## Supplementary Materials

 $MoP_2/C@rGO$  formed by the molybdenum-based metal organic framework of the phosphating GO coating with excellent lithium ion storage performance

Jiakai Du<sup>†</sup>, Changjian He<sup>†</sup>, Qingmeng Li, Jiali Chai, Qianqian Zhang Bohejin Tang<sup>#</sup> and Yichuan Rui<sup>#</sup>

College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai 201620, PR China

*† J. D. and C.H. contributed equally to this work.* 

#Corresponding author: tangbohejin@sues.edu.cn, ryc713@126.cn.



Fig.S1 C1s peak in MoP<sub>2</sub>/C@rGO



**Fig.S2** GITT curves and the corresponding Li-ion diffusion coefficient of MoP<sub>2</sub>/C, MoP<sub>2</sub>/C@PPy and MoP<sub>2</sub>/C@rGO

The Li ions diffusion coefficient ( $D_{Li}^+$ ) in MoP<sub>2</sub>/C, MoP<sub>2</sub>/C@PPy and MoP<sub>2</sub>/C@rGo electrodes is studied by Galvanostatic Intermittent Titration Technique (GITT) measurements. The  $D_{Li}^+$  values of discharge and charge process were calculated as the following.

$$D_{GITT} = \frac{4}{\pi \tau} \left( \frac{m_b V_M}{M_b S} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\Delta E_s}{\Delta E_t} \right)^2 \left( \tau \ll l^2 / D_{GITT} \right)$$

Where  $\tau$  and **S** represent the constant current pulse duration time (s) and the area (cm<sup>3</sup>) for electrochemical reaction under current collector. **M**<sub>b</sub>, **m**<sub>b</sub> and **V**<sub>M</sub> stands for molar mass (g mol<sup>-1</sup>), mass (g) and molar volume (cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) of electrode active material.  $\Delta$ **E**<sub>s</sub> and  $\Delta$ **E**<sub>t</sub> represent the change in the steady-state voltage at a single-step GITT test (V) and the total change in cell voltage during current pulse time, respectively.



Fig.S3 Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and corresponding pore size distribution curves of MoP<sub>2</sub>/C, MoP<sub>2</sub>/C@PPy and MoP<sub>2</sub>/C@rGO

Samples	M <sub>1</sub> (g)	M <sub>2</sub> (g)	carbon contents (%)
MoP <sub>2</sub> /C	1.0255	0.1015	~9.90
MoP <sub>2</sub> /C@PPy	1.0255	0.1856	~18.1
MoP <sub>2</sub> /C@rGO	1.0255	0.1907	~18.6

Table.S1 Carbon contents

 $M_1(g)$ : the mass before acidification  $M_2(g)$ : the mass after acidification

As shown in **Table.S1**, carbon contents of three samples are calculated by the mass before and after acidification. The carbon contents of  $MoP_2/C$ ,  $MoP_2/C$ @PPy and  $MoP_2/C$ @rGO are 9.9%, 18.1% and 18.6%, respectively,



Fig.S4 XRD patterns after cycling.

As shown in the **Fig.S4**, the XRD diffraction peaks of Mo (**PDF#88-2331**) and Li<sub>3</sub>P (**PDF#74-1160**) appear in the discharge process along with the disappearance of the principal diffraction peak of MoP<sub>2</sub>, which confirms that Mo and Li<sub>3</sub>P are generated through the electrochemical reaction between the electrode material and Li. During the charging process, the XRD diffraction peaks of MoP<sub>2</sub> (**PDF#16-0499**) show that Li escapes from the electrode material.



Fig.S5 (a-c) CV curves of  $MoP_2/C@PPy$  and  $MoP_2/C$  (0.2 to 1.0 mV s<sup>-1</sup>) and (b-d) the percentage of pseudo capacitive contribution from 0.2 to 1.0 mV/s.