## Synthesis

All reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further treatment. Hsal ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(0.2 \mathrm{ml}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to $\mathrm{MeCN}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and the solution kept under stirring for 10 minutes, followed by addition of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(249 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resultant pink solution was left under stirring for 30 minutes during which time no colour change was observed. The solution was then filtered and layered with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{ml})$. Pink crystals of $1 \cdot 16 \mathrm{MeCN}$ formed over 3 days in ~30\% yield. Anal. Calcd (found) for 1: C, 45.21; H, 3.37. Found: C, 44.93, H, 3.23.

## Physical Measurements

Elemental analyses ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ) were performed by the University of Ioannina microanalysis service.

## X-ray diffraction

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker D8 VENTURE diffractometer (University of Crete), equipped with a PHOTION II CPAD detector. Crystal data for 1 (CCDC 2178060): $\mathrm{C}_{176} \mathrm{Co}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{208} \mathrm{O}_{72}, M=4418.29 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$, tetragonal, space group $P 4 / n$ (no. 85), $a=$ 29.9250(6) $\AA, c=11.2727(3) \AA, V=10094.8(5) \AA^{3}, Z=2, T=200(2) K, \mu(C u K \alpha)=10.704 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, D c a l c=$ $1.454 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, 28843$ reflections measured ( $4.176^{\circ} \leq 2 \Theta \leq 136.522^{\circ}$ ), 9197 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0378, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=$ 0.0405 ) which were used in all calculations. The final $R_{1}$ was $0.0435(I>2 \sigma(I))$ and $w R_{2}$ was 0.1257 (all data).

Powder X-ray diffraction data for $\mathbf{1}$ were collected using a Bruker D8 ADVANCE with copper radiation at $40 \mathrm{kV}, 40 \mathrm{~mA}$ and a Johansson monochromator, 2 mm divergence slit and 2.5 degree Soller slits on the incident beam side. LynxEye detector and Bruker DIFFRAC software. Diffraction measured from $2 \theta=3^{\circ}-30^{\circ}$; step size, $0.0101^{\circ}$. Samples were loaded into quartz capillaries with a 1 mm inside diameter and measured while spinning.

## Magnetic Measurements

Magnetic susceptibility data were collected on a polycrystalline sample of 1 on a Quantum Design Dynacool PPMS equipped with a 9 T magnet in the temperature range 300-2.00 K. Diamagnetic corrections were applied to the observed paramagnetic susceptibilities using Pascal's constants.

## Computational Details

To estimate the intramolecular magnetic exchange interactions in $\mathbf{1}$ we have employed Density Functional Theory (DFT) in Gaussian 09 on a model complex (Model 1) created from the ASU of 1. ${ }^{1}$ We have performed pairwise exchange interaction calculations by keeping only the two paramagnetic centres of interest in Model 1, replacing the remaining Co" ions with diamagnetic $\mathrm{Zn}^{\prime \prime}$ ions. This method is known to reproduce experimental magnetic exchange values for systems with weak intramolecular magnetic exchange interactions $\left(J \leq 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{2}$ The hybrid B3LYP functional ${ }^{3}$ has been used together with the TZV basis set for Co, SVP basis set for $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{O}$ and SV basis set for C and H atoms. ${ }^{4}$ We have employed Noodleman's broken symmetry methodology. ${ }^{5}$

To calculate the zero field splitting (zfs) parameters for each Co" centre in the ASU we have used the ORCA software suite (version ORCA 4.0). ${ }^{6}$ The zeroth-order regular approximation (ZORA) method in combination with the ZORA contracted version of basis set (ZORA-def2-TZVP for Co and ZORA-def-SVP for rest of the elements) ${ }^{7}$ is known to be a reliable methodology to estimate zfs parameters. We have used the resolution of identity (RI) approximation. During state-average complete active space selfconsistent field (SA-CASSCF) calculations we have considered seven electrons in five d-orbitals (CAS (7 electrons / 5 3d-orbitals)) in the active space with ten triplet and fifteen singlet roots. We have used $2^{\text {nd }}$ order N -electron valence perturbation theory to estimate the zero-field splitting parameter as well as to consider the dynamic correlation. ${ }^{8}$ We have used integration Grid 6 for Co, Grid 5 for O and Zn , and Grid 4 for the remaining elements.


Fig. S1. (A) Molecular structure of $\mathbf{1}$ viewed perpendicular to the $\left[\mathrm{CO}_{16}\right]$ plane. (B) Asymmetric unit of 1. Both figures presented with atomic displacement parameters. Colour code: $\mathrm{Co}=$ pink, $\mathrm{O}=$ red, $\mathrm{C}=$ black, $\mathrm{H}=$ white.


Fig. S2. Powder diffraction data for compound 1 (top). Refinement of the experimental diffraction data of 1 collected at room temperature by using the Pawley method and the single-crystal structural model as starting parameters (bottom). Experimental (black circles), calculated (red line), difference plot [(lobs-Icalc)] (blue line) and Bragg positions (black ticks). Tetragonal, $P_{4} / n ; a=30.0816 \AA \AA ; c=$ $11.7914 \AA ̊ ; \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{exp}}=0.85 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{wp}}=1.06 \%, \mathrm{GoF}=1.25$.


Fig. S3. FT-ATIR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$

Table S1. Bond Valence Sum (BVS) calculations for the metal ions in 1.

|  | Co(II) | Co(III) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co1 | $\underline{2.16}$ | 2.20 |
| Co2 | $\underline{1.94}$ | 1.97 |
| Co3 | $\underline{2.12}$ | 2.16 |
| Co4 | $\underline{1.96}$ | 2.00 |



Fig. S4. (A) metal-oxygen core of complex 1, highlighting the ASU. The full structure (B) and metaloxygen core $(C)$ of Model 1. The latter is the ASU of complex 1. Colour code: $\mathrm{Co}=$ pink, $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{red}, \mathrm{Zn}=$ silver and $\mathrm{C}=$ black. H atoms are removed for clarity.

Table S2. Pertinent structural parameters for 1 alongside the DFT computed magnetic exchange interactions.

|  | Average <br> Co-O-Co <br> angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Average <br> Co-O <br> distance (Å) | Average <br> Co-O-Co-O <br> angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Average <br> Co $\cdots$ Co <br> distance (Å) | $\mathrm{J}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ <br> nearest <br> neighbour |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co1-Co2 | 95.2 | 2.100 | 21.1 | 3.100 | +3.2 |
| Co2-Co3 | 96.4 | 2.089 | 22.1 | 3.113 | +1.7 |
| Co3-Co4 | 95.7 | 2.096 | 22.2 | 3.104 | +2.5 |
| Co4-Co1 | 96.8 | 2.084 | 22.4 | 3.114 | +3.8 |


$<\operatorname{Co}(\alpha) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}} \mid \mathrm{Co}(\beta) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{y} 2}>=0.012$
$\left\langle\operatorname{Co}(\alpha) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}\right|\left|\operatorname{Co}(\beta) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2}-{ }^{2}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=0.020$




$<\operatorname{Co}(\alpha) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}{ }^{2}| | \operatorname{Co}(\beta) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}{ }^{2}>=0.044$


$$
<\mathrm{Co}(\alpha) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{2}| | \mathrm{Co}(\beta) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}>=0.003
$$

$\left\langle\operatorname{Co}(\alpha) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}\right|\left|\operatorname{Co}(\beta) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{2}\right\rangle=0.053$

$<\operatorname{Co}(\alpha) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{2}| | \mathrm{Co}(\beta) \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}>=0.075$

Fig. S5. DFT computed overlap integral values together with the representative MO diagram. Three intermediate (green text) and six small (black text) overlap interactions are computed.

Table S3. SHAPE analysis ${ }^{9}$ performed on each Coll ion in the ASU of 1.

| HP-6 | 1 D6h | Hexago |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PPY-6 | $2 \mathrm{C5u}$ | Pentagonal pyramid |  |  |  |  |
| 0C-6 | 3 0h | Octahedron |  |  |  |  |
| TPR-6 | 4 D3h | Trigonal prism |  |  |  |  |
| JPPY-6 | $5 \mathrm{C5v}$ | Johnso | pentagonal pyramid |  |  |  |
| Structure [ ML 6 | ] | HP-6 | PPY-6 | 0c-6 | TPR-6 | JPPY-6 |
| Co1-Co16 | , | 30.213, | 24.540, | 1.659, | 13.315, | 27.817 |
| Co2-Co16 | , | 29.535 , | 23.736, | 0.969, | 12.691, | 27.326 |
| Co3-Co16 | , | 29.945 , | 24.306, | 1.695, | 13.651, | 27.604 |
| Co4-Co16 | , | 29.275, | 24.250, | 0.878, | 13.275, | 27.920 |

Table S4. Ab initio NEVPT2 estimated anisotropy parameters ( $g, D$ and $E / D$ ) for each Co" ion in the ASU of 1. We include the $d$ orbital energies, $d_{y z}=$ grey, $d_{x z}=$ pink, $d_{x y}=$ blue, $d_{z}^{2}=$ red and $d_{x}{ }^{2}-y^{2}=$ black.

|  | $g_{x x} g_{\text {yy }}, g_{z z}\left(g_{\text {iso }}\right)$ | $D\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $E / D$ | NEVPT2 computed d orbital energies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co1 | 1.902, 2.439, 2.930 (2.424) | 87.1 | 0.24 | 0.0, 294.3, 842.8, 6467.4, 8226.7 |
| Co2 | 2.057, 2.408, 2.562 (2.342) | 41.2 | 0.23 | 0.0, 75.1, 1135.2, 7159.2, 9955.8 |
| Co3 | 1.880, 2.471, 2.928 (2.426) | 88.5 | 0.22 | 0.0, 287.4, 892.4, 6356.9, 8275.0 |
| Co4 | 2.050, 2.437, 2.576 (2.354) | 44.6 | 0.20 | 0.0, 105.5, 1001.4, 7256.7, 10037.3 |



Model 2. $J=-0.04 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$



Model 3. $J=-0.19 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
D)



Fig. S6. The trimetallic Co-Zn-Co models employed to estimate the two unique next-nearest neighbour magnetic interactions present in 1. a) Model 2 where both next-nearest neighbour magnetic centres are not directly bridged by any functional group, and (b) Model 3 where both centres are bridged via two syn-anti-O-C-O(carboxylate) groups. (c-d) Spin density plots for models Model 2 and Model 3, respectively. Analysis further supports the presence of little/no magnetic interaction for the former and a very small interaction through the syn-anti-O-C-O(carboxylate) pathway for the latter.


Fig. S7. Ab initio NEVPT2 computed d-orbital splitting for each Co" ion in the ASU of 1. Easy-plane anisotropy $(+D)$ can be attributed to the electronic transitions between orbitals with different $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{L}}$ levels $\left(d_{x z / y z} \rightarrow d_{x y / x^{2}-y^{2}}\right.$ ). Note that two electronic transitions $d_{x z / y z} \rightarrow d_{x y}$ (cyan curly arrow) and $d_{x z / y z} \rightarrow d_{x}^{2}-y^{2}$ (light green straight arrow) give positive $D$ values with the dominant contribution arising from the $d_{x z / y z}$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ electronic transition. The magnitude of $D$ is correlated to the energy separation between the orbitals involved in the electronic transition (i.e. between $d_{y z / x z}$ and $d_{x y / x^{2}-y^{2}}$ ).


Fig. S8. The NEVPT2 computed $D_{z z}$ axis (green bars) for Co1-Co4 centres in 1. The non-collinearity of the $D_{z z}$ axis of the Col centres can be attributed to the non-planar sinusoidal arrangement of metal centres.
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