Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

Electronic Supplementary Information

Selective recognition and extraction of arsenate by a ureafunctionalized tripodal receptor from competitive aqueous media

Sandeep Kumar Dey,^{*a,b} Beatriz Gil-Hernández,^c Vivekanand V. Gobre,^d Dennis Woschko,^e Sarvesh S. Harmalkar,^d Firdaus Rahaman Gayen,^{a,b} Biswajit Saha,^{a,b} Rajib Lochan Goswamee,^{a,b} and Christoph Janiak^d

^aMaterials Science and Technology Division, CSIR-North East Institute of Science and Technology, Jorhat, Assam 785006, India. Email: <u>sandeep@neist.res.in</u>.

^bAcademy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad-201002, Uttar Pradesh, India.

^cDepartmento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias, Sección Química, Universidad de La Laguna, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain. Email: <u>beagher@ull.es</u>

^dSchool of Chemical Sciences, Goa University, Taleigao Plateau, Goa 403206, India. Email: <u>vvgobre@gu.ac.in</u>.

^cInstitute of Inorganic and Structural Chemistry, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf 40225, Germany. Email: <u>janiak@uni.duesseldorf.de</u>.

1. Materials and experimental methods

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received without further purification. Tris(2-aminoethyl) amine (Tren), 3-nitrophenyl isocyanate, 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride, Pd 10% on carbon, hydrazine monohydrate and all quaternary ammonium (tetraalkylammonium) salts were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Merck) or TCI Chemicals. Solvents (analytical grade) for synthesis and crystallization experiments were purchased from Merck, and used without further purification.

¹H, and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker FT-400 MHz instrument and chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million (ppm) on the scale using tetramethylsilane or residual solvent peak as a reference and ¹³C spectra were obtained at 100 MHz at 298 K. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of dried crystalline powder were recorded using a Bruker-D8 X-ray diffractometer with Cu-*K* α radiation at $\lambda = 0.15418$ Å. HR-MS analyses were carried out using Xevo XS QTof mass spectrometer, Waters ACQUITY UHPLC. UV-vis spectral analyses were carried out using a Perkin-Elmer spectrophotometer at 298 K.

2. Synthesis and characterization of urea-functionalized tripodal receptor L

Tris(4-amino-N-ethylbenzamide)amine (AL) was synthesized following the recently published literature procedure.¹ Receptor L was synthesized by the reaction of AL with 3-nitrophenylisocyante in a 1:3.2 molar ratio at room temperature (Scheme S1). In a 50 mL flat bottom flask, 500 mg of AL (1 mmol) was dissolved in 10 mL of dimethylformamide (DMF) and 0.520 g of 3-nitrophenylisocyante (3.2 mmol) was added into the above solution mixture and was allowed to stir at room temperature (25-30 °C) for about 12 hours. The solution was then filtered in a 25 ml beaker and allowed to remain at room temperature for crystallization. Pale yellow solid of the receptor precipitated out from the DMF solution in quantitative yield within 2-3 days. The precipitated compound was collected by filtration and washed with 30 mL methanol (3 x 10 mL). The compound was then dissolved in 5 mL DMF for recrystallization to ensure its purity for spectroscopy analysis. The precipitated compound was again collected by filtration and washed with 30 mL methanol (3 x 10 mL) and dried at room temperature. The compound was characterized by NMR spectroscopy in DMSO-d₆ and HR-MS in acetonitrile.

Isolated yield of L after recrystallization: 625 mg (% yield 62%, based on three experimental runs). The compound is soluble in dimethylformamide, dimethyl sulfoxide, and acetone, insoluble in acetonitrile, chloroform/dichloromethane and methanol/ethanol.

Characterization of L: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) chemical shift in δ ppm: 2.50 (Residual DMSO), 2.72 (t, J = 8 Hz, 3xNCH₂), 3.38 (3xCH₂ + H₂O), 7.52 (t, J = 8 Hz, 9xCH), 7.66 (d, J = 8 Hz, 3xCH), 7.79 (d, J = 8 Hz, 9xCH), 8.51 (d, J = 4 Hz, 3xCH), 8.26 (t, J = 4 Hz, 3x Amide-**NH**), 9.03 (s, 3x Urea-**NH**_a), 9.24 (s, 3x Urea-**NH**_b).

¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) chemical shift in δ ppm: 42.9 (3xCH₂), 58.7 (3xCH₂), 117.4 (3xCH), 121.7 (3xCH), 122.7 (3xCH), 129.62 (3xCH), 133.2 (3xCH), 135.3 (3xCH), 146.0 (3xCH), 147.1 (3xCH), 153.3 (3xCH), 157.4 (3xC=O), 171.1 (3xC=O).

HR-MS of L (negative ion): m/z 994.380 $[L-H^+]^-$ and 995.388 [L]



Scheme S1. Synthesis of hydrogen bond donor tripodal receptor L.



Fig. S1. ¹H-NMR spectrum of receptor L in DMSO-d₆ (400 MHz).



Fig. S2. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of receptor L in DMSO-d₆ (100 MHz).



Fig. S3. HR-MS of L in acetonitrile.

3. Synthesis and characterization of receptor-oxoanion complexes

The receptor-oxoanion complexes were obtained by liquid-liquid extraction experiments. In a typical liquid-liquid extraction experiment, L (200 mg) was dissolved in dichloromethane (DCM, 20 mL) in the presence of three equivalents (n-Bu₄N⁺)OH⁻ and an aqueous solution of an oxoanion (one equivalent of Na₂HPO₄, Na₂HAsO₄ or Na₂SO₄, dissolved in 20 mL of double distilled water) was added into the DCM solution. The solution mixture was then stirred at room temperature for about half an hour and the separated organic layer was washed with 10 mL of double distilled water in each case. The DCM layer was then isolated again using a separating funnel and treated with anhydrous sodium sulfate in each case. The solution was then filtered and evaporated to dryness at room temperature to obtain yellow crystalline powder of the host-guest complex which was characterized by NMR spectroscopy in DMSO-d₆ and HR-MS in acetonitrile.

Characterization of the arsenate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₃·(2L·AsO₄)]: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) chemical shift in δ ppm: 0.88 (t, J = 8 Hz, 36H, 12 x CH₃, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 1.25 (m, 24H, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 1.50 (m, 24H, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 2.50 (Residual DMSO), 2.59 (s, 12H, 6xNCH₂, 2L), 3.09 (t, J = 8 Hz, 24H, 12 x N⁺CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 3.45 (12H, 6xCH₂, 2L), 6.73–7.76 (48H, Aromatic-CH, 2L), 8.20 (s, 6H, Amide-NH, 2L), 11.84 (s, 6H, Urea-NH_a, 2L), 12.90 (s, 6H, Urea-NH_β, 2L) (See Fig. S4 below).

¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) chemical shift in δ ppm: 13.8 (12C, 12 x CH₃, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 19.6 (12C, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 23.4 (12C, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 36.6 (6C, 6 x NCH₂, 2L), 52.8 (6C, 6 x CH₂, 2L), 57.9 (12C, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 111.1 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 115.3 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 118.0 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 124.0 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 128.0 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 128.4 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 142.1 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 143.4 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 147.8 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 153.3 (6C, 6x Amide-CO, 2L), 167.3 (6C, 6x Urea-CO, 2L) (See Fig. S5 below).

HR-MS of the arsenate complex (negative ion): m/z 1136.152 [L·H₂AsO₄]⁻, 1065.689 [2L·HAsO₄]²⁻, 994.238 [L-H⁺]⁻, 567.594 [L·HAsO₄]²⁻, 140.934 [H₂AsO₄]⁻ (See Fig. S6 below).

Characterization of the phosphate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₃·(2L·PO₄)]: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) chemical shift in δ ppm: 0.87 (t, J = 8 Hz, 36H, 12 x CH₃, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 1.23 (m, 24H, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 1.49 (m, 24H, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 2.50 (Residual DMSO), 2.58 (s, 12H, 6xNCH₂), 3.06 (t, J = 8 Hz, 24H, 12 x N⁺CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 6.71–7.75 (48H, Aromatic-CH, 2L), 8.15 (s, 6H, Amide-NH, 2L), 11.87 (s, 6H, Urea-NH_a, 2L), 12.98 (s, 6H, Urea-NH_b, 2L) (See Fig. S7 below).

³¹P-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): 8.12 ppm (See Fig. S8 below).

¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) chemical shift in δ ppm: 13.9 (12C, 12 x CH₃, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 19.6 (12C, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 23.5 (12C, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 36.5 (6C, 6 x NCH₂, 2L), 52.8 (6C, 6 x CH₂, 2L), 57.9 (12C, 12 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 111.2 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 115.5 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 118.2 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 124.3 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 128.1 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 128.4 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 142.1 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 143.4 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 147.9 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 153.5 (6C, 6x Amide-CO, 2L), 167.5 (6C, 6x Urea-CO, 2L) (See Fig. S9 below).

HR-MS of the phosphate complex (negative ion): m/z 1092.426 $[L \cdot H_2 PO_4]^-$, 1043.925 $[2L \cdot HPO_4]^{2-}$, 994.444 $[L-H^+]^-$, 545.762 (L·HPO₄)²⁻ (See Fig. S10 below).

Characterization of the sulfate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₂·(2L·SO₄)]: ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) chemical shift in δ ppm: 0.90 (t, J = 8 Hz, 24H, 8 x CH₃, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₂), 1.27 (m, 16H, 8 x CH₂, 2(n-Bu₄N⁺)), 1.53 (m, 16H, 8 x CH₂, 2(n-Bu₄N⁺)), 2.51 (Residual DMSO), 2.65 (t, J = 4 Hz, 12H, 6xNCH₂), 3.13 (t, J = 12 Hz, 16H, 8 x N⁺CH₂, 2(n-Bu₄N⁺)), 3.43 (12H, 6xNCH₂CH₂), 7.38–7.77 (48H, Aromatic-CH, 2L), 8.49 (s, 6H, Amide-NH, 2L), 10.04 (s, 6H, Urea-NH_a, 2L), 10.53 (s, 6H, Urea-NH_β, 2L) (See Fig. S11 below).

¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) chemical shift in δ ppm: 13.9 (8C, 8 x CH₃, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 19.7 (8C, 8 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 23.5 (8C, 8 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 36.9 (6C, 6 x NCH₂, 2L), 52.2 (6C, 6 x CH₂, 2L), 58.0 (8C, 8 x CH₂, (n-Bu₄N⁺)₃), 112.3 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 116.2 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 118.1 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 124.6 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 127.3 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 128.0 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 130.0 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 142.0 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 143.0 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 148.40 (6C, 6xCH, 2L), 152.9 (6C, 6x amide-CO, 2L), 166.4 (6C, 6x urea-CO, 2L) (See Fig. S12 below).

HR-MS of the sulfate complex (negative ion): m/z 1333.692 $[n-Bu_4(L \cdot SO_4)]^-$, 994.444 $[L-H^+]^-$, 545.762 $[L \cdot SO_4]^{2-}$, 97.023 $[HSO_4]^-$ (See Fig. S13 below).



Fig. S4. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₃·(2L·AsO₄)] crystals in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S5. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₃·(2L·AsO₄)] crystals in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S6. HR-MS of the arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ crystals in acetonitrile in negative mode. Peak patterns with a 0.5 mass difference indicate doubly-charged species.



Fig. S7. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the phosphate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₃·(2L·PO₄)] crystals in DMSO-d₆.





Fig. S8. ³¹P-NMR spectrum of the phosphate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₃·(2L·PO₄)] crystals in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S9. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of the phosphate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot PO_4)]$ crystals in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S10. HR-MS of the phosphate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot PO_4)]$ crystals in acetonitrile in negative mode. Peak patterns with a 0.5 mass difference indicate doubly-charged species.



Fig. S11. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the sulfate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₂·(2L·SO₄)] crystals in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S12. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of the sulfate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_2 \cdot (2L \cdot SO_4)]$ crystals in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S13. HR-MS of the sulfate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_2 \cdot (2L \cdot SO_4)]$ crystals in acetonitrile in negative mode. Peak patterns with a 0.5 mass difference indicate doubly-charged species.



Fig. S14. Aromatic region of the ¹H-NMR spectra of (a) receptor L, (b) crystals of the arsenate complex [($n-Bu_4N$)₃($2L \cdot AsO_4$)], (c) crystals of the phosphate complex [($n-Bu_4N$)₃($2L \cdot PO_4$)] and (d) crystals of the sulfate complex [($n-Bu_4N$)₂($2L \cdot SO_4$)].

4. ¹H-NMR spectra of L in the presence of quaternary ammonium (n-Bu₄N)⁺/(Et₄N)⁺ salts.



Fig. S15. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 1.5 equiv. $(n-Bu_4N)^+H_2PO_4^-$ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S16. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 1.0 equiv. (n-Bu₄N)⁺HSO₄⁻ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S17. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 1.0 equiv. (n-Bu₄N)⁺CH₃CO₂⁻ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S18. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 2.0 equiv. (n-Bu₄N)⁺NO₃⁻ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S19. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 2.0 equiv. $(Et_4N)^+F^-$ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S20. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 2.0 equiv. (Et₄N)⁺Cl⁻ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S21. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 2.0 equiv. $(Et_4N)^+Br^-$ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S22. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 2.0 equiv. (n-Bu₄N)⁺ClO₄⁻ in DMSO-d₆.



5. ¹H-NMR spectra of oxoanion complexes obtained from liquid-liquid extraction experiments

Fig. S23. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex (DMSO-d₆) extracted in the presence of NaF and NaCl.



Fig. S24. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex extracted in the presence of Na₂CO₃ and NaCH₃CO₂.



Fig. S25. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex (DMSO-d₆) extracted in the presence of Na₂SO₄ and NaNO₃.



Fig. S26. ¹H-NMR spectrum of recrystallized arsenate complex (DMSO-d₆) extracted in the presence of Na₂HPO₄.



Fig. S27. ³¹P-NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex (DMSO- d_6) extracted in the presence of Na₂HPO₄ showing the absence of phosphate signal at 8.1 ppm observed in the phosphate complex (see Fig. S8).



Fig. S28. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the phosphate complex (DMSO-d₆) extracted in the absence of competitive anions.





Fig. S29. ¹H-NMR spectrum of phosphate complex (DMSO-d₆) extracted in the presence of Na₂SO₄ and NaNO₃.



Fig. S30. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the phosphate complex (DMSO-d₆) extracted in the presence of NaF and NaCl.



Fig. S31. Aromatic region of the ¹H-NMR spectra of (a) receptor L, (b) crystals of the phosphate complex [(n- Bu_4N)₃(2L·PO₄)], (c) phosphate complex extracted in the absence of competitive anions, (d) phosphate complex extracted in the presence of sulfate and nitrate, (e) phosphate complex extracted in the presence of fluoride and chloride.





Fig. S32. 2D-NOESY NMR spectrum of receptor L in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S33. Aromatic region of the 2D-NOESY NMR spectrum of receptor L in DMSO-d₆.





Fig. S34. 2D-NOESY NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₃·(2L·AsO₄)] in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S35. Aromatic region of the 2D-NOESY NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S36. 2D-NOESY NMR spectrum of the phosphate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₃·(2L·PO₄)] in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S37. Aromatic region of the 2D-NOESY NMR spectrum of the phosphate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot PO_4)]$ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S38. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the bulk crystals of arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ (dried) obtained from a DMSO solution under ambient conditions.



Fig. S39. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the bulk crystals of arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ (a, black) and simulated powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the crystal structure $[(n-Bu_4N)_3(2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ (b, blue) separately shown in the 2 θ region of 5–15 and 15–30.



Fig. S40. Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the bulk crystals of phosphate complex (dried) obtained from a DMSO solution under ambient conditions.



Fig. S41. UV-vis spectra of L and oxoanion (arsenate and phosphate) complexes in DMSO.



Fig. S42. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the receptor L in DMSO- d_6/D_2O (9:1, v/v) solution.



Fig. S43. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L upon addition of 0.5 equiv. of Na_2HAsO_4 solution in DMSO-d₆/D₂O (9:1, v/v).



Fig. S44. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L upon addition of 0.5 equiv. of Na₂HPO₄ solution in DMSO-d₆/D₂O (9:1, v/v).



Fig. S45. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (a) receptor L (b) L upon addition of 0.5 equivalent of Na_2HAsO_4 and (c) L upon addition of 1.0 equivalent of Na_2HAsO_4 in DMSO-d₆/D₂O (9:1, v/v).





Fig. S46. ¹H-NMR spectrum of (a) receptor L (b) L upon addition of 0.5 equivalent of Na_2HPO_4 (c) L upon addition of 1.0 equivalent of Na_2HPO_4 in DMSO-D₆/D₂O (9:1, v/v).



Fig. S47. ³¹P NMR spectrum of the crystals of phosphate complex [(n-Bu₄N)₃·(2L·PO₄)] in DMSO-d₆/D₂O (9:1).





Fig. S48. ³¹P NMR spectrum of L upon addition of 1.0 equivalent of Na₂HPO₄ in DMSO-d₆/D₂O (9:1, v/v).



Fig. S49. ^{31}P NMR spectrum of (n-Bu_4N^+)H_2PO_4^- in DMSO-d_6/D_2O (9:1, v/v).



Fig. S50. ³¹P NMR spectrum of (a) crystals of phosphate complex, (b) L in the presence of 1.0 equivalent of (n-Bu₄N⁺)H₂PO₄⁻ and (c) (n-Bu₄N⁺)H₂PO₄⁻ in DMSO-d₆/D₂O (9:1, v/v).



Fig. S51. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in DMSO-d₆/H₂O (9:1, v/v).



14.0 13.5 13.0 12.5 12.0 11.5 11.0 10.5 10.0 9.5 9.0 8.5 8.0 7.5 7.0 6.5 6.0 5.5 5.0 4.5 4.0 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.1 f1 (ppm)

Fig. S52. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in DMSO-d₆/H₂O (9:1, v/v) upon addition of 0.25 equiv. of Na₂HAsO₄.



Fig. S53. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in DMSO-d₆/H₂O (9:1, v/v) upon addition of 0.50 equiv. of Na₂HAsO₄.



Fig. S54. Aromatic region of the ¹H-NMR spectrum of (a) L (b) L upon addition of 0.25 equiv. of Na_2HAsO_4 , (c) L upon addition of 0.50 equiv. of Na_2HAsO_4 in DMSO-d₆/H₂O (9:1, v/v).

7. DFT calculation results and energy optimized structures of receptor-oxoanion complexes

Table S1: Binding Energy (B.E.) of 2:1 receptor-oxoanion complexes obtained from DFT calculations at theB97D/6-31G** level of theory, B.E. = $(E_{receptor} + E_{anion}) - E_{complex}$

	E _{complex}	E _{receptor}	E _{anion}	B.E. (Hartree)	B.E.
					(kJ/mol)
$[(Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2\mathbf{L} \cdot AsO_4)]$	-11489.628909	-8954.4745209	-2533.789334	-1.36505339	-3583.9
$[(Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot PO_4)]$	-9597.3338541	-8954.4745209	-641.5205039	-1.33882936	-3515.1
$[(Bu_4N)_2 \cdot (2L \cdot SO_4)]$	-8968.1216190	-8269.0236106	-698.5572158	-0.54079263	-1419.9
$[(Bu_4N)_2 \cdot (2L \cdot CO_3)]$	-8533.0941511	-8269.0236106	-263.4374540	-0.63308653	-1662.2
$[(Bu_4N) \cdot (2L \cdot NO_3)]$	-7902.9938370	-7622.5532129	-280.1429494	-0.29767465	-781.50



Fig S55. Energy optimized structure of the arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ obtained from DFT calculations.



Fig S56. Energy optimized structure of the phosphate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3\cdot(2L\cdot PO_4)]$ obtained from DFT calculations.



Fig S57. Energy optimized structure of the sulfate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_2 \cdot (2L \cdot SO_4)]$ obtained from DFT calculations.



Fig S58. Energy optimized structure of the carbonate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_2 \cdot (2L \cdot CO_3)]$ obtained from DFT calculations.



Fig S59. Energy optimized structure of the nitrate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)\cdot(2L\cdot NO_3)]$ obtained from DFT calculations.





Fig. S60. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ (DMSO-d₆) extracted from an aqueous solution of Na₂HAsO₄ of pH 7.4 by L in DCM.



Fig. S61. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ (DMSO-d₆) extracted from an aqueous solution of Na₂HAsO₄ of pH 9.5 by L in DCM.



Fig. S62. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ (DMSO-d₆) extracted from an aqueous solution of Na₂HAsO₄ of pH 11 by L in DCM.



Fig. S63. ¹H-NMR spectrum of the isolated compound (DMSO- d_6) extracted from an aqueous solution of Na₂HAsO₄ of pH 5.5 by L in DCM.



Fig. S64. HR-MS of the isolated compound (acetonitrile) extracted from an aqueous solution of Na_2HAsO_4 of pH 5.5 by L in DCM.



Fig. S65. Distribution of arsenate, As(V) as function of the pH. It is evident that at pH 7, almost equal concentrations of $H_2AsO_4^-$ and $HAsO_4^{2-}$ will be present. The net molecular charge of arsenate is negative (-1 or -2) at pH levels between 5 and 7.



9. Control experiments

Fig. S66. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 1 equiv. of (n-Bu₄N)OH in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S67. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 1 equiv. of (n-Bu₄N)F in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S68. ¹H-NMR spectrum of L in the presence of 1 equiv. of (n-Bu₄N)HCO₃ in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S69. Photographs of an arsenate extraction experiment showing the changes in colour observed for the (A) dichloromethane (DCM) layer [L+3 equiv. (n-Bu₄N)OH] (B) after the DCM layer stirred for half an hour with an equivalent amount of aqueous Na₂HAsO₄ solution, and (C) after washing the dichloromethane layer with water followed by treatment with anhydrous Na₂SO₄.



Fig. S70. UV-vis spectra of L (1x10⁵ mol/L) upon addition of three equivalents of (n-Bu₄N)F.



Fig. S71. Comparison of the UV-vis spectra of arsenate and phosphate complexes with L in DMSO.

10. Single-crystal X-ray Crystallography

Single crystals of $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained under ambient conditions (RT) from a DMSO solution of $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ which was synthesized by liquid-liquid (dichloromethane-water) extraction of arsenate by receptor L in the presence of three equivalents of $[(n-Bu_4N)OH$. Single crystals of $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ can reproducibly be obtained under ambient conditions by dissolving 50 mg of the compound in 1 mL of DMSO, which was allowed to crystallize in a 3 mL capacity glass vial.

In each case, a crystal of suitable size was selected from the mother liquor and immersed in paratone oil, and mounted on to a fibre loop holder. Single-crystal XRD data were collected at 120 K with a Bruker SMART APEX-III CCD diffractometer equipped with a fine focus 1.75 kW sealed tube Mo–K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The SMART software was used for the data acquisition. Data integration and reduction were undertaken with SAINT and XPREP software.² Multi-scan empirical absorption corrections were applied to the data using the SADABS program.³ The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97,⁴ and refined with full-matrix least-squares on F² using SHELXL-97.⁵ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, hydrogen atoms attached to all carbon atoms were geometrically fixed (with C–H = 0.95 Å for aromatic CH, C–H = 0.99 Å for CH₂ and C–H = 0.98 Å for CH₃) and the positional and temperature factors were refined isotropically using riding models (AFIX 43, 23 and 137 with U_{iso(H)} = 1.2 U_{eq(C)} (CH,CH₂) and 1.5 U_{eq(C)} (CH₃)). Hydrogen atoms attached to the amide and urea nitrogen atoms were preferred to be positioned geometrically (with N–H = 0.88 Å) and refined isotropically using a riding model (AFIX 43) with U_{iso(H)} = 1.2 U_{eq(N)}.

Single crystal X-ray crystallography data of $[(n-Bu_4N)_3(2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ CCDC No. 2172773, $F = C_{144}H_{198}AsN_{29}O_{28}$, M = 2858.23, T = 120 K, Space group = P–1, a = 17.5033(13) Å, b = 17.7141(13) Å, c = 29.548(2) Å, $\alpha = 87.526(4)^\circ$, $\beta = 84.116(4)^\circ$, $\gamma = 82.468(4)^\circ$, V = 9030.5(11) Å³, Z = 2, $\mu = 0.254$ mm⁻¹, D = 1.051 g cm⁻³, F(000) = 3044, θ (max) = 25.403, total reflections = 122362, unique reflections = 32853, observed reflections (I > 2s(I)) = 25931, parameters = 1842, $R_1(F) = 0.0964$, w $R_2(F^2) = 0.2752$, S = 1.068.

Table S2. Hydrogen bond distances (Å) and angles (°) of encapsulated arsenate anion (AsO_4^{3-}) with the urea -NH groups of two receptor molecules (L) in $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ crystal (D = hydrogen bond donor and A = hydrogen bond acceptor).

D –H···A	N…O (Å)	N−H…O (Å)	N–H…O (°)
N2B-H···O1	2.873 (5)	2.057	153.7
N2C-H···O1	2.876 (5)	2.070	151.7
N3C-H…O2	2.734 (5)	1.862	171.1
N2F-H···O2	2.743 (5)	1.905	158.7
N3F-H···O2	2.800 (5)	1.971	156.6
N2E-H···O3	2.786 (5)	1.951	157.8
N3E-H···O3	2.857 (5)	2.028	156.4
N3A-H…O3	2.706 (5)	1.831	172.7
N3B-H···O4	2.752 (5)	1.876	173.4
N2D-H···O4	2.698 (5)	1.863	157.7
N3D-H···O4	2.715 (5)	1.904	152.6



Fig S72. (a) Asymmetric unit of the X-ray structure of the arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3\cdot(2L\cdot AsO_4)]$ (b) Space-filling representation of $(2L\cdot AsO_4)^{3-}$ in the X-ray structure of $[(n-Bu_4N)_3\cdot(2L\cdot AsO_4)]$ (cations are not shown).



Fig. S73. Packing diagram of the X-ray structure of the arsenate complex $[(n-Bu_4N)_3 \cdot (2L \cdot AsO_4)]$ in space-filling presentation as viewed along crystallographic *a*-axis.

References

1. S. K. Dey and C. Janiak, RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 14689-14693.

2. SMART, SAINT and XPREP, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, WI, 1995.

3. G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS: Software for Empirical Absorption Correction; University of Gottingen, Institute for Anorganische Chemie de Universitat, Gottingen, Germany, 1999–2003.

4. G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97, University of Gottingen, Germany, 1997.

5. G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97: Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, University of Gottingen, Gottingen, Germany, 1997.