{-1} \\ & R 1-4 \AA \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Independent points | 13 | R-factor | 0.012 |
| Variables | 6 | $S_{0}{ }^{2}$ | 1.00 |
| Reduced chi-square | 300 | $\Delta E_{0}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $-5.30 \pm 1.21$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ (Co-O18) ( ${ }_{\text {( }}$ ) | $1.83 \pm 0.02$ | $\sigma^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( C o - O 1 8 )}\left(\AA^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | $0.001 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ (Co-O28) ( ${ }_{\text {( }}$ ) | $1.95 \pm 0.02$ | $\sigma^{2}(\mathbf{C o}-\mathrm{O} 28)\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $0.001 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ (Co-O10) ( ${ }_{\text {( }}$ ) | $1.94 \pm 0.02$ | $\sigma^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( C o - O 1 0 )}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $0.001 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ (Co-O58) ( ${ }_{\text {( }}$ ) | $1.87 \pm 0.02$ | $\sigma^{2}(\mathbf{C o}-\mathrm{O58})\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $0.001 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ (Co-Al17) (A) | $2.93 \pm 0.04$ | $\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{C o - A 1 1 7 )}\left(\AA^{2}\right)\right.$ | 0.003 $\pm 0.001$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ (Co-Al32) (A) | $2.94 \pm 0.04$ | $\sigma^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( C o - A 1 3 2 )}$ ( $\left.\mathbf{\AA}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | $0.003 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ (Co-C29) ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) | $3.12 \pm 0.07$ | $\sigma^{2}(\mathbf{C o}-\mathbf{C 2 9})\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $0.006 \pm 0.002$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}(\mathbf{C o}-\mathbf{C 2 3})\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ( }\end{array}\right.$ | $3.30 \pm 0.07$ | $\sigma^{2}(\mathbf{C o}-\mathbf{C 2 3})\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $0.006 \pm 0.002$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}$ (Co-C59) ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) | $2.81 \pm 0.07$ | $\sigma^{2}(\mathbf{C o}-\mathrm{C} 59)\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | $0.006 \pm 0.002$ |

### 9.2. XPS analysis of DUT-5-Co-OC(O)H.

All the binding energies were corrected with reference to the C1s peak at 284.8 eV . MULTIPAK software was used for peak analysis and de-convolution studies.


Figure S11. XPS data of DUT-5-Co-OC(O)H.
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