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Table S1. Crystalline (based on XRD analyses) and morphological (based on SEM images) properties of synthesized $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ through [BMIm] and [M(MOE)Im] based ionic liquids.

| Sample | Crystallite size <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ | Crystallinity <br> $(100 \%)$ | Particle size <br> $(\mathrm{nm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pure $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ | 11 | 54 | $2725 \pm 86$ |
| $\mathrm{Bi}:[\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{Bm}]$ | 18 | 86 | $221 \pm 30$ |
| $\mathrm{Bi}:[\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{Me}]$ | 18 | 76 | $980 \pm 62$ |
| [Bi-Bm]:[V-Bm] | 19 | $*$ | $1733 \pm 72$ |
| [Bi-Me]:[V-Me] | 19 | $*$ | $3236 \pm 47$ |

*Additional peaks of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ were observed which affect the crystallinity (\%) calculations; therefore, their crystallinity was not calculated.

Table S2. Raman shift and (V-O) bond length (Figure S4), surface area (Figure S4), bandgap (Figure 2c), V/B ratio (Figure 4) and oxygen evolution (Figure 5) of synthesized $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ with different ionic liquids

| Entry | Sample <br> shift <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Raman <br> length <br> $(\AA)$ | V-O <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{g}\right)$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {BET }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{eV})$ | Bandgap <br> ratio | $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{B}$ <br> $(\mu \mathrm{mol})$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bi:[V-Bm] | 826.67 | 1.6961 | 11.43 | 2.41 | 0.51 | 28.6 |
| 2 | $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]:[\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{Bm}]$ | 828.24 | 1.6950 | 11.66 | 2.25 | 1.56 | 16.6 |
| 3 | Bi:[V-Me] | 824.90 | 1.6971 | 11.79 | 2.37 | 0.69 | 24.2 |
| 4 | [Bi-Me]:[V-Me] | 827.63 | 1.6955 | 11.10 | 2.29 | 1.72 | 3.2 |
| 5 | Pure BiVO 4 | 828.91 | 1.6947 | $*$ | 2.44 | 0.64 | 10.3 |

*Less than the instrument limit.


Figure S1. (a) XRD patterns and (b) UV -Vis diffused reflectance of [Bi-Bm]:V in which [BMIm] based IL anchored Bi was mixed with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{VO}_{3}$ to form $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ and HT $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ prepared by conventional hydrothermal process.

Figure S 1 displays the XRD patterns of $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]: \mathrm{V}$ and $\mathrm{HT}-\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$. For [ $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}$ ]:V in addition to $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ ((JCPDS) Card No. 14-0688), peaks at $2 \theta \sim 20^{\circ}, 26^{\circ}$ and $31^{\circ}$ were also observed which correspond to $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. Furthermore, as compared to [Bi-Bm]:[V$\mathrm{Bm}]$, and [Bi-Me]:[V-Me] (Figure 2), the relative peaks intensities of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ was higher for $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]: \mathrm{V}$, indicating the formation of larger content of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. Thus, anchoring IL with Bi side for the formation of $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ strongly decreases the diffusibility of Bi with V for the complete formation of $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$. However, this synthesis results in large O defects,
thereby a notable red-shift in the bandgap was clearly observed as compared to HT$\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ (Figure Slb ) and pure $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ (Figure 5).


Figure S2. SEM images of the synthesized samples with and without ionic liquid. (a) Bi:[V-Bm], (b) Bi:[V-Me], (c) [Bi-Bm]:[V-Bm], (d) [Bi-Me]:[V-Me] and (e) Pure $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$.

Figure S2 compares the low magnification images of the prepared samples, the higher magnification SEM are presented in Figure 3. As compared to pure $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$, the samples prepared by IL presents smooth particles.


Figure S3, SEM images of (a-b) [Bi-Bm]:V in which [BMIm] based IL anchored Bi was mixed with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{VO}_{3}$ to form $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ and (c-d) $\mathrm{HT}-\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ prepared by conventional hydrothermal process.

Figure S3 (a-b) displays the SEM images of HT-BiVO4; showing the obtained morphology is agglomerated microparticles. IL based synthesized [ $\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}$ ]:V resulted into a totally different morphology (Figure S2 (c,d)) than HT-BiVO 4 and also as compared to all other samples (Figure 3).


Figure S4. BET adsorption-desorption isotherms of the samples prepared with and without IL.


Figure S5. XPS spectra of the (a) Bi 4 f , (b) V 2 p and (c) O 1 s core levels of [Bi-Bm]:V and $\mathrm{HT}-\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ and (d) their respective VB spectra. A clear peak shift in Bi df, V2p and VB can be observed for $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]: \mathrm{V}$ as compared to $\mathrm{HT}_{-1-\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}}$.

Figure S 5 displays XPS spectra of $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]: \mathrm{V}$ and $\mathrm{HT}-\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$. The peaks positions of HT- $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ does not differ from pure $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ (Figure 4). A clear blue-shift is observed for $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]: \mathrm{V}$ as compared to $\mathrm{HT}^{2}-\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ for $\mathrm{Bi} 4 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{V} 2 \mathrm{p}$ and VB spectra. These results also agree with Figure 5 where blue-shift was clearly observed for IL based syntheses as compared to pure $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$. In addition, the O 1 s spectra of $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]: \mathrm{V}$ (Figure S1c) is also similar to $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]:[\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{Bm}]$ and $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Me}]:[\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{Me}]$ which present an additional peak at $\mathrm{BE} \sim 533.2 \mathrm{eV}$ corresponding to chemisorbed oxygen species. Hence anchoring IL to Bi side improves the light abosrbption by upshifting the VB but with a drawback of low reactivity of Bi with V and accumulation of O species on $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ surface.


Figure S6. Oxygen evolution of $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]: V$ and $\mathrm{HT}-\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ for 3 h exposure to 300 W Xe radiations filtered with AM 1.5G.

Figure S 4 displays oxygen evolution of $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]: \mathrm{V}$ and $\mathrm{HT}-\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ under simulated sunlight. Though $[\mathrm{Bi}-\mathrm{Bm}]: \mathrm{V}$ presented large absorption in the visible light, however due to accumulation of O species and presence of $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ could not perform better as compared to $\mathrm{HT}-\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ and pure $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ (Figure 5a).


Figure S7. Mott-Schottky plots of $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ (prepared without IL) and BMIm- $\mathrm{BiVO}_{4}$ prepared by BMIm based IL.


Figure S8. Chopped LSV curves of Bi:[V-Bm] with different layers i.e. 7L, 9L and 11L under 1 Sun illumination.


Figure S9: (a) Long time photochemical water oxidation activity of Bi:[V-Bm] at AM 1.5 illumination in 0.05 M of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. (b) $\mathrm{UV}-V$ is diffused reflectance of Bi:[V-Bm] before and after 12 h photocatalytic oxygen evolution test.

