Supporting Information

# Defect-suppressed submillimeter-scale WS<sub>2</sub> single crystals with high photoluminescence quantum yield by alternate-growth-etching CVD

Xing Xin, Yanmei Zhang, Jiamei Chen, Mao-Lin Chen, Wei Xin, Mengfan Ding, Youzhe Bao, Weizhen Liu,\* Haiyang Xu\* and Yichun Liu



**Figure S1.** Optical images of a Au foil (a) without long-time annealing and (b) with annealing at 1050 °C for more than 12 hours. After annealing the Au foils for 12 hours, the surface becomes smooth and clean, which is beneficial for the growth of large-scale  $WS_2$  single crystals. The annealing treatment is only needed for those Au foils which is used for the first time. (c) Schematic diagram of the synthesis of millimeter-scale monolayer  $WS_2$  single crystals

on Au foils by AGE-CVD. S powder was located outside the high-temperature zone and heated separately. Au is not only a substrate for the deposition of  $WS_2$ , but also can lower the barrier energy for the sulfurization of  $WO_3$ . The extremely low solubility of W in Au suppressed the formation of  $WS_2$  multilayers, which is beneficial for the surface-catalytic growth of uniform monolayer  $WS_2$ .



**Figure S2.** Optical images of  $WS_2$  grown on Au foils with different  $H_2$  flow rate of (a) 0 sccm, (b) 1 sccm, (c) 2 sccm and (d) 4 sccm. Except for changing the  $H_2$  flow rate, we keep all the other CVD parameters constant to eliminate the uncertain factors. Ar flow rate is 100 sccm and the growth time for all samples is 3 min. The grain size of monolayer  $WS_2$  increases along with the  $H_2$  flow rate increasing from 0 to 2 sccm.  $WS_2$  multilayers formed when a higher  $H_2$  flow rate was used.



**Figure S3.** Representative morphologies of  $WS_2$  grown on Au foils with increasing the Ar flow rate of (a) 25 sccm, (b) 50 sccm, (c) 75 sccm, (d) 100 sccm, (e) 125 sccm, (f) 150 sccm, (g) 175 sccm, (h) 200 sccm, respectively. Except for changing the Ar flow rate, we keep all the other CVD parameters constant to eliminate the uncertain factors. H<sub>2</sub> flow rate is 2 sccm and growth time is 3 min. The scale bar in all images is 20 µm. The grain size is defined as the edge length of triangle domains. The grain count in Figure 1a, which reflects the nucleation density, is the number of WS<sub>2</sub> grains in the same area as shown in Figure S3.

The grain size of  $WS_2$  increases with the Ar flow rate from 25 to 100 sccm. Then the grain size and nucleation density of  $WS_2$  decreases with the Ar flow rate from 100 to 150 sccm. The maximum grain size and minimum nucleation density were obtained with an Ar flow of 100 and 150 sccm, respectively. When the Ar flow rate is higher than 150 sccm, nucleation density increases because excess growth sources introduced with carrier gas will increase nucleation sites on Au surfaces.



**Figure S4.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of WO<sub>3</sub> powders before (a) and after (b) introducing S powders. The crystal facets of WO<sub>3</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> are colored in blue and red, respectively. After a part of WO<sub>3</sub> powders was sulfurized, the color changed from yellow to black. Both the diffraction peaks of WO<sub>3</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> exist in the XRD patterns, illustrating that a part of S powders reacted with WO<sub>3</sub> powder at upstream and makes the WO<sub>3</sub> sulfurized in the quartz boat.



**Figure S5.** Schematic of alternating the growth and etching stage. AGE-CVD can decrease the nucleation density of  $WS_2$  single crystals, so that we can get a large  $WS_2$  single-crystal with a submillimeter-scale size by repeating the growth and etching for n times (the penultimate picture marked by "n<sup>th</sup> G" in Fig. S5). The last picture in Fig. S5 is used to show that when the

individual single-crystal  $WS_2$  flake connects with each other by extending the growth time, a continuous polycrystalline  $WS_2$  film will be formed. At this moment, we can't obtain a larger  $WS_2$  single crystal any more.



Figure S6. (a) XPS spectra of elemental variation taken on the Au foil after  $WS_2$  growth. The spectra without W-Au alloy signals illustrating the weak interaction between  $WS_2$  and Au. (b) W 4f and (c) S 2p XPS spectra of  $WS_2$  grown on the Au foil, representing the formation of  $WS_2$  on Au foils.



Figure S7. The SEM images of (a) single crystal and (b) continuous films of  $WS_2$ , showing that individual  $WS_2$  domains can connect with each other and form a continuous  $WS_2$  film with

further prolonging the growth time of the 5<sup>th</sup> G to (a) 20 min and (b) 25 min. The white arrow in (b) shows the naked Au area without  $WS_2$ . (c)



**Figure S8.** (a-b) A series of optical images of  $WSe_2$  domains grown by AGE-CVD. (c) The optical image of as-grown  $WSe_2$  domain transferred to the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate by electrochemical bubbling method. (d) Raman and (e) PL spectra taken from the different locations of single-crystal  $WSe_2$  domain in (c).

# The synthesis of large-scale single-crystal WSe<sub>2</sub> by AGE-CVD:

We also used AGE-CVD to synthesize large-scale high-quality monolayer single-crystal  $WSe_2$  on Au foils. Singe-crystal  $WSe_2$  domains were obtained on Au foils by the same method with changing the growth precursors. The 200 mg Se powder (99.5 wt%) was separately heated to ~350 °C at the upstream of the Au foil outside the high temperature zone. The tube furnace

was heated from room temperature to 700 °C within 20 min and then to 900 °C in 10 min under an Ar flow of 100 sccm. Then after, 5 sccm H<sub>2</sub> was turned on. Large-scale WSe<sub>2</sub> domains with a grain size larger than 500  $\mu$ m can be obtained after the second cycle.

Figure S8a and b shows that a 500-µm WSe<sub>2</sub> domain was formed at the third growth stage. Similarly, large-scale WSe<sub>2</sub> domain can be completely transferred onto the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrates by electrochemical bubbling transfer method (Figure S8c). The representative Raman  $E_{2g}^{1}$  and  $A_{1g}$  mode in Figure S8d is located at ~ 249 and 262 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The PL spectra in Figure S8e shows a single excitonic A peak at ~752 nm, a characteristic of direct bandgap semiconductor. The Raman and PL spectra taken from the different positions in the WSe<sub>2</sub> domain shows the similar shape, indicates the triangle is uniform monolayer.



Figure S9. (a) WSe<sub>2</sub>, (b) MoSe<sub>2</sub>, (c) MoS<sub>2</sub> and (d) WS<sub>2x</sub>Se<sub>2(1-x)</sub> samples were obtained by N-

CVD and AGE-CVD, respectively. The corresponding Raman and PL spectra were also presented. Except for WS<sub>2</sub> we mainly discussed, these four kinds of TMDs obtain by AGE-CVD also have larger grain sizes and higher PL intensity. These results can fully demonstrate the great advantage and the universality of our AGE-CVD method for the synthesis of highquality large-scale single-crystal TMDs.



**Figure S10.** A series of optical images of  $WS_2$  grown by normal CVD. The growth time for (a-d) increased from 3 to 10 min. All the CVD parameters are the same as mentioned in Figure 2. Ar flow rate was 100 sccm during the whole processes. Figure S10b shows that the grain size of individual  $WS_2$  domain is smaller than 120  $\mu$ m.  $WS_2$  domains were easily connected with each other and finally formed a continuous film. Compared with the results in Figure 2, we can confirm the great advantages of our AGE-CVD in realizing the synthesis of submillimeter-scale  $WS_2$  single crystals. AGE-CVD can dramatically decreased the nucleation density of  $WS_2$  and increased the grain size for 4~5 times larger than N-CVD.



Figure S11. (a) Electrochemical bubbling transfer process and (b) PMMA/WS<sub>2</sub> films with a full coverage in 2 cm<sup>2</sup> transferred onto the transparent PET substrate. (c) Optical images of a submillimeter-scale single-crystal monolayer WS<sub>2</sub> flake with a size of ~520  $\mu$ m and (d) a uniform polycrystalline WS<sub>2</sub> film on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrates after electrochemical bubbling transfer.



**Figure S12.** AFM images showing the transfer results by (a) wet etching method and (b) electrochemical bubbling method. There exist many wrinkles and some cracks in  $WS_2$  flake in (a). On the contrary, the  $WS_2$  single crystal transferred by the latter method are very uniform without any obvious wrinkle or void. To be sure, AFM is only applicable to directly scan the whole sample with a size smaller than 100 µm, due to the equipment limitations.



**Figure S13.** The intensity mappings of (a)  $E_{2g}^{1}$  (356 cm<sup>-1</sup>), (b)  $A_{1g}$  (418 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and (c) PL peak (613 nm) of a WS<sub>2</sub> single crystal with a grain size of ~520 µm detected on a SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate.



**Figure S14.** WS<sub>2</sub> single crystals grown on the same reusable Au foils for (a) the first time, (b) 10 times, (c) 30 times and (d) 50 times, respectively. All the triangle WS<sub>2</sub> domains were obtained at the  $3^{rd}$  E stage as mentioned in Figure 2 and they show the similar morphology. The scale bar in all images is 100 µm.



Figure S15. AFM height topography detected at the edge of single-crystal WS<sub>2</sub>. The height profile in the inset shows thickness of WS<sub>2</sub> is  $\sim$ 0.97 nm, which was measured along the black dotted line.



Figure S16. Comparison of PL performance between our  $WS_2$  and reported TMDs in the literatures. The corresponding references are listed in Table S2 below.



**Figure S17.** (a) PL spectra and (b) UV-vis absorption spectrum of R6G film. The wavelength of excitation laser was 488 nm, which is the same as the laser used for WS<sub>2</sub> in Figure 5. (c) PL spectra of the PMMA films with (green curve) and without (orange curve) R6G molecules measured by an integrating sphere. Inset shows the enlarged linear portion of the spectra. (d) PL spectra and (e) UV-vis absorption spectrum of mechanically-exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> measured under the same experimental conditions. The inset in (e) shows the WS<sub>2</sub> monolayer samples obtained via mechanical exfoliation of a bulk crystal. It was measured under the same experimental conditions as shown in Fig.5.

## PL QY measurements of R6G.

The PL QY of  $WS_2$  was measure with Rhodamine 6G (R6G) as reference, because it has outstanding chemical stability, high QY and no overlap between absorption and emission spectra. R6G is dispersed uniformly into a poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) solution as solvent by the Roy's method.<sup>46</sup> The reference R6G film was spin-coated onto sapphire. Figure S17 (a and b) show the PL emission and absorption of the R6G reference film. PMMA with and without R6G films were then loaded into an integrating sphere with a 488 nm laser. Corresponding PL spectra are illustrated in Figure S17c. The QY of R6G is estimated by the equation:

$$Q_R = \frac{I_e}{I_a}$$
(1)

)

Here,  $I_e$  is the emission of R6G, the difference of integrated intensity of PL spectra at ~560 nm from PMMA with and without R6G.  $I_a$  is the absorption of R6G, the reduction of the PL peak intensity at 488 nm from PMMA without and with R6G. The measured QY of R6G ( $Q_R$ ) is calculated to be ~53.5%.

### PL QY of mechanically-exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub>

By measuring the PL emission intensity and UV-vis absorption of mechanically-exfoliated  $WS_2$  (Figure S17d and e) and R6G (Figure S17a and b) with the same excitation laser (488 nm). PL QY of  $WS_2$  (QW) is calculated to be ~25.5% by using the same equation in Figure 5.



Figure S18. Electrical properties characterization of monolayer single-crystal WS<sub>2</sub> grown by AGE and N-CVD. (a) Optical image of the back-gate field effect transistor (FET) device based on the single-crystal WS<sub>2</sub> domain. (b) Transfer characteristic of WS<sub>2</sub> FET devices fabricated with WS<sub>2</sub> grown by AGE and N-CVD. All the measurements were taken under ambient pressure at room temperature. V<sub>ds</sub> is 0.1 V in (b). The carrier mobility ( $\mu$ ) and ON/OFF ratio of WS<sub>2</sub> domains obtained by AGE and N-CVD is 8.3 and 0.56 cm<sup>2</sup> V<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, ~10<sup>3</sup> and 3×10<sup>2</sup>, respectively. (c) Transfer curves of the photodetectors tested in air with and without light illumination. The inset is the schematic diagram of photodetector. V<sub>ds</sub> is 20 V and the light illumination power is 0.1 mW with a wavelength of 405 nm. The responsivity (R) is 22.9 and 13.8 mA W<sup>-1</sup> for WS<sub>2</sub> domains obtained by AGE and N-CVD. (d) Magnified part of the timedependent source-drain current with switch ON/OFF laser pulse.

#### FET fabrication and electrical property measurements.

Monolayer single-crystal  $WS_2$  domains were transferred onto highly doped Si substrates, then a 5 nm Ti/50 nm Au layer was deposited by electron beam evaporation as source and drain electrodes. The channel width and length of the FET device were 0.6 and 25 µm, respectively. Electrical properties of FET were measured under ambient conditions at room temperature using an Agilent semiconductor parameter analyzer (4155C Semiconductor Parameter Analyzer). The field mobility was determined by the following equal:

$$\mu = \left(\frac{L}{WC_{OX}V_d}\right) \left(\frac{\Delta I_d}{\Delta V_g}\right) \quad (2)$$

Where L and W represent channel width and length, respectively, and  $C_{ox}$  is the capacitance of the dielectric layer (300-nm thick SiO<sub>2</sub>).



**Figure S19.** Comparison of electrical properties between our WS<sub>2</sub> and reported CVD-grown WS<sub>2</sub> in the literatures. The corresponding references are listed in Table S1 below. Although the carrier mobility ( $8.3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and light responsivity ( $22.9 \text{ mA W}^{-1}$ ) detected based on a FET device are not the highest values among these reported results in Table S1, they are still at relatively high levels. The possible reason is that the intrinsic electronic properties of WS<sub>2</sub> may be affected by the complex device fabricating processes. We will further optimize the device fabricating process (e.g. electrode contact and device structure design) in our future work to obtain better electrical properties.

Substrate	Temperature	Time	Size	Growth Rate	Property	Reference
hBN flakes	900 °С	10 min	3 µm	0.3 µm/min	-	1
Graphite	1100 °C	15 - 30 min	15 µm	1.0 µm/min	-	2
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	500 °C	60 min	12.7 μm	0.2 μm/min	-	3
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si (ZnO)	1000 °C	60 min	28.3 µm	0.5 μm/min	-	4
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	880 - 780 °C	40 min	52 µm	1.3 µm/min	-	5
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si (PTAS)	800 °C	5 min	20 µm	4.0 μm/min	$\mu$ =0.01 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF=10 <sup>5</sup>	6
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	860 °C	60 min	370 µm	6.2 μm/min	-	7
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	850 °C	15 min	160 µm	10.7 µm/min	-	8
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	1070 °C	15 min	256 µm	17.1 μm/min	$\mu$ =2.2 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF=10 <sup>6</sup>	9
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	900 °C	10 min	250 μm	25.0 μm/min	$\mu$ =32.3 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF=10 <sup>6</sup> (at 300 K)	10
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	750 °C	5 min	178 μm	35.6 µm/min	-	11
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	825 °C	-	200 µm	-	$\mu$ =14 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	12

Table S1. Comparison of grain size, growth rate and properties of single-crystal monolayer  $WS_2$  grown by CVD.

SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si Sapphire	850 - 950 °C	30 - 90 min	9.7 μm	0.3 µm/min	-	13
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si Sapphire	850 °C	15 min growth 10 min etch	>15 µm	~0.6 µm/min	-	14
Sapphire	900 °C	60 min	50 µm	0.8 μm/min	$\mu$ =0.46 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF=10 <sup>2</sup>	15
Sapphire	900 °C	60 min	135 μm	2.3 μm/min	$\mu$ =4.1 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF=10 <sup>5</sup>	16
Sapphire	950 °C	40 min	Centimeter scale film	-	$\mu=0.91 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1};$ ON/OFF=10 <sup>6</sup> ; R=18.8 mA/W (Vg=60 V,Vacuum); t< 4.5 ms(R=0.2 $\mu$ A W <sup>-1</sup> , Air)	17
Au foil	800 °C	240 min	600 µm	2.5 μm/min	$\mu=2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ON/OFF=5×10 <sup>7</sup>	18
Au foil	935 ℃	5 min 15 min	420 μm 600 mm <sup>2</sup>	84.0 μm/min 40.0 mm <sup>2</sup> /min	$\mu$ =20 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF=10 <sup>8</sup>	19
Atomic sawtooth Au foil	800 °C	20 min	5×5 mm <sup>2</sup>	1.3 mm <sup>2</sup> /min	$\mu=3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ON/OFF=10 <sup>8</sup>	20
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	825 °C	45 min	4-in. film	0.09-in./min	$\mu$ =0.3 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	21

					ON/OFF=10 <sup>3</sup>	
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	640-650 °С	20 min	10 µm	0.5 μm/min	ON/OFF=10 <sup>4</sup>	22
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	1000 °C	-	200 µm	-	$\mu$ = 1.4 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	23
SiO <sub>2</sub>	950 ℃	8 min	450 μm	56.25 μm/min	$\mu$ = 1.2 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF=10 <sup>6</sup>	24
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	825 °C	15 min	-	-	$\mu$ = 0.1-1 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF>10 <sup>6</sup>	25
Mica	860 °C	-	~500 µm	30 µm/min	$\mu$ = 13.8 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF=5.5×10 <sup>8</sup>	26
sapphire	980 °C	40 min	2-inch film	49.1 mm <sup>2</sup> /min	$\mu$ =0.02 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF>5.5×10 <sup>3</sup> t <560 µs R=0.52 mA W <sup>-1</sup>	27
Sapphire	900 °C	15 min	5mm film	-	R= 0.22 mA W <sup>-1</sup>	28
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	950 °C	3 min	100 µm	33.33 µm/min	$\mu$ = 0.53 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF= 10 <sup>6</sup>	29

Sapphire	950 °C	10-40 min	550 μm	13.75 µm/min	$\mu$ = 1.21 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> R= 3.2 mA W <sup>-1</sup>	30
SiO <sub>2</sub> /Si	950 °C	30 min	150 μm	5 µm/min	$\mu$ = 8.1 cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ON/OFF= 10 <sup>5</sup>	31
Au foil	850 °C	36 min	520 μm	14.4 μm/min	$\mu=8.3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ ON/OFF=10 <sup>3</sup> R=22.9 mA W <sup>-1</sup> $t_{rise}=59 \text{ ms} \text{ ; } t_{decay}=77 \text{ ms}$	This work

**Table S2.** PL performance of reported TMDs.

Materials	Synthetic Method	Treatment	Quantum Yield		Fluorescen	Reference	
				Before	After		
WS <sub>2</sub>	avfaliation	OA treatment	-		Fast decay	Fast decay	32
	extonation				=64 ps	=248 ps	
Mechanical					0 105 mg		22
w S <sub>2</sub>	exfoliation	-	-		0.173 118		55
Mechanical			21+3 %		$3.24\pm0.05$ ns		24
w S <sub>2</sub>	exfoliation	-	21±3	70	5.24±0.05 lls		54
MoS	Mechanical	LIV TESI treatment	-		Before	After	25
INIOS <sub>2</sub>	exfoliation	0 v - 11 Si treatment			40 ps	1.35 ns	55
MoS <sub>2</sub>	Mechanical	TFSI treatment	Before	After	Before	After	36

	exfoliation		1 %	95 %	100 ps	$10.8 \pm 0.6$ ns	
MoS <sub>2</sub>	Exfoliation	-	-		3	ns	37
			-		Before	After	
		1FSI treatment			-	10.2 ns	
Mas	Mechanical		V <sub>g</sub> =0V	$V_g = -20V$			20
MOS <sub>2</sub>	exfoliation	varying $V_g$ and the	$G = 6 \times 10^{17}$	$G = 6 \times 10^{15}$	V <sub>g</sub> =0V	$V_g = -20V$	30
		incident pump power	$\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$			
			0.1 %	75±10%	-	6.9 ns	
MoS			Before	After	Before	After	
10052	WOS <sub>2</sub> CVD WS <sub>2</sub>	TFMS treatment	0.2 %	14.1 %	11 ps	50 ps	39
WS			Before	After	Before	After	
w 3 <sub>2</sub>			2.7 %	56.7 %	8 ps	36 ps	
WC	CVD	Owner in companyion	Before	After	Before	After	40
w 3 <sub>2</sub>	CVD	Oxygen incorporation	-	9.3 %	21.6 ps	109.3 ps	40
WC	CVD				2H Phase	3R Phase	41
w 3 <sub>2</sub>	CVD	-	-		3.2 ns	1.1 ns	41
	CVD	applying a uniaxial	Before	After			
WS <sub>2</sub>	(Spin-coated	strain		$700/\pm 100/$	-		42
	with Nafion)		- /0%0±10%0				
WCa	CVD	SEMD process	Before	After			12
W 302			3.1 %	60 %		-	43
MoS	CVD		Before	After	Before	After	11
10052			-	31±8 %	0.19 ns	4.2 ns	44
WS <sub>2</sub>	GE-MOCVD	-	-		Pristine	After	14

						Transfer	
					0.733 ns	1.15 ns	
WS <sub>2</sub>	CVT	TFSI treatment	Before	After	Before	After	15
			20 %	over 95%	1.25 ns	3.4±0.3 ns	43
WS <sub>2</sub> PVD	DVD	WO <sub>3</sub> -WS <sub>2</sub> bilayer	Before	After	Before	After	16
	PVD	heterostructures	0.1 %	11.6 %	25.7 ps	1397.8 ps	40
WS <sub>2</sub>	AGE-CVD		1 <sup>st</sup> G	5 <sup>th</sup> G	1 <sup>st</sup> G	5 <sup>th</sup> G	This work
		AGE-CVD	AGE-CVD -	13.7 %	52.6 %	1.8 ns	5.6 ns

#### References

- [1] M. Okada, T. Sawazaki, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguch, H. Hibino, H. Shinohara, R. Kitaura, *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8*, 8273.
- [2] Y. Kobayashi, S. Sasaki, S. Mori, H. Hibino, Z. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi,K. Suenaga, Y. Maniwa, Y. Miyata, ACS Nano 2015, 9, 4056.
- [3] Z. Xu, Y. Lv, J. Li, F. Huang, P. Nie, S. Zhang, S. Zhao, S. Zhao, G. Wei, *RSC Adv.* **2019**, *9*, 29628.
- [4] Z. Xu, Y. Lv, F. Huang, C. Zhao, S. Zhao, G. Wei, *Materials* 2019, 12, 1883.
- [5] Q. Fu, W. Wang, L. Yang, J. Huang, J. Zhang, B. Xiang, RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 15795.
- [6] Y.-H. Lee, L. Yu, H. Wang, W. Fang, X. Ling, Y. Shi, C.-T. Lin, J.-K. Huang,
- M.-T. Chang, C.-S. Chang, M. Dresselhaus, T. Palacios, L.-J. Li, J. Kong, *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 1852.
- [7] Y. Rong, Y. Fan, A. L. Koh, A. W. Robertson, K. He, S. Wang, H. Tan, R. Sinclair, J. H. Warner, *Nanoscale* 2014, *6*, 12096.
- [8] S. Hu, X. Wang, L. Meng, X. Yan, J. Mater. Sci. 2017, 52, 7215.
- [9] L. Dong, Y. Wang, J. Sun, C. Pan, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, B. Wan, C. Song, F. Pan, C. Wang, Z. Tang, J. Zhang, *2D Mater.* 2019, *6*, 015007.
- [10] Y. Yue, J. C. Chen, Y. Zhang, S. S. Ding, F. Zhao, Y. Wang, D. Zhang, R. J. Li,
  H. Dong, W. Hu, Y. Feng, W. Feng, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 22435.
- [11] C. Cong, J. Shang, X. Wu, B. Cao, N. Peimyoo, C. Qiu, L. Sun, T. Yu, Adv. Opt. Mater. 2014, 2, 131.
- [12] S. Li, S. Wang, D.-M. Tang, W. Zhao, H. Xu, L. Chu, Y. Bando, D. Golberg, G.Eda, *Appl. Mater. Today* 2015, *1*, 60.
- [13] L. Tang, T. Li, Y. Luo, S. Feng, Z. Cai, H. Zhang, B. Liu, H.-M. Cheng, ACS Nano2020, 14, 4646.
- [14] A. Cohen, A. Patsha, P. K. Mohapatra, M. Kazes, K. Ranganathan, L. Houben, D.Oron, A. Ismach, ACS Nano 2021, 15, 526.
- [15] Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Q. Ji, J. Ju, H. Yuan, J. Shi, T. Gao, D. Ma, M. Liu, Y. Chen,X. Song, H. Y. Hwang, Y. Cui, Z. Liu, *ACS Nano* 2013, *7*, 8963.

- [16] Z.-Q. Xu, Y. Zhang, S. Lin, C. Zheng, Y. L. Zhong, X. Xia, Z. Li, P. J. Sophia, M.
- S. Fuhrer, Y.-B. Cheng, Q. Bao, ACS Nano 2015, 9, 6178.
- [17] C. Lan, C. Li, Y. Yin, Y. Liu, Nanoscale 2015, 7, 5974.
- [18] Y. Gao, Z. Liu, D.-M. Sun, L. Huang, L.-P. Ma, L.-C. Yin, T. Ma, Z. Zhang, X.-
- L. Ma, L.-M. Peng, H.-M. Cheng, W. Ren, Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 8569.
- [19] S. J. Yun, S. H. Chae, H. Kim, J. C. Park, J.-H. Park, G. H. Han, J. S. Lee, S. M.
- Kim, H. M. Oh, J. Seok, M. S. Jeong, K. K. Kim, Y. H. Lee, ACS Nano 2015, 9, 5510.
- [20] S. H. Choi, H.-J. Kim, B. Song, Y. I. Kim, G. Han, H. T. T. Nguyen, H. Ko, S.
- Boandoh, J. H. Choi, C. S. Oh, H. J. Cho, J. W. Jin, Y. S. Won, B. H. Lee, S. J. Yun,
- B. G. Shin, H. Y. Jeong, Y.-M. Kim, Y.-K. Han, Y. H. Lee, S. M. Kim, K. K. Kim, *Adv Mater.* **2021**, *33*, 2006601.
- [21] Z. Jia, J. Dong, L. Liu, J. Xiang, A. Nie, F. Wen, C. Mu, B. Wang, K. Zhai, Z. Yu,
  M. Kang and Z. Liu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **2019**, 115, 163104.
- [22] M. Okada, N. Okada, W. H. Chang, T. Endo, A. Ando, T. Shimizu, T. Kubo, Y. Miyata and T. Irisawa, *Sci Rep*, **2019**, 9, 17678.
- [23] Z. Jia, W. Hu, J. Xiang, F. Wen, A. Nie, C. Mu, Z. Zhao, B. Xu, Y. Tian and Z. Liu, *Nanotechnology*, **2018**, 29, 255705.
- [24] Z. Wang, H. Yang, S. Zhang, J. Wang, K. Cao, Y. Lu, W. Hou, S. Guo, X. A. Zhang and L. Wang, *Nanoscale*, **2019**, 11, 22440-22445.
- [25] F. Zhang, Y. F. Lu, D. S. Schulman, T. Y. Zhang, K. Fujisawa, Z. Lin, Y. Lei, A. L. Elias, S. Das, S. B. Sinnott and M. Terrones, *Sci. Adv.*, 2019, 5, 8.
- [26] S. Zhou, L. Liu, S. Cui, X. Ping, D. Hu and L. Jiao, Nano Res., 2020, 14, 1659-1662.
- [27] C. Y. Lan, Z. Y. Zhou, Z. F. Zhou, C. Li, L. Shu, L. F. Shen, D. P. Li, R. T. Dong,
  S. P. Yip and J. Ho, *Nano Res.*, **2018**, 11, 3371-3384.
- [28] Z. Wan, Y. Wu, W. Yu, Y. Dong, Y. Huang and Q. Bao, *Phys. Status. Solidi. Rapid. Res. Lett.* 2019, 13, 1900480.
- [29] Y. Sheng, H. Tan, X. Wang and J. H. Warner, Chem. Mater., 2017, 29, 4904-4911.
  [30]C. Lan, X. Kang, R. Wei, Y. Meng, S. Yip, H. Zhang and J. C. Ho, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, 11, 35238-35246.

[31] J. Chen, K. Shao, W. Yang, W. Tang, J. Zhou, Q. He, Y. Wu, C. Zhang, X. Li, X. Yang, Z. Wu and J. Kang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **2019**, 11, 19381-19387.

[32] A. O. A. Tanoh, J. Alexander-Webber, J. Xiao, G. Delport, C. A. Williams, H. Bretscher, N. Gauriot, J. Allardice, R. Pandya, Y. Fan, Z. Li, S. Vignolini, S. D. Stranks, S. Hofmann, A. Rao, *Nano Lett.* 2019, *19*, 6299.

[33] H. Liu, T. Wang, C. Wang, D. Liu, J. Luo, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2019, 123, 10087.

[34] S. E. Barker, S. Wang, R. H. Godiksen, G. W. Castellanos, M. Berghuis, T. V. Raziman, A. G. Curto, J. G. Rivas, *Adv. Opt. Mater.* 2019, *7*, 1900351.

[35] Y. Yamada, K. Shinokita, Y. Okajima, S. N. Takeda, Y. Matsushita, K. Takei, T. Yoshimura, A. Ashida, N. Fujimura, K. Matsuda, D. Kiriya, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12*, 36496.

[36] M. Amani, D.-H. Lien, D. Kiriya, J. Xiao, A. Azcatl, J. Noh, S. R. Madhvapathy,
R. Addou, K. C. Santosh, M. Dubey, K. Cho, R. M. Wallace, S.-C. Lee, J.-H. He, J. W.
Ager, X. Zhang, E. Yablonovitch, A. Javey, *Science* 2015, *350*, 1065.

[37] Y. Xu, S. Chen, Z. Dou, Y. Ma, Y. Mi, W. Du, Y. Liu, J. Zhang, J. Chang, C. Liang, J. Zhou, H. Guo, P. Gao, X. Liu, Y. Che, Y. Zhang, *Mater. Horiz.* 2019, *6*, 1416.

[38] D.-H. Lien, S. Z. Uddin, M. Yeh, M. Amani, H. Kim, J. W. Ager, E. Yablonovitch,A. Javey, *Science* 2019, *364*, 468.

[39] Q. Feng, Y. Sun, Y. Li, J. Yan, W. Zhong, G. Yang, W. Liu, H. Xu, Y. Liu, *Laser Photonics Rev.* **2021**, *15*, 2100104.

- [40] Q. Cui, Z. Luo, Q. Cui, W. Zhu, H. Shou, C. Wu, Z. Liu, Y. Lin, P. Zhang, S. Wei,
  H. Yang, S. Chen, A. Pan, L. Song, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2021, *31*, 2105339.
- [41] P. Kumar, N. C. Verma, N. Goyal, J. Biswas, S. Lodha, C. K. Nandi, V. Balakrishnan, *Nanoscale* 2018, 10, 3320.

[42] H. Kim, S. Z. Uddin, N. Higashitarumizu, E. Rabani, A. Javey, *Science* 2021, *373*, 448.

[43] H. Kim, G. H. Ahn, J. Cho, M. Amani, J. P. Mastandrea, C. K. Groschner, D.-H. Lien, Y. B. Zhao, J. W. Ager, M. C. Scott, D. C. Chrzan, A. Javey, *Sci. Adv.* 2019, 5, eaau4728. [44] M. Amani, R. A. Burke, X. Ji, P. Zhao, D.-H. Lien, P. Taheri, G. H. Ahn, D. Kirya, J. W. Ager, E. Yablonovitch, J. Kong, M. Dubey, A. Javey, *ACS Nano* 2016, *10*, 6535.
[45] M. Amani, P. Taheri, R. Addou, G. H. Ahn, D. Kiriya, D.-H. Lien, J. W. Ager, R. M. Wallace, A. Javey, *Nano Lett.* 2016, *16*, 2786.

[46] B. Zheng, W. Zheng, Y. Jiang, S. Chen, D. Li, C. Ma, X. Wang, W. Huang, X. Zhang, H. Liu, F. Jiang, L. Li, X. Zhuang, X. Wang, A. Pan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, 141, 11754.