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## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Physical measurements

Elemental analyses of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N were performed at an Elementar Vario MICRO analyzer. Infrared spectra were obtained in the range of $600-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ on a Bruker tensor II spectrometer. Variable-temperature powder X-ray diffraction data (PXRD) were recorded on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ X-ray source ( $\lambda=$ $1.54056 \AA$ ) operated at 40 kV and 40 mA between 5 and $35^{\circ}(2 \theta)$. Simulated PXRD patterns were obtained from the Mercury software. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on freshly filtered crystals using the Mettler Toledo TGA2 instrument in an insert Ar atmosphere over a temperature range of $27-700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a heating rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Low-pressure volumetric $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas adsorption measurements were performed on a Quadrasorb automatic volumetric instrument. The Brunauer-EmmettTeller (BET) method was utilized to calculate the specific surface areas. Water adsorption/desorption isotherms were measured using a BELSORP max instrument

## Proton conductivity

Proton conductivity measurements were performed using a quasi-four-electrode AC impedance technique with a Solartron 1260 impedance/gain-phase analyzer. The single crystals samples were compressed to 2.5 mm diameter, which were connected to gold wires using silver paste. The sample pellet was measured in the temperature range of $40-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in the RH range of $40-97 \%$. The hydrated and anhydrous sample was placed in Espec Corp. SH-221 incubator for 24 h at a given temperature and relative humidity values before recording the impedance. The conductivity was calculated using the equation $\sigma=l / R_{s} A$, where $l$ and $A$ are the thickness (cm) and cross-sectional area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ of the pellet, respectively, and Rs is the bulk resistance of the sample. $R_{\mathrm{S}}$ was calculated using the Nyquist plot from impedance spectra.

## Magnetic measurements

Direct current (dc) magnetic susceptibility from 2 to 300 K with applied 1000 Oe dc field were performed using a Quantum Design SQUID VSM magnetometer on the crushed single crystals sample of $\mathbf{1}$. Alternative current (ac) magnetic susceptibility data
were collected in a zero-dc field or an applied 1000 Oe dc fields in the temperature range of 2-10 K , under an ac field of 2 Oe , oscillating at frequencies in the range of 11000 Hz . All magnetic data were corrected for the diamagnetic contributions of the sample holder and of core diamagnetism of the sample using Pascal's constants.

## X-ray Crystallography

Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker D8 QUEST diffractometer with a PHOTON III area detector (Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$, Bruker Ius 3.0) at different temperatures controlled by Oxford Cryosystems lowtemperature device. The APEX III program was used to determine the unit cell parameters and for data collection. The data were integrated and corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects using SAINT. ${ }^{\text {S1 }}$ Absorption corrections were applied with SADABS. ${ }^{\text {S2 }}$ The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares method on $F 2$ using the SHELXTL ${ }^{\text {S3 }}$ crystallographic software package integrated in Olex 2. ${ }^{\text {S4 }}$ All the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms of the organic ligands were refined as riding on the corresponding non-hydrogen atoms. Additional details of the data collections and structural refinement parameters are provided in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and angles of $\mathbf{1}$ are listed in Table S1, S2. CCDC numbers 2211956-2211958 are the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. They can be obtained freely from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.


Figure S1. Comparison of the experimental PXRD pattern at room temperature of $\mathbf{1}$ with the simulated pattern from single crystal structure.


Figure S2. TGA curve of $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S3. Variable-temperature PXRD patterns of $\mathbf{1}$.

Table S1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters for $\mathbf{1}$ at different measured temperature.

| Complex | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{CoO}_{14}$ |  |  |
| Formula weight | 419.16 |  |  |
| T/K | 100 | 300 | 400 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |  |  |
| Space group | P2/m |  |  |
| a/Å | 6.3747(5) | 6.4912(5) | 6.523(6) |
| b/Å | 9.9302(8) | 9.9169(8) | 9.909(8) |
| c/Å | 6.4394(6) | 6.5380(6) | 6.641(7) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 114.467(2) | 115.401(2) | 115.98(4) |
| $\gamma^{/ 0}$ | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 371.02(5) | 380.18(6) | 385.9(6) |
| Z | 1 |  |  |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.876 | 1.831 | 1.804 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.237 | 1.207 | 1.190 |
| F(000) | 215.0 |  |  |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.102 to 56.076 | 4.108 to 55.03 | 4.11 to 54.92 |
| Reflections collected | 6878 |  |  |
| Independent reflections | 912 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }} / \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}$ | 0.0339 / 0.0186 |  |  |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.140 | 1.126 | 1.115 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}{ }^{\text {a }} / \mathrm{wR}_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}(\mathrm{I} ~>~ 2 \sigma(I) ~) ~$ | 0.0318 / 0.0855 | 0.0319 / 0.0875 | 0.0319 / 0.0887 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1} / \mathrm{wR}_{2}$ (all data) | $0.0331 / 0.0861$ | $0.0331 / 0.0881$ | 0.0332 / 0.0893 |
| Max/min $\left[\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right]$ | 0.73 / -0.33 | 0.74 / -0.29 | 0.75/-0.27 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\sum\| \| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left\|-\left\|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}} \\| / \sum\right\| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{wR}_{2}=\left\{\sum\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) 2\right] / \sum\left[\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$ |  |  |  |
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Figure S4. The asymmetric units of $\mathbf{1}$ measured at different temperatures.

Table S2. Selected bond lengths ( $(\AA)$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ in 1.

| Parameter |  | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T / K | 100 | 300 | 400 |
| Co1-O1 | 2.096(3) | 2.064(2) | 2.020(3) |
| Co1-O1 ${ }^{1}$ | 2.096(3) | 2.064(2) | 2.020(3) |
| Co1-O2 | 2.112(3) | 2.114(2) | 2.120(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co1-O} 2^{1}$ | 2.112(3) | 2.114(2) | 2.120(2) |
| Co1-O3 ${ }^{1}$ | 2.047(3) | 2.047(2) | 2.036(2) |
| Co1-O3 | 2.047(3) | 2.047(2) | 2.036(2) |
| Co-Oaverge | 2.085 | 2.075 | 2.059 |
| O1-Co1-O1 ${ }^{1}$ | 180.00(6) | 180.00(18) | 180.0 |
| O1 ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{O} 2^{1}$ | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.000(1) |
| O1 ${ }^{1}$-Co1-O2 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.000(1) |
| O1-Co1-O2 ${ }^{1}$ | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| O1-Co1-O2 | 90.000(1) | 90.000(1) | 90.0 |
| O2-Co1-O2 ${ }^{1}$ | 180.0 | 180.0 | 180.0 |
| O3-Co1-O1 | 88.84(15) | 90.79(12) | 88.77(14) |
| O3 ${ }^{1}$-Co1-O1 | 91.16(15) | 89.21(12) | 91.23(14) |
| O3 ${ }^{1}$ - ${ }^{\text {Col-O1 }}{ }^{1}$ | 88.84(15) | 90.79(12) | 88.77(14) |
| O3-Co1-O1 ${ }^{1}$ | 91.16(15) | 89.21(12) | 91.23(14) |
| O3 ${ }^{1-}$ Co1-O2 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 |
| ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{X}, 1-\mathrm{Y}, 1-\mathrm{Z} ;{ }^{2}+\mathrm{X}, 2-\mathrm{Y},+\mathrm{Z} ;{ }^{3} 2-\mathrm{X},+\mathrm{Y}, 2-\mathrm{Z}$ |  |  |  |

Table S3. Continuous Shape Measure (CSM) analysis for six-coordinated Co(II) in 1.

| Compound, <br> Metal center | $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | CSM parameters* |  |  |  |  | Determined <br> coordination <br> geometry |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | HP-6 | PPY-6 | OC-6 | TPR-6 | JPPY-6 |  |
|  |  | 32.871 | 29.948 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 2}$ | 16.636 | 33.327 |  |
|  |  | 33.036 | 30.094 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 2}$ | 16.663 | 33.537 | OC-6 |
|  |  | 32.892 | 29.950 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 4}$ | 16.621 | 33.336 |  |

* $\mathrm{CSM}^{\mathrm{S} 2}$ parameters for six-coordinated complexes:

HP-6 - the parameter related to the hexagon ( $D_{6 \mathrm{~h}}$ )
PPY-6 - the parameter related to the pentagonal pyramid ( $C_{5 \mathrm{v}}$ )
OC-6 - the parameter related to the octahedron $\left(O_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$
TPR-6 - the parameter related to the trigonal prism ( $D_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ )
JPPY-6 - the parameter related to the Johnson pentagonal pyramid (C5v)

Table S4. The possible hydrogen bonds in $\mathbf{1}$ at 100 K calculated by PLATON.

| D-H...A | d(D- H) | d(H...A) | $d(D \ldots A)$ | $<(D H A)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.86 | 2.09 | 2.7735 | 136 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.86 | 1.98 | 2.7735 | 152 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.84 | 2.08 | 2.7955 | 143 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.85 | 1.95 | 2.7955 | 177 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.85 | 2.01 | 2.7600 | 146 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.86 | 1.95 | 2.7600 | 157 |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{H}(4) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 1.19 | 1.19 | 2.3817 | 174 |

Table S5. The possible hydrogen bonds in $\mathbf{1}$ at 300 K calculated by PLATON.

| D-H...A | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H} \ldots \mathrm{A})$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D} \ldots \mathrm{A})$ | $<(\mathrm{DHA})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.86 | 2.00 | 2.7968 | 153 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.86 | 2.10 | 2.7968 | 137 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.85 | 2.02 | 2.8117 | 155 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.85 | 1.99 | 2.8117 | 161 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.86 | 1.96 | 2.7752 | 157 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.86 | 2.02 | 2.7752 | 146 |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{H}(4) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 1.19 | 1.19 | 2.3785 | 175 |

Table S6. The possible hydrogen bonds in $\mathbf{1}$ at 400 K calculated by PLATON.

| D-H...A | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H \ldots A)$ | $d(D \ldots A)$ | $<(D H A)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.87 | 2.02 | 2.8048 | 136 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.87 | 2.12 | 2.8048 | 152 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.85 | 2.02 | 2.8102 | 143 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 0.85 | 1.99 | 2.8102 | 177 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.86 | 1.96 | 2.7766 | 146 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B}) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 0.87 | 2.02 | 2.7766 | 157 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4) \ldots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 1.19 | 1.19 | 2.3760 | 174 |



Figure S5. The open-pore structure and H-bonds networks in 1.


Figure S6. PXRD pattern of 1 measured after the CO 2 adsorption/desorption experiment.


Figure S7. Water vapor adsorption/desorption isotherms of $\mathbf{1}$ measured at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S8. Nyquist plots of $\mathbf{1}$ at 313 K and different relative humidity of $70-97 \% \mathrm{RH}$.

Table S7. The conductivity of $\mathbf{1}$ extracted from impedance spectra gathered at 313 K under variable relative humidity.

| $\mathrm{RH} \%$ | $\sigma / \mathrm{S} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 40 | $4.1 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 50 | $1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 60 | $3.5 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 70 | $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 80 | $6.7 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 97 | $3.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |



Figure S9. PXRD pattern of $\mathbf{1}$ after variable-humidity electrochemical test.


Figure S10. PXRD pattern of $\mathbf{1}$ after variable-temperature electrochemical test.


Figure S11. Variable-temperature magnetization curves of 1 measured at 2, 3, and 5 K . Solid lines represent the best fits.


Figure S12. Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility and magnetization curves of

1. The red lines are the simulation by the Figgis-Griffith Hamiltonian as described in the main text.


Figure S13. Frequency dependence of the ac susceptibility measured under zero dc field at 2.0 K for $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S14. Frequency dependence of the in-phase ( $\chi^{\prime}$ ) ac susceptibility measured under 1 kOe dc field in the temperature range of 2-6.2 K for $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S15. Temperature dependence of the in-phase ( $\chi^{\prime}$ ) and out-of-phase ( $\chi^{\prime \prime}$ ) ac susceptibilities measured under 1 kOe dc field in the temperature range of $2-10 \mathrm{~K}$ for 1.

Table S8. Relaxation fitting parameters from the least-square fitting of the Cole-Cole plots of $\mathbf{1}$ under 1 kOe dc filed according to the generalized Debye model.

| $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K}$ | $\tau / \mathrm{s}$ | $\chi_{\mathrm{S}} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}$ | $\chi_{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}$ | $\alpha$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.99994 | 0.00294 | 0.0675 | 0.70789 | 0.11161 |
| 2.19994 | 0.00237 | 0.06286 | 0.64494 | 0.10296 |
| 2.39996 | 0.00192 | 0.06023 | 0.59712 | 0.08995 |
| 2.59975 | 0.00158 | 0.05702 | 0.55546 | 0.08725 |
| 2.80137 | 0.00133 | 0.05247 | 0.52613 | 0.0958 |
| 2.99902 | 0.00109 | 0.05255 | 0.48247 | 0.06969 |
| 3.19759 | $9.22309 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.04996 | 0.45807 | 0.07028 |
| 3.4001 | $7.71706 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.04967 | 0.42895 | 0.05592 |
| 3.6005 | $6.46946 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.04697 | 0.40332 | 0.05016 |
| 3.79965 | $5.53468 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.0459 | 0.38583 | 0.04693 |
| 3.99794 | $4.75312 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.04228 | 0.37494 | 0.06241 |
| 4.20018 | $4.02112 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.04391 | 0.35252 | 0.04402 |
| 4.40041 | $3.3633 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.03915 | 0.3386 | 0.0496 |
| 4.60088 | $2.82108 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.03747 | 0.32483 | 0.05174 |
| 4.79827 | $2.37291 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.03251 | 0.31464 | 0.05992 |
| 5.00096 | $1.96874 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.03257 | 0.30075 | 0.05536 |
| 5.20301 | $1.63246 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.02984 | 0.2874 | 0.04259 |
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