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Fig. S1 Synthesis of 5-sulfomethyl isophthalic acid (5-SMIPA).

Compound $\mathbf{A}$ (5-methyl isophthalate): $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to solution of 5methylisophthalic acid ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and resulting mixture stirred at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . When the reaction was end and cooled to room temperature, 10 M NaOH (aq) was added to neutralize the solution. Compound $A$ was extracted by $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was removed by rotary evaporation to obtain compound $\mathrm{A}(1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 94.1 \%)$. NMR spectrum was shown in Fig. S2 and Fig. S3.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.48$ (s, 1H), 8.04 (s, 2H), 3.94 (s, 6H), 2.45 (s, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 166.40, 138.67, 134.39, 130.47, 127.94, 52.27, 21.10.

Compound B (5-bromomethyl isophthalate): Compound A (0.832 g, 4 mmol ) and NBS ( $0.783 \mathrm{~g}, 4$ mmol ) were dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, then added the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution of BPO ( 0.09 $\mathrm{g}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture heated under reflux under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred for 6 h . After 6 h quenched BPO with water, and removed solvent. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc : petroleum ether, 1:20) to obtain compound $\mathrm{B}(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 65.7 \%)$. NMR spectrum was shown in Fig. S4 and Fig. S5.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.60$ (s, 1H), 8.26 (s, 2H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 3.96 (s, 6H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) $8165.55,138.83,134.18,131.29,130.51,52.52,31.50$.
$1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, TBAB $(0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol})$ to a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethanol and stirred for 12 h . The solvent was evaporated to obtain a mixed solid.

Compound D (5-sulfomethyl isophthalic acid, 5-SMIPA): Added mixed solid, NaOH ( $0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 16$ mmol ) to water and stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. Then added HCl to adjust pH to neutral conditions, the white solid precipitated was compound D ( 0.16 g ). NMR spectrum was shown in Fig. S6 and Fig. S7.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ), $\delta$ (TMS, ppm) $8.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4,13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ), $\delta$ (TMS, ppm) $\delta 173.82,137.56,132.76,132.17,128.92,56.94$.


Fig. S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) spectrum of 5-methyl isophthalate.


Fig. S3. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) spectrum of 5-methyl isophthalate.


Fig. S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) spectrum of 5-bromomethyl isophthalate.


Fig. S5. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Chloroform-d) spectrum of 5-bromomethyl isophthalate.


Fig. S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , MeOD) spectrum of 5 -sulfomethyl isophthalic acid (5-SMIPA).

Fig. S7. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , MeOD) spectrum of 5-sulfomethyl isophthalic acid (5-SMIPA).


Fig. S8. (A) Crystal packing pattern along the $b$ axis. (B) Crystal packing pattern along the a axis. (C) Specific coordination environment of Cu .


Fig. S9. (A) FTIR spectra of Cu-SM MOF and 5-SMIPA. (B) FTIR spectra of HKUST-1 and 1,3,5benzenetricarboxylic acid. (C) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption isotherm of the Cu-SM MOF after drying to measure the specific surface area. (D) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption isotherm of the HKUST-1 after drying to measure the specific surface area.


Fig. S10. (A) XPS spectra of Cu-SM MOF. High-resolution (B) Cu 2p, (C) O 1s, (D) N 1s, (E) S 2p, (F) C 1s.


Fig. S11. (A) XPS spectra of HKUST-1. High-resolution (B) Cu 2p, (C) O 1s, (D) C 1 s .


Fig. S12. TGA curves of Cu-SM MOF (red curve) and HKUST-1 (black curve).


Fig. S13. SEM images of (A) Cu-SM MOF and (B) HKUST-1 after grinding.


Fig. S14. PXRD patterns of (A) Cu-SM MOF and (B) HKUST-1 after grinding.


Fig. S15. Catalytic efficiency of (A) Cu-SM MOF and (B) HKUST-1 during the recycling experiment up to the 5th cycle. PXRD patterns of (C) Cu-SM MOF and (D) HKUST-1 during the recycling experiment up to the 5th cycle.


Fig. S16. Plot of absorbance at 510 nm obtained from the time-dependent kinetic data at various concentrations of 2,4-DP, (A) Cu-SM MOF, (B) HKUST-1. Lineweaver-Burk plot of (C) Cu-SM MOF, (D) HKUST-1.
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Fig. S17. (A) Structure of a variety of phenolic compounds. (B) Structure of three nitrophenols. (C) Oxidation of three nitrophenols catalyzed by Cu-SM MOF.


Fig. S18. (A) XPS spectra of Cu-SM MOF incubated with 2,4-DP. High-resolution (B) Cu 2 p , (C) O 1s, (D) N 1 s, (E) $\mathrm{S} 2 \mathrm{p},(\mathrm{F}) \mathrm{Cl} 2 \mathrm{p},(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~s}$.


Fig. S19. (A) XPS spectra of HKUST-1 incubated with 2,4-DP. High-resolution (B) Cu 2p, (C) 0 1s, (D) C 1s, (E) Cl 2p.


Fig. S20. (A) XPS spectra of Cu-SM MOF incubated with p-nitrophenol. High-resolution (B) Cu 2 p , (C) $O 1 \mathrm{~s},(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{N} 1 \mathrm{~s},(\mathrm{E}) \mathrm{S} 2 \mathrm{p}$, (F) C 1s.


Fig. S21. FTIR spectrum of MOFs and that incubated with 2,4-DP. (A) Cu-SM MOF, (B) HKUST-1. PXRD patterns of MOFs and that incubated with 2,4-DP. (C) Cu-SM MOF, (D) HKUST-1.


Fig. S22. (A) FTIR spectrum and (B) PXRD patterns of Cu-SM MOF incubated with p-nitrophenol.

Table S1. Experimental details regarding the LOD calculation for detection of 2,4-DP and pnitrophenol.

|  | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | LOD=3б/k |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2,4-DP | 0.002109 | 0.01203 | $0.53 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| p-nitrophenol | 0.004289 | 0.00796 | $1.62 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |

$\sigma$ : standard deviation of blank, $k$ : calibration curve slope from the linear relationships (Fig. 6).

Table S2. Detection of 2,4-DP.

| Sample | Addition $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Found $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Recovery (\%) | RSD (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| River water | 20 | 20.75 | 103.75 | 1.0 |
|  | 50 | 50.62 | 101.24 | 0.8 |
| Lake water | 20 | 81.82 | 102.27 | 4.4 |
|  | 50 | 21.05 | 105.25 | 0.4 |
|  | 80 | 50.28 | 100.56 | 0.4 |
| Tap water | 20 | 80.33 | 100.41 | 2.0 |
|  | 50 | 20.78 | 103.90 | 0.8 |
|  | 80 | 81.21 | 102.42 | 1.3 |
|  |  |  | 102.05 | 0.3 |

Table S3. Detection of p-nitrophenol.

| Sample | Addition $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Found $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | Recovery (\%) | RSD (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| River water | 50 | 48.93 | 97.86 | 0.8 |
|  | 100 | 99.58 | 99.58 | 1.4 |
| Lake water | 50 | 195.57 | 97.78 | 2.9 |
|  | 200 | 51.29 | 102.58 | 0.8 |
|  | 100 | 100.59 | 100.59 | 0.8 |
| Tap water | 50 | 202.28 | 101.14 | 0.7 |
|  | 100 | 48.98 | 97.96 | 0.9 |
|  | 200 | 100.88 | 100.88 | 0.1 |

Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinements for Cu-SM MOF.

| Compound | Cu-SM MOF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{CuNO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$ |  |  |
| M | 366.82 |  |  |
| Temperature (K) | 293 (2) |  |  |
| Crystal system | trigonal |  |  |
| Space group | R-3m |  |  |
| $a, b, c$ (Å) | 18.733 (7) | 18.733 (7) | 28.218 (8) |
| $\alpha, 6, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 9090 | 120 |  |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 8576 (7) |  |  |
| $z$ | 18 |  |  |
| Dc ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) | 1.279 |  |  |
| $\mu\left(m m^{-1}\right)$ | 1.279 |  |  |
| $F(000)$ | 3366.0 |  |  |
| Reflns collected | 24754 |  |  |
| Independent refins | 2428 |  |  |
| $R$ (int) | 0.1366 |  |  |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2428/517/220 |  |  |
| GOF on $F^{2}$ | 1.052 |  |  |
| ${ }^{b} R_{1}[1>2 \sigma(l)], w R_{2}$ | 0.0789, 0.2173 |  |  |
| $R_{1}\left[\right.$ all data], $w R_{2}$ | 0.1009, 0.2384 |  |  |

Table S5. Bond Lengths for Cu-SM MOF.

| Atom | Atom | Length/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu1 | Cu1 ${ }^{1}$ | 2.6250(17) |
| Cu1 | O1 ${ }^{2}$ | 1.955(4) |
| Cu1 | 01 | 1.956(4) |
| Cu1 | O2 ${ }^{3}$ | $1.943(4)$ |
| Cu1 | O2 ${ }^{1}$ | 1.943(4) |
| Cu1 | O54 | 2.161(6) |
| S1 | 05 | 1.441(6) |
| S1 | 03 | 1.620(13) |
| S1 | C6 | 1.758(9) |
| S1 | 04 | 1.354(10) |
| 01 | C1 | 1.255(6) |
| 02 | C1 | 1.265(6) |
| C2 | C3 | 1.383(6) |
| C2 | C1 | 1.485(7) |
| C2 | C4 | 1.385(7) |
| C5 | C4 | 1.386(6) |
| C5 | C4 ${ }^{5}$ | 1.386(6) |
| C5 | C6 | 1.488(11) |
| C10 | N2 | 1.458(10) |
| C9 | N2 | 1.459(10) |
| N5 | C15 | 1.444(10) |
| N5 | C16 | 1.454(10) |
| C13 | N4 | 1.447(10) |
| N4 | C14 | 1.445(10) |
| C7 | N1 | 1.447(10) |
| N1 | C8 | 1.448(10) |
| C12 | N3 | $1.4848(4)$ |
| C11 | N3 | 1.455(7) |

Table S6. Bond Angles for Cu-SM MOF.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 ${ }^{1}$ | Cu1 | Cu1 ${ }^{2}$ | 82.15(11) | C1 | 01 | Cu1 | 125.2(3) |
| 01 | Cu1 | Cu1 ${ }^{2}$ | 82.15(11) | C1 | 02 | Cu1 ${ }^{2}$ | 120.6(3) |
| $01^{1}$ | Cu1 | 01 | 89.8(2) | S1 | 05 | Cu1 ${ }^{5}$ | 137.2(4) |
| O1 ${ }^{1}$ | Cu1 | $05^{3}$ | 95.17(15) | C3 | C2 | C1 | 120.2(5) |
| 01 | Cu1 | $05^{3}$ | 95.17(15) | C3 | C2 | C4 | 119.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2^{4}$ | Cu1 | Cu1 ${ }^{2}$ | 86.49(12) | C4 | C2 | C1 | 120.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2^{2}$ | Cu1 | Cu1 ${ }^{2}$ | 86.49(12) | C2 | C3 | $C 2{ }^{6}$ | 120.9(7) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2^{4}$ | Cu1 | O1 ${ }^{1}$ | 168.58(16) | C4 ${ }^{6}$ | C5 | C4 | 119.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2^{4}$ | Cu1 | 01 | 89.80(16) | C4 | C5 | C6 | 120.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ | Cu1 | 01 | 168.58(16) | C4 ${ }^{6}$ | C5 | C6 | 120.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2^{2}$ | Cu1 | O1 ${ }^{1}$ | 89.80(16) | 01 | C1 | 02 | 125.4(5) |
| $02^{4}$ | Cu1 | $\mathrm{O} 2{ }^{2}$ | 88.3(2) | 01 | C1 | C2 | 117.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2^{2}$ | Cu1 | $05^{3}$ | 96.23(16) | 02 | C1 | C2 | 117.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2^{4}$ | Cu1 | $05^{3}$ | 96.23(16) | C2 | C4 | C5 | 120.8(5) |
| $05^{3}$ | Cu1 | $\mathrm{Cu1}{ }^{2}$ | 176.19(16) | C5 | C6 | S1 | 115.3(6) |
| 05 | S1 | O3 | 104.5(5) | C10 | N2 | C9 | 117.9(12) |
| 05 | S1 | C6 | 106.7(4) | C15 | N5 | C16 | 119.7(12) |
| 03 | S1 | C6 | 97.6(5) | C14 | N4 | C13 | 120.3(13) |
| 04 | S1 | 05 | 118.7(5) | C7 | N1 | C8 | 119.7(13) |
| 04 | S1 | 03 | 115.0(8) | C11 | N3 | C12 | 116.4(9) |
| 04 | S1 | C6 | 111.9(5) |  |  |  |  |

