Supporting Information (SI)

The first macrocyclic abnormally coordinating tetra-1,2,3-triazole-5ylidene iron complex: a promising candidate for olefin epoxidation

Greta G. Zámbó, Johannes Mayr, Michael J. Sauer, Tim P. Schlachta, Robert M. Reich and Fritz E. Kühn*

Molecular Catalysis, Department of Chemistry and Catalysis Research Centre, Technische Universität München, Lichtenbergstr. 4, 85784 Garching bei München, Germany, E-mail: fritz.kuehn@ch.tum.de

[*] Cooresponding author: Prof. Dr. Fritz E. Kühn, E-Mail: fritz.kuehn@ch.tum.de

Table of Contents

1.	. General considerations						
2. Single crystal X-ray diffraction			3				
3. Cyclic voltammetry							
4.	Experimental data						
4	.1	Synthesis of calix[4]1,2,3-triazole a	10				
4	.2	Synthesis of calix[4]3-metyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts b and c	17				
4	.3	Synthesis of iron(II) calix[4]3-methyl-1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene hexafluorophosphate 2	19				
5.	5. NMR-Spectra 20						
6.	Catalytic procedure						
7. References							

1. General considerations

Experimental remarks. Unless otherwise stated, all manipulations were carried out under an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk and glovebox techniques. Dry and degassed solvents were obtained from an Mbraun solvent purification system, degassed via freeze-pump-thaw (3 cycles) and stored over molecular sieve (3 or 4 Å) prior to use. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated Merck 60 GF254 silica gel plates with a fluorescent indicator. Detection of the individual spots was carried out with UV light at 254 nm and/or by staining with a potassium permanganate solution. Column chromatography is carried out with normal-phase silica gel as stationary phase. $Fe(btsa)_2(THF)^1$ and thianthrenyl hexafluorophosphate² were synthesised according to literature procedures. Tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate $([N(n-Bu)_4]PF_6)$ was recrystallized three times from ethanol³, and ferrocene was sublimed prior to use. All other reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Advanced Ultrashield AV400 (400 MHz) or AV500 (500 MHz) spectrometer at a temperature of 297 K. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm and referenced to the residual signal of the deuterated solvent⁴. The following abbreviations are used for the signal multiplicities: singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), heptet (hept), multiplet (m), broad singlet (bs). ¹³C-, ¹⁹F-, and ³¹P-NMR spectra were recorded with ¹Hdecoupling. Elemental analyses (C/H/N/S) were performed by the microanalytical laboratory at Technische Universität München. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) data were acquired on a Thermo Fisher Ultimate 3000. Cyclic Voltammetry was performed with a BioLogic SP200 potentiostat with EC-Lab software, using 3 mm diameter glassy carbon disk electrodes (PalmSens, Houten Netherlands) as working and counter electrodes. Prior to use, electrodes were polished with 0.05 μm alumina suspensions (CH Instruments Inc., USA). Ag/AgNO₃ (10 mM AgNO₃ and 100 mM [N(n-Bu)₄]PF₆ in MeCN) was used as the reference electrode, separated via a Vycor 3535 frit (Advanced Glass & Ceramics, Holden, MA). CV measurements were conducted in a five-necked glass cell under an argon atmosphere. A scan rate of 100 mV/s was applied. Potentials are reported with reference to an internal standard of ferrocenium/ferrocene (Fc/Fc⁺). Tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate [N(n- Bu_{4}]PF₆ (100 mM in dry and degassed MeCN) was used as the electrolyte. The concentration of the complex was about 0.3 mM. UV-Vis spectra were recorded on an Agilent Technologies Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 20 °C. The concentration of the complex was 0.2 mM dissolved in dry and degassed MeCN. GC analysis was performed with an Agilent Technologies 7890B GC-FID system with a 7693A Automatic Liquid Sampler for 150 samples with G4513A Autoinjector using a HP-5 column (30 m × 320 μm × 0.25μm).

2. Single crystal X-ray diffraction

X-ray crystallographic data was collected on a Bruker D8 Venture single crystal X-ray diffractometer with the following setup: A CMOS detector (Bruker Photon-100), a Mo IMS microsource and a Helios optic using the APEX3 software package.⁵ The measurement used MoK_{α} radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) and was performed on a single crystal coated with perfluorinated ether. The crystal was fixed on top of a micromount sample holder and frozen under a stream of cold nitrogen at 100 K. A matrix scan was used to determine the initial lattice parameters. Reflections were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effects, scan speed, and background using SAINT.⁶ Absorption corrections, including odd and even ordered spherical harmonics were performed using SADABS.⁷ Space group assignment was based upon systematic absences, E statistics, and successful refinement of the structure. The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXT) with the aid of successive difference Fourier maps, and was refined against all data using SHELXL-2015 in conjunction with SHELXLE.⁸⁻¹⁰ Hydrogen atoms were calculated in ideal positions as follows: Methyl hydrogen atoms were refined as part of rigid rotating groups, with a C–H distance of 0.98 Å and Uiso(H) = 1.5·Ueq(C). Other H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model, with methylene and aromatic C-H distances of 0.99 Å and 0.95 Å, respectively, other C–H distances of 1.00 Å and Uiso(H) = 1.2·Ueq(C). Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Full-matrix least-squares refinements were carried out by minimizing Σw (Fo2–Fc2)2 with SHELXL weighting scheme. Neutral atom scattering factors for all atoms and anomalous dispersion corrections for the non-hydrogen atoms were taken from International Tables for Crystallography.¹¹ The image of the crystal structure was generated with Mercury¹² or Platon¹³. CCDC 2184662 and 2184663 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. This data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

Single crystals of calix[4]3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium methyltriflate salt **b** suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained in acetonitrile at 0 °C. A clear colourless plate-like specimen of $C_{24}H_{30}F_{12}N_{14}O_{12}S_4$, approximate dimensions 0.089 mm x 0.274 mm x 0.385 mm, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis.



Fig. S1 ORTEP-style representation of the cationic fragment of calix[4]3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium methyltriflate salt **b**. Hydrogen atoms and OTf⁻ anions, as well as a co-crystallised MeCN molecule are omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability level. Symmetry code: -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.

 Table S1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters of compound b.

Sample and Crystal Data			
CCDC number	2184662		
Chemical formula	$C_{24}H_{30}F_{12}N_{14}O_{12}S_4$		
Formula weight	1062.86 g/mol		
Temperature	100(2) K		
Wavelength	0.71073 Å		
Crystal size	0.089 x 0.274 x 0.385 mm		
Crystal habit	clear colourless plate		
Crystal System	monoclinic		
Space group	P 1 21/c 1		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 10.1484(9) Å b = 19.9082(16) Å c = 10.3888(8) Å	α = 90 ° β = 97.161(3) ° γ = 90 °	
Volume	2082.5(3) Å ³		
Z	2		
Density (calculated)	1.695 g/cm ³		
Absorption coefficient	0.356 mm ⁻¹		
F(000)	1080		
Data Collection and Structure Refinement			
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 Venture		
Radiation Source	IMS microsource, Mo		
Theta range for data collection	2.02 to 25.68 °		
Index ranges	-12<=h<=12, -24<=k<=24, -12<=l<=12		
Reflections collected	59531		
Independent reflections	3954 [R(int) = 0.0618]		
Coverage of independent reflections	99.7%		
Absorption correction	Multi-Scan		
Max. and min. transmission	0.9690 and 0.8750		
Structure solution technique	direct methods		
Structure solution program	SHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)		
Function minimized	$\Sigma W(FO^2 - FC^2)^2$		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Refinement program	STELAL-2018/3 (STELDTCK, 2018)		
Data / restraints / parameters	3954 / 0 / 301		
Goodness-ot-fit on F2	1.138 2200 data di 2 -(1)		
Final R indices	$3280 \text{ data; } 1>2\sigma(1)$	R1 = 0.0430, WR2 = 0.0793	
Weighting scheme	where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$		
Largest diff. max. min.	0.502 and -0.490 eA ⁻³		
R.M.S. deviation from mean	0.062 eÅ ⁻³		

A red block-like specimen of complex **2**, approximate dimensions 0.068 mm x 0.076 mm x 0.116 mm, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis.



Fig. S2 ORTEP-style representation of the cationic fragment of iron(II) calix[4]3-methyl-1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene hexafluorophosphate **2**. Hydrogen atoms and PF_6^- anions, as well as a co-crystallised MeCN molecule are omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability level. Symmetry code: -x+1, -y+2, -z+1.

 Table S2. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters of complex 2.

Chemical formula2184663Chemical formula $C_{x4Tx}F_{xx}FeN_{xe}P_{x}$ Formula weight890.44 g/molTemperature100(2) kWavelength0.71073 ÅCrystal size0.068 x 0.076 x 0.116 mmCrystal size0.068 x 0.076 x 0.116 mmCrystal babitred blockCrystal SystemtriclinicSpace groupP -1a = 9.1316(7) Å α = 112.440(3) *Unit cell dimensionsb = 9.4899(9) Å β = 109.710(3) *volume857.48(13) Å ³ Z1Density (calculated)1.724g/cm ³ Absorption coefficient0.646 mm ⁻¹ F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection2.02 to 25.68 *Index ranges-11<Index ranges1.1Coverage of independent reflections3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]Coverage of independent reflections100.0%Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission0.9570 and 0.9290Structure solution programSHELX 2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minitized $x (Fe2 - fc2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F ² Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F	Sample and Crystal Data			
Chemical formula $C_{24}H_{22}F_{12}FeN_{16}P_2$ Formula weight $890.44 g/mol$ Temperature $100(2) K$ Wavelength $0.71073 Å$ Crystal size $0.068 \times 0.076 \times 0.116 mm$ Crystal habitred blockCrystal SystemtriclinicSpace groupP -1Unit cell dimensions $a = 9.1316(7) Å \alpha = 112.440(3) ^{\circ}$ Unit cell dimensions $a = 9.1316(7) Å \alpha = 112.440(3) ^{\circ}$ Volume $857.48(13) Å^3$ Z1Density (calculated) $1.72g/cm^3$ Absorption coefficient $0.646 mm^{-1}$ F(000)452DiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation Source $1100 M$ Independent reflections $202 to 25.68^{-1}$ Independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 9.9570 and 0.9290 Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELX 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Structure solution programSHELX 2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Structure solution programSHEL	Chemical formula	2184663		
Formula weight890.44 g/molTemperature100(2) kWavelength0.71073 ÅCrystal size0.068 x 0.076 x 0.116 mmCrystal size0.068 x 0.076 x 0.116 mmCrystal size0.068 x 0.076 x 0.116 mmCrystal sizered blockCrystal systemtriclinicSpace groupP -1Unit cell dimensions $b = 9.439(9)$ Å $\beta = 109.710(3)$ ° $c = 116.931(10)$ Å $\gamma = 94.233(4)$ °Volume857.48(13) Å ³ Z1Density (calculated)1.724g/cm ³ Absorption coefficient0.664 mm ⁻¹ F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation Source11Independent reflections20.2 to 25.68 *Independent reflections3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]Coverage of independent reflections3200 N/2Structure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Structure solution techniqueSHELXT 2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Datarestraints / parameters3267 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 / 2 /	Chemical formula	$C_{24}H_{32}F_{12}FeN_{16}P_2$		
Temperature100(2) KWavelength0.71073 ÅCrystal size0.068 x 0.076 x 0.116 mmCrystal habitred blockCrystal SystemtriclinicSpace groupP -1a = 9.1316(7) Å α = 112.440(3) °Unit cell dimensionsb = 9.4899(9) Åb = 9.4899(9) Å β = 109.710(3) °c = 11.6931(10) Å γ = 94.233(4) *Volume857.48(13) Å ³ Z1Density (calculated)1.724g/cm ³ Absorption coefficient0.646 mm ⁻¹ F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection2.02 to 25.68 °Index ranges-11<	Formula weight	890.44 g/mol		
Wavelength0.71073 ÅCrystal size0.068 × 0.076 × 0.116 mmCrystal habitred blockCrystal SystemtriclinicSpace groupP -1a = 9.1316(7) Å $\alpha = 112.440(3)^{\circ}$ Unit cell dimensions $b = 9.4899(9)$ Åb = 9.4899(9) Å $\beta = 109.710(3)^{\circ}$ c = 11.6931(10) Å $\gamma = 94.233(4)^{\circ}$ Volume $857.48(13)$ Å ³ Z1Density (calculated) $1.724g/cm^3$ Absorption coefficient $0.646 mm^{-1}$ F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection $2.02 to 25.68^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-11<+h<=11, -11<=k<=11, -14<= <=14]$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections 3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]Coverage of independent reflections 0.0570 and 0.9290Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution techniqueSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized Σ w(Fo ² - Fc ²) ² Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F ² Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares	Temperature	100(2) K		
Crystal size $0.068 \times 0.076 \times 0.116 \text{ mm}$ Crystal habitred blockCrystal SystemtriclinicSpace groupP -1Unit cell dimensions $a = 9.1316(7) Å \alpha = 112.440(3)^{\circ}$ $b = 9.4899(9) Å \beta = 109.710(3)^{\circ}$ $c = 11.6931(10) Å \gamma = 94.233(4)^{\circ}$ Volume $857.48(13) Å^3$ Z1Density (calculated) $1.724g/cm^3$ Absorption coefficient $0.646 mm^{-1}$ $F(000)$ 452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection $2.02 to 25.68^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-11<+h<=11, -11<=k<=11, -11<<=k<=14$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections 2027 (R[(int) = 0.0677]Coverage of independent reflections 200% Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution techniqueSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F^2 Re	Wavelength	0.71073 Å		
Crystal habitred blockCrystal SystemtriclinicSpace groupP -1a = 9.1316(7) Å α = 112.440(3) °Unit cell dimensionsb = 9.4899(9) Åb = 9.4899(9) Å β = 109.710(3) °c = 11.6931(10) Å γ = 94.233(4) °Volume857.48(13) ųZ1Density (calculated)1.724g/cm³Absorption coefficient0.646 mm¹F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection2.02 to 25.68 °Independent reflections3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]Coverage of independent reflections100.0%Max. and min. transmission0.9570 and 0.9290Structure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized Σ w(Fo² - Fc²)²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized Σ w(Fo² - Fc²)²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters3267 / 0 / 254Goodness-of-fit on F21.039Final R indices2850 data; I>20(1)Weighting schemew=1/[o²(Fo²+2Fc²)/3Weighting schemew=1/[o²(Fo²+2Fc²)/3Largest diff. max. min.0.303 and -3.316 ac	Crystal size	0.068 x 0.076 x 0.116 mm		
Crystal SystemtriclinicSpace groupP -1 $a = 9.1316(7)$ Å $\alpha = 112.440(3)$ °Unit cell dimensions $b = 9.4899(9)$ Å $\beta = 109.710(3)$ ° $c = 11.6931(10)$ Å $\gamma = 94.233(4)$ °Volume $857.48(13)$ Å ³ Z1Density (calculated) $1.724g/cm^3$ Absorption coefficient 0.646 mm ⁻¹ F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection 2.02 to 25.68 °Index ranges $-11<<-1<<=k<=11, -14<<=k<=44$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections 3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]Coverage of independent reflections 0.9570 and 0.9290 Structure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Structure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized Σ w(Fo ² - Fc ²) ² Refinement programSHELXT 2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices 2850 data; $ >20(1) R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ all dataR1 = 0.0338, wR2 = 0.0686Weighting scheme $w = 1/[o2/c2/c2/3]$ Largest diff. max. min.0.303 and -0.316 eÅ-3	Crystal habit	red block		
Space groupP -1 $a = 9.1316(7)$ $\alpha = 112.440(3)$ Unit cell dimensions $b = 9.4899(9)$ $\beta = 109.710(3)$ $c = 11.6931(10)$ $\gamma = 94.233(4)$ Volume $857.48(13)$ Z1Density (calculated) $1.724g/cm^3$ Absorption coefficient 0.646 mm ⁻¹ F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoIndex ranges $-11<-h<=l.1, -14<=l<=14$ Reflections collected31239Independent reflections202 to 25.68 °Index ranges $-11<-h<=l.1, -14<=l<=14$ Reflection scollected31239Independent reflections100.0%Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission0.9570 and 0.9290Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F ² Refinement programSHELXT-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters3267 / 0 / 254Goodness-of-fit on F21.039Final R indices2850 data; l>20(1)R in olo38, wR2 = 0.0686w=1/[fo^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2/3)Largest diff. max. min.	Crystal System	triclinic		
a = 9.1316(7) Å a = 112.440(3) * b = 9.4899(9) Å $\beta = 109.710(3)$ * c = 11.6931(10) Å $\gamma = 94.233(4)$ *Volume857.48(13) Å3Z1Density (calculated)1.724g/cm³Absorption coefficient0.646 mm¹F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection2.02 to 25.68 *Index ranges-11<=h<=11, -11<=k<=11, -14<= <=14	Space group	P -1		
Volume $857.48(13)$ Å ³ Z1Density (calculated) $1.724g/cm^3$ Absorption coefficient $0.646 mm^{-1}$ F(000) 452 Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection 2.02 to $25.68 °$ Index ranges $-11<+1<=k<=11, -14<= <=14$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections 3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]Coverage of independent reflections 0.9570 and 0.9290 Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution techniqueSW (Fo ² - Fc ²) ² Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F ² Refinement programSHELX12018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices 2850 data; $ >2c(1) R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ Weighting scheme $w=1[fo^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ Largest diff. max. min. 0.303 and $-0.316 e^{A^3}$	Unit cell dimensions	$a = 9.1316(7)$ Å $\alpha = 112.440(3)$ ° $b = 9.4899(9)$ Å $\beta = 109.710(3)$ ° $c = 11.6931(10)$ Å $\gamma = 94.233(4)$ °		
Z1Density (calculated) $1.724g/cm^3$ Absorption coefficient $0.646 mm^{-1}$ F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection $2.02 to 25.68 °$ Index ranges $-11<=h<=11, -11<=k<=11, -14<= <=14$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission $0.9570 and 0.9290$ Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F²Refinement programSHELXT 2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 data; >2o(1)$ $R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ all data $R1 = 0.0389, wR2 = 0.0686$ Weighting scheme $w=1/[o^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.0044P]$ $where P=[Fo^2+2Fc^2/3]$ Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 and -0.316 eÅ^3$	Volume	857.48(13) Å ³		
Density (calculated) $1.724g/cm^3$ Absorption coefficient $0.646 mm^{-1}$ F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection $2.02 to 25.68 °$ Index ranges $-11<=h<=11, -11<<=k<=11, -14<= <=14$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission $0.9570 and 0.9290$ Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F^2 Refinement programSHELXT 2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 data; l>20(1)$ $R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ all data $R1 = 0.0389, wR2 = 0.0686$ Weighting scheme $w=[fo^2+2Fc^2/3]$ where $P=[Fo^2+2Fc^2/3]$ $where P=[Fo^2+2Fc^2/3]$ Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 and -0.316 eÅ^3$	Z	1		
Absorption coefficient 0.646 mm^{-1} F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection $2.02 \text{ to } 25.68^{\circ}$ Index ranges $-11 < =h <= 11, -11 < =k <= 11, -14 <= -4 $ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections $2067 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission 0.9570 and 0.9290 Structure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w (Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F^2 Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } >20(1)$ R1 = $0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ all dataWeighting scheme $w =1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+(0.0944P)]$ where $P =(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ e}^{3-3}$	Density (calculated)	1.724g/cm ³		
F(000)452Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection2.02 to 25.68 °Index ranges-11<=h<=11, -11<=k<=11, -14<=l<=14	Absorption coefficient	0.646 mm ⁻¹		
Data Collection and Structure RefinementDiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection 2.02 to $25.68 \degree$ Index ranges $-11 < =h <= 11, -11 < =k <= 11, -14 < = <= 14$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections $2.67 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission 0.9570 and 0.9290 Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F^2 Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices 2850 data; $ >2\sigma()$ Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ Largest diff. max. min. 0.303 and -0.316 eÅ 3	F(000)	452		
DiffractometerBruker D8 VentureRadiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection $2.02 \text{ to } 25.68 \degree$ Index ranges $-11<=h<=11, -11<=k<=11, -14<=l<=14$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission $0.9570 \text{ and } 0.9290$ Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 (0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; }1>20(1)$ $R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3 $udat - 0.316 e^{A^3}$	Data Collection and Structure Refinement			
Radiation SourceIMS microsource, MoTheta range for data collection $2.02 \text{ to } 25.68 \degree$ Index ranges $-11 < =h < = 11, -11 < =h < < = 14$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission $0.9570 \text{ and } 0.9290$ Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } l>2\sigma(l)$ Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ e}Å^{-3}$	Diffractometer	Bruker D8 Venture		
Theta range for data collection $2.02 \text{ to } 25.68 \degree$ Index ranges $-11 <=h <=11, -11 <=k <=14$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission 0.9570 and 0.9290 Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F^2 Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } 1>2\sigma(I)$ R1 = $0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ all dataR1 = $0.0389, wR2 = 0.0686$ Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ e}^{Å-3}$	Radiation Source	IMS microsource, Mo		
Index ranges $-11<=h<=11, -11<=k<=11, -14<=l<=14$ Reflections collected 31239 Independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission 0.9570 and 0.9290 Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F^2 Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } l>2\sigma(l)$ Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ eÅ}^{-3}$	Theta range for data collection	2.02 to 25.68 °		
Reflections collected31239Independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission 0.9570 and 0.9290 Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } I>2\sigma(I)$ R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652all dataR1 = 0.0389, wR2 = 0.0686Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ eÅ}^{-3}$	Index ranges	-11<=h<=11, -11<=k<=11, -14<=l<=14		
Independent reflections $3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]$ Coverage of independent reflections 100.0% Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission 0.9570 and 0.9290 Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F^2 Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } I>2\sigma(I)$ Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ $u.303 \text{ and } -0.316 eÅ^{-3}$	Reflections collected	31239		
Coverage of independent reflections100.0%Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission0.9570 and 0.9290Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F21.039Final R indices $2850 data; I>2\sigma(I)$ R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/30.303 and -0.316 eÅ ⁻³	Independent reflections	3267 [R(int) = 0.0677]		
Absorption correctionMulti-ScanMax. and min. transmission0.9570 and 0.9290Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F21.039Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } l>2\sigma(l) = R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ eÅ}^{-3}$	Coverage of independent reflections	100.0%		
Max. and min. transmission $0.9570 \text{ and } 0.9290$ Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } l>2\sigma(l)$ R1 = 0.0316 , wR2 = 0.0652 all dataR1 = 0.0389 , wR2 = 0.0686 Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3 $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ eÅ}^{-3}$	Absorption correction	Multi-Scan		
Structure solution techniquedirect methodsStructure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized $\Sigma w(Fo^2 - Fc^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } I>2\sigma(I)$ R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652all dataR1 = 0.0389, wR2 = 0.0686Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ eÅ}^{-3}$	Max. and min. transmission	0.9570 and 0.9290		
Structure solution programSHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)Function minimized Σ w(Fo² - Fc²)²Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F²Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters3267 / 0 / 254Goodness-of-fit on F21.039Final R indices2850 data; I>2 σ (I)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652Weighting schemew=1/[σ^2 (Fo²)+(0.0186P)²+0.9044P]where P=(Fo²+2Fc²)/30.303 and -0.316 eÅ-3	Structure solution technique	direct methods		
Function minimized $\Sigma W(FO^2 - FC^2)^2$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F2Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } I > 2\sigma(I)$ R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652all dataR1 = 0.0389, wR2 = 0.0686Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3Largest diff. max. min.0.303 and -0.316 eÅ-3	Structure solution program	SHELXT 2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2018)		
Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on F2Refinement programSHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018)Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } I>2\sigma(I)$ R1 = $0.0316, \text{ wR2} = 0.0652$ Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3 $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ eÅ}^{-3}$	Function minimized	$\sum W(FO^2 - FC^2)^2$		
Refinement program SHELXL-2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2018) Data / restraints / parameters $3267 / 0 / 254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ where $P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3$ Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ eÅ}^{-3}$	Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Data / restraints / parameters $3267/0/254$ Goodness-of-fit on F2 1.039 Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652$ weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3 $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ eÅ}^{-3}$	Refinement program	SHELKL-2018/3 (Sheidrick, 2018)		
Goodness-of-fit on F21.039Final R indices2850 data; I>2 σ (I)R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0652weighting schemeall dataR1 = 0.0389, wR2 = 0.0686Weighting schemew=1/[σ^2 (Fo ²)+(0.0186P) ² +0.9044P]where P=(Fo ² +2Fc ²)/30.303 and -0.316 eÅ ⁻³	Data / restraints / parameters	3267 / U / 254		
Final R indices $2850 \text{ data; } > 2\sigma(1)$ $R1 = 0.0316, WR2 = 0.0652$ all data $R1 = 0.0389, WR2 = 0.0686$ Weighting scheme $w = 1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2) + (0.0186P)^2 + 0.9044P]$ where $P = (Fo^2 + 2Fc^2)/3$ Largest diff. max. min. $0.303 \text{ and } -0.316 \text{ eÅ}^{-3}$	Goodness-of-fit on F2			
all dataR1 = 0.0389, WR2 = 0.0686Weighting scheme $w=1/[\sigma^2(Fo^2)+(0.0186P)^2+0.9044P]$ Where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3where P=(Fo^2+2Fc^2)/3Largest diff. max. min.0.303 and -0.316 eÅ-3	Final K Indices	$2850 \text{ data; } 1>2\sigma(1)$ K1 = 0.0316, WK2 = 0.0652		
Weighting scheme $W=1/[0^{-}(FO^{2})+(0.0186F)^{2}+0.9044P]$ where P=(FO^{2}+2Fc^{2})/3 Largest diff. max. min. 0.303 and -0.316 eÅ ⁻³	M/sishting selection	all uata $KI = U.U389, WKZ = U.U686$		
Largest diff. max. min. 0.303 and -0.316 eÅ ⁻³	weighting scheme	$W=1/[0^{-}(F0^{-})+(U.U180F)^{-}+U.9U44F]$		
Largest unit. max. mm. 0.303 dilu -0.310 eA -	Largest diff may min	where $P = (FU + 2FU)/3$ 0.202 and 0.216 a ³		
R M S deviation from mean $0.057 \text{ e}^{3/3}$	R M S deviation from mean	0.555 and -0.510 en		



Fig. S3 ORTEP-style representation of the cationic fragment of compound 1^{14} (left) and 2 (right). Hydrogen atoms and PF₆⁻ anions, as well as co-crystallised MeCN molecules are omitted for clarity and thermal ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability level.

Bond length [Å]	1	2	Δ[Å]	σ ∆ [Å]
Fe-C NHC	1.907(3)	1.928(2)	0.021	0.0036
Fe–N	1.932(3)	1.9247(17)	0.0073	0.0034
Bond Angles [°]	1	2	Δ [°]	σ Δ [°]
N–Fe–N	177.1(1)	180.0(0)	2.9	1
C1-Fe-C9/1	178.3(1)	180.0(0)	1.7	1
C5-Fe-C13/5	179.6(1)	180.0(0)	0.4	1

 Table S3. Comparison of selected crystallographic data of compound 1 and 2.

3. Cyclic voltammetry



Fig. S4 Cyclic voltammogram of 2 in acetonitrile. Half-cell potential is determined to be $E_{1/2} = -0.34 \text{ V}$ (Fe^{II}/Fe^{III}) and oxidation/reduction potentials are determined to be $E_{ox} = -0.31 \text{ V}$ and $E_{red} = -0.38 \text{ V}$.

4. Experimental data



Scheme S1 General synthetic pathway for the synthesis of iron(II) calix[4]3-methyl-1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene hexafluorophosphate **2**.

4.1 Synthesis of calix[4]1,2,3-triazole a

(3-Bromoprop-1-yn-1-yl)triisopropylsilane S2



(3-Bromoprop-1-yn-1-yl)triisopropylsilane was synthesised according to a slightly modified literature known procedure.¹⁵ Under *Schlenk*-conditions 17.4 g of a 80 wt.% propargyl bromide **S1** solution in toluene (117 mmol, 1.25 eq.) are dissolved in 500 mL dry and degassed THF in a flame dried *Schlenk*-flask and cooled to -78 °C. Under stirring, 26.0 g of a 2.50 M *n*-butyl lithium solution in *n*-hexane is added dropwise at -78 °C, whereas the colourless solution changes yellowish and the solution is stirred for 10 min at -78 °C. Subsequently, triisopropylsilyl chloride is added dropwise at -78 °C. The solution

of an aqueous, saturated solution of ammonium chloride are added, whereas a colourless solid participates. The aqueous mixture is extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 300 mL). The organic phases are collected, dried with MgSO₄, filtrated and concentrated under reduced pressure (150 mbar, 60 °C). The crude product is purified *via* column chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane:DCM = 19:1, R_f = 0.75). 15.9 g of the product are obtained as colourless oil (57.9 mmol, 62%).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 3.94 (s, 2 H, CH₂), 1.09 – 1.06 (m, 21 H, CH(CH₃)₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 102.00 (SiCCCH₂), 89.24 (SiCCCH₂), 18.65 (CH₃), 15.03 (CH₂), 11.31 (CH).

(3-Azidoprop-1-yn-1-yl)triisopropylsilane S3



(3-Azidoprop-1-yn-1-yl)triisopropylsilane **S3** was synthesised according to a literature known procedure.¹⁶ 15.9 g (3-Bromoprop-1-yn-1-yl)triisopropylsilane **S2** (57.9 mmol, 1.00 eq.) and 7.53 g sodium azide (116 mmol, 2.00 eq.) are suspended in 400 mL methanol. The mixture is stirred for 16 h at 75 °C under reflux. The solution is concentrated under reduced pressure (350 mbar, 60 °C), whereas a colourless solid participates. Subsequently, 250 mL water and 200 mL ethyl acetate are added. The water phase is separated and extracted with ethyl acetate (2 x 100 mL). The collected organic layers are washed with 30 mL water and 30 mL brine, dried *via* MgSO₄, filtered and the volatiles are removed under reduced pressure. 12.4 g of the product are obtained as slight yellow oil (52.0 mmol, 90%) and utilised without further purification.

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 3.95 (s, 2 H, CH₂), 1.10 – 1.07 (m, 21 H, CH(CH₃)₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 98.65 (SiCCCH₂), 89.37 (SiCCCH₂), 40.84 (CH₂), 18.63 (CH₃), 11.19 (CH).

(1-(3-(Triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol S4



(1-(3-(Triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol **S4** was synthesised according to a modified literature known procedure.¹⁷ 12.4 g (3-Azidoprop-1-yn-1-yl)triisopropylsilane **S3** (52.0 mmol, 1.00 eq.) are dissolved in 300 mL *t*BuOH and water (1 : 1). 4.54 mL Propargyl alcohol (78.0 mmol, 1.50 eq.), copper(II)sulfate pentahydrate (10.4 mmol, 0.20 eq.) and sodium ascorbate (20.8 mmol, 0.40 eq.) are added subsequently. The orange suspension is stirred at 60 °C for 21 h. After cooling to r.t. the mixture is extracted with dichloromethane (4 x 200 mL). The united organic layers are washed with 60 mL water and 180 mL brine, dried *via* MgSO₄, filtered and the volatiles are removed under reduced pressure. The brownish solid is washed with cold pentane (3 x 25 mL). After drying under vacuum, 9.14 g of the product are obtained as colourless solid (31.1 mmol, 60%).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 7.77 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 5.22 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 4.81 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 OH), 1.09 – 1.06 (m, 21 H, CH(C H_3)₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 142.94 (C_{trz} CH₂OH), 121.85 (C_{trz} NCH₂), 97.46 (SiCCCH₂), 90.53 (SiCCCH₂), 45.83 (CH₂OH), 41.22 (NCH₂C), 18.66 (CH₃), 11.17 (CH₃CH).

ESI-MS (m/z): calcd [M–H]⁺: 294.20; found: 294.09 [M–H]⁺.

4-(Azidomethyl)-1-(3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazole S5



4-(Azidomethyl)-1-(3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazole **S5** was synthesised according to a modified literature known procedure.¹⁷ Under *Schlenk*-conditions 9.14 g (1-(3-(Triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol **S4** (31.1 mmol, 1.00 eq.) are dissolved in 200 mL degassed dimethylformamide in a flame dried *Schlenk*-flask and cooled to 0 °C. Under stirring, 16.8 g PPh₃ (63.8 mmol, 2.05 eq.) are added portionwise at this temperature, whereby the solution turns brown. The mixture is stirred for further 5 min at 0 °C. Subsequently, 22.7 g carbon tetrabromide (68.5 mmol,

2.20 eq.) is added portionwise at 0 °C, whereby the solution decolours briefly and then turns brown again. The mixture is stirred for further 15 min at 0 °C. Subsequently, 6.07 g sodium azide (93.4 mmol, 3.00 eq.) is added at 0 °C, whereby the solution turns red brownish. The mixture is again stirred for further 10 min at 0 °C and allowed to warm up to room temperature. The mixture is stirred at r.t. for 1 h. At atmospheric conditions, the reaction is quenched by the addition of 200 mL water. The mixture is extracted with ethyl acetate (4 x 200 mL). The collected organic layers are washed with 130 mL brine, dried *via* MgSO₄, filtered and the volatiles are removed under reduced pressure (16 mbar, 60 °C). The crude product is obtained as brown solid and purified *via* column chromatography (SiO₂, *n*-hexane:EtOAc = 3:1 to 2:1, R_f = 0.32). 8.99 g of the product are obtained as slight yellow oil (28.2 mmol, 91%).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 7.80 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 5.24 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 4.50 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N₃), 1.09 – 1.07 (m, 21 H, CH(C H_3)₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 142.94 (C_{trz} CH₂OH), 121.84 (C_{trz} NCH₂), 97.48 (SiCCCH₂), 90.53 (SiCCCH₂), 45.83 (CH₂OH), 41.21 (NCH₂C), 18.66 (CH₃), 11.18 (CH₃CH).

ESI-MS (*m***/z)**: calcd [M]⁺: 318.20, found: 318.96.

(1-((1-(3-(Triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol S6



374.56 g/mol

(1-((1-(3-(Triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol **S6** was synthesised according to a modified literature known procedure.¹⁷ 8.99 g 4-(Azidomethyl)-1-(3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazole **S5** (28.3 mmol, 1.00 eq.) are dissolved in 250 mL *t*BuOH and water (1 : 1). 2.46 mL Propargyl alcohol (42.3 mmol, 1.50 eq.), copper(II)sulfate pentahydrate (5.65 mmol, 0.20 eq.) and sodium ascorbate (11.3 mmol, 0.40 eq.) are added subsequently. The orange suspension is stirred at 60 °C for 20 h. After cooling to r.t., the mixture is extracted with dichloromethane (1 x 300 mL and 2 x 200 mL). The united organic layers are washed with 180 mL brine, dried *via* MgSO₄, filtered and the volatiles are removed under reduced pressure. After drying under vacuum, 9.14 g of the product are obtained as brownish solid (24.4 mmol, 86%). The product is used without further purification.

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 7.83 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 7.69 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 5.66 (s, 2 H, CCH₂N), 5.21 (s, 2 H, CCH₂N), 4.75 (s, 2 H, CCH₂OH), 3.07 (bs, 1 H, OH), 1.07 – 1.02 (m, 21 H, CH(CH₃)₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 148.38 (*C*_{trz}CH₂OH), 141.91 (*C*_{trz}CH₂N), 122.76 (*C*_{trz}CH₂N), 122.11 (*C*_{trz}CH₂OH), 97.15 (SiCCCH₂), 90.77 (SiCCCH₂), 56.48 (CH₂OH), 45.43 (*C*_{trz}CH₂N), 41.29 (NCH₂C), 18.62 (CH₃), 11.11 (CH₃CH).

ESI-MS (*m***/z)**: calcd [M–H]⁺: 375.23, found: 375.04.

4-(Azidomethyl)-1-((1-(3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazole S7

TIPS **S**7 C₁₈H₂₉N₉Si

399.58 g/mol

4-(Azidomethyl)-1-((1-(3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazole 57 was synthesised according to a modified literature known procedure.¹⁷ Under Schlenk-conditions, 9.46 g (1-((1-(3-(Triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol S6 (25.3 mmol, 1.00 eq.) are dissolved in 200 mL degassed dimethylformamide in a flame dried Schlenk-flask and cooled to 0 °C. Under stirring 13.6 g PPh₃ (51.8 mmol, 2.05 eq.) are added portion wise at this temperature, whereby the solution turns brown. The mixture is stirred for further 5 min at 0 °C. Subsequently, 18.4 g carbon tetrabromide (55.6 mmol, 2.20 eq.) is added portionwise at 0 °C, whereby the solution decolours briefly and then turns brown again. The mixture is stirred for further 15 min at 0 °C. Subsequently, 4.93 g sodium azide (75.8 mmol, 3.00 eq.) is added at 0 °C, whereby the solution turns red brownish. The mixture is again stirred for further 10 min at 0 °C and allowed to warm up to room temperature. The mixture is stirred at r.t. for another 3 h. At atmospheric conditions, the reaction is guenched by the addition of 200 mL water. The mixture is extracted with ethyl acetate (5 x 200 mL). The collected organic layers are dried via MgSO₄, filtered and the volatiles are removed under reduced pressure (10 mbar, 60 °C). The crude product is obtained as brown solid and purified via column chromatography (SiO₂, EtOAc: *n*-hexane = 2:1, $R_f = 0.5$). 8.48 g of the product are obtained as yellow crystalline solid (22.2 mmol, 84%).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 7.84 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 7.72 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 5.69 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 5.21 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 4.45 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N₃), 1.07 – 1.02 (m, 21 H, CH(C H_3)₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 143.19 ($C_{trz}CH_2N_3$), 141.70 ($C_{trz}CH_2N$), 122.70 ($C_{trz}C_{trz}CH_2N$), 122.57 ($C_{trz}C_{trz}CH_2N_3$), 97.08 (SiCCCH₂), 90.83 (SiCCCH₂), 45.70 (CH_2N_3), 45.56 ($C_{trz}CH_2N$), 41.29 (NCH₂C), 18.60 (CH_3), 11.09 (CH_3CH).

ESI-MS (*m***/***z***)**: calcd [M–H]⁺: 400.24, found: 400.05.

(1-((1-((1-(3-(Triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol S8



8.48 g 4-(Azidomethyl)-1-((1-(3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazole **S7** (21.2 mmol, 1.00 eq.) are dissolved in 250 mL *t*BuOH and water (1 : 1). 1.85 mL propargyl alcohol (31.8 mmol, 1.50 eq.), 1.06 g copper(II)sulfate pentahydrate (4.24 mmol, 0.20 eq.) and 1.68 g sodium ascorbate (8.49 mmol, 0.40 eq.) are added subsequently. The yellow suspension is stirred at 60 °C for 15 h. After cooling to r.t., the orange mixture is diluted with 85 mL water and extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 200 mL and 1 x 100 mL). The united organic layers are washed with 60 mL brine, dried *via* MgSO₄, filtered and the volatiles are removed under reduced pressure. The brown oil is washed with pentane 3 x 20 mL, which forms a colourless solid. After drying under vacuum, 9.24 g of the product are obtained as off-white solid (20.3 mmol, 96%).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 7.87 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 7.81 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 7.70 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 5.67 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 5.63 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 5.22 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 4.74 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 OH), 1.08 – 1.03 (m, 21 H, C $H(CH_3)_3$).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ [ppm] = 8.19 (s, 2 H, *H*_{trz}), 7.97 (s, 1 H, *H*_{trz}), 5.71 (s, 2 H, CC*H*₂N), 5.64 (s, 2 H, CC*H*₂N), 5.42 (s, 2 H, CC*H*₂N), 5.18 (t, ³*J* = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, OH) 4.47 (d, ³*J* = 5.5 Hz, 2 H, CC*H*₂OH), 1.02 – 0.99 (m, 21H, C*H*(C*H*₃)₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ [ppm] = 148.37 (C_{trz} CH₂OH), 141.98 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 141.73 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 124.18 (C_{trz} CH₂CH₂N), 124.01 (C_{trz} CH₂CH₂N), 122.79 (C_{trz} CH₂OH), 100.67 (SiCCCH₂), 86.90 (SiCCCH₂), 55.05 (C_{trz} CH₂N) 54.92 (C_{H_2} OH), 44.53 (C_{CH_2} N), 44.38 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 18.38 (C_{H_3}), 10.55 (CH₃CH).

ESI-MS (*m***/z)**: calcd [M–H]⁺: 456.27, found: 456.07.

4-(Azidomethyl)-1-((1-((1-(3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)--1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazole S9



480.66 g/mol

Under Schlenk-conditions 9.24 g (1-((1-((1-(3-(Triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol S8 (20.3 mmol, 1.00 eq.) are dissolved in 250 mL degassed dimethylformamide in a flame dried Schlenk-flask and cooled to 0 °C. Under stirring, 10.9 g PPh_3 (41.6 mmol, 2.05 eq.) are added portionwise at this temperature, whereby the solution turns brown. The mixture is stirred for further 5 min at 0 °C. Subsequently, 14.8 g carbon tetrabromide (44.6 mmol, 2.20 eq.) is added portionwise at 0 °C, whereby the solution decolours briefly and then turns brown again. The mixture is stirred for further 15 min at 0 °C. Subsequently, 3.96 g sodium azide (60.8 mmol, 3.00 eq.) is added at 0 °C, whereby the solution turns red brownish. The mixture is again stirred for further 10 min at 0 °C and allowed to warm up to room temperature. The mixture is stirred at r.t. for 3 h. At atmospheric conditions, the reaction is quenched by the addition of 250 mL water. The mixture is extracted with ethyl acetate (1 x 400 mL and 2 x 200 mL). The collected organic layers are washed with 150 mL brine, dried via MgSO₄, filtered and the volatiles are removed under reduced pressure. The crude product is obtained as brown solid and purified via column chromatography (SiO₂, Acetone: *n*-hexane = 2:1, $R_f = 0.81$). Subsequent washing with 80 mL cold ethyl acetate obtains 4.55 g of the product as white solid (9.46 mmol, 46%).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ [ppm] = 7.85 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 7.77 (s, 1H, H_{trz}), 7.71 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 5.67 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 5.65 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 5.22 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 4.46 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 OH), 1.09 – 1.04 (m, 21 H, CH(C H_3)₃).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = 7.94 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 7.90 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 7.85 (s, 1 H, H_{trz}), 5.64 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 5.62 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 5.21 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 N), 4.42 (s, 2 H, CC H_2 OH), 1.08 – 1.02 (m, 21 H, CH(C H_3)₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = 143.45 (C_{trz} CH₂N₃), 142.88 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 142.85 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 124.68 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 124.41 (C_{trz} CH₂CH₂N), 124.38 (C_{trz} CH₂N₃), 100.41 (SiCCCH₂), 89.05 (SiCCCH₂), 46.02 (SiCCCH₂) 45.97 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 45.96 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 41.53 (CH₂N₃), 18.82 (CH₃), 11.82 (CH₃CH).

ESI-MS (*m/z*): calcd [M–H]⁺: 481.27, found: 481.04.

Calix[4]1,2,3-triazole a



Calix[4]1,2,3-tiazole **a** was synthesised according to a modified literature known procedure.¹⁷ Under *Schlenk*-conditions, 500 mg 4-(azidomethyl)-1-((1-((1-(3-(triisopropylsilyl)prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-1,2,3-triazole **S9** (1.54 mmol, 1.00 eq.) are dissolved in 1070 mL degassed DMF. 604 mg potassium fluoride (10.4 mmol, 10.0 eq.), 353 μ L of an 48% hydrogen bromide solution in water (3.12 mmol, 3.00 eq.) and 119 mg copper(I) iodide (624 μ mol, 0.60 eq.) are added. The mixture is stirred for 4 d at r.t. until a colourless solid precipitates. All volatiles are removed under reduced pressure. The solid is washed with 60 mL methanol, 60 mL of a semi-concentrated aqueous ammonia solution, 2 x 30 mL water, 60 mL methanol and 60 mL diethyl ether. Residual solvent was removed *via* high vacuum. 308 mg of the product are obtained as white solid (0.96 mmol, 93%). Due to the low solubility of the product in any solvents, only ¹H-NMR spectrometry was applied.

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, DMSO– d_6): δ [ppm] = 7.71 (s, 4 H, H_{trz}), 5.67 (s, 8 H, CH_2).

Analytical calculation (%) for C₁₂H₁₂N₁₂: C 44.44; H 3.73; N 51.83. Found: C 44.45; H 3.70; N 50.52.

4.2 Synthesis of calix[4]3-metyl-1,2,3-triazolium salts b and c

Calix[4]3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium triflate b



Under *Schlenk*-conditions, 500 mg calix[4]1,2,3-triazole **a** (1.54 mmol, 1.00 eq.) are suspended in 10 mL dry and degassed acetonitrile. 3.49 mL of trifluoromethanesulfonate (30.8 mmol, 20.0 eq.) are added and the reaction mixture is stirred at room temperature. After 5 min the solid is solved and after

another 5 min a colourless solid participates again. The mixture is heated to 60 °C until everything is dissolved. After subsequent cooling to 40 °C the mixture is stirred for another 3 d at 40 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the colourless solid is separated from the orange solution by centrifugation at atmospheric conditions and washed with acetonitrile (3 x 2 mL) and diethyl ether (1 x 10 mL). After drying under high vacuum, 1.09 g of the product are obtained as colourless solid (1.11 mmol, 72%).

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = 8.73 (s, 4 H, H_{trz}), 6.21 (s, 8 H, CH₂), 4.37 (s, 12 H, CH₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = 139.60 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 131.80 (N C_{trz} HC_{trz}CH₂), 46.95 (CH₂), 40.61 (CH₃).

¹⁹**F-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = -79.23 (s, 12 F).

ESI-MS (*m/z*): calcd [M–H₄⁴⁺OTf⁻]³⁺: 179.07, found: 179.03; calcd [M–H₄⁴⁺2OTf⁻]²⁺: 343.08, found: 344.02; calcd [M–H₄⁶⁺4OTf⁻]²⁺: 492.03 found: 493.89; calcd [M⁴⁺3OTf⁻]¹⁺: 831.08, found: 830.56.





1.09 g Calix[4]3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium triflate **b** (1.11 mmol, 1.00 eq.) are dissolved in 50 mL water and dropwise added to a solution of 2.71 g Ammonium hexafluorophosphate (16.6 mmol, 15.0 eq.) in 20 mL water. The precipitated colourless solid is separated *via* centrifugation of the reaction mixture and washed with H₂O (2 x 5 mL), cold MeOH (10 mL) and Et₂O (10 mL). After drying under vacuum, 1.07 g of the product (1.11 mmol, 100%) are obtained as colourless solid.

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = 8.36 (s, 4 H, H_{trz}), 6.23 (s, 8 H, CH₂), 4.38 (s, 12 H, CH₃).

¹³**C-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = 139.45 (C_{trz} CH₂N), 131.41 (N C_{trz} HC_{trz}CH₂), 46.43 (CH₂), 40.50 (CH₃).

¹⁹**F-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = -73.01 (d, ²J = 707.28, 24 F).

³¹**P-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = -144.88 (hept, ²J = 707.91, 4 P).



4.3 Synthesis of iron(II) calix[4]3-methyl-1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidene hexafluorophosphate 2

Under *Schlenk*-conditions 93.1 mg Fe(btsa)₂(THF) (207 μ mol, 2.00 eq.) are dissolved in 3 mL dry and degassed acetonitrile and cooled to -40 °C. In another *Schlenk*-tube, 100 mg calix[4]3-methyl-1,2,3-triazolium hexafluorophosphate **c** (104 μ mol, 1.00 eq.) are dissolved in 4 mL dry and degassed acetonitrile and also cooled to -40 °C. Under stirring, the cold ligand solution was added to the cold iron precursor solution *via* transfer cannula. The mixture is slowly allowed to warm up to room temperature, while the solution turns from light yellowish over orange to orange-brownish within minutes. After 3 h of stirring, an orange solid begins to precipitate. The mixture is stirred for further 16 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the orange solid is separated from the brown solution *via Whatman*-filtration and washed first with dry and degassed acetonitrile (1 x 0.5 mL) and dry and degassed diethyl ether (3 x 1 mL). After drying under vacuum, 74.4 mg of the product (92.2 μ mol, 78%) are obtained as orange solid.

¹**H-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = 6.12 (s, 8 H, CH₂), 4.21 (s, 12 H, CH₃), 1.96 (s, 6 H, CH₃CN).

¹³C-NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = 190.35 (C_{carbene}), 138.88 (C_{trz}CH₂N), 49.05 (CH₂), 37.08 (CH₃).

³¹**P-NMR** (400 MHz, CD₃CN): δ [ppm] = -144.62 (hept, ${}^{2}J$ = 707.91, 4 P).

ESI-MS (*m/z*): calcd [M]²⁺: 218.06; found: 217.64 [M]²⁺; calcd [M²⁺PF₆⁻]⁺: 581.09; found: 580.68 [M²⁺PF₆⁻]⁺; calcd [M–H₃²⁺PF₆⁻MeCN]⁺: 625.14; found: 625.57 [M–H₃²⁺PF₆⁻MeCN]⁺.

Analytical calculation (%) for C₂₀H₂₆F₁₂FeN₁₄P₂·0.45C₄H₁₀O: C 31.11; H 3.65; N 23.30. Found: C 30.87; H 3.40; N 23.12.

5. NMR-Spectra



Fig. S6 $^{\rm 13}\text{C-NMR}$ spectrum of S2 in CDCl3.



Fig. S8 ¹³C-NMR spectrum of S3 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S10 ¹³C-NMR spectrum of S4 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S12 ¹³C-NMR spectrum of S5 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S14 ¹³C-NMR spectrum of S6 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S16 ¹³C-NMR spectrum of S7 in CDCl₃.







Fig. S18 ¹H-NMR spectrum of **S8** in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S19 ¹³C-NMR spectrum of S8 in DMSO- d_6 .



Fig. S20 ¹H-NMR spectrum of S9 in CDCl₃.



Fig. S21 ¹H-NMR spectrum of S9 in CD_3CN .



Fig. S22 ¹³C-NMR spectrum of S9 in CD₃CN.



Fig. S23 ¹H-NMR spectrum of a in DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S24 1 H-NMR spectrum of **b** in CD₃CN.









Fig. S28 ¹³C-NMR spectrum of c in CD₃CN.



Fig. S30 ³¹P-NMR spectrum of c in CD₃CN.



Fig. S32 ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 2 in CD₃CN.

r -131.54 -135.90 -140.26 -144.62 -144.62 -148.98 -153.34 -157.70





Fig. S33 31 P-NMR spectrum of 2 in CD₃CN.

6. Catalytic procedure

All reactions were conducted at least twice in a cryostat (JulaboFP-50). Acetonitrile (HPLC-grade) as solvent was applied for all experiments. The catalyst (0.50 mol%) was added from a preformed stock solution in dry and degassed acetonitrile corresponding to the appropriate stoichiometry to a solution of cis-cyclooctene (1.00 eq., 67.3 µmol/mL). Hydrogen peroxide (1.5 eq., 101 µmol/mL) was used as oxidising agent and, if required, Sc(OTf)₃ as additive (0.1 eq., 8.41 μmol/mL). The reaction was started upon addition of the catalyst stock solution, by adding the catalyst solution all at once. The reaction was terminated by adding electrolytically precipitated activated MnO₂ in order to decompose the excess of H₂O₂ in the reaction solution. After filtration over activated neutral alumina (separation of the catalyst), two GC samples were prepared for each experiment using 200 µL filtrate, diluted with 1300 µL MeCN and p-xylene as external standard for each chosen time point. The identification of the product epoxide and by-products, such as 1,2-cycloocatandiol was confirmed via GC-FID based on the corresponding retention times. Control experiments without catalyst were performed for all reactions. An additional blank experiment with a simple iron salt, iron(II) chloride in the presence of H_2O_2 was conducted to highlight the importance of iron complexes associated with NHCs due to minimal product and unselective side-product formation. Representative gas chromatograms for the catalytic experiments are shown in Fig. S34 to S37.



Fig. S34 Representative gas chromatogram for the control experiments without catalyst addition after a reaction time of 10 min at 20 °C.



Fig. S35 Representative gas chromatogram for the blank experiments with iron(II) chloride after a reaction time of 10 min at 20 °C.



Fig. S36 Representative gas chromatogram for the experiments with 2 after a reaction time of 10 min at 20 °C.



Fig. S37 Representative gas chromatogram for the experiments with **2** with the addition of $Sc(OTf)_3$ after a reaction time of 5 min at 20 °C.

7. References

- 1. M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power and S. C. Shoner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 2547-2551.
- 2. H. J. Shine, B.-J. Zhao, J. N. Marx, T. Ould-Ely and K. H. Whitmire, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 9255-9261.
- 3. B. D. McCarthy, D. J. Martin, E. S. Rountree, A. C. Ullman and J. L. Dempsey, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 8350-8361.
- 4. G. R. Fulmer, A. J. Miller, N. H. Sherden, H. E. Gottlieb, A. Nudelman, B. M. Stoltz, J. E. Bercaw and K. I. Goldberg, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 2176-2179.
- 5. *APEX suite of crystallographic software*, APEX 3, Version 2019-11.0, Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2019.
- 6. *SAINT*, Version 8.38A, Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2017.
- 7. SADABS, Version 2016/2, Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2016.
- 8. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallographica Section C: Structural Chemistry*, 2015, **71**, 3-8.
- 9. C. B. Hübschle, G. M. Sheldrick and B. Dittrich, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2011, 44, 1281-1284.
- 10. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallographica Section A: Foundations and Advances*, 2015, **71**, 3-8.
- 11. A. J. Wilson, *International Tables for Crystallography*. Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992; Vol. C.
- 12. C. F. Macrae, I. Sovago, S. J. Cottrell, P. T. Galek, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, M. Platings, G. P. Shields, J. S. Stevens and M. Towler, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2020, **53**, 226-235.
- 13. A. Spek, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr, 2009, 65, 148-155.
- 14. M. R. Anneser, S. Haslinger, A. Pöthig, M. Cokoja, J.-M. Basset and F. E. Kühn, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 3797-3804.
- 15. M. Schaefer, N. Hanik and A. F. Kilbinger, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 6807-6818.
- 16. M. Juríček, K. Stout, P. H. Kouwer and A. E. Rowan, *J. Porphyr. Phthalocyanines*, 2011, **15**, 898-907.
- 17. I. Kim, K. C. Ko, W. R. Lee, J. Cho, J. H. Moon, D. Moon, A. Sharma, J. Y. Lee, J. S. Kim and S. Kim, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 5509-5512.