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## 1. General considerations

All manipulations were performed under argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk or glovebox techniques unless otherwise noted. Prior to use, glassware was dried overnight at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvents used for NMR spectroscpoy were dried, distilled and degassed by standard methods and stored over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. Tetrahydrofuran (THF), toluene, $n$ pentane and diethyl ether ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) were dried with a MBraun SPS and stored over $4 \AA$ molecular sieves. All other solvents were dried and degassed by standard methods. Unless otherwise stated, the reactions were performed at room temperature ( $21-24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in Schlenk flasks, Schlenk tubes or snap cap vials of suitable size equipped with a PTFE magnetic stir bar. NMR measurements were performed on a Bruker Avance II or Avance III spectrometer operating at 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclei and 101 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclei. The chemical shifts ( $\delta$ in ppm) of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were referenced to the residual proton signals of the deuterated solvents and reported relative to tetramethylsilane. Standard abbreviations indicating multiplicities were used as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet) and m (multiplet). Solid-state IR spectra were measured on KBr pellets using an AVATAR 360 FT-IR spectrometer or in powder form using Shimadzu IRSpirit spectrometer fitted with a Single Reflection ATR accessory. Solution IR spectra were measured using a stainless steel cell with NaCl windows using the AVATAR 360 FT-IR spectrometer. Abbreviations for IR spectra were used as follows: vw (very weak), w (weak), m (medium), s (strong), vs (very strong), br (broad), sh (shoulder). UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2600 spectrophotometer using 10 mm quartz glass cuvettes. EPR spectra were obtained at 77 K on a Miniscope MS 400 from Magnettech with a microwave frequency of 9.4 GHz . Solutions in toluene with a concentration of ca. 2 mM of the titanium(III) complex were measured as glass at 77 K . The $B_{0}$ field was adjusted to 345 mT with a range of $60 \mathrm{mT}(315-375 \mathrm{mT})$ and a sweep time of 60 s . Other parameters were adjusted as follows: modulation amplitude $=0.5 \mathrm{mT}$, microwave attenuation $=10.0 \mathrm{~dB}$, smooth $=0.1000 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NOPs}=4096$, gain mantissa $=9$, and gain exponent $=0$. Elemental analyses were carried out using a CHN-O-Rapid VarioEL from Heraeus. The starting materials $\left(\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{TiCl}\right] \quad\left(1-\mathrm{Cl} ; \quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \quad=\right.\right.\right.$ tris(4,6-di-tert-butyl-2-hydroxybenzyl)amine) ${ }^{[\mathrm{S}} 1$ ] and ([ $\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ TiOCHO] (2) ${ }^{[\mathrm{S} 2]}$ were prepared according to the reported procedure. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used as received without further purification.

## 2. Syntheses, spectroscopic data and selected spectra of 3-Na -7

## 2.1. $\left[\mathrm{Na}_{2}\left\{\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}\right\}_{4}(\mathrm{OCHO})_{6}\right](3-\mathrm{Na})$

A solid mixture of $2(76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium formate ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with THF ( 2 mL ) and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. After completion of the reaction, as confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, the solution was filtered and the residue was extracted with $n$-pentane ( 2 mL ). The combined extract was dried to obtain compound 3-Na as an orange powder. Yield: 80 mg ( 0.025 mmol , quantitative).

Elemental analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{186} \mathrm{H}_{270} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{24} \mathrm{Ti} 4: \mathrm{C}: 70.77, \mathrm{H}: 8.55, \mathrm{~N}: 1.76 \%$; found: C : 70.28, H: 8.65, N: 2.01\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 9.10$ (br s, OCHO), 7.60 (br s, OCHO), 7.14 (br s, $H_{\text {ary }}$ ), 6.96 (br s, $H_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 3.97 (br s, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 3.67 (br s, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 1.33 (br s, 108H, C( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ), 1.25 (s, 108H, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 168.3$ (br s, OCHO), 167.5 (br s, OCHO), 159.4 (br $\mathrm{s}, C_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 141.4 (s, $C_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 135.3 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{\text {ary }}$ ), 125.8 ( $\mathrm{s}, C_{\text {ary }}$ ), 124.6 (s, $C_{\text {ary }}$ ), 123.5 (s, $C_{\text {ary }}$ ), 62.8 (br, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$; two signals overlap with each other), 35.6 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.8$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 32.1$ (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.6\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
IR (powder): v = 2952 (vs), 2903 (s), 2867 (s), 1641 (vs, sh), 1474(s), 1444 (s), 1412 (w), 1389 (w), 1361 (m), 1258 (vs), 1238 (vs), 1202 (m), 1169 (m), 1127 (m), 914 (m), 873 (m), 840 (s), 810 (w), 755 (m), 604 (m) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.


#### Abstract

$\stackrel{\square}{i}$   $\stackrel{\text { N }}{\text { N N N N }}$ 


Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $3-\mathrm{Na}$ in THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane and THF present in the sample.
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Figure S2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 3-Na in THF-d ${ }_{8}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane and THF present in the sample.


Figure S3. Variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3-Na in THF-d8 (213-333 K); S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent.



Figure S4. IR spectrum of 3-Na in powder form at 298 K .

## 2.2. $\left[\mathrm{K}_{3}\left\{\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}\right\}_{4}(\mathrm{OCHO})_{7}\right](3-\mathrm{K})$

Method A: A solid mixture of 1-CI ( $753 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium formate ( $168 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0$ mmol ) was treated with THF ( 10 mL ) and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. After completion of the reaction, as confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was extracted with $n$ pentane ( 10 mL ). The combined extract was evaporated to dryness and the residue was crystallized by slow evaporation from a small amount of toluene at room temperature under argon atmosphere to obtain orange crystals. The crystals were isolated by decantation of the supernatant solution and washed with a small amount of $n$-pentane to give compound $3-\mathrm{K}$ as an orange powder. Yield: 218 mg ( $0.066 \mathrm{mmol}, 24 \%$ ).

Method B: A solid mixture of $2(76 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$. mmol ) and potassium formate ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with toluene ( 5 mL ) and the resulting solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. After completion of the reaction, as confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was extracted with $n$ pentane ( 10 mL ) and the extract was evaporated to dryness to provide compound 3-K as an orange powder. Yield: 67 mg ( $0.081 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ ).

Elemental analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{187} \mathrm{H}_{271} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{26}$ Ti4: C: 68.06, $\mathrm{H}: 8.28, \mathrm{~N}: 1.70 \%$; found: C : 67.78, H: 8.09, N: 1.84\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 9.78$ (br s, $H_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 8.59 (br s, $H_{\text {ary }}$ ), 6.58-7.72 (br m, $H_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 6.28 (br s, $H_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 2.23-5.71 (br m, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 0.49-2.07(br m, C( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , toluene- $d_{8}, 253 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 9.83,8.67$ and 8.55 (each s, 2 H each, OCHO), 7.62, $7.49,7.46,7.34,7.22,7.21,7.18,6.905,6.900,6.87,6.81$ and 6.20 (each s, 2 H each, $H_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 5.80 (s, 1H, OCHO), 5.06, 4.68, 4,25, 4,19, 4,10, 4.07, 3.37, 3.16, 2.91, 2.44, 2.32 and 2.28 (each d, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=\sim 12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ each, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 1.92 (br s, $\left.18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $1.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 1.45 (s, 36H, C( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$, two signals overlap with each other), $1.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.24$ (s, $\left.18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}, 333 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 9.10$ (br s, OCHO), 7.86 (br s, OCHO), 7.29 (br s, $\left.12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {ary }}\right), 6.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {ary }}\right), 3.56\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{brs}, 108 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.33(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $\left.108 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , toluene $-\mathrm{d}_{8}, 213 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 28.8,29.9,30.2,30.80,30.84,31.1,31.7,32.0$, 32.1, 32.3, 32.5, 32.8 (each s, 6 C each, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 34.2, 34.45, 34.49, 34.67, 34.69, 34.8, $34.96,35.01,35.12,35.14,35.2,35.7$ (each s, 2C each, $\left.C\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 58.7,60.2,63.4,64.4,66.5$ (br s, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$; all the expected signals are not visible due to the broadening as well as operlap
with each other), $125.8,126.0,126.2,131.5,133.2,134.2,134.6,135.3,135.4,140.0,140.17$, 140.19, 140.6, 141.6, 142.1, 158.4, 158.7, 160.6, 160.8, 162.3, 162.0 (each s, $C_{\text {aryl; all the }}$ expected signals are not visible due to the operlap with the deutarated solvent signals), 166.2, 168.7, 171.6 (each s, OCHO).
${ }^{13}{ }^{13}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , toluene- $d_{8}, 333 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 169.8$ and 167.2 (br s each, OCHO), 160.4 ( $\left.C_{\text {ary }}\right)$, $142.1\left(C_{\text {ary }}\right)$, $135.3\left(C_{\text {ary }}\right), 62.6\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 35.4\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 34.6\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 32.1\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 30.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

IR (KBr pellet): $v=2955$ (vs), 2899 (s), 2867 (s), 1639 (vs, sh), 1477 (s), 1451 (s), 1413 ( w ), 1391 ( w ), 1361 (m), 1260 (vs), 1239 (vs), 1203 (m), 1170 (m), 1128 (m), 915 (m), 873 (m), 842 (s), 809 (w), 756 (m), 605 (m), 568 (s), $477(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.
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Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3-K in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent.


Figure S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $3-\mathrm{K}$ in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ at 253 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $3-\mathrm{K}$ in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ at 333 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.


Figure S8．${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $3-\mathrm{K}$ in toluene－$d_{8}$ at 213 K ；S denotes the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the deuterated solvent；the symbol $\S$ corresponds to a small amount of $n$－pentane present in the sample．
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Figure S9．Excerpt of the Figure $S 8$ in the range of $28.0-36.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ ．


Figure S10. Excerpt of Figure $S 4$ in the range of $\delta 122.0-143.0$ ppm.


Figure S11. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $3-\mathrm{K}$ in toluene- $d_{8}$ at 333 K ; S denotes the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the deuterate solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.


Figure S12. IR spectrum of $3-\mathrm{K}$ in KBr at 298 K .

## 2.3. $\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{THF})\right](4-\mathrm{THF})$

A solution of 1-CI ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was treated with Mg sand ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40$ mmol ) at room temperature and stirred for 6 h . Upon stirring the color of the suspension changed from orange to light purple. The complete and selective conversion of the starting materials into the product were confirmed by an aliquot ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. After completion, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and the residue was extracted with THF ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined filtrate was dried under reduced pressure to give the titanium(III) complex 4-THF as a light blue powder. Yield: 74 mg ( $0.094 \mathrm{mmol}, 94 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, 298 K ): $\delta 43.27$ (br s), 7.40 (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}, H_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 5.28 (br, 3H), 4.87 (br, 3H), 4.64 (br s, 3H, $H_{\text {ary }}$ ), 1.58 (br s, 27H, C( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ), $1.35 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{s}, 27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , benzene- $d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 182.1$ (br s, $C_{\text {ary }}$ ), 127.0 (s, $C_{\text {ary }}$ ), 126.0 (s, $\left.C_{\text {aryl }}\right)$, 112.8 (br s, Caryl), $61.3\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 40.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 30.3 (br s), 28.6 (s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.


Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4 -THF in benzene $-d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.


Figure S14. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 4-THF in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.


Figure S15. UV-Vis spectrum of 4-THF in $n$-pentane ( $200 \mathrm{~nm}-800 \mathrm{~nm}$ ).


Figure S16. EPR spectrum of 4 -THF as toluene glass at 77 K .

## 2.4. $\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{THP})\right](4-\mathrm{THP})$

A solution of 4-THF ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THP ( 5 mL ) was stored overnight at room temperature to selectively form the titanium(III) THP complex 4-THP, which was isolated as a light blue powder after removal of all the volatiles. Yield: 76 mg ( 0.095 mmol , quantitative). Elemental analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}$ : C: $74.79, \mathrm{H}: 9.54, \mathrm{~N}: 1.74 \%$; found: $\mathrm{C}: 69.33$, H: 9.37, N: 1.71\%. Multiple attempts to obtain acceptable carbon value in elemental analysis consistently gave lower values than expected, probably due to the formation of titanium carbide, which is known for group 4 metal complexes.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 43.14$ (br s), 7.39 (br s, 3H), 4.62 (br s, 3H), 4.47 (br $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.97 (br s, 3H), $1.60\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, benzene- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 181.5\left(C_{\text {ary }}\right), 127.0\left(C_{\text {ary }}\right), 125.9\left(C_{\text {aryl }}\right), 114.7$ $\left(C_{\text {ary }}\right), 61.4\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 57.9\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 40.1\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right),} 36.2\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.7\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $24.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

Magnetic moment: $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ (Evans' Method, benzene- $\left.d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)=1.59 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$


Figure S17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4 -THP in benzene $-d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.


Figure S18. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 4-THP in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol $\S$ corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.

## 2.5. $\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]\left(4-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$

A solution of 1-Cl ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with sodium sand ( 92 mg , 4.0 mmol ) at room temperature and was stirred for 30 min , leading to a color change from orange to purple. The reaction mixture was filtered over celite and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were evaporated to dryness and the residue was washed with $n$-pentane ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting residue was dried under reduced pressure to give $4-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a blue green powder. The combined $n$-pentane washings were concentrated and recrystallized to give another batch of the product. Combined yield: 505 g ( 0.67 mmol , $67 \%)$. Elemental analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}: \mathrm{C}: 74.40, \mathrm{H}: 9.68, \mathrm{~N}: 1.77 \%$; found: C: $71.27, \mathrm{H}: 9.02, \mathrm{~N}: 2.08 \%$. Multiple attempts to obtain acceptable carbon value in elemental analysis consistently gave lower values than expected, probably due to the formation of titanium carbide, which is known for group 4 metal complexes.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 43.9$ (br s), 10.6 (br s), 9.5 (br s), 7.39 (br s, 3H, $H_{\text {aryl }}$, 4.61 (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}, H_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 2.44 (br s, 6 H ), 1.55 (br s, $\left.27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$. $\left.{ }^{13}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , benzene- $d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 181.1$ ( $\left.C_{\text {ary }}\right)$, 128.3 ( $\left.C_{\text {aryl }}\right)$, 127.3 ( $\left.C_{\text {aryl }}\right)$, 125.7 $\left(C_{\text {ary }}\right), 118.0\left(C_{\text {aryl }}\right), 60.7\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 40.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 37.7\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 35.9\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 28.7}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
Magnetic moment: $\mu_{\text {eff }}\left(\right.$ Evans' Method, benzene- $\left.d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)=1.70 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.


Figure S19. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $4-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol $\S$ corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.


Figure S20. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 4-Et $\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.

## 2.6. $\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}\right]_{2}(5)$

A solution of 1-CI ( $753 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in n-pentane ( 5 mL ) was treated with Na sand ( 46 mg , 2.0 mmol ) at room temperature and was stirred for 30 min , leading to a color change from orange to brown. The resulting reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in toluene ( 10 mL ) and filtered. The resulting solution was cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The brown precipitate that formed upon cooling was isolated by decantation of the supernatant solution and dried under reduced pressure to obtain 5 as an analytically pure solid. The supernatant solution was concentrated to ca. 1 mL and cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain another batch of the product. Combined yield: $438 \mathrm{mg}(0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$, $62 \%)$. Elemental Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{132} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}$ : C: 75.39, H:9.28, N: 1.95\%; found: C: 75.57, H: 9.27, N: 2.18\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 47.9$ (br s), 8.68 (br s), 6.82 (br s), 6.59 (br s), 6.13 (br s), 5.53 (br s), 4.39 (br s), 4.35 (br s), $0.94-1.48$ (br m), 1.34 (s, $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ), 1.32 (br s, $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.18\left(\mathrm{~s},, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right),-4.49(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}),-6.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 225.0,159.3,152.0,146.1,138.7,135.9,128.7$, 127.2, 124.3, 124.1, 110.0, 102.5, 92.4, 79.6, 67.6, 61.9, 41.5, 39.6, 38.5, 37.2, 33.4 , 32.7, 32.6, 32.3, 29.4, 28.7, 27.9.

Magnetic moment: $\mu_{\text {eff }}\left(\right.$ Evans' Method, benzene- $\left.d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)=2.22 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.


Figure S21. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent.


Figure S22. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent.


Figure S23. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 5 in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.

## 2.7. $\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{BuOCHO}\right)\right](6)$

Method A: To a solution of $5(45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $n$-pentane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added tert-butyl formate ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at room temperature. After stirring for few minutes all the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain 6 as a brown powder. Yield: 51 mg ( 0.62 mmol , quantitative).

Method B: To a solution of $4-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $n$-pentane ( 5 mL ) tert-butyl formate $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise at room temperature. Upon addition the color of the solution changed from light blue to brown. After stirring for few minutes all the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to obtain 6 as a brown powder. Yield: $82 \mathrm{mg}(0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$, quantitative).

Elemental analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Ti}: \mathrm{C}: 73.32, \mathrm{H}: 9.35, \mathrm{~N}: 1.71 \%$; found: $\mathrm{C}: 72.36$, H: 9.37, N: 1.87\%.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene- $d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 41.65$ (br s), 4.84 (br s, $H_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 1.63 (br s, 27 H , $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right),-23.01$ (br s).

 expected signals are not visible due to the broadening at room temperature.

Magnetic moment: $\mu_{\text {eff }}\left(\right.$ Evans' Method, benzene $\left.-d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)=1.70 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.
IR (n-pentane, 298 K ): $v=1663 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
IR (KBr pellet): v=2959 (vs), 2903 (s), 2868 (s), 1661 (m), 1603 (vw), 1478 (s), 1445 (s), 1413 (m), 1392 (w), 1362 (m), 1306 (w), 1258 (vs), 1239 (vs), 1204 (m), 1171 (s), 1129 (m), 1024 (m), 916 (m), 875 (m), 858 ( s$), 812$ (w), 761 (m), 692 (w), 605 (m), 583(m), $570(\mathrm{~m}), 491(\mathrm{~m})$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.


Figure S24. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 6 in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.


Figure S25. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 6 in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbols $\S$ and * correspond to a small amount of $n$-pentane and some unknown impurities, respectively, present in the sample.


Figure S26. Upper: IR spectrum of 6 in KBr . Partially decomposed by air. The peak at $1724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to tert-butyl formate. Lower: IR spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$ in KBr after 10 minutes.


Figure S27. IR spectrum of 6 in $n$-pentane in a NaCl cell.


Figure S28. UV-Vis spectrum of 6 in $n$-pentane ( $200 \mathrm{~nm}-800 \mathrm{~nm}$ ).


Figure S29. EPR spectrum of 6 as toluene glass at 77 K . The spectrum could not be simulated due to its complicated nature.

## $2.8\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}\right\}_{2}\left(\mu-\mathrm{OCHO}-\eta \mathrm{O}: \eta \mathrm{O}^{\prime}\right)\right](7)$

To a solid mixture of [( $\left.\left.\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OCHO})\right](229 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the titanium(III) dimer 5 (216 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a centrifuge vial $n$-pentane ( 10 mL ) was added at room temperature and the resulting orange suspension was stirred for 3 h . Upon stirring the colour of the suspension changed to dark green. After completion of the reaction, as confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, the suspension was centrifuged and the supernatant solution was decanted. Resulting residue was washed with $n$-pentane ( $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried under reduced pressure to yield the formate bridge complex 7 as a dark green powder. Yield: $338 \mathrm{mg}(0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$, $76 \%)$. Elemental analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{91} \mathrm{H}_{133} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}: \mathrm{C}: 73.91, \mathrm{H}: 9.07, \mathrm{~N}: 1.89 \%$; found: $\mathrm{C}: 71.51, \mathrm{H}: 9.08, \mathrm{~N}: 1.86 \%$. Multiple attempts to obtain acceptable carbon value in elemental analysis consistently gave lower values than expected, probably due to the formation of titanium carbide, which is known for group 4 metal complexes.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , benzene- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 21.53$ (br s), 7.11 (br s), 6.12 (br s), 1.76 (br s, 54 H , $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.63$ (br s; this signal overlaps with the signal at 1.75 ppm$), 1.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 54 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, -37.06 (br s).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , benzene- $d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 152.5$ ( $\left.C_{\text {ary }}\right)$, 136.0 ( $\left.C_{\text {aryl }}\right)$, $124.6\left(C_{\text {aryl }}\right), 116.2$
 $\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$; all the expected signals are not visible due to the broadening at room temperature.

Magnetic moment: $\mu_{\text {eff }}\left(\right.$ Evans' Method, benzene- $\left.d_{6}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)=1.92 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.
IR (KBr): v = 2952 ( s ), 2903 (m), 2867 (m), 1648 (w), 1578 (m), 1475 (s), 1412 (w), 1392 (w), 1361 (m), 1255 (s), 1238 (vs), 1202 (m), 1170 (m), 1127 (m), 980 (vw), 917 (s), 874 (s), 860 (vs), 814 (m), 761 (s), 728 (m), 694 (m), 640 (w), 607 (vs) cm¹.


Figure S30. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 7 in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the residual proton signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.



Figure S31. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 7 in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ; S denotes the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of the deuterated solvent; the symbol § corresponds to a small amount of $n$-pentane present in the sample.


Figure S32. EPR spectrum of 7 as toluene glass at 77 K .

## 3. Decomposition study of 6

### 3.1. Decomposition in a J. Young NMR tube

A benzene $-d_{6}$ solution of 6 in a J. Young NMR tube was stored at room temperature. The reaction was monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After 1 day, the formation of 7 was ovserved. After 2 weeks, the formation of $\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right]$, 2 and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOCHO}$ was confirmed. After 2 months, signals of $\mathbf{7}$ became smaller and after 3 months, 7 was completely consumed.


Figure S33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture in benzene- $d_{6}$ at 298 K ;. 1st row: compound 6 in benzene- $d_{6}$. 2nd row: after 1 day. 3rd row: after 2 weeks. 4th row: after 2 months. 5th row: after 3 months. Green: 2, purple: 6, blue: 7, red: ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOCHO}$, orange: $\left[\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right]$.

### 3.2. Decomposition on a synthetic scale

A solution of $6(82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $n$-pentane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was filtered to a push-in cap glass vial. The solution was stored at room temperature under argon atmosphere and the conversion of 6 to 7 was followed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After one month, formation of yellowish green crystals was observed. The crystals were isolated after decantation of the supernatant solution, washed with $n$-pentane ( $3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried under reduced pressure to obtain 7 as a green powder. Yield: 23 mg ( $0.016 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \%$ ).

## 4. Crystal structure determination of compounds $3-\mathrm{Na}, 3-\mathrm{K}, 4-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4-\mathrm{THP}, 5,6$ and 7

X-ray diffraction data were collected at 100 K on an Eulerian 4-circle diffractometer STOE STADIVARI in $\omega$-scan mode with Cu-Ka radiation. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXT. ${ }^{[53]}$ All refinements were carried out against $F^{2}$ with ShelXL ${ }^{[54]}$ as implemented in the program system Olex2. ${ }^{[55]}$ The compounds 3-Na, 3-K, 4-THP, 5, 6 and 7 were found as solvates with co-crystallized acetonitrile (3-Na), toluene (3-K), hexane (5) and benzene ( 6 and 7 ). In the crystal structure of $\mathbf{3 - N a}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ units of ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{Bu}$ groups (involving C 45 and

C46, as well as C119 - C121) are disordered. In 3-K, tBu groups are also disordered (involving atoms C45-C50 as well as C66-C73, C127-C132 and C190 - C195). In addition, a toluene molecule is disordered (involving positions C215-C228). One methyl unit which is part of a ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{Bu}$ group in 4-Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (involving atom C 15 ) was treated as disordered as well as one coordinated hexane molecule in 5 . In 4-THP, disorder involves most of the tris(phenolato)amine ligand, as well as the THP ligand and the non-coordinated pentane molecule in 4-THP. In 7, the carbon atom C 1 of the bridging formyl OCHO group as well those of several non-coordinated benzene molecules (C122 - C169 and C176 - C187) are disordered. Each disorder could be resolved with split positions for the involved atom positions. Distance restraints were used in the refinement of $\mathbf{3 - K}$, but only involving a toluene molecule, in the refinement of $\mathbf{5}$, but only involving the non coordinated hexane molecule, as well as in the refinement of 7, but only involving non-coordinated benzene molecules. When 6 was refined with split positions of the tris(phenolato)amine ligand and of the ${ }^{\text {tBuOCO }}$ ligand, the refinement values were improved with $R 1=0.0510$ and $w R 2=0.0780$ (for $\gg 2 \sigma(I)$ ), but the disordered fraction only showed an occupancy of $10 \%$ and the refinement needed 846 restraints with an additional 213 parameters. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions. Refinement results are given in Table S1. Graphical representations were performed with the program DIAMOND. ${ }^{[59]}$ CCDC-2176437, CCDC-2176438, CCDC-2176439, CCDC-2176440, CCDC-2176441, CCDC2176442 and CCDC-2176443 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif

Table S1: Crystallographic data of 3-Na, 3-K, 4-Et2O, 4-THP, 5, 6 and 7

|  | 3-Na | 3-K | 4-Et20 | 4-THP | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{190} \mathrm{H}_{276} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{24} \mathrm{Ti}_{4}, \\ & 6\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{187} \mathrm{H}_{271} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{26} \mathrm{Ti}_{4}, \\ & 3\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Ti}, \\ & \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C}_{90} \mathrm{H}_{132} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}, \\ & 4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Ti}, \\ & 0.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{C}_{91} \mathrm{H}_{133} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Ti}_{2}, \\ & 11\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| $F W / \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | 3512.05 | 3576.36 | 791.00 | 875.16 | 1778.45 | 858.07 | 2404.38 |
| cryst. color, habit | dark orange block | orange plate | pale blue block | blue block | orange rod | orange block | dark yellow block |
| crystal size / mm | $0.42 \times 0.55 \times 0.66$ | $0.08 \times 0.23 \times 0.44$ | $0.32 \times 0.40 \times 0.42$ | $0.31 \times 0.46 \times 0.58$ | $0.05 \times 0.21 \times 0.48$ | $0.13 \times 0.17 \times 0.22$ | $0.24 \times 0.33 \times 0.39$ |
| crystal system | monoclinic | triclinic | monoclinic | tetragonal | monoclinic | Monoclinic | trigonal |
| space group | P2 $1 / \mathrm{C}$ ( $\mathrm{no}$.14 ) | $P \overline{1}$ (no. 2) | Cc (no. 9) | P41 ( $\mathrm{no}$.76 ) | $P 21 / n($ no. 14) | C2/c (no. 15) | $P 31$ (no. 144) |
| a/A | 24.1034(3) | 19.351(4) | 21.251(4) | 14.476(2) | 19.488(4) | 29.2227(4) | 17.598(3) |
| b/A | 34.4125(3) | 22.616(5) | 18.683(4) |  | 23.772(5) | 15.9396(3) |  |
| $c / \AA$ | 24.7529(3) | 30.581(6) | 12.230(2) | 25.385(5) | 25.128(5) | 23.4470(3) | 39.046(8) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |  | 69.99(3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\beta /^{\circ}$ | 93.3425(8) | 88.96(3) | 108.06(3) |  | 107.21(3) | 111.5672(11) |  |
| $\mathrm{Y} /{ }^{\circ}$ |  | 66.13(3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $V / \AA^{3}$ | 20496.6(4) | 11387(5) | 4616.3(18) | 5320.0(18) | 11119(4) | 10156.9(3) | 10472(4) |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {calc }} / \mathrm{Mg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | 1.138 | 1.043 | 1.138 | 1.093 | 1.062 | 1.122 | 1.144 |
| $\mu\left(\right.$ CuKa) $/ \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.827 | 2.095 | 1.884 |  | 1.595 | 1.765 | 1.399 |
| $\mu(\mathrm{MoKa}) / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ |  |  |  | 0.203 |  |  |  |
| $F(000)$ | 7568 | 3848 | 1724 | 1916 | 3912 | 3728 | 3789 |
| $\theta$ range ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2.57-72.68 | 2.25-77.20 | 3.22-72.16 | 2.13-27.48 | 2.54-72.12 | 3.21-72.09 | 2.90-70.93 |
| index ranges | $\begin{aligned} & -29 \leq h \leq 28,-42 \leq k \\ & \leq 39,-11 \leq l \leq 29 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -23 \leq h \leq 21,-27 \leq \\ & k \leq 27,-38 \leq 1 \leq 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -23 \leq h \leq 26,-13 \\ & \leq k \leq 22,-15 \leq 1 \leq \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -18 \leq h \leq 15,-18 \\ & \leq k \leq 18,-31 \leq I \leq \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -23 \leq h \leq 17,-28 \\ & \leq k \leq 26,-30 \leq I \leq \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -25 \leq h \leq 35,-18 \\ & \leq k \leq 19,-26 \leq 1 \leq \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -21 \leq h \leq 17,-21 \\ & \leq k \leq 20,-47 \leq 1 \leq \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ |
| refln. | 273898 | 307544 | 26594 | 41253 | 69768 | 98976 | 187957 |
| independ. reflns ( $R_{\text {int }}$ ) | 40090 (0.0650) | 44350 (0.1026) | 8038 (0.0356) | 11956 (0.0494) | 20847 (0.0578) | 9828 (0.0709) | 25795 (0.0429) |
| observed reflns | 28559 | 24221 | 7780 | 8852 | 14251 | 6496 | 22483 |
| data/ restr./ param. | 40090 / 0/ 2331 | $\begin{aligned} & 44350 / 1969 / \\ & 2475 \end{aligned}$ | 8038 / 362 / 526 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11956 / 1333 / \\ & 929 \end{aligned}$ | 20847 / 885/ 1218 | 9828 / 0/544 | 25795 /626 /1844 |
| $R_{1}$, wR2 [/> $2 \sigma(/)$ ] | 0.0845, 0.2397 | 0.0627, 0.1521 | 0.0692, 0.1735 | 0.0589, 0.1473 | 0.0731, 0.1669 | 0.0706, 0.2077 | 0.0419, 0.1054 |
| $R_{1}, w R 2$ (all data) | 0.1055, 0.2674 | 0.0997, 0.1642 | 0.0748, 0.1988 | 0.0885, 0.1628 | 0.1174, 0.1986 | 0.1000, 0.2279 | 0.0485, 0.1080 |
| GooF on $F^{2}$ | 1.091 | 0.860 | 1.056 | 1.034 | 1.063 | 1.060 | 0.990 |
| largest diff. peak, hole/ e• $A^{3}$ | 0.899, -0.651 | 0.736, -0.608 | 1.262, -0.793 | 0.673, -0.350 | 0.391, -0.399 | 0.843, -0.274 | 0.413, -0.315 |
| CCDC number | 2176437 | 2176438 | 2176439 | 2176440 | 2176441 | 2176442 | 2176443 |



Figure S34. Molecular structure of 3-Na in the solid state with displacement parameters at $30 \%$ probability level. H atoms, ${ }^{\text {tBu groups and non-coordinated acetnitrile molecules are omitted for clarity. }}$ Selected interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): \mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 62.411(3)$, Na1-O16 2.275(3), Na2-O6 2.379(3), Na2-O16 2.391(3), Na1-O4 2.337(3), Na2-O18 2.244(3), Na2-O15 2.767(3), Ti1-O3 1.975(2), Ti2-O5 1.968(2), Ti3-O15 2.010(2), Ti4-O17 1.974(2), C2-O3 1.268(4), C2-O4 1.224(4), C3-O5 1.256(4), C3-O6 1.236(4), C5-O15 1.276(4), C5-O16 1.217(4), C6-O17 1.282(4), C6-O18 1.220(4); $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Na} 298.85(10), \mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 16$ 80.11(10), O6-Na2-O16 78.50(9), $\mathrm{Na} 1-\mathrm{O} 16-\mathrm{Na} 2$ 102.45(11), O1-C1-O2 123.0(3), O3-C2-O4 124.6(4), O5-C3-O6 124.7(4), O13-C4-O14 122.0(3), O15-C5-O16 123.1(3), O17-C6-O18 126.5(3).


Figure S35. Molecular structure of 3-K in the solid state with displacement parameters at 30\% probability level. H atoms, ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}$ groups and non-coordinated toluene molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : Ti1-O1 1.981(3), Ti1-O3 2.045(2), Ti2-O5 1.940(2), Ti2-O7 2.068(2), Ti3-O8 2.103(2), Ti3-O9 1.970(2), Ti4-O11 2.070(2), Ti4-O13 1.944(3), K1-O2 $2.745(3), \mathrm{K} 1-\mathrm{O} 32.735(2), \mathrm{K} 1-\mathrm{O} 43.330(3), \mathrm{K} 2-\mathrm{O} 42.632(2), \mathrm{K} 2-\mathrm{O} 52.731(3), \mathrm{K} 2-\mathrm{O} 73.049(2), \mathrm{K} 2-\mathrm{O} 8$ 3.033(2), K2-O9 2.756(3), K2-O12 2.665(3), K3-O2 2.721(3), K3-O4 2.855(3), K3-O11 2.638(2), K3O12 3.337(2), K1---K2 4.2190(14), K1---K3 3.813(2), K2---K3 4.2372(16), O1-C1-O2 130.4(4), O3-C2O4 128.0(3), O5-C3-O6 126.8(3), O7-C4-O8 124.5(3), O9-C5-O10 126.5(4), O11-C6-O12 126.6(3), O13-C7-O14 128.9(4), O1-Ti1-O3 88.80(11), O5-Ti2-O7 87.82(9), O8-Ti3-O9 85.49(10), O11-Ti4-O13 90.37(10).


Figure S36. Molecular structure of $4-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the solid state with displacement parameters at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ : Ti1-N1 2.181(5), Ti1-O1 1.931(4), Ti1-O2 1.877(3), Ti1-O3 1.938(3), Ti1-O4 2.149(4); N1-Ti1-O1 89.42(16), N1-Ti1-O2 86.29(15), N1-Ti1-O3 88.28(14), N1-Ti1-O4 178.23(18).


Figure S37. Molecular structure of 4-THP in the solid state with displacement parameters at 50\% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and non-coordinated pentane molecules are omitted for clarity. Atoms refined with split positions are only shown with one position. Selected interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ : Ti1-N1 2.188(3), Ti1-O1a 1.925(5), Ti1-O2a 1.912(5), Ti1-O3a 1.892(5), Ti1-O4 2.147(3); N1-Ti1-O1a 88.84(16), N1-Ti1-O2a 88.83(16), N1-Ti1-O3a 89.22(16), N1-Ti1-O4 177.56(15).


Figure S38. Molecular structure of 4-THP in the solid state showing the disorder.


Figure S39. Molecular structure of 5 in the solid state with displacement parameters at $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms and the non-coordinated hexane molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : Ti1---Ti2 3.2142(15), Ti1-N1 2.216(3), Ti1-O1 1.904(2), Ti1-O2 1.885(3), Ti1-O3 2.046(2), Ti1-O6 2.062(3); N1-Ti1-O1 87.72(10), N1-Ti1-O2 92.83(11), N1-Ti1-O3 85.50(10), N1-Ti1-O6 135.74(11), Ti1-O3-Ti2 101.51(10),


Figure S40. Molecular structure of $\mathbf{6}$ in the solid state with displacement parameters at $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms, methyl groups of the tris(phenolato)amine ligand and non-coordinated benzene molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): Ti1-N1 2.197(2), Ti1-O1 2.139(2), Ti1-O3 1.871(3), Ti1-O4 1.887(3), Ti1-O5 1.915(2), C1-O1 1.186(5), C1-O2 1.310(5); N1-Ti1-O1 179.06(10), O1-C1-O2 127.9(4).


Figure S41. Molecular structure of 7 in the solid state with displacement parameters at $30 \%$ probability level. H atoms except for the formate proton as well as the non-coordinated benzene molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): Ti1-N1 2.222(3), Ti1-O1 1.911(2), Ti1-O3 1.807(2), Ti1-O4 1.806(2) Ti1-O5 1.799(2), Ti2-N2 2.189(3), Ti2-O2 2.073(3), Ti2-O6 1.869(2), Ti2-O7 1.904(2), Ti2-O8 1.887(2), C1A-O1 1.274(5), C1A-O2 1.185(7), C1B-O1 1.33(2), C1B-O2 0.98(2); N1-Ti1-O1 179.16(11), N1-Ti1-O3 83.86(9), N1-Ti1-O4 83.33(9), N1-Ti1-O5 83.55(9), N2-Ti2O2 177.81(10), N2-Ti2-O6 87.62(9), N2-Ti2-O7 88.18(9), N2-Ti2-O8 88.80(9), Ti1-O1-C1A 161.9(4), Ti1-O1-C1B 158.6(14), Ti2-O2-C1A 135.9(4), Ti2-O2-C1B 150.5(12), O1-C1A-O2 125.8(7), O1-C1BO2 143(3).

## 5. Cyclovoltametry

The cyclic voltammogram was recorded with a Metrohm Autolab PGSTAT101 using a Pt-disk working electrode, a Pt-wire counter electrode and a Ag-wire quasi-reference electrode. The voltammogram was referenced to the $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$couple.

### 5.1. Cyclic voltammogram of $1-\mathrm{Cl}$



Figure S42. Cyclic voltammogram of 1-CI (2 mM in THF, scan rate $50 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}-800 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$
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