Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Nano. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

Supplementary information

Data "% of area equipped for irrigation by surface water" provided by AQUASTAT in given year were calculated as:

[% of area equipped for irrigation by surface water] = 100\*[Area equipped for irrigation by surface water]/[Area equipped for irrigation: total]

Data "% sprinkler irrigation intensity out of total irrigation" were calculated as:

[% sprinkler irrigation intensity out of total irrigation] = 100\*[Area equipped for full control irrigation: sprinkler irrigation]/[total agricultural water managed area]

These two groups of data in the same period from 2013 to 2017 were collected for EU28. However, few abnormal results were higher than 100%. This is because of the incoherence of data collection time between two parameters in the calculation as shown in Table S. Solutions and corresponding values are also shown in Table S1.

	National data informat	ion	reference	solution and reference	value	
Poland	% of area equipped for in	rrigation by surface wa	ter			
value (1000ha) data collection time	total area equipped for irrigation 75.81 2013	area equipped for irrigation by surface water 1	04.1 2007	Irrigation area sheet-Poland, AQUASTAT 2019	calculate surface water percentage out of the sum of total areas for all the irrigation water sources (surface water and groundwater)	102.7/(102. 7+11.6)
Latvia	% of area equipped for it	rigation by surface wa	ter			
value (1000ha) data collection time	total area equipped for irrigation 0.63 2013	area equipped for irrigation by surface water	0.77	Irrigation area sheet-Latvia, AQUASTAT 2019	use surface water% data in 2007 provided by Irrigation report Latvia- AOUASTAT 2016	93%
Estonio	% of area aquinned for it	migation by surface was	tor			
value (1000ha) data collection time	total area equipped for irrigation 0.458 2010	area equipped for irrigation by surface water	1.17 2005	Irrigation area sheet-Estonia, AQUASTAT 2019	use surface water% data in 2005 provided by Irrigation report Estonia- AQUASTAT 2016	86%
Estonia	% sprinkler irrigation					
Listoma	Area equipped for full control irrigation: sprinkler irrigation	total agricultural wat managed area	ter	Irrigation area	no coherent data	
value (1000ha)	3.68		0.43	AQUASTAT	report Estonia-	
data collection time	1995	2	2010	2019	AQUASTAT 2016	N/A
Lithuania	% sprinkler irrigation intensity Area equipped for full control irrigation: sprinkler irrigation	total agricultural wat managed area	ter	Irrigation area	no coherent data	
value (1000ha)	9.247		4.49	sheet-Estonia, AQUASTAT	report Lithuania -	
data collection time	1995	2	2010	2019	AQUASTAT 2016	N/A

Table S1. Discrepancies and solutions of irrigation related parameters

Table S2. AgNP concentrations measured in surface water in EU scope (from<sup>1</sup>)

Location Measured Distribution Reference

	time		
River, Vltava, Czech Republic	2017	Uniform(100,3200)	2
Surface water, 1.5 km downstream,			
Germany	2013-2014	Uniform(0.9,2.3)	3
River Isar, next to WWTP effluent			
discharge areas, Germany	2013-2014	Uniform(2.0,8.6)	3
River, next to WWTP effluent			
discharge areas, Germany	2016	Uniform(2.42,69.18)	4
River, Germany	2016	Uniform(1,2)	4
IJssel, Holland	2018	Uniform(0.3,2.5)	5
Meuse, Holland	2018	Uniform(0.3,6.6)	5
Pre-alpine lakes, Germany	-	Normal(2.35,0.08)	4
Wastewater influent, Germany	2013-2014	Uniform(10.1,357)	3

## Table S3. Country-specific sludge application and irrigation related parameters

reference	6,7	8	9	10	
data form	legislation limits	database provided calculated data	real data	real data	real data calculation
EU28	maximum sludge application rate (t DW/ha)	% of area equipped for irrigation by surface water (%)	total agricultural water managed area (1000 ha)	Area equipped for full control irrigation: sprinkler irrigation (1000 ha)	sprinkler percentage (Ir_sp)
Austria	2.5-10/2 yr	0.22052927	99.76	0	0.00%
Belgium	4/2 yr or 12/3 yr	0.509384776	19.18	0	0.00%
Bulgaria	0		115.5	23.18833652	20.08%
Croatia	0		29.68	1.473024523	4.96%
Cyprus	0	0.580592147	38.943	1.927305027	4.95%
Czechia	0		45.86	13.09265507	28.55%
Denmark	10/yr		299	0	0.00%
Estonia	-	0.86	0.43	3.68	
Finland	digestion (<5%) (assume neglegible)	0.638981391	102.1	58.78	57.57%
France	-		2691	2464.416272	91.58%
Germany	5/3yr	0.136457717	676.4	655.8076401	96.96%
Greece	-		1517	0	0.00%
Hungary	0		230	192.6188786	83.75%
Ireland	2/yr 5 (Collivignarelli et al. 2019: EC 2011	0.8	1.1	0	0.00%
Italy	PART1)	0.631910766	4124	1591	38.58%
Latvia	-	0.93	0.672	0	0.00%
Lithuania	0		4.49	9.247	
Luxembourg	3/yr		0	0	
Malta	0	0.003047619	4.2	0.825688073	19.66%
Netherlands	2-4/yr		522.6	0	0.00%
Poland	-	0.898512686	75.81	3.276145203	4.32%
Portugal	6/yr	0.650237313	547.8	168.6	30.78%
Romania	0		334.7	99.23600265	29.65%
Slovakia	0		99.64	0	0.00%

Slovenia	0		7.604	5.267	69.27%
Spain	-		3681	0	0.00%
Sweden	-	0.880025526	156.7	0	0.00%
United					
Kingdom	-		207.6	95.60526316	46.05%
Fit		Triangular			Triangular
distribution	Uniform (0,10)	(0,0.89851,1)			(0,0,1)

## Table S4. Calculation of crop-specific irrigation needs out of total water needs

Area	Crop	Year	Area harvested (ha) <sup>11</sup>	Harvested irrigated area (1000 ha) <sup>12</sup>	Percentage of irrigation need out of total water needs
Ireland	Wheat	2017	67047	0	0.00
Ireland	Root vegetables	2017	0	0	0.00
Ireland	leafy vegetables	2017	5722	0.5	0.09
Europe	Wheat	2017	61879579	478.95	0.01
Europe	Root vegetables	2017	11604	7.377	0.64
Europe	leafy vegetables	2017	3619763	956.172	0.26

Table S5.	Calculations	of bioaccum	ulation f	factors	for cro	ps to A	AgNPs	through	root or	leafy	exposure

	crop (root exposu	exposed AgNP concentratio		Ag concentrati on in edible			
symbol	re)	n	unit	parts	unit	reference	BF
	Mustar d	108	mg/L (Hoagland solution) mg/L (soil	30	ug/ml w.w.	13	0.28
		324	colloid)	42			0.13
	silverbe et						
	(chard)	70	mg/kg	7		14	0.10
	spinach	70	mg/kg	7		14	0.10
	rocket	70	mg/kg	3.65		14	0.05
	lettuce	70	mg/kg	0.25	mg/kg d.w.	14	0.00
	lettuce	0.1				15	0.55
		0.5					0.64
		1					0.61
BF_lv_s							Triangular(0,0.0035714,0. 64)
	Radish	125	··· - /T	114	mg/kg	16	0.01
	Sprouts	123	mg/L	114	d.w.		0.91
		250	mg/L	204			0.82
BF rv3 s		500	mg/L	900			
							Uniform(0.82,1.80)
BF rv2 s	leek	70	mg/kg	3.65	d.w.	14	0.05
				_	mg/kg	14	
	carrot	70	mg/kg	7	d.w.	14	0.10
	beetroot	70	mg/kg	3.65		14	0.05
S							Uniform(0.05,0.1)
BF w s	wheat	20	mg/kg	0.02	mg/kg d.w.	17	0.001
symbol	foliar exposu re	exposed AgNP concentrati on	unit	Ag concentrati on in edible parts	unit	reference	BF



Fig. S1. Cumulative distributions fitted for crops' intake data in Ireland





Fig. S2. Simulations and the best fit distributions of crops' intake data in EU average for (a) wheat and products, (b) leafy vegetables, (c) root and tuber vegetables, (d) stems/stalks vegetables, and (e) sprouts, shoots and similar





Fig. S3. The best-fit distributions for annual AgNP concentrations (C\_sl) in Europe in (a) 2005, (b) 2007, (c) 2010, (d) 2012, (e) 2020; and in Ireland in (f) 2005, (g) 2007, (h) 2010, (i) 2012, (j) 2020

## References

- J. Zhao, M. Lin, Z. Wang, X. Cao and B. Xing, Engineered nanomaterials in the environment: Are they safe?, *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, 1–36.
- 2 M. Loula, A. Kaňa, R. Koplík, J. Hanuš, M. Vosmanská and O. Mestek, Analysis of Silver Nanoparticles Using Single-Particle Inductively Coupled Plasma–Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS): Parameters Affecting the Quality of Results, *Anal. Lett.*, 2019, **52**, 288–307.
- 3 L. Li, M. Stoiber, A. Wimmer, Z. Xu, C. Lindenblatt, B. Helmreich and M. Schuster, To What Extent Can Full-Scale Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluent Influence the Occurrence of Silver-Based Nanoparticles in Surface Waters?, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **50**, 6327–6333.
- 4 A. Wimmer, A. A. Markus and M. Schuster, Silver Nanoparticle Levels in River Water: Real Environmental Measurements and Modeling Approaches - A Comparative Study, *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*, 2019, **6**, 353–358.
- 5 R. J. B. Peters, G. van Bemmel, N. B. L. Milani, G. C. T. den Hertog, A. K. Undas, M. van der Lee and H. Bouwmeester, Detection of nanoparticles in Dutch surface waters, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2018, **621**, 210–218.
- 6 European Commission, Disposal and Recycling Routes for Sewage Sludge Part 1—Sludge Use Acceptance Report, 2001.
- 7 M. C. Collivignarelli, A. Abbà, A. Frattarola, M. C. Miino, S. Padovani, I. Katsoyiannis and V. Torretta, Legislation for the Reuse of Biosolids on Agricultural Land in Europe: Overview, *Sustainability*, 2019, **11**, 1–22.
- 8 FAO, % of area equipped for irrigation by surface water- AQUASTAT database, % of area equipped for irrigation by surface water- AQUASTAT database, http://www.fao.org/aquastat/statistics/query/index.html?lang=en, (accessed 12 March 2021).
- FAO, total agricultural water managed area (1000ha)- AQUASTAT database, total agricultural water managed area (1000ha)- AQUASTAT database,
  http://www.fao.org/aquastat/statistics/query/index.html?lang=en, (accessed 12 March 2021).
- 10 FAO, Area equipped for full control irrigation: sprinkler irrigation (1000 ha)- AQUASTAT database, Area equipped for full control irrigation: sprinkler irrigation (1000 ha)- AQUASTAT database, http://www.fao.org/aquastat/statistics/query/index.html?lang=en, (accessed 1 March 2021).
- 11 FAO, FAOSTAT\_Crop statistics, FAOSTAT\_Crop statistics, http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC, (accessed 8 June 2021).
- 12 FAO, Harvested irrigated area (1000 ha)- AQUASTAT database, Harvested irrigated area (1000 ha)- AQUASTAT database, http://www.fao.org/aquastat/statistics/query/index.html?lang=en, (accessed 16 March 2021).
- 13 K. Vishwakarma, Shweta, N. Upadhyay, J. Singh, S. Liu, V. P. Singh, S. M. Prasad, D. K. Chauhan, D. K. Tripathi and S. Sharma, Differential phytotoxic impact of plant mediated silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) and silver nitrate (AgNO3) on Brassica sp., *Front. Plant Sci.*, , DOI:10.3389/fpls.2017.01501.
- 14 N. Saleeb, R. Gooneratne, J. Cavanagh, C. Bunt, A. K. M. M. Hossain, S. Gaw and B. Robinson, The Mobility of Silver Nanoparticles and Silver Ions in the Soil-Plant System, J. Environ. Qual., 2019, 48, 1835–1841.
- 15 J. Wu, G. Wang, M. G. Vijver, T. Bosker and W. J. G. M. Peijnenburg, Foliar versus root exposure of AgNPs to lettuce: Phytotoxicity, antioxidant responses and internal translocation, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2020, **261**, 114117.

- 16 N. Zuverza-Mena, R. Armendariz, J. R. Peralta-Videa and J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, Effects of Silver Nanoparticles on Radish Sprouts: Root Growth Reduction and Modifications in the Nutritional Value, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2016, 7, 90.
- 17 J. Yang, F. Jiang, C. Ma, Y. Rui, M. Rui, M. Adeel, W. Cao and B. Xing, Alteration of Crop Yield and Quality of Wheat upon Exposure to Silver Nanoparticles in a Life Cycle Study, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2018, **66**, 2589–2597.
- 18 M. Li, H. L. Liu, F. Dang, H. Hintelmann, B. Yin and D. Zhou, Alteration of Crop Yield and Quality of Three Vegetables upon Exposure to Silver Nanoparticles in Sludge-Amended Soil, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2020, **8**, 2472–2480.