Electronic Supplementary Information for

Ni₂P nanowire arrays grown on Ni foam as an efficient monolithic cocatalyst for visible light dye-sensitized H₂ evolution

Fang Wang, Tongliang Liu, Zhaoting Liu, Zhengguo Zhang and Shixiong Min*

School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, North Minzu University, Yinchuan, 750021, P. R. China.

*Corresponding authors: sxmin@nun.edu.cn



Fig. S1 SEM images of N₂P NL/NF.



Fig. S2 Photocatalytic HER activities of Ni₂P/CC, Ni₂P/NF, and NWAs/NF-15-160 from ErB-TEOA (10 vol.%, 25 mL, pH 10) system. The Ni₂P/CC and Ni₂P/NF were prepared by drop-coating Ni₂P powder on carbon paper (*d*=1.6 cm) and NF (*d*=1.6 cm), respectively. The loading amount of Ni₂P in Ni₂P/CC and Ni₂P/NF was same as

in NWAs/NF-15-160.



Fig. S3 TON of H_2 evolution based on ErB on Ni₂P NWAs/NF-15-160 from ErB-

TEOA (10 vol.%, 25 mL, pH 10) system.



Fig. S4 XPS survey spectrum of Ni_2P NWAs/NF-15-160 after photocatalytic HER.



Fig. S5 Cyclic voltammograms (CV) of (a) pristine NF, (b) NF-O-15-160, (c) Ni₂P NL/NF and (d) Ni₂P NWAs/NF-15-160 at different scan rates in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄





Fig. S6 SEM images of NF-O-x-160 samples, where the "x" and "160" represent the concentration of H₂O₂ and hydrothermal temperature, respectively.



Fig. S7 TON of H₂ evolution on Ni₂P NWAs/NF-*x*-160 from TEOA solution (10 vol.%, 25 mL, pH 10) containing ErB (0.5 mM) under visible light irradiation.



Fig. S8 SEM images of NF-O-15-y samples, where the "15" and "y" represent the concentration of H_2O_2 and hydrothermal temperature, respectively.



Fig. S9 TON of H₂ evolution on Ni₂P NWAs/NF-*x*-160 from TEOA solution (10 vol.%, 25 mL, pH 10) containing ErB (0.5 mM) under visible light irradiation.

Photocatalyst	Form	Reaction conditions	Light source	H_2 evolution rate (mmol h ⁻¹ $g_{catalyst}^{-1}$)	Ref.
Ni ₂ P-CdS/g-C ₃ N ₄	Powder	0.35 M Na ₂ S and 0.25 M Na ₂ SO ₃	300 W Xe lamp (>400 nm)	44.45	1
$\begin{array}{c} Cd_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}S@ZnS-\\ Ni_2P/g-C_3N_4 \end{array}$	Powder	0.35 M Na ₂ S and 0.25 M Na ₂ SO ₃	300 W Xe lamp (>420 nm)	55.43	2
$Ni_{12}P_5/g-C_3N_4$	Powder	10 vol% TEOA	350 W Xe lamp (>420 nm)	0.12	3
Ni ₂ P/CdS-DETA	Powder	0.35 M Na ₂ S and 0.25 M Na ₂ SO ₃	300 W Xe lamp (>420 nm)	6.83	4
Ni ₂ P/CdS	Powder	10 vol.% Lactic acid	300 W Xe lamp (>420 nm)	1.18	5
Ni_2P - $Cd_{0.9}Zn_{0.1}S$	Powder	0.7 M Na ₂ S and 0.5 M Na ₂ SO ₃	300 W Xe lamp (>400 nm)	94	6
MoS ₂ -g- C ₃ N ₄ /Ni ₂ P	Powder	10 vol.% TEOA	300 W Xe lamp (>420 nm)	0.29	7
C-Ni ₂ P@CdS	Powder	10 vol.% TEOA	300 W Xe lamp (>420 nm)	28.39	8
$Ni_2P/ZnIn_2S_4$	Powder	10 vol.% Lactic acid	300 W Xe lamp (>420 nm)	2.06	9
NiO/Ni ₂ P/g-C ₃ N ₄	Powder	10 vol.% TEOA	300 W Xe lamp (>420 nm)	0.50	10
7-Ni ₂ P/MoP@g- C ₃ N ₄	Powder	10 vol.% TEOA	300 W Xe lamp (>420 nm)	0.52	11
NiCoP/g-C ₃ N ₄	Powder	10 vol.% CH ₃ OH	300W Xe lamp (300-700 nm)	0.16	12
Ni ₂ P/TiO ₂ /Ti ₃ C ₂ - ox	Powder	5 vol.% glycerol	35 W UV–visible lamp	16.1	13
Ti ₃ AlC ₂ /TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	Powder	5 vol.% glycerol	35 W UV–visible lamp	4.3	14
Ag/pCN-TiO ₂	Monolith	5 vol.% CH ₃ OH	200 W Hg lamp	8.2	15

Table S1 Comparison of photocatalytic HER activity of dye-sensitized Ni2PNWAs/NF with other photocatalysts with Ni2P as cocatalyst.

Ag-pCN/TiO ₂	Monolith	5 vol.% glycerol	200 W Hg lamp	12.1	16
Ni ₂ P NWAs/NF	Monolith	10 vol% TEOA	10W LED (380 nm≤λ≤780 nm)	0.96	This wor k

References

T. F. Wu, P. F. Wang, J. Qian, Y. H. Ao, C. Wang and J. Hou, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017,
46, 13793-1380.

2. X. W. Ma, Q. Q. Ruan, J. K. Wu, Y. Zuo, X. P. Pu and H. F. Lin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**, 6259-6269.

J. Q. Wen, J. Xie, R. C. Shen, X. Li, X. Y. Luo, H. D. Zhang, A. P. Zhang and G. C.
Bi, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, 46, 1794-1802.

T. P. Hua, K. Dai, J. F. Zhang, G. P. Zhu and C. H. Liang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019,
481, 1385-1393.

5. Z. Q. Wang, Z. L. Qi, X. J. Fan, D. Y. Leung, J. L. Long, Z. Z. Zhang, T. F. Miao,

S. G. Meng, S. F. Chen and X. L. Fu, Appl. Catal. B. Environ., 2021, 281, 119443.

Z. W. Shao, X. Meng, H. Lai, D. F. Zhang, X. P. Pu, C. H. Su, H. Li, X. Z. Ren and
Y. L. Geng, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 2021, 42, 439-449.

7. G. Z. Liang, M. H. Waqas, B. Yang, K. Xiao, J. Y. Li, C. Z. Zhu, J. M. Zhang and

H. B. Duan, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2019, 504, 144448.

8. C. Zhang, S. P. Chu, B. Q. Liu, Y. Liu, Z. M. Guo and Z.G. Lv, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, **569**, 150987.

X. L. Li, X. J. Wang, J. Y. Zhu, Y. P. Li, J. Zhao and F. T. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, 353, 15-24.

10. J. W. Shi, Y. J. Zou, L. H. Cheng, D. D. Ma, D. K. Sun, S. M. Mao, L. W. Sun, C. He and Z. Y. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **378**, 122161.

11. J. P. Zhao, B. Fu, X. Li, Z. H. Ge, B. Ma and Y. T. Chen, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2020, 3, 10910-10919.

B. Ma, J. P. Zhao, Z. H. Ge, Y. T. Chen and Z. H. Yuan, *Sci. China Mater.*, 2020, 63, 258-266.

13. M. Tahir, Energy Fuels 2021, 35, 14197-14211.

14. S. Tasleem, M. Tahir and Z. Y. Zakaria, J. Alloys Compd., 2020, 842, 155752.

- 15. N. Fajrina and M. Tahir, Int. J. Hydrogen Energ., 2020, 45, 4355-4375.
- 16. S. Tasleem and M. Tahir, J. Environ. Chem. Eng., 2021, 9, 105351.