# Supplementary method

# 1. Experiment procedure

### 1.1 DNA extraction and PCR amplification

Microbial DNA was extracted using the HiPure Soil DNA Kits (or HiPure Stool DNA Kits ) (Magen, Guangzhou, China) according to manufacturer's protocols. The 16S rDNA target (listed in the table) region of the ribosomal RNA gene were amplified by PCR (94°C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles at 98°C for 10 s, 62°C for 30 s (except for 16S V4: 55°C for 30 s), and 68°C for 30 s and a final extension at 68°C for 5 min) using primers listed in the table<sup>1</sup>. PCR reactions were performed in triplicate 50  $\mu$ L mixture containing 5  $\mu$ L of 10 × KOD Buffer, 5  $\mu$ L of 2 mM dNTPs, 3  $\mu$ L of 25 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1.5  $\mu$ L of each primer (10  $\mu$ M), 1  $\mu$ L of KOD Polymerase, and 100 ng of template DNA. Related PCR reagents were from TOYOBO, Japan. Other primers are listed in Table 1.

type	region	primer name	primer sequence	product length	reference
16S	V4	515F	GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA	202	40,41
		806R	GGACTACNVGGGTWTCTAAT	~292	
16S		341F	CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG	166	1
	V3-V4	806R	GGACTACHVGGGTATCTAAT	~466	
16S	V4-V5	515F	GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA	410	2
		907R	CCGTCAATTCCTTTGAGTTT	~412	
16S		799F	AACMGGATTAGATACCCKG		2
	V5-V7	1193R	ACGTCATCCCCACCTTCC	~414	3

Table 1. primer information

168	V4-V5	Arch519F	CAGCMGCCGCGGTAA	~416	4
		Arch915R	GTGCTCCCCCGCCAATTCCT		
18S	V4	528F	GCGGTAATTCCAGCTCCAA	260	5
		706R	AATCCRAGAATTTCACCTCT	~200	
ITS	ITS1	ITS1_F_KYO 2	TAGAGGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAA	266	42
		ITS86R	TTCAAAGATTCGATGATTCAC	~366	72
ITS	ITS1	ITS1-F	CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA	221	6
		ITS2	GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC	~321	0
ITS	ITS2	ITS3_KYO2	GATGAAGAACGYAGYRAA	201	6
		ITS4	TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC	~381	0

## 1.2 Illumina Novaseq 6000 sequencing

Amplicons were extracted from 2% agarose gels and purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, U.S.) according to the manufacturer's instructions and quantified using ABI StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Life Technologies, Foster City, USA). Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar and paired-end sequenced (PE250) on an Illumina platform according to the standard protocols. The raw reads were deposited into the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database.

### 2. Bioinformatics analysis

## **2.1 Quality control and clustering** (DADA2 method or Usearch method)

#### 2.1.1 DADA2 method

The DADA2 R package<sup>17</sup> (version 1.14) implements a complete pipeline to turn paired-end fastq files from the sequencer into merged, denoised, chimera-free, inferred sample sequences. In datail:

#### (1) Filtering

Raw reads containing primers or unknown nucleotides (N bases) would affect the following assembly and analysis. Thus, to get clean reads, raw reads were filtered and truncated according to the following rules:

1) Removing reads containing unknown nucleotides (N);

2) Removing primer sequences.

#### (2) Dereplication and denoising

Then, a dereplicated list of unique sequences and their abundances were output, as well as the consensus positional quality scores for each unique sequence by taking the average (mean) of the positional qualities of the component reads. These consensus scores are used by the error model. Considering that each amplicon sequencing sample had different error ratio, DADA2 used machine learning to construct the error model for reads denoising, by alternately estimating the error rate and learning the error model from the reference sample sequence until the learning model converges to the true error rate.

## (3) Merging

Then paired end denoised reads were merged as raw ASVs (amplicon sequence variants) with a minimum overlap of 12bp.

#### 2.1.4 Chimera removal

Chimeras are identified by performing a Needleman-Wunsch global alignment of each sequence to all more abundant sequences, and then searching for combinations of a left-parent and a right-parent that cover the child sequence without any mismatches or internal indels. After chimera removal, the denoised, chimera-free ASV sequences and their abundances were output.

### 2.1.2 Usearch method

#### (1) Reads filtering

Raw data containing adapters or low quality reads would affect the following assembly and analysis. Thus, to get high quality clean reads, raw reads were further filtered according to the following rules using FASTP<sup>7</sup> (version 0.18.0):

1) Removing reads containing more than 10% of unknown nucleotides (N);

2) Removing reads containing less than 50% of bases with quality (Q-value)>20.

### (2) Reads assembly

Paired end clean reads were merged as raw tags using FLSAH<sup>8</sup> (version 1.2.11) with a minimum overlap of 10 bp and mismatch error rates of 2%.

#### (3) Raw tag filtering

Noisy sequences of raw tags were filtered under specific filtering conditions<sup>39</sup> to obtain the high-quality clean tags. The filtering conditions are as follows:

1) Break raw tags from the first low quality base site where the number of bases in the continuous low quality value (the default quality threshold is  $\leq 3$ ) reaches the set length (the default length is 3 bp);

2)Then, filter tags whose continuous high-quality base length is less than 75% of the tag length.

#### (4) Clustering and chimera removal

The clean tags were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of  $\geq$  97 % similarity using UPARSE<sup>11</sup> (version 9.2.64) pipeline. All chimeric tags were removed using UCHIME algorithm<sup>10</sup> and finally obtained effective tags for further analysis. The tag sequence with highest abundance was selected as representative sequence within each cluster.

#### 2.2 Community composition analysis

The representative OTU sequences or ASV sequences were classified into organisms by a naive Bayesian model using RDP classifier<sup>15</sup> (version 2.2) based on SILVA<sup>16</sup> database (version 132) or UNITE<sup>18</sup> database (version 8.0) or ITS2<sup>19</sup> database (version update\_2015), with the confidence threshold value of 0.8. The abundance statistics of each taxonomy was visualized using Krona<sup>20</sup> (version 2.6). The stacked bar plot of the community composition was visualized in R project ggplot2 package<sup>21</sup>(version 2.2.1). Circular layout representations of species abundance were graphed using circos<sup>22</sup> (version 0.69-3). Heatmap of species abundance was plotted using pheatmap package (version 1.0.12)<sup>23</sup> in R project. Pearson correlation analysis of species was calculated in R project psych package<sup>37</sup> (version 1.8.4). Network of correlation coefficient were generated using Omicsmart, a dynamic real-time interactive online platform for data analysis (http://www.omicsmart.com) or igraph package<sup>38</sup> (version 1.1.2) in R project.

#### 2.3 Indicator species analysis

Between groups Venn analysis was performed in R project VennDiagram package<sup>12</sup> (version 1.6.16) and upset plot was performed in R project UpSetR package

<sup>13</sup> (version 1.3.3) to identify unique and common Species or OTUs or ASVs. Species comparison between groups was calculated by Welch's t-test and Wilcoxon rank test in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup> (version 2.5.3). Species comparison among groups was computed by Tukey's HSD test and Kruskal-Wallis H test in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup> (version 2.5.3). Biomarker features in each group were screened by LEfSe software<sup>24</sup> (version 1.0), randomforest package<sup>25</sup> (version 4.6.12) in R project, pROC package<sup>26</sup> (version 1.10.0) in R project, and labdsv package<sup>27</sup>(version2.0-1) in R project. Ternary plot of species abundance was plotted using R ggtern package<sup>28</sup> (version 3.1.0).

### 2.4 Alpha diversity analysis

Chao1, ACE, Shannon, Simpson, Good's coverage, Pielou's evenness index were calculated in QIIME<sup>9</sup> (version 1.9.1). PD-whole tree index was calculated in picante<sup>43</sup> (version 1.8.2). OTU/ASV rarefaction curve and rank abundance curves were plotted in R project ggplot2 package<sup>21</sup> (version 2.2.1). Alpha index comparison between groups was calculated by Welch's t-test and Wilcoxon rank test in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup> (version 2.5.3). Alpha index comparison among groups was computed by Tukey's HSD test and Kruskal-Wallis H test in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup> (version 2.5.3).

#### 2.5 Beta diversity analysis

Sequence alignment was performed using Muscle<sup>29</sup>(version 3.8.31) and phylogenetic tree was constructed using FastTree<sup>30</sup>(version 2.1), then weighted and unweighted unifrac distance matrix were generated by GuniFrac package<sup>31</sup> (version

1.0) in R project. Jaccard and bray-curtis distance matrix calculated in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup>(version 2.5.3). PCA (principal component analysis) was performed in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup>(version 2.5.3). Multivariate statistical techniques including PCoA (principal coordinates analysis) and NMDS (non-metric multi-dimensional scaling) of (Un) weighted unifrac, jaccard and bray-curtis distances were generated in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup> (version 2.5.3) and plotted in R project ggplot2 package<sup>21</sup> (version 2.2.1). Statistic analysis of Welch's t-test, Wilcoxon rank test, Tukey's HSD test, Kruskal-Wallis H test, Adonis (also called Permanova) and Anosim test was calculated in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup>(version 2.5.3).

#### **2.6 Function prediction**

The KEGG pathway analysis of the OTUs/ASV was inferred using Tax4Fun<sup>32</sup>(version 1.0) or PICRUSt<sup>33</sup> (version 2.1.4). Microbiome phenotypes of bacteria were classified using BugBase<sup>34</sup>. FAPROTAX database (Functional Annotation of Prokaryotic Taxa) and associated software<sup>35</sup>(version 1.0) were used for generating the ecological functional profiles of bacteria. The Functional group (guild) of the Fungi was inferred using FUNGuild<sup>36</sup> (version 1.0). Analysis of function difference between groups was calculated by Welch's t-test, Wilcoxon rank test and Kruskal-Wallis H test, Tukey's HSD test in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup> (version 2.5.3).

#### 2.7 Environmental factor analysis

Redundancy analysis (RDA), canonical correspondence analysis (CCA), Variation partition analysis (VPA), mantel test and envfit test were executed in R project Vegan package<sup>14</sup>(version 2.5.3) to clarify the influence of environmental factors on community composition. Pearson correlation coefficient between environmental factors and species was calculated in R project psych package<sup>37</sup> (version 1.8.4). Heatmap and network of correlation coefficient were generated using Omicsmart, a dynamic real-time interactive online platform for data analysis (http://www.omicsmart.com).

#### Reference

[1] Guo M, Wu F, Hao G, et al. Bacillus subtilis improves immunity and disease resistance in rabbits[J]. Frontiers in immunology, 2017, 8: 354.

[2] Fazzini R A B, Levican G, Parada P. Acidithiobacillus thiooxidans secretome containing a newly described lipoprotein Licanantase enhances chalcopyrite bioleaching rate[J]. Applied microbiology and biotechnology, 2011, 89(3): 771-780.

[3] Beckers B., Beeck M. O. D., Thijs S., et al. Performance of 16s rDNA Primer Pairs in the Study of Rhizosphere and Endosphere Bacterial Microbiomes in Metabarcoding Studies. Frontiers in Microbiology,2016

[4] Teske A, Sørensen K B. Uncultured archaea in deep marine subsurface sediments: have we caught them all?[J]. The ISME journal, 2008, 2(1): 3.

[5] Cheung M K, Au C H, Chu K H, et al. Composition and genetic diversity of picoeukaryotes in subtropical coastal waters as revealed by 454 pyrosequencing[J].The ISME journal, 2010, 4(8): 1053.

[6] Toju H, Tanabe A S, Yamamoto S, et al. High-coverage ITS primers for the DNAbased identification of ascomycetes and basidiomycetes in environmental samples[J]. PloS one, 2012, 7(7): e40863.

[7] Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, et al. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor[J]. bioRxiv, 2018: 274100.

[8] Magoč T, Salzberg S L. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 27.21 (2011): 2957-2963.

[9] Caporaso, J. Gregory, et al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nature methods 7.5 (2010): 335-336.

[10] Edgar, Robert C., et al. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27.16 (2011): 2194-2200.

[11] Edgar, Robert C. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nature methods 10.10 (2013): 996-998.

[12] Chen H, Boutros P C. VennDiagram: a package for the generation of highlycustomizable Venn and Euler diagrams in R[J]. BMC bioinformatics, 2011, 12(1): 35.

[13] Conway J R, Lex A, Gehlenborg N. UpSetR: an R package for the visualization of intersecting sets and their properties[J]. Bioinformatics, 2017, 33(18): 2938-2940.

[14] Oksanen J, Blanchet F G, Kindt R, et al. Vegan: community ecology package. R package version 1.17-4[J]. http://cran. r-project. org>. Acesso em, 2010, 23: 2010.

[15] Wang, Qiong, et al. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Applied and environmental microbiology 73.16 (2007): 5261-5267.

[16] Pruesse, Elmar, et al. SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. Nucleic

acids research 35.21 (2007): 7188-7196.

[17] Callahan B J, Mcmurdie P J, Rosen M J, et al. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data[J]. Nature Methods, 2016, 13(7): 581-583.

[18] Nilsson R H, Larsson K H, Taylor A F S, et al. The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications[J]. Nucleic acids research, 2018, 47(D1): D259-D264.

[19] Ankenbrand M J, Keller A, Wolf M, et al. ITS2 database V: Twice as much[J].Molecular Biology and Evolution, 2015, 32(11): 3030-3032.

[20] Ondov, Brian D., Nicholas H. Bergman, and Adam M. Phillippy. Interactive metagenomic visualization in a Web browser. BMC bioinformatics 12.1 (2011): 385.

[21] Wickham H, Chang W. ggplot2: An implementation of the Grammar of Graphics[J]. R package version 0.7, URL: http://CRAN. R-project. org/package= ggplot2, 2008, 3.

[22] Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, et al. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics[J]. Genome research, 2009, 19(9): 1639-1645.

[23] Kolde R, Kolde M R. Package 'pheatmap'[J]. R Package, 2015, 1(7).

[24] Segata, Nicola, et al. "Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation."Genome biology 12.6 (2011): 1.

[25] Liaw A, Wiener M. Classification and regression by randomForest[J]. R news, 2002, 2(3): 18-22.

[26] Robin X, Turck N, Hainard A, et al. pROC: an open-source package for R and S+ to analyze and compare ROC curves[J]. BMC bioinformatics, 2011, 12(1): 77.

[27] Roberts D W, Roberts M D W. Package 'labdsv'[J]. Ordination and Multivariate,2016.

[28] Hamilton N E, Ferry M. ggtern: Ternary diagrams using ggplot2[J]. Journal of Statistical Software, 2018, 87(1): 1-17.

[29] Edgar, R.C. (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput Nucleic Acids Res. 32(5):1792-1797.

[30] Price M N, Dehal P S, Arkin A P. FastTree 2–approximately maximumlikelihood trees for large alignments[J]. PloS one, 2010, 5(3): e9490.

[31] Lozupone C, Knight R. UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities[J]. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2005, 71(12): 8228-8235.

[32] Aßhauer, Kathrin P., et al. "Tax4Fun: predicting functional profiles from metagenomic 16S rRNA data." Bioinformatics 31.17 (2015): 2882-2884.

[33] Langille, Morgan GI, et al. "Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences." Nature biotechnology 31.9 (2013): 814-821.

[34] Ward T, Larson J, Meulemans J, et al. BugBase predicts organism level microbiome phenotypes[J]. BioRxiv, 2017: 133462.

[35] Louca S, Parfrey L W, Doebeli M. Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome[J]. Science, 2016, 353(6305): 1272-1277.

[36] Nguyen, Nhu H., et al. "FUNGuild: an open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild." Fungal Ecology 20 (2016): 241-248.

[37] Revelle W, Revelle M W. Package 'psych' [J]. The Comprehensive R Archive

Network, 2015.

[38] Csardi M G. Package 'igraph' [J]. Last accessed, 2013, 3(09): 2013.

[39] Bokulich, Nicholas A., et al. Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. Nature methods 10.1 (2013): 57-59.

[40] Parada, A. E., Needham, D. M., & Fuhrman, J. A. (2016). Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples. Environmental Microbiology, 18(5), 1403–1414.

[41] Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R., & Weber, L. (2015). Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. Aquatic Microbial Ecology, 75(2), 129–137.

[42] Scibetta S, Schena L, Abdelfattah A, et al. Selection and Experimental Evaluation of Universal Primers to Study the Fungal Microbiome of Higher Plants[J]. Phytobiomes Journal, 2018, 2(4): 225-236.

[43] Kembel SW, Cowan PD, Helmus MR, et al. Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(11):1463-1464.



Figure S1. Gut microbiota diversity curve of different groups. a: The rarefaction curve of gut microbiota based on random extraction of sequencing data from cecal digestives. b: Rank abundance based on the relative abundance of OTUs.







Abbreviations: NC, normal control group; OVA, OVA-model control group; LSCP, LSCP-lowdose SCP group; MSCP, MSCP-medium-dose SCP group; HSCP, HSCP-high-dose SCP group.





Fig.3S Relative abundance of predominant taxa at the genus level in the fecal

Group	Firmicutes (%)	Bacteroidetes(%)	Proteobacteria (%)
NC	49.46±9.07	$42.63 \pm 10.16$	3.83±1.81
OVA	53.39±14.20	42.12±14.09	$2.03 \pm 0.56$
LSCP	49.45±17.10	44.39±14.19	2.18±0.72
MSCP	53.16±6.82	40.46±5.83	$2.73 \pm 0.45$
HSCP	51.71±7.95	$41.50 \pm 8.10$	$3.64 \pm 1.60$

Table S1 Relative abundance of predominant taxa at the phylum level

Group	Lachnospiraceae	Muribaculaceae	Ruminococcaceae	Bacteroidaceae	Prevotellaceae	Lactobacillaceae	
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
NC	36.12±5.14	23.74±5.15	8.75±2.77	4.75±1.17	4.78±2.95	4.08±3.44	
OVA	30.23±5.54	22.29±1.75	8.13±1.92	8.62±1.89	7.12±4.03	6.82±3.53	
LSCP	38.06±3.02	17.94±3.48	12.82±0.57	7.19±3.88	3.73±1.94	4.90±2.11	
MSCP	38.12±3.32	20.57±7.98	13.54±3.67	6.31±2.55	6.25±4.81	4.27±1.28	
HSCP	32.11±5.92	26.30±6.25	10.57±0.64	7.25±1.43	6.20±4.47	4.18±1.07	

Table S2 Relative abundance of predominant taxa at the family level

Table S3 Relative abundance of predominant taxa at the genus level

Group	Lachnospiraceae_	Bacteroides	Alloprevotella	Lactobacillus	Roseburia	Alistipes	Lachnospiraceae_	Odoribacter	Oscillibacter	Helicobacter	Other	Unclassified
	NK4A136_group(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	UCG-006(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
NC	11.14±3.99	5.97±3.19	$2.89 \pm 2.69$	$4.08 \pm 3.08$	$2.72 \pm 1.90$	2.30±1.59	$1.93 \pm 1.02$	$2.55 \pm 2.12$	$0.36 {\pm} 0.35$	$0.84 \pm 0.83$	17.64±4.80	47.57±5.67
OVA	$12.88 \pm 5.90$	9.70±8.23	$5.46 \pm 3.82$	6.56±4.24	$2.18 \pm 1.03$	$1.92 \pm 0.55$	$1.24 \pm 0.99$	1.16±0.99	$0.54 \pm 0.26$	$0.54 \pm 0.49$	17.15±2.40	$40.70 \pm 4.80$
LSCP	$10.72 \pm 5.66$	$8.02 \pm 3.05$	4.16±2.02	$4.28 \pm 3.15$	$3.56{\pm}2.06$	$1.59 \pm 0.6$	$1.00 \pm 0.86$	$0.42 \pm 0.39$	$1.56 \pm 0.76$	$0.96 \pm 0.76$	19.44±3.93	44.29±7.73
MSCP	$12.95 \pm 6.27$	$6.58 \pm 3.08$	$5.73 \pm 3.08$	$4.22 \pm 2.01$	$2.11 \pm 0.93$	$1.16 \pm 0.63$	$1.01 \pm 0.33$	$0.52 \pm 0.18$	$1.17 {\pm} 0.52$	$1.18 \pm 1.47$	$17.99 \pm 3.05$	45.38±5.86
HSCP	12.75±6.44	$7.06 \pm 1.59$	6.35±4.13	4.29±2.16	$1.37 {\pm} 0.87$	$1.34 \pm 0.53$	$1.52 \pm 1.25$	$0.88 \pm 0.74$	$1.10 \pm 0.47$	$1.09 \pm 0.89$	15.54±2.14	46.72±6.11