Supporting Information

Effect of Intermolecular Anionic Interactions on Spin Crossover of Two Triple-Stranded Dinuclear Fe(II) Complexes Showing Above Room Temperature Spin Transition

S. Athira‡, Dibya Jyoti Mondal‡, Shraoshee Shome, Bijoy Dey, and Sanjit Konar $^{\ast a}$

^aDepartment of Chemistry Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal Bhopal By-pass Road, Bhauri, Madhya Pradesh, India-462066 E-mail: <u>skonar@iiserb.ac.in</u>

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.



Figure S2. ¹³C NMR spectrum of Ligand (L).

	Complex 1 (LS-LS)	Complex 1 (HS-LS)	Complex 2
	(CCDC No. 2182188)	(CCDC No. 2205321)	(CCDC No. 2182187)
Empirical formula	C77H77Fe2N18O22.5F12 S4	C77H77Fe2N18O22.5F12 S4	C73.35H79.4Fe2N18O27.35 Cl4
Formula weight	2082.32	1891.29	1904.21
Temperature/K	120.0	294.00	100.0
Crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	C2/c	C2/c	$P2_{l}/c$
a/Å	33.680(3)	34.197(2)	15.2707(4)
b/Å	14.0309(12)	14.3395(9)	22.8316(6)
c/Å	39.333(4)	39.517(3)	23.0331(6)
α/°	90	90	90
β/°	109.649(2)	109.422(2)	95.936(2)
γ/°	90	90	90
Volume/Å ³	17505(3)	18275(2)	7963.4(5)
Z	4	8	4
ρ _{calc} g/cm ³	1.534	1.375	1.554
μ/mm ⁻¹	0.533	0.477	0.592
F(000)	8240.0	7728.0	3816.0
Crystal size/mm ³	0.45 imes 0.36 imes 0.3	0.45 imes 0.36 imes 0.3	$0.45 \times 0.38 \times 0.33$
Radiation	MoK α ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoK α ($\lambda = 0.71073$)	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)
2Θ range for data collection/°	4.398 to 49.602	3.85 to 49.566	4.596 to 52.84
Index ranges	$-39 \le h \le 39,$ $-16 \le k \le 16,$ $-44 \le 1 \le 46$	$-36 \le h \le 40, -16 \le k \le 16, \\ -46 \le 1 \le 46$	$-19 \le h \le 18,$ $-28 \le k \le 28,$ $-28 \le l \le 28$
Reflections collected	135555	88841	88182
Independent reflections	$15061 \\ [R_{int} = 0.1227, \\ R_{sigma} = 0.0787]$	15552 [$R_{int} = 0.0909$, $R_{sigma} = 0.0682$]	$16295 [R_{int} = 0.1172, R_{sigma} = 0.0940]$
Data/restraints/para meters	15061/0/1192	15552/751/1350	16295/0/1145
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.034	1.239	1.011
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.1249,$ $wR_2 = 0.3418$	$R_1 = 0.1025, wR_2 = 0.2996$	$R_1 = 0.1230,$ $wR_2 = 0.3124$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1886,$ $wR_2 = 0.3866$	$R_1 = 0.1522, wR_2 = 0.3457$	$R_1 = 0.1880,$ $wR_2 = 0.3607$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å ⁻³	2.52/-1.51	1.84/-0.52	5.33/-2.17

Table S1. Crystallographic data for complex 1 and 2.

 $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma F_o, R_2 = [\Sigma \{ w(F_o^2 - Fc^2)^2 \} / \Sigma \{ w(F_o^2)^2 \}]^{1/2}$



Figure S3. TGA diagram for complex 1.



Figure S4. FT-IR spectrum of Ligand.



Figure S6. FT-IR spectrum of Complex 2.



Figure S7. P-XRD plot of complex 1.



Figure S8. P-XRD plot of complex 2.



Figure S9. Fingerprint plots for a) All major types of interactions b) O-H c) H-H d) H-F e) C-H f) O-C g) N-H h) C-C interactions present in complex **1** at 120 K.



Figure S10. Intermolecular interactions in complex 1 through Hirshfeld surface mapping by d_{norm} function (left) and shape-index (right) at 120 K.



Figure S11. Fingerprint plots for a) All major types of interactions b) O-H c) H-H d) H-F e) C-H f) O-C g) N-H h) C-C interactions present in complex 1 at 294 K.



Figure S12. Intermolecular interactions in complex 1 through Hirshfeld surface mapping by d_{norm} function (left) and shape-index (right) at 294 K.



Figure S13. Fingerprint plots for a) All major types of interactions b) O-H c) H-H d) C-H e) O-C f) N-H g) C-C interactions present in complex **2**.



Figure S14. Intermolecular interactions in complex 2 through Hirshfeld surface mapping by d_{norm} function (left) and shape-index (right).

	Fe-N	J _{amine} (Å)	Fe-N	I _{pyridine} (Å)	Bite Angles (°)
	N1	1.994(8)	N2	1.984(8)	81.302(3)
Fe1	N3	1.984(9)	N4	1.951(8)	81.140(3)
	N5	2.013(6)	N6	1.954(8)	79.998(3)
	N10	1.985(9)	N11	1.976(9)	80.750(4)
Fe2	N12	2.000(6)	N13	1.976(9)	80.672(3)
	N14	2.000(7)	N15	1.960(9)	79.997(3)

 Table S2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bite angles (°) for complex 1 at 120 K

 Table S3. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bite angles (°) for complex 2 at 120 K.

	Fe-N	N _{amine} (Å)	Fe-1	N _{pyridine} (Å)	Bite Angles (°)
	N2	2.005(7)	N1	1.956(7)	81.2(3)
Fe1	N4	1.995(7)	N3	1.967(7)	80.5(3)
	N6	1.998(7)	N5	1.962(7)	80.6(3)
	N11	2.014(7)	N10	1.963(7)	79.68(6)
Fe2	N13	2.013(7)	N12	1.978(8)	80.60(3)
	N15	1.976(7)	N14	1.973(7)	80.30(3)

HS-LS	Distance	LS-LS	Distance
(294 K)	(A)	(120 K)	(A)
O22-H18a	2.648	012-Н9а	2.383
016-Н7а	2.477	O14-H16b	2.239
O20-H4a	2.319	O9-H7a	2.085

Table S4. Selected atom distances (Å) for complex 1 at 120 and 294 K.

Table S5. Percentage Hirshfeld surface interaction calculated from crystal explorer forcompound 1 at two different temperatures.

Complex 1	HS-LS (294 K)	LS-LS (100 K)
О-Н	35.9	39.6
Н-Н	19.6	23.9
С-Н	15.3	11.9
О-С	5.3	4.2
N-H	1.5	0.9
C-C	0.8	0.4
H-F	17	15.5
Others	4.6	3.6