## High Intermediate precision Sm Isotope Measurements in

## **Geological Samples by MC-ICP-MS**

Jiang-Hao Bai<sup>a, b, d</sup>, Mang Lin<sup>a</sup>, Song-Xiong Zhong<sup>c</sup>, Yi-Nan Deng<sup>b</sup>, Le Zhang<sup>a</sup>,

Kai Luo<sup>a, d</sup>, Hao Wu<sup>a, d</sup>, Jin-Long Ma<sup>a\*</sup>, Gang-Jian Wei<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Isotope Geochemistry, CAS Center for Excellence in Deep Earth

Science, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou

510640, China

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Marine Mineral Resources, Ministry of Natural and

Resources, Guangzhou 511458, China

<sup>c</sup> National-Regional Joint Engineering Research Center for Soil Pollution Control and Remediation in South China, Guangdong, Key Laboratory of Integrated Agro-environmental Pollution Control and Management, Institute of Ecoenvironmental and Soil Sciences, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China

<sup>d</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

\*Corresponding Email: jlma@gig.ac.cn; gjwei@gig.ac.cn

## Contents

- 1. Table S1 Potential isobaric interferences and their abundances (%)
- 2. Table S2 Instrumental operating parameters for Sm stable isotopes measurements.
- 3. Table S3 Sm stable isotopic ratios of geological reference rocks in this work.
- 4. Figure S1. Repeated analysis of the NIST 3147a standard with six Eu/Sm ratios for  $\delta^{152/149}$ Sm. The horizontal area represents a 2SD uncertainty of  $\pm$  0.04 ‰.

	144	147	148	149	150	152	154
Sm	3.07	14.99	11.24	13.82	7.38	26.75	22.75
Nd	23.79		5.76		5.64		
Gd						0.20	2.18

Table S1 Potential isobaric interferences and their abundances (%)

Table S 2 Instrumental operating parameters for Sm stable isotopes measurements

Instrument parameters	Neptune Plus						
RF power	1168W						
Cooling gas	16.0 L min <sup>-1</sup>						
Auxiliary gas	0.95 L min <sup>-1</sup>						
Sample gas	0.975 L min <sup>-1</sup>						
Extraction	-2000V						
Focus	-726V						
Data acquisition	4.194 s/cycle ×40 cycle×1						
(integration×cycle×block)							
Typical <sup>149</sup> Sm sensitivity	15 V /mg L <sup>-1</sup>						
Background of <sup>149</sup> Sm	less than 0.2mV						
Mass resolution	low resolution						
Sample uptake	50 μL min <sup>-1</sup>						
Spray chamber	Glass cyclonic						
Nebulizer type	Micromist PFA nebulizer						
Mass bias correction	Internal correction using <sup>153</sup> Eu/ <sup>151</sup> Eu=1.09160 <sup>1</sup>						
L4 L3 L2	L1 C H1 H2 H3						
<sup>146</sup> Nd <sup>147</sup> Sm <sup>149</sup> Sn	<sup>150</sup> Sm <sup>151</sup> Eu <sup>152</sup> Sm <sup>153</sup> Eu <sup>155</sup> Gd						

Sample	Description	Sm(µg g <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	Run number <sup>b</sup>	<sup>146</sup> Nd/ <sup>149</sup> Sm	<sup>155</sup> Gd/ <sup>149</sup> Sm	$\delta^{150/149} Sm(\%)^{c}$	$\delta^{152/149} Sm(\%)^{c}$	Yield(%
AA-STD	Pure		Average+2SD			0.00±0.04	0.00±0.04	
BHVO-2	Basalt	6.12	BHVO-2-(1)	0.00045	0.00023			99.3
			BHVO-2-(1)*			0.01±0.02	0.02±0.03	
			BHVO-2-(2)	0.00055	0.00051	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	$-0.01 \pm 0.02$	99.6
			BHVO-2-(2)*			0.03±0.01	$-0.01 \pm 0.02$	
			BHVO-2-(3)	0.00070	0.00056	$-0.02 \pm 0.02$	$-0.01 \pm 0.02$	99.5
			BHVO-2-(3)*			$-0.04 \pm 0.02$	$-0.02 \pm 0.02$	
			BHVO-2-(4)	0.00054	0.00037	$-0.01 \pm 0.02$	-0.01±0.03	99.6
			BHVO-2-(4)*			-0.01±0.03	-0.01±0.03	
			BHVO-2-(5)	0.00027	0.00044	$-0.03 \pm 0.02$	$-0.02 \pm 0.02$	99.5
			BHVO-2-(6)	0.00069	0.00024	$-0.02 \pm 0.02$	$-0.02 \pm 0.02$	99.4
			BHVO-2-(6)*			$-0.02 \pm 0.02$	0.02±0.03	
			BHVO-2-(7)	0.00098	0.00057	-0.01±0.02	0.02±0.03	99.3
			BHVO-2-(8)	0.00014	0.00028	$-0.03 \pm 0.02$	-0.02±0.03	99.2
			BHVO-2-(8)*			-0.01±0.02	-0.01±0.03	99.3

Table S3 Sm stable isotopic ratios of geological reference rocks in this work.

			BHVO-2-(9)	0.00080	0.00174	-0.01±0.02	0.03±0.03	99.6
			Average+2SD			-0.01±0.03	0.00±0.04	
JB-2	Basalt	2.28	JB-2-(1)	0.00048	0.00064	-0.01±0.02	$0.01 \pm 0.02$	99.4
			JB-2-(1)*			$-0.02\pm0.02$	-0.01±0.03	
			JB-2-(2)	0.00032	0.00023	0.01±0.02.	-0.02±0.02.	99.6
			JB-2-(2)*			$0.00 \pm 0.02$	$0.00 \pm 0.02$	
			Average+2SD			0.00±0.03	0.00±0.02	
AGV-2	Andesite	5.75	AGV-2-(1)	0.00052	0.00006	$-0.02 \pm 0.02$	$-0.02 \pm 0.02$	99.5
			AGV-2-(1)*			$0.02 \pm 0.03$	-0.03±0.03	
			AGV-2-(2)	0.00020	0.00002	-0.01±0.02	-0.01±0.03	99.3
			AGV-2-(3)	0.00055	0.00051	-0.01±0.02	-0.01±0.02	99.6
			AGV-2-(4)	0.00103	0.00095	-0.01±0.02	-0.01±0.02	99.5
			AGV-2-(5)	0.00039	0.00016	$-0.02 \pm 0.02$	-0.01±0.02	99.8
			AGV-2-(6)	0.00077	0.00061	-0.01±0.02	$0.02 \pm 0.02$	99.2
			Average+2SD			-0.01±0.03	-0.01±0.03	
GSR-2	Andesite	3.4	GSR-1-(1)	0.00067	0.00006	-0.02±0.02	-0.06±0.02	99.4
			GSR-1-(1)*			-0.03±0.02	$-0.08 \pm 0.02$	
			GSR-1-(2)	0.00002	0.00005	-0.02±0.02	-0.06±0.02	99.7

			Average+2SD			-0.02±0.01	-0.07±0.03	
GSP-2	Granodiorite	27	GSP-2-(1)	0.00060	0.00012	$0.01 \pm 0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	99.8
			GSP-2-(1)*			0.01±0.02	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	
			GSP-2-(2)	0.00057	0.00010	-0.01±0.02	$0.02{\pm}0.02$	99.5
			GSP-2-(2)*			$0.02{\pm}0.02$	$0.02{\pm}0.02$	
			GSP-2-(3)	0.00059	0.00011	0.01±0.02	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	99.6
			GSP-2-(3)*			$0.00{\pm}0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	
			GSP-2-(4)	0.00079	0.00010	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$-0.02\pm0.03$	99.5
			GSP-2-(4)*			$0.01 \pm 0.02$	-0.01±0.03	
			GSP-2-(5)	0.00047	0.00009	$-0.02\pm0.03$	$-0.02 \pm 0.03$	99.6
			Average+2SD			0.01±0.03	0.01±0.04	
JG-2	Granite	7.75	JG-2-(1)	0.00014	0.00004	0.01±0.02	$0.03 \pm 0.03$	99.5
			JG-2-(1)*			-0.01±0.02	$0.04{\pm}0.02$	
			JG-2-(2)	0.00008	0.00025	$0.00{\pm}0.02$	0.00±0.03	99.2
			Average+2SD			0.00±0.02	0.03±0.04	
STM-1	Nepheline	12.15	STM-1-(1)	0.00133	0.00047	$0.01 \pm 0.02$	-0.01±0.03	99.6
	syenite		STM-1-(1)*			-0.03±0.02	-0.03±0.03	
			STM-1-(2)	0.00077	0.00021	$0.02{\pm}0.02$	0.01±0.03	99.3

			STM-1-(3)	0.00084	0.00111	0.01±0.02	0.01±0.03	99.6
			STM-1-(3)*			$0.02{\pm}0.03$	$0.03{\pm}0.03$	
			Average+2SD			0.01±0.04	0.00±0.05	
SY-3	Syenite	109	SY-3-(1)	0.00020	0.00010	$0.02{\pm}0.02$	$0.00{\pm}0.02$	99.6
			SY-3-(1)*			$0.01 \pm 0.02$	$0.02{\pm}0.02$	
			SY-3-(2)	0.00040	0.00006	$0.00{\pm}0.02$	$0.03{\pm}0.02$	99.5
			SY-3-(3)	0.00026	0.00008	$0.01 \pm 0.02$	$0.03{\pm}0.03$	99.4
			Average+2SD			0.00±0.02	0.02±0.03	
Nod-A-1	Manganese	21.05	Nod-A-1-(1)	0.00170	0.00485	$0.02{\pm}0.03$	$0.12{\pm}0.02$	99.6
	nodule		Nod-A-1-(1)*			$0.02{\pm}0.02$	0.13±0.02	
			Nod-A-1-(2)	0.00013	0.00010	$0.02{\pm}0.02$	$0.08{\pm}0.02$	99.2
			Nod-A-1-(2)*			$0.03{\pm}0.02$	$0.06{\pm}0.02$	
			Nod-A-1-(2)**			$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$0.10{\pm}0.02$	
			Average+2SD			0.03±0.03	0.10±0.05	
Nod-P-1	Manganese	29.7	Nod-P-1-(1)	0.00093	0.00723	$0.04{\pm}0.02$	$0.09{\pm}0.02$	99.5
	nodule		Nod-P-1-(1)*			$0.04{\pm}0.03$	$0.06{\pm}0.03$	
			Nod-P-1-(2)	0.00017	0.00011	$0.02{\pm}0.02$	$0.08{\pm}0.02$	99.7
			Average+2SD			0.03±0.03	0.08±0.02	

			Average+2SD			0.04±0.03	0.15±0.04	
			GSMS-3-(2)	0.00029	0.00055	$0.03{\pm}0.02$	0.15±0.02	99.2
	Sediments		GSMS-3-(1)*			$0.04 \pm 0.03$	0.17±0.03	
GSMS-3	Marine	12	GSMS-3-(1)	0.00059	0.00005	0.06±0.03	0.14±0.03	99.5

a The Sm mass fractions of reference geological materials are taken from <u>http://georem.mpch-mainz.gwdg.de/</u>

b () Numbers in parentheses represent a separate digestion. \* represents a duplicate analysis on the same solutions.

c uncertainties on measured  $\delta^{150/149}$ Sm and  $\delta^{152/149}$ Sm are 2 standard errors.

d Uncertainties on averages are 2 standard deviations.

The influence of Eu. The influence of Eu was assessed using six Sm–Eu solutions, (i) 120 µg L <sup>-1</sup> of Sm and 20 µg L <sup>-1</sup> Eu, (ii) 120 µg L <sup>-1</sup> of Sm and 30 µg L <sup>-1</sup> Eu, (iii) 120 µg L <sup>-1</sup> of Sm and 40 µg L <sup>-1</sup> Eu, (iv) 120 µg L <sup>-1</sup> of Sm and 50 µg L <sup>-1</sup> Eu, (v) 120 µg L <sup>-1</sup> of Sm and 60 µg L <sup>-1</sup> Eu, and (vi) 120 µg L <sup>-1</sup> of Sm and 70 µg L <sup>-1</sup> Eu. Each solution was analyzed five times. The  $\delta^{152/149}$ Sm values were -0.01 ‰ ± 0.07 ‰ (2SD, n = 5), -0.01‰ ± 0.06 ‰ (2SD, n = 5), 0.00 ‰ ± 0.04 ‰ (2SD, n = 5), -0.01 ‰ ± 0.03 ‰ (2SD, n = 5), and 0.00 ‰ ± 0.03 ‰ (2SD, n = 5), 0.00 ‰ ± 0.02 ‰ (2SD, n = 5) for (i) to (vi), respectively (Figure S1). Thus, the Sm stable isotope ratios did not deviate from zero regardless of Eu/Sm ratio. Hence, the influence of Eu was ignored, and a solution of 120 µg L <sup>-1</sup> Sm and 50 µg L <sup>-1</sup> Eu was selected throughout the experiments.

Figure S1. Repeated analysis of the NIST 3147a standard with six Eu/Sm ratios for  $\delta^{152/149}$ Sm. The horizontal area represents a 2SD uncertainty of  $\pm 0.04$  ‰

1. T. L. Chang, Q. Y. Qian, M. T. Zhao and J. Wang, *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry and Ion Processes*, 1994, **139**, 95-102.