

Figure S1. The impact of TPL (0, 0.5, 1, 2% from left to right ) on the loaf volume of bread.



Figure S2. The impact of microencapsulated TPL on bread making. (Control, capsule control, encapsulated TPl, and TPL (2%) from left to right).

	1 51 ( )		1	
TPL (%)	0	0.5	1	2
Specific volume	4.38±0.52ª	$3.88 {\pm} 0.35^{b}$	$2.88 \pm 0.64^{\circ}$	$2.13{\pm}0.35^{d}$
Color	$4.63 \pm 0.52^{a}$	$3.75 \pm 0.46^{b}$	2.88±0.35°	$2.25\pm0.46^{d}$
Flavor/taste	4.13±0.35 <sup>a</sup>	$3.63 {\pm} 0.52^{b}$	3.00±0.53°	$1.63 \pm 0.52^{d}$
Texture	4.63±0.52 <sup>a</sup>	$4.13 \pm 0.35^{b}$	3.50±0.53°	$1.88{\pm}0.35^{d}$
Internal structure	$4.75 \pm 0.46^{a}$	$3.88{\pm}0.35^{b}$	3.13±0.35°	$1.75 \pm 0.46^{d}$
Acceptance	4.63±0.52 <sup>a</sup>	$3.75 \pm 0.46^{b}$	2.63±0.52°	$1.88{\pm}0.35^{d}$
Total score	27.13	23.02	18.02	11.51

Table S1. Effect of the tea polyphenols (TP) addition on the consumer acceptance of bread

Different letters represent significant difference at p<0.05.

Table S2. Effect of tea polyphenols (TP) addition at different levels on the color of bread

TPL (%)	0	0.5	1	2
L	74.25±0.44ª	$60.70 \pm 0.59^{b}$	57.59±0.65°	$54.10{\pm}0.40^{d}$
а	$0.25{\pm}0.11^{d}$	3.02±0.12°	$3.85 {\pm} 0.26^{b}$	$5.14{\pm}0.09^{a}$
b	15.24±0.20ª	12.70±0.29 <sup>b</sup>	10.08±0.27°	$8.18{\pm}0.13^{d}$
WI	70.08±0.48ª	$58.59{\pm}0.62^{b}$	56.24±0.61°	$53.09{\pm}0.40^{d}$

Note, L: lightness (black-white), a: hue (green-red), b: saturation (yellow-blue), WI: whiteness index