Highly efficient and stable photocatalytic CO₂ and H₂O reduction into methanol at lower temperatures through an elaborate gas-liquid-solid interfacial system
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Experimental

Preparation of SrTiO₃ (La, Cr):

4.0507 g titanium isopropoxide (Aladdin Industrial Corporation; 99.9%) is dissolved in 33.5 ml glycol (Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co. Ltd.; 98%). Then 31.521 g of citric acid (Chronchemicals; 99%) is added to the mixture. The mixture is stirred vigorously at 50 °C until it became transparent. 4.1461 g strontium acetate (Aladdin Industrial Corporation; 99.9%), 0.3248 g La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (Nanjing Reagent; 99%), and 0.3001 g Cr(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (Nanjing Reagent; 99%) were added to the mixture and further stirred at 50°C for 4 h to yield a completely dissolved reaction mixture. The solution was pyrolyzed at 350 °C for 2 h. The resulting black solid product was crushed with an agate mortar, then the powder was calcined at
900°C for 2 h in the air at a temperature-programmed Muffle furnace. The yellow solid was produced and soaked in 1M/L dilute hydrochloric acid for 24 hours. The solid was washed with water and dried at 95°C for 24 h. The resultant product was ball milled for half an hour at a speed of 400 r/min.

**Materials characterization**

The XRD analyses of different simples were performed using Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.154178 nm) at 40 kV and 40 mA. TEM images and HRTEM images were taken on Talos f200x, America. And the acceleration voltage is 200kV. The prepared particles are sonicated in ethanol for 30 minutes before TEM analysis. The morphology of composite particles is examined by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (Zeiss Gemini sem300, Germany). And the acceleration voltage is 5 kV. To study the distribution of elements, element mapping is carried out for different materials. And the content and concentration of elements in each material are tested by Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) (Talos f200x, America). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis (Thermo Fisher k-alpha, America) is used to examine the effects of hydrogenation on chemical composition and oxidation state. UV-Vis-NIR spectral (Lambda 1050+, America) of different photocatalysts is also carried out. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the photocatalyst powders are recorded using a Steady-state/Transient Fluorescence spectrometer (1000, Edinburgh) and all test conditions remained the same. GC 9720plus (GC, Zhejiang Fuli Analytical Instrument Co., Ltd.) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and flame ionization detector (FID) is used to analyze gaseous products. Gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (Agilent, 5977b) was used to prove that the reduction product methanol was directly derived from $^{13}$CO$_2$. Temperature programmed desorption of CO$_2$ by Micromeritics AutoChem II 2920. The 0.192 g of catalyst is treated with He at 300 °C for 1 hour and then cooled to 20 °C. Then CO$_2$ was continued to pass in for 1 h. The sample is then flushed with the gas of He
to eliminate any physically adsorbed CO$_2$. The desorption peak is noted while the temperature is varied by
10 °C/min. To study the distribution of elements, element mapping and mapping are carried out for different
materials.

Fig. S1 (a)-(h) the elemental mapping images of Cu, Ni, Si, Sr, Ti, N, and O of STO/Cu@Ni/SiO$_2$/TiN, (i)-(n) the elemental mapping images of Cu, Ni, Sr, Ti, and O of STO/Cu@Ni
Fig. S2 (a) the TEM image of STO/Cu/SiO$_2$, (b) the HRTEM image of STO/Cu@Ni/SiO$_2$/TiN, (c) the EDX spectrum of STO/Cu@Ni/SiO$_2$/TiN, (d) the EDX spectrum of STO/Cu@Ni.
Fig. S3 the SEM images of different photocatalysts.
X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to explore the surface properties of metal chemical states in STO/ Cu@Ni/ SiO₂/ TiN photocatalysts before photocatalytic CO₂ reaction. The black dot plots represented the experimental data, while the colored lines represented the fitted lines. Fig. S4 (a) shows the characteristic peak of metallic Ni at 854.9 eV and 858.1 eV. And the binding energy of Cu@Ni at 852.6 eV also can be found. In particular, the typical XPS spectrums of metallic Cu and Cu@Ni peaks are fitted and shown in Fig. S4 (b). Cu⁰ and Cu@Ni [¹] exist in the composite catalysts. The characteristic peak at 455.1eV, 456.6eV, and 460.7eV are Ti³⁺ of TiN, while the binding energy at 458.1 is Ti⁴⁺ of STO as shown in Fig. S4 (c). It is concluded that metallic Cu and Ni exist in the heterojunction photocatalysts. Furthermore, STO / Cu@Ni photocatalysts are measured for Raman spectra in Ar and air, respectively. There are no characteristic peaks of copper oxide and nickel oxide in Ar. However, the characteristic peaks of copper
oxide and nickel oxide appear in the air. Raman spectra also show that Cu@Ni nanoparticles exist in a metallic state as shown in Fig. S4 (d). This is important for STO/Cu@Ni/SiO$_2$/TiN to exhibit an excellent plasmonic effect. At the same time, the heterojunction formed between metal and semiconductor facilitates the separation of photogenerated carriers. It can be found that the CO$_2$-TPD profiles are that the chemisorption area of CO$_2$ by STO/Cu/SiO$_2$ increased compared with STO and STO/Cu@Ni at 250 °C - 300 °C. STO/Cu@Ni/SiO$_2$/TiN can still absorb CO$_2$ at 350 °C - 400 °C. The chemisorption area of CO$_2$ by STO/Cu@Ni is slightly higher than STO/Cu.

![Fig. S5 products of the photocatalyst STO/Cu@Ni/SiO$_2$/TiN under different reaction conditions](image)

Fig. S5 products of the photocatalyst STO/Cu@Ni/SiO$_2$/TiN under different reaction conditions
Fig. S6 (a), (b) the dimensionless electric field intensity of STO/Cu and STO/Cu/SiO$_2$ at the surface of Cu nanoparticles, (c), (d) the dimensionless electric field intensity of STO/Cu@Ni and STO/Cu@Ni/SiO$_2$ at the interface of Cu nanoparticles and Ni nanoparticles.
Fig. S7 FDTD model used for the composites.

Table S1 Detailed parameters used in the FDTD models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>FDTD model size (nm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STO/Cu</td>
<td>300<em>300</em>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO/Cu@Ni</td>
<td>300<em>300</em>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STO/Cu@Ni/SiO₂</td>
<td>300<em>300</em>500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. S8 the GC spectra of $^{13}$CH$_3$OH (m/z=33) with $^{13}$CO$_2$ as carbon sources and Mass spectra of product $^{13}$CH$_3$OH from the photocatalytic reaction $^{13}$CO$_2$ with H$_2$O over STO / Cu @ Ni / SiO$_2$ / TiN.
Fig. S9 Schematic diagram of reactor

Fig. S10 the energy diagram of STO / Cu @ Ni / SiO$_2$ / TiN and the possible pathways for methanol.
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