## †Electronic supporting information

## Dopant-free band edge shift in BiVO<sub>4</sub> particles for enhanced oxygen evolution under simulated sunlight

Niqab Khan,<sup>\*a</sup> Rogério Nunes Wolff,<sup>a</sup> Hameed Ullah,<sup>a</sup> Gustavo J. Chacón,<sup>b</sup> Washington Santa Rosa,<sup>c</sup> Jairton Dupont,<sup>b</sup> Renato Vitalino Gonçalves,<sup>c</sup> Sherdil Khan,<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Nanomaterials for Renewable Energy and Artificial Photosynthesis (NanoREAP), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Física (PPGFis), Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Campus do Vale, Agronomia, Porto Alegre-RS, Brazil.

<sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Molecular Catalysis (LAMOCA), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Química (PPQ), Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Campus do Vale, Agronomia, Porto Alegre-RS, Brazil.

<sup>c</sup> Laboratory of Artificial Photosynthesis (LAPA), Institute of Physics of São Carlos, University of São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil.

\* <u>sherdil.khan@ufrgs.br</u>

**Table S1**. Crystalline (based on XRD analyses) and morphological (based on SEM images) properties of synthesized BiVO<sub>4</sub> through [BMIm] and [M(MOE)Im] based ionic liquids.

Sample	Crystallite size	Crystallinity	Particle size	
	(nm)	(100%)	(nm)	
Pure BiVO <sub>4</sub>	11	54	2725±86	
Bi:[V-Bm]	18	86	221±30	
Bi:[V-Me]	18	76	980±62	
[Bi-Bm]:[V-Bm]	19	*	1733±72	
[Bi-Me]:[V-Me]	19	*	3236±47	

\*Additional peaks of  $V_2O_5$  were observed which affect the crystallinity (%) calculations; therefore, their crystallinity was not calculated.

**Table S2.** Raman shift and (V-O) bond length (Figure S4), surface area (Figure S4), bandgap (Figure 2c), V/B ratio (Figure 4) and oxygen evolution (Figure 5) of synthesized BiVO<sub>4</sub> with different ionic liquids

Entry	Sample	Raman	V-O	SBET	Bandgap	V/B	O <sub>2</sub> Evolution
		shift	length	(m²/g)	(eV)	ratio	(µmol)
		(cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(Å)				
1	Bi:[V-Bm]	826.67	1.6961	11.43	2.41	0.51	28.6
2	[Bi-Bm]:[V-Bm]	828.24	1.6950	11.66	2.25	1.56	16.6
3	Bi:[V-Me]	824.90	1.6971	11.79	2.37	0.69	24.2
4	[Bi-Me]:[V-Me]	827.63	1.6955	11.10	2.29	1.72	3.2
5	Pure BiVO <sub>4</sub>	828.91	1.6947	*	2.44	0.64	10.3

\*Less than the instrument limit.



Figure S1. (a) XRD patterns and (b) UV –Vis diffused reflectance of [Bi-Bm]:V in which [BMIm] based IL anchored Bi was mixed with NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> to form BiVO<sub>4</sub> and HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> prepared by conventional hydrothermal process.

Figure S1 displays the XRD patterns of [Bi-Bm]:V and HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub>. For [Bi-Bm]:V in addition to BiVO<sub>4</sub> ((JCPDS) Card No. 14-0688), peaks at  $2\theta \sim 20^{\circ}$ ,  $26^{\circ}$  and  $31^{\circ}$  were also observed which correspond to V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. Furthermore, as compared to [Bi-Bm]:[V-Bm], and [Bi-Me]:[V-Me] (Figure 2), the relative peaks intensities of V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> was higher for [Bi-Bm]:V, indicating the formation of larger content of V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. Thus, anchoring IL with Bi side for the formation of BiVO<sub>4</sub> strongly decreases the diffusibility of Bi with V for the complete formation of BiVO<sub>4</sub>. However, this synthesis results in large O defects,

thereby a notable red-shift in the bandgap was clearly observed as compared to HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> (Figure S1b) and pure  $BiVO_4$  (Figure 5).



Figure S2. SEM images of the synthesized samples with and without ionic liquid. (a) Bi:[V-Bm], (b) Bi:[V-Me], (c) [Bi-Bm]:[V-Bm], (d) [Bi-Me]:[V-Me] and (e) Pure BiVO<sub>4</sub>.

Figure S2 compares the low magnification images of the prepared samples, the higher magnification SEM are presented in Figure 3. As compared to pure BiVO<sub>4</sub>, the samples prepared by IL presents smooth particles.



Figure S3, SEM images of (a-b) [Bi-Bm]:V in which [BMIm] based IL anchored Bi was mixed with NH<sub>4</sub>VO<sub>3</sub> to form BiVO<sub>4</sub> and (c-d) HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> prepared by conventional hydrothermal process.

Figure S3 (a-b) displays the SEM images of HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub>; showing the obtained morphology is agglomerated microparticles. IL based synthesized [Bi-Bm]:V resulted into a totally different morphology (Figure S2 (c,d)) than HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> and also as compared to all other samples (Figure 3).



Figure S4. BET adsorption-desorption isotherms of the samples prepared with and without IL.



Figure S5. XPS spectra of the (a) Bi 4f, (b) V 2p and (c) O 1s core levels of [Bi-Bm]:V and HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> and (d) their respective VB spectra. A clear peak shift in Bi df, V2p and VB can be observed for [Bi-Bm]:V as compared to HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub>.

Figure S5 displays XPS spectra of [Bi-Bm]:V and HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub>. The peaks positions of HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> does not differ from pure BiVO<sub>4</sub> (Figure 4). A clear blue-shift is observed for [Bi-Bm]:V as compared to HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> for Bi 4f, V2p and VB spectra. These results also agree with Figure 5 where blue-shift was clearly observed for IL based syntheses as compared to pure BiVO<sub>4</sub>. In addition, the O1s spectra of [Bi-Bm]:V (Figure S1c) is also similar to [Bi-Bm]:[V-Bm] and [Bi-Me]:[V-Me] which present an additional peak at BE~533.2 eV corresponding to chemisorbed oxygen species. Hence anchoring IL to Bi side improves the light abosrbption by upshifting the VB but with a drawback of low reactivity of Bi with V and accumulation of O species on BiVO<sub>4</sub> surface.



Figure S6. Oxygen evolution of [Bi-Bm]:V and HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> for 3h exposure to 300 W Xe radiations filtered with AM 1.5G.

Figure S4 displays oxygen evolution of [Bi-Bm]:V and HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> under simulated sunlight. Though [Bi-Bm]:V presented large absorption in the visible light, however due to accumulation of O species and presence of  $V_2O_5$  could not perform better as compared to HT-BiVO<sub>4</sub> and pure BiVO<sub>4</sub> (Figure 5a).



Figure S7. Mott-Schottky plots of  $BiVO_4$  (prepared without IL) and  $BMIm-BiVO_4$  prepared by BMIm based IL.



Figure S8. Chopped LSV curves of Bi:[V-Bm] with different layers i.e. 7L, 9L and 11L under 1 Sun illumination.



Figure S9: (a) Long time photochemical water oxidation activity of Bi:[V-Bm] at AM 1.5 illumination in 0.05 M of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.9H<sub>2</sub>O. (b) UV –Vis diffused reflectance of Bi:[V-Bm] before and after 12h photocatalytic oxygen evolution test.