ESI for:

Tin(II) Cations Stabilized by Non-symmetric N,N´,O-chelating Ligands: Synthesis and Stability

Miroslav Novák, * Jan Turek, * Yaraslava Milasheuskaya, Miriam Syková, Jesse Stalmans, Zdeňka Růžičková, Klaus Jurkschat and Roman Jambor

Table of contents:

Crystallographic data for 1 (Table S1)	S2
Crystallographic data for 2 (Table S2)	S3
Crystallographic data for 3 (Table S3)	S4
Crystallographic data for 4 (Table S4)	S5
Crystallographic data for 9 (Table S5)	S6
Crystallographic data for 10 (Table S6)	S7
Crystallographic data for 12 (Table S7)	S8
Computational details	S9-S10
Optimized geometries of the cationic part of the complexes $1 - 6$ (Figure S1)	S11
Optimized geometries of $7 - 9$ (Figure S2)	S12
Optimized geometries of $10 - 13$ (Figure S3)	S13
Relevant NBOs involving Sn atom in 1, 7 and 10. (Figure S4)	S14
Gibbs free energy differences for the formation of $1 - 13$ (Table S8)	S15
Computed % V_{Bur} for the ligands L^{1-6} in the cationic part of complexes $1 - 6$ (Table S9)	S16
Energy decomposition analysis for $1 - 9$ (Table S10)	S17
Selected Wiberg bond indices and NPA atomic charges for $1 - 13$ (Table S11)	S18
Selected NBO second-order perturbation energies for $1 - 13$ (Table S12)	S19
Computed FIA for cationic complexes $1^+ - 6^+$ (Table S13)	S20
NMR spectra of studied compounds (Figures S5 – S73) S	21-S89
References S	90-S91

Crystal data		
Chemical formula	$C_{30}H_{31}ClN_2OPSn \cdot Cl_3Sn$	
$M_{ m r}$	845.72	
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, <i>P</i> -1	
Temperature (K)	150	
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	8.6557 (5), 13.0223 (7), 16.2740 (8)	
α, β, γ (°)	73.940 (2), 82.797 (2), 72.263 (2)	
$V(Å^3)$	1677.28 (16)	
Ζ	2	
Radiation type	Μο Κα	
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	1.88	
Crystal size (mm)	0.59 imes 0.17 imes 0.12	

Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 - Venture
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 - Bruker AXS area detector scaling and absorption correction
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.319, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	53897, 7715, 6699
R _{int}	0.045
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.651

Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.024, 0.058, 1.07
No. of reflections	7715
No. of parameters	365
No. of restraints	330
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.43, -0.86

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{31}H_{33}ClN_2OPSn \cdot Cl_3Sn \cdot CH_2Cl_2$
$M_{ m r}$	944.67
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, P-1
Temperature (K)	150
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	12.0479 (6), 12.0989 (6), 13.7729 (7)
α, β, γ (°)	87.484 (2), 68.313 (2), 78.076 (2)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	1824.10 (16)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Μο <i>Κ</i> α
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	1.88
Crystal size (mm)	0.40 imes 0.37 imes 0.12

 Table S2. Crystallographic data for 2·CH₂Cl₂

Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 - Venture
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 - Bruker AXS area detector scaling and absorption correction
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.563, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	57933, 8437, 7022
R _{int}	0.052
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.652

Refinement		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.027, 0.061, 1.06	
No. of reflections	8437	
No. of parameters	402	
No. of restraints	348	
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	
$\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min} \ (e \ \text{\AA}^{-3})$	0.74, -0.84	

Crystal data		
Chemical formula	$C_{26}H_{31}ClN_2O_2PSn\cdot Cl_3Sn$	
$M_{ m r}$	813.68	
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, <i>P</i> -1	
Temperature (K)	150	
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	8.8688 (11), 12.8162 (16), 15.329 (2)	
α, β, γ (°)	76.435 (6), 85.256 (6), 73.499 (6)	
$V(Å^3)$	1623.8 (4)	
Ζ	2	
Radiation type	Μο Κα	
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	1.94	
Crystal size (mm)	0.59 imes 0.23 imes 0.04	

Table S3.	Crystallogra	phic data	for 3
-----------	--------------	-----------	-------

Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 - Venture
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 - Bruker AXS area detector scaling and absorption correction
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.554, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	27085, 6239, 4883
R _{int}	0.068
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.617

Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.067, 0.159, 1.15
No. of reflections	6239
No. of parameters	342
No. of restraints	312
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + 30.0796P]$
	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} \ (e \ \text{\AA}^{-3})$	1.30, -1.33

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{27}H_{33}ClN_2O_2PSn\cdot Cl_3Sn\cdot CH_2Cl_2$
$M_{ m r}$	912.63
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, <i>P</i> -1
Temperature (K)	150
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	8.6259 (4), 12.4009 (5), 17.2050 (8)
α, β, γ (°)	81.057 (2), 86.640 (2), 75.835 (2)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	1762.34 (14)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	1.95
Crystal size (mm)	0.35 imes 0.27 imes 0.04

Table	S4.	Crystallo	graphic	data	for 4	·CH ₂ Cl ₂
Iunic	0-10	Crystano	Siupine	uutu	101 -	

Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 - Venture
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 - Bruker AXS area detector scaling and absorption correction
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.542, 0.747
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	54631, 6208, 5317
R _{int}	0.250
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.594

Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.052, 0.141, 1.06
No. of reflections	6208
No. of parameters	376
No. of restraints	318
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	1.98, -2.34

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{26}H_{39}F_3N_2O_7PSSn \cdot CF_3O_3S$
$M_{ m r}$	922.47
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, <i>Pbcn</i>
Temperature (K)	150
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	24.7026 (10), 16.5078 (6), 18.7687 (7)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	7653.6 (5)
Ζ	8
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	0.90
Crystal size (mm)	0.35 imes 0.22 imes 0.18

Table S5.	Crystallographic	data for 9
-----------	------------------	--------------

Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 - Venture
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 - Bruker AXS area detector scaling and absorption correction
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.660, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	50179, 6731, 4755
R _{int}	0.117
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.595

Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.039, 0.089, 1.05
No. of reflections	6731
No. of parameters	458
No. of restraints	398
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.50, -0.46

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$2(C_{24}H_{26}Cl_3N_2O_2PSn_2)\cdot C_7H_8$
$M_{ m r}$	1590.47
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, P-1
Temperature (K)	150
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	13.2768 (4), 15.5938 (6), 15.9485 (6)
α, β, γ (°)	85.119 (2), 79.741 (1), 77.525 (1)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	3168.7 (2)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Μο <i>Κ</i> α
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	1.91
Crystal size (mm)	0.39 imes 0.26 imes 0.16

Table S6.	Crystallog	graphic data	for 10 .0.	5C7H8
	01) 0000000	5-000-000000	101 20 01	0 0 / 1 10

Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 - Venture
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 - Bruker AXS area detector scaling and absorption correction
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.505, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	112517, 14671, 12036
R _{int}	0.059
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.652

Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.026, 0.051, 1.05
No. of reflections	14671
No. of parameters	685
No. of restraints	627
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{max}, \Delta \rho_{min} \ (e \ \text{\AA}^{-3})$	0.52, -0.59

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{21}H_{28}Cl_3N_2O_3PSn_2\cdot CH_2Cl_2$
$M_{ m r}$	816.08
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, <i>P</i> -1
Temperature (K)	150
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	8.9021 (5), 12.8588 (5), 13.7649 (5)
α, β, γ (°)	91.567 (2), 97.803 (1), 108.514 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	1476.12 (12)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	2.23
Crystal size (mm)	0.41 imes 0.15 imes 0.05

 Table S7. Crystallographic data for 12·CH₂Cl₂

 Crystal data

Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 - Venture
Absorption correction	Multi-scan SADABS2016/2 - Bruker AXS area detector scaling and absorption correction
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.541, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	47685, 6807, 5192
R _{int}	0.080
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text{max}} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.652

Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.050, 0.144, 1.01
No. of reflections	6807
No. of parameters	342
No. of restraints	322
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	1.26, -2.19

Computational details

All calculations were carried out by using DFT as implemented in the Gaussian16 quantum chemistry program.^[S1] Geometry optimizations were performed at the M06-2X^[S2]/cc-pVDZ^[S3] level of theory (cc-pVDZ-PP^[S4] basis set including small-core relativistic pseudopotentials that account also for relativistic effects was used for Ga and Ge). The electronic energies of the optimized structures were re-evaluated by additional single-point calculations on each of the optimized geometries by using the triple- ζ quality cc-pVTZ(-PP) basis set.^[S3,S4] Analytical vibrational frequencies within the harmonic approximation were computed with the cc-pVDZ(-PP) basis set to confirm a proper convergence to well-defined minima or saddle points on the potential energy surface. The Gibbs free energies G^{solv}(cc-pVTZ) used to calculate the energy differences reported in this article were computed by using Equations (1) – (4)

$$G^{\text{solv}}(\text{cc-pVTZ}) = G(\text{cc-pVTZ}) + \text{SC}$$
(1)

$$G(cc-pVTZ) = E(cc-pVTZ) + TC$$
⁽²⁾

$$TC = G(cc-pVDZ) - E(cc-pVDZ)$$
(3)

$$SC = E^{solv}(cc-pVDZ) - E(cc-pVDZ)$$
(4)

in which E(x) is the self-consistent field electronic energy derived from the cc-pVDZ or cc-pVTZ basis sets, TC is the thermal correction to the energy calculated with the cc-pVDZ basis set, G(cc-pVDZ) is the free energy at 298.15 K for the double- ζ quality basis set, and SC is the solvent correction for $E^{solv}(cc-pVDZ)$, which is the self-consistent field energy in the implicit Solvation Model based on Density (SMD)^[S5] using tetrahydrofuran ($\varepsilon = 7.4257$) as solvent, calculated with the cc-pVDZ basis set.

The natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis^[S6] and calculations of the Wiberg bond indices^[S7] were performed using NBO 7.0 program^[S8] package at the M06-2X^[S2]/cc-pVTZ(-PP)^[S3,S4] level of theory.

The Ziegler-Rauk energy decomposition analysis^[S9] was carried out on the optimized structures at the ZORA^[S10]/M06-2X^[S2]/TZ2P^[S11] level of theory using Amsterdam Modeling Suite (AMS2020; ADF engine).^[S12] The interaction energy ΔE_{int} between two fragments can be decomposed into physically meaningful terms within Kohn–Sham MO theory [Equation (5)],

$$\Delta E_{\rm int} = \Delta E_{\rm Pauli} + \Delta V_{\rm elst} + \Delta E_{\rm oi} \tag{5}$$

where ΔE_{Pauli} , ΔV_{elst} , and ΔE_{oi} are the Pauli repulsion, electrostatic interaction, and orbital interaction between fragments, respectively. The Pauli repulsion is the result of the steric repulsion between fragments, caused by the destabilizing interaction between electrons with identical spin. ΔV_{elst} represents the quasi-classical electrostatic interaction between the unperturbed charge distributions of the two fragments. The ΔE_{oi} term originates from orbital interactions, charge transfer, and polarization.

The web application SambVca $2.1^{[S13]}$ was used to calculate the percentage buried volume (% V_{Bur}), which quantifies the fraction of the first coordination sphere around the metal centre occupied by the ligand.

Fluoride ion affinities (FIAs) were calculated at the PW6B95-D3BJ^[S14]/def2-QZVPP^[S15] level of theory according to the procedure suggested by Greb using the TMS-system as an anchor point for the FIA computations *via* (pseudo-)isodesmic reactions.^[S16]



Figure S1. Optimized geometries of the cationic part of the complexes 1 - 6 along with selected interatomic distances (in Å). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Figure S2. Optimized geometries of 7 - 9 along with selected interatomic distances (in Å). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Figure S3. Optimized geometries of 10 - 13 along with selected interatomic distances (in Å). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Figure S4. Relevant NBOs (isosurface 0.03 a.u.) involving Sn atom in 1, 7 and 10. NBO populations and orbital energies are also displayed.

	$\Delta G(\mathrm{DZ})^{[a]}$	$\Delta G(\mathrm{TZ})^{[b]}$	$\Delta G^{\rm solv}({\rm TZ})^{[c]}$
$L^1 + 2 \operatorname{SnCl}_2 \rightarrow [L^1 \operatorname{SnCl}][\operatorname{SnCl}_3] (1)$	24.9	22.5	-31.5
$L^2 + 2 \operatorname{SnCl}_2 \rightarrow [L^2 \operatorname{SnCl}][\operatorname{SnCl}_3](2)$	24.1	21.9	-31.5
$L^3 + 2 \operatorname{SnCl}_2 \rightarrow [L^3 \operatorname{SnCl}][\operatorname{SnCl}_3] (3)$	26.0	23.7	-29.8
$L^4 + 2 \operatorname{SnCl}_2 \rightarrow [L^4 \operatorname{SnCl}][\operatorname{SnCl}_3]$ (4)	23.6	21.2	-31.4
$L^5 + 2 \operatorname{SnCl}_2 \rightarrow [L^5 \operatorname{SnCl}][\operatorname{SnCl}_3](5)$	27.5	24.7	-29.9
$L^6 + 2 \operatorname{SnCl}_2 \rightarrow [L^6 \operatorname{SnCl}][\operatorname{SnCl}_3]$ (6)	25.1	22.4	-31.4
$L^{1} + Sn(OTf)_{2} + H_{2}O \rightarrow [L^{1}Sn(H_{2}O)][OTf]_{2} (7)$	-33.8	-30.4	-27.8
$L^4 + Sn(OTf)_2 + H_2O \rightarrow [L^4Sn(H_2O)][OTf]_2 (\textbf{8})$	-37.0	-33.1	-28.8
$L^{6} + Sn(OTf)_{2} + H_{2}O \rightarrow [L^{6}Sn(H_{2}O)][OTf]_{2} (9)$	-38.1	-34.2	-29.8
$[L^{3}SnCl][SnCl_{3}] (3) \rightarrow 10 + EtCl$	-78.3	-85.0	-19.1
$[L^4SnCl][SnCl_3] (4) \rightarrow 11 + EtCl$	-77.3	-84.1	-19.0
$[L^{5}SnCl][SnCl_{3}] (5) \rightarrow 12 + iPrCl$	-79.1	-85.6	-19.3
$[L^6SnCl][SnCl_3] (6) \rightarrow 10 + iPrCl$	-78.5	-84.9	-19.4

Table S8. Gibbs free energy differences (ΔG ; in kcal mol⁻¹) for the formation of 1 - 13.

^[a]Calculated at the M06-2X/cc-pVDZ-PP level of theory; ^[b]Calculated at the M06-2X /cc-pVTZ-PP level of theory; ^[c]Calculated at the M06-2X /cc-pVTZ-PP level of theory in THF.

Table S9. Computed % V_{Bur} for the ligands L^{1-6} in the cationic part of complexes 1 - 6.

	1+	2+	3+	4 +	5 ⁺	6+
$\% V_{ m Bur}$	41.5	43.7	42	43.5	42.5	44.1

	$\Delta E_{\rm int}$	$\Delta E_{ m Pauli}$	$\Delta E_{ m oi}$	$\Delta V_{ m elstat}$
1 ^{+[b]}	-124.3	128.1	-104.6 (41)	-147.8 (59)
$2^{+[b]}$	-125.9	130.9	-105.9 (41)	-150.8 (59)
3 +[b]	-119.5	125.9	-101.5 (41)	-143.9 (59)
4 +[b]	-123.0	130.7	-105.2 (41)	-148.4 (59)
5 ^{+[b]}	-116.2	123.7	-99.7 (42)	-140.1 (58)
6 ^{+[b]}	-119.3	128.6	-103.1 (42)	-144.8 (58)
7 ^[c]	-93.0	114.0	-77.8 (38)	-129.2 (62)
8 ^[c]	-94.3	115.1	-77.7 (37)	-131.7 (63)
9 ^[c]	-91.2	116.3	-76.7 (37)	-130.8 (63)

Table S10. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) for 1 - 9. (All energies are in kcal mol⁻¹).^[a]

^[a]Values written in parentheses represent the relative contribution (in %) of the orbital interaction energy and the electrostatic energy with respect to the total stabilization component of the interaction energy; ^[b][L^{1-6}] and [SnCl]⁺ fragments; ^[c][$L^{1,4,6}$] and [Sn(H₂O)(OTf)₂] fragments.

	WBI _{O-Sn}	WBI _{N(Im)-Sn}	WBI _{N(Py)-Sn}	WBI _{P-O}	$q_{ m Sn}$	$q_{ m P}$	$q_{ m O}$
1+	0.194	0.141	0.159	0.974	1.39	1.94	-1.18
2+	0.188	0.147	0.156	0.983	1.38	1.94	-1.18
3 ⁺	0.174	0.147	0.165	1.022 0.775 ^[d]	1.39	2.21	-1.17 -0.83 ^[f]
4 ⁺	0.172	0.152	0.161	1.026 0.774 ^[d]	1.39	2.21	-1.17 -0.84 ^[f]
5 +	0.161	0.149	0.166	1.031 0.788 ^[e] 0.803 ^[e]	1.39	2.46	-1.18 -0.84 ^[g] -0.84 ^[g]
6+	0.159	0.153	0.162	1.035 0.784 ^[e] 0.802 ^[e]	1.39	2.45	-1.18 -0.84 ^[g] -0.84 ^[g]
7	$\begin{array}{c} 0.163 \\ 0.127^{[a]} \\ 0.108^{[b]} \\ 0.116^{[b]} \end{array}$	0.071	0.065	0.953	1.64	1.97	-1.22 -1.03 ^[h] -1.10 ^[i] -1.06 ^[i]
8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.157 \\ 0.130^{[a]} \\ 0.105^{[b]} \\ 0.115^{[b]} \end{array}$	0.076	0.070	0.989 0.765 ^[d]	1.64	2.24	$\begin{array}{c} -1.21 \\ -0.84^{[f]} \\ -1.04^{[h]} \\ -1.10^{[i]} \\ -1.05^{[i]} \end{array}$
9	$\begin{array}{c} 0.147 \\ 0.124^{[a]} \\ 0.099^{[b]} \\ 0.117^{[b]} \end{array}$	0.076	0.082	1.002 0.750 ^[e] 0.804 ^[e]	1.64	2.48	-1.21 -0.85 ^[g] -0.85 ^[g] -1.04 ^[h] -1.09 ^[i] -1.06 ^[i]
10	0.202 0.244 ^[c]	0.118	0.166	0.953 0.912	1.40 1.28	2.23	-1.20 -1.21
11	0.201 0.245 ^[c]	0.120	0.166	0.955 0.909	1.40 1.28	2.23	-1.20 -1.21
12	0.190 0.241 ^[c]	0.118	0.163	0.961 0.942 0.745 ^[e]	1.40 1.29	2.47	-1.21 -1.20 -0.84 ^[g]
13	0.188 0.243 ^[c]	0.120	0.163	0.965 0.939 0.743 ^[e]	1.41 1.29	2.47	-1.21 -1.20 -0.84 ^[g]

Table S11. Selected Wiberg bond indices (WBI) and NPA atomic charges (q; in e) for 1 - 13.

 $\overset{[a]}{\longrightarrow} Sn \text{ bond; } \overset{[b]}{\longrightarrow} Sn \text{ bond; } \overset{[c]}{\longrightarrow} Sn Cl_2 \text{ bond; } \overset{[d]}{\longrightarrow} P-O^{OEt} \text{ bond; } \overset{[e]}{\longrightarrow} P-O^{OiPr} \text{ bond; } \overset{[f]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OEt} \text{ atom; } \overset{[a]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OIPr} \text{ bond; } \overset{[f]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OEt} \text{ atom; } \overset{[a]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OIPr} \text{ atom; } \overset{[a]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OIPr} \text{ bond; } \overset{[f]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OEt} \text{ atom; } \overset{[a]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OIPr} \text{ bond; } \overset{[f]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OEt} \text{ bond; } \overset{[f]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OIPr} \text{ bond; } \overset{[f]}{\longrightarrow} O^{OIPr$

<i>E</i> ⁽²⁾	O→Sn ^[a]	$N(Im) \rightarrow Sn^{[b]}$	$N(Py) \rightarrow Sn^{[c]}$	$O \rightarrow P^{[d]}$
1+	62.6	31.7	39.1	52.3
2+	59.1	34.3	39.1	51.5
3 ⁺	58.6	34.2	40.7	58.5
4 ⁺	57.4	36.3	40.2	59.3
5 ⁺	52.8	34.9	41.0	63.3
6 ⁺	51.7	36.8	40.4	63.7
7	59.3 33.4 ^[e] 35.9 ^[f] 31.2 ^[f]	14.8	15.5	48.9
8	57.0 35.2 ^[e] 35.9 ^[f] 30.2 ^[f]	16.1	16.9	56.2
9	52.7 34.0 ^[e] 36.1 ^[f] 29.2 ^[f]	16.2	20.0	60.6
10	70.1 81.3 ^[g]	26.2	43.5	50.9 46.3
11	70.0 81.8 ^[g]	27.4	44.0	51.2 46.0
12	66.7 82.4 ^[g]	26.3	43.0	54.7 50.2
13	65.7 83.3 ^[g]	27.5	43.3	55.3 50.0

Table S12. Selected NBO second-order perturbation energies ($E^{(2)}$; in kcal mol⁻¹) for 1 – 13.

 $^{[a]}n_{O} \rightarrow \pi^{*}{}_{Sn} \text{ interaction; } {}^{[b]}n_{N(Imine)} \rightarrow \pi^{*}{}_{Sn}; {}^{[c]}n_{N(Pyridine)} \rightarrow \pi^{*}{}_{Sn}; {}^{[d]}n_{O} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}{}_{P-R} {}^{[e]}O^{H2O} \rightarrow Sn \text{ bond; } {}^{[f]}O^{OTf} \rightarrow Sn \text{ bond; } {}^{[g]}O \rightarrow SnCl_{2} \text{ bond.}$

Table S13. Computed FIA (in kJ mol⁻¹) for cationic complexes $1^+ - 6^+$ along with the FIA
value of SbF5 as a reference.

	1+	2 ⁺	3 ⁺	4 ⁺	5 ⁺	6+	SbF5
FIA ^[a]	536	535	555	546	563	551	496

^[a]calculated at the M06-2X/cc-pVDZ-PP//PW6B95-D3BJ/def2-QZVPP level of theory.



Figure S5. ¹H NMR spectrum of L¹ in CDCl₃









Ligand L2_31P.esp







Ligand L3_31P.esp















Figure S19. ³¹P NMR spectrum of L⁵ in CDCl₃








Compound 1_31P.esp









Compound 2_31P.esp









Compound 3_31P.esp









Compound 4_31P.esp







Figure S38. ¹H NMR spectrum of 5 in CDCl₃.

















Compound 7_31P.esp







Compound 7_19F.esp





Figure S50. ¹H NMR spectrum of 8 in THF-d8. (* residual signal of THF)





Compound 8_19F.esp



-79.32



Figure S54. ¹H NMR spectrum of 9 in CDCl₃.



Figure S55. ³¹P NMR spectrum of 9 in CDCl₃.



Figure S56. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum of 9 in CDCl₃.






Compound 10_31P.esp





Figure S61. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum of 10 in CDCl₃.











Figure S66. ¹H NMR spectrum of **12** in CDCl₃.



Figure S67. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **12** in CDCl₃.



Figure S68. ³¹P NMR spectrum of 12 in CDCl₃.



Figure S69. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum of **12** in CDCl₃.



Figure S70. ¹H NMR spectrum of 13 in CDCl₃.



Figure S71. ¹³C NMR spectrum of 13 in CDCl₃.



Figure S72. ³¹P NMR spectrum of 13 in CDCl₃.



Figure S73. ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectrum of 13 in CDCl₃.

References

- [S1] Gaussian 16, Revision A.03, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- [S2] Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2008, **120**, 215.
- [S3] (a) T. H. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys., 1989, 90, 1007; (b) D. E. Woon and T. H. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 1358.
- [S4] (a) B. Metz, H. Stoll and M. Dolg, J. Chem. Phys., 2000, 113, 2563; (b) K. A. Peterson, J. Chem. Phys., 2003, 119, 11099.
- [S5] A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2009, 113, 6378.
- [S6] (a) J. P. Foster and F. Weinhold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 7211; (b) F. Weinhold, J. Comput. Chem., 2012, 33, 2363, and references therein; (c) C. R. Landis and F. Weinhold, in The Chemical Bond: Fundamental Aspects of Chemical Bonding, ed. G. Frenking and S. Shaik, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2014, ch. 3, pp. 91-120.
- [S7] K. B. Wiberg, *Tetrahedron*, 1968, **24**, 1083.
- [S8] NBO 7.0, E. D. Glendening, J, K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, P. Karafiloglou, C. R. Landis, and F. Weinhold, Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 2018.
- [S9] (a) T. Ziegler and A. Rauk, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1977, 46, 1; (b) T. Ziegler and A. Rauk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, 18, 1558; (c) T. Ziegler and A. Rauk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, 18, 1755; (d) F. M. Bickelhaupt and E. J. Baerends, in *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*, ed. K. B. Lipkowitz and D. B. Boyd, Wiley, New York, 2000, vol. 15, ch. 1, pp. 1-86.
- [S10] (a) E. van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends and J. G. Snijders, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, 99, 4597;
 (b) E. van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends and J. G. Snijders, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1994, 101, 9783;
 (c) E. van Lenthe, A. E. Ehlers and E. J. Baerends, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1999, 110, 8943.
- [S11] (a) E. van Lenthe and E. J. Baerends, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2003, 24, 1142; (b) D. P. Chong, E. van Lenthe, S. J. A. van Gisbergen and E. J. Baerends, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2004, 25, 1030; (c) D. P. Chong, *Mol. Phys.*, 2005, 103, 749.
- [S12] (a) G. te Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, E. J. Baerends, C. Fonseca Guerra, S. J. A. van Gisbergen, J. G. Snijders and T. Ziegler, Chemistry with ADF, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2001, 22, 931; (b) AMS/ADF 2020.1, SCM, Theoretical Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, http://www.scm.com.
- [S13] (a) A. Poater, B. Cosenza, A. Correa, S. Giudice, F. Ragone, V. Scarano and L. Cavallo, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 1759; (b) L. Falivene, Z. Cao, A. Petta, L. Serra, A. Poater, R. Oliva, V. Scarano and L. Cavallo, *Nat. Chem.*, 2019, **11**, 872.
- [S14] Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2005, 109, 5656.
- [S15] (a) B. Metz, H. Stoll and M. Dolg, J. Chem. Phys., 2000, 113, 2563; (b) F. Weigend,
 F. Furche and R. Ahlrichs, J. Chem. Phys., 2003, 119, 12753; (c) F. Weigend and R.

Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, 7, 3297; (d) F. Weigend, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, 8, 1057.
[S16] P. Erdmann, J. Leitner, J. Schwarz and L. Greb, *ChemPhysChem*, 2020, 21, 987.