Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2022

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Differential Detection of Strong-Acids in Weak-Acids: A combination of Benzimidazole-carbazole backbone with AIE luminophores as highly sensitive and selective turn-on fluorescent probes

Pei-Chi Lin,^{†a} Yu-Ting Lin,^{†a} Kuan-Ting Liu,^a Meng-Sin Chen,^a Yong-Yun Zhang,^a Jie-Cheng Li^b and Man-kit Leung^{*a}

^a Department of Chemistry and Advanced Research Center for Green Materials Science and Technology, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

^b Chang Chun Plastics Co., Ltd. Kaohsiung Factory, Kaohsiung 81469, Taiwan

Contents

¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of each intermediate compound, <i>o</i> -BzcDPE, a	nd <i>p</i> -BzcDPE
	S2
HRMS of each intermediate compound, <i>o</i> -BzcDPE, and <i>p</i> -BzcDPE	S10
Crystal data of <i>o</i> -BzcDPE, <i>p</i> -BzcDPE, and <i>p</i> -BzcDPE+HCl	S14
NMR spectra assignments of <i>o</i> -BzcDPE	S17
Oscillator strength data for DFT studies	
UV-Vis absorption of <i>o</i> -BzcDPE, <i>p</i> -BzcDPE, <i>o</i> -BzcDPE+H ⁺ , and <i>p</i> -Bzcl	DPE+H ⁺ in
different solvents	S20
Solvato-fluorochromism of <i>o</i> -BzcDPE, <i>p</i> -BzcDPE, <i>o</i> -BzcDPE+H ⁺ , and <i>p</i>	-BzcDPE+H ⁺
in different solvents.	S21
Study of AIE phenomenon of <i>o</i> -BzcDPE	S22
Optical titration of sulfuric acid	\$23
Optical titration of PFOA	S25
Time response and stability tests of <i>o</i> -BzcDPE and <i>p</i> -BzcDPE under difference of the stability tests of the stab	fferent acid
conditions.	S26
Quantum yield calculation and data of <i>o</i> -BzcDPE+H ⁺ and <i>p</i> -BzcDPE+H	H ⁺ in different
solvent.	S27

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of each intermediate compound, *o*-BzcDPE, and *p*-BzcDPE

2-(2-bromophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (1) in CD₂Cl₂ [1]





 $\label{eq:last_constraint} 2-(4-bromophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazole~(\textbf{2})~in~CD_2Cl_2~[1]$



(2-bromoethene-1,1-diyl)dibenzene (3) in CDCl₃ [2]



S5



S6



9-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-9H-carbazole (6) in (CD₃)₂CO

9-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-3-(2-(1-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)-9Hcarbazole (*o*-**BzcDPE**) in CD₂Cl₂



9-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-3-(4-(1-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)-9Hcarbazole (*p***-BzcDPE**) in CDCl₃



HRMS of each intermediate compound, *o*-BzcDPE, and *p*-BzcDPE



2-(2-bromophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (1)

2-(4-bromophenyl)-1-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazole (2)





9-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-9H-carbazole (4)







9-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-9H-carbazole (6)

9-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-3-(2-(1-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)-9H-carbazole (*o*-BzcDPE)





9-(2,2-diphenylvinyl)-3-(4-(1-phenyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)phenyl)-9Hcarbazole (*p***-BzcDPE**)

Crystal data of *o*-BzcDPE, *p*-BzcDPE, and *p*-BzcDPE+HCl Table S1

Crystal data and structure refinement for *p*-BzcDPE.

Empirical formula	$C_{45}H_{31}N_3$	
Formula weight	613.73	
Crystal system	Triclinic	
Space group	P-1	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 8.9933(13) Å	α= 82.180(12)°.
	b = 9.9705(15) Å	β= 81.467(12)°.
	c = 19.962(3) Å	γ= 63.224(14)°.
Volume	1575.5(4) Å ³	
Z	2	
F(000)	644	
Density (calculated)	1.294 Mg/m ³	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Cell parameters reflections used	2837	
Theta range for Cell parameters	3.7430 to 29.3880°.	
Absorption coefficient	0.076 mm ⁻¹	
Temperature	200(2) K	
Crystal size	0.25 x 0.20 x 0.15 m	m ³
	Data collection	
Diffractometer	Xcalibur, Atlas, Gen	nini
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from	equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	1.00000 and 0.82855	5
No. of measured reflections	10313	
No. of independent reflections	10313 [R(int) = 0.15	05]
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)]	6857	
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.8 %	
Theta range for data collection	2.844 to 27.498°.	
	Refinement	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0741, wR2 =	0.1969
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1110, wR2 =	0.2208
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.356	
No. of reflections	10313	
No. of parameters	434	
No. of restraints	0	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.304 and -0.352 e.Å	-3

Table S2

Crystal data and structure refinement for *p*-BzcDPE+HCl (The solvent disorder was squeezed by the program. PLATON.)

Empirical formula	C45H32ClN3	
Formula weight	650.18	
Crystal system	Triclinic	
Space group	P-1	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 9.1375(11) Å	α= 77.293(8)°.
	b = 11.3158(13) Å	$\beta = 86.737(8)^{\circ}$.
	c = 18.3796(13) Å	γ=81.617(10)°.
Volume	1833.5(3) Å ³	
Z	2	
F(000)	680	
Density (calculated)	1.178 Mg/m ³	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Cell parameters reflections used	5155	
Theta range for Cell parameters	3.3080 to 31.8950°.	
Absorption coefficient	0.139 mm ⁻¹	
Temperature	100(2) K	
Crystal size	0.20 x 0.20 x 0.15 mm ³	
	Data collection	
Diffractometer	Xcalibur, Atlas, Gemini	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equi	valents
Max. and min. transmission	1.00000 and 0.40975	
No. of measured reflections	18358	
No. of independent reflections	8411 [R(int) = 0.0751]	
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)]	5417	
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)] Completeness to theta = 25.242°	5417 99.8 %	
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)] Completeness to theta = 25.242° Theta range for data collection	5417 99.8 % 3.120 to 27.499°.	
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)] Completeness to theta = 25.242° Theta range for data collection	5417 99.8 % 3.120 to 27.499°. Refinement	
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)] Completeness to theta = 25.242° Theta range for data collection Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	5417 99.8 % 3.120 to 27.499°. Refinement R1 = 0.0685, wR2 = 0.169	94
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)] Completeness to theta = 25.242° Theta range for data collection Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] R indices (all data)	5417 99.8 % 3.120 to 27.499°. Refinement R1 = 0.0685, wR2 = 0.169 R1 = 0.1042, wR2 = 0.200	94 53
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)] Completeness to theta = 25.242° Theta range for data collection Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] R indices (all data) Goodness-of-fit on F ²	5417 99.8 % 3.120 to 27.499°. Refinement R1 = 0.0685, wR2 = 0.169 R1 = 0.1042, wR2 = 0.200 1.024	94 53
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)] Completeness to theta = 25.242° Theta range for data collection Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] R indices (all data) Goodness-of-fit on F ² No. of reflections	5417 99.8 % 3.120 to 27.499°. Refinement R1 = 0.0685, wR2 = 0.169 R1 = 0.1042, wR2 = 0.200 1.024 8411	94 53
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)] Completeness to theta = 25.242° Theta range for data collection Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] R indices (all data) Goodness-of-fit on F ² No. of reflections No. of parameters	5417 99.8 % 3.120 to 27.499°. Refinement R1 = 0.0685, wR2 = 0.169 R1 = 0.1042, wR2 = 0.200 1.024 8411 473	94 53
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)] Completeness to theta = 25.242° Theta range for data collection Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] R indices (all data) Goodness-of-fit on F ² No. of reflections No. of parameters No. of restraints	5417 99.8 % 3.120 to 27.499°. Refinement R1 = 0.0685, wR2 = 0.169 R1 = 0.1042, wR2 = 0.200 1.024 8411 473 372	94 53

Table S3

Crystal data and structure refinement for *o*-BzcDPE.

Empirical formula	$C_{45}H_{31}N_3$	
Formula weight	613.73	
Crystal system	Triclinic	
Space group	P-1	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 9.7546(3) Å	$\alpha = 97.964(2)^{\circ}$.
	b = 10.8555(3) Å	$\beta = 92.592(2)^{\circ}$.
	c = 17.1955(5) Å	γ= 115.717(3)°.
Volume	1613.31(9) Å ³	
Z	2	
F(000)	644	
Density (calculated)	1.263 Mg/m ³	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Cell parameters reflections used	3529	
Theta range for Cell parameters	3.4370 to 27.3820°.	
Absorption coefficient	0.074 mm ⁻¹	
Temperature	100(2) K	
Crystal size $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}^3$		3
	Data collection	
Diffractometer	Xcalibur, Atlas, Gemin	ni
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	
Max. and min. transmission	1.00000 and 0.94534	
No. of measured reflections	13449	
No. of independent reflections	7149 [R(int) = 0.0430]	
No. of observed [I>2_igma(I)]	4255	
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.8 %	
Theta range for data collection	2.913 to 27.499°.	
	Refinement	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0603, wR2 = 0.0603, w	.1235
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1208, wR2 = 0.	.1658
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.924	
No. of reflections	7149	
No. of parameters	433	
No. of restraints	0	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.275 and -0.244 e.Å -3	3

NMR spectra assignments of o-BzcDPE



Fig. S2. ¹H-¹H COSY NMR spectra of *o*-BzcDPE



Fig. S3. HSQC NMR spectra of *o*-BzcDPE



Fig. S4. HMBC NMR spectra of *o*-BzcDPE

Oscillator strength data for DFT studies

All calculations were performed using the parameters in toluene. The structures of *o*-**BzcDPE**, *p*-**BzcDPE** and *o*-**BzcDPE**+**H**⁺ **Cl**⁻ were optimized using the described DFT routines. The calculated spectral transitions of *p*-**BzcDPE**+**H**⁺ **Cl**⁻ are based on the crystallographic structure provided in this paper. All the first major electronic transitions with reasonable oscillator strength (*f*) arise from the HOMO to LUMO transitions.

Table S4

	Electronic	λ_{abs}	Oscillat E(eV)	Oscillator	Maior contributions.
	transition	(nm)	$\mathbf{L}_{ex}(\mathbf{ev})$	strength, f	Major contributions"
o-BzcDPE ^b	$S_0 \to S_1$	360.68	3.4376	0.5125	$H \rightarrow L (70\%)$
	$S_0 \to S_2$	331.78	3.7369	0.0522	$H \rightarrow L+1$ (69%)
	$S_0 \mathop{\rightarrow} S_3$	324.24	3.8238	0.0098	$H \rightarrow L+2 (68\%)$
<i>p</i> -BzcDPE ^b	$S_0 \to S_1$	367.79	3.3711	0.9031	$H \rightarrow L (67\%), H \rightarrow L+1 (-20\%)$
	$S_0 \to S_2$	341.37	3.6320	0.4355	$\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L} \; (20\%), \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}{+1} \; (66\%)$
	$S_0 \mathop{\rightarrow} S_3$	327.03	3.7913	0.0262	$H \rightarrow L+2 (65\%)$
o-BzcDPE+H ^{+c}	$S_0 \to S_1$	381.67	3.2485	0.1747	$H \rightarrow L (69\%)$
	$S_0 \to S_2$	357.15	3.4715	0.2955	$H \rightarrow L+1$ (69%)
	$S_0 \mathop{\rightarrow} S_3$	346.80	3.5751	0.0021	$H-2 \rightarrow L (34\%), H-1 \rightarrow L (62\%)$
<i>p</i> -BzcDPE+H ^{+c}	$S_0 \to S_1$	454.59	2.7274	0.0465	$H - 2 \rightarrow L (21\%), H - 1 \rightarrow L (65\%), H \rightarrow L (19\%)$
	$S_0 \to S_2$	451.93	2.7435	0.0104	$H - 2 \rightarrow L (67\%), H - 1 \rightarrow L (-20\%)$
	$S_0 \mathop{\rightarrow} S_3$	445.74	2.7816	0.6438	$H - 1 \rightarrow L (-20\%), H \rightarrow L (67\%)$

^{*a*} H = HOMO; L = LUMO; only contributions above 15% are included.

^b Calculated by TD-DFT//B3LYP/6-31G (d)

^c Calculated by TD-DFT//B3LYP/6-31+G (d)



UV-Vis absorption of *o*-BzcDPE, *p*-BzcDPE, *o*-BzcDPE+H⁺, and *p*-BzcDPE+H⁺ in different solvents.

Fig. S5. UV-Vis absorption of (a) *o*-**BzcDPE**, (b) *p*-**BzcDPE**, (c) *o*-**BzcDPE**+**H**⁺, and (d) *p*-**BzcDPE**+**H**⁺ in toluene, THF, DCM, and ACN (1 mM), respectively.



Solvato-fluorochromism of o-BzcDPE, p-BzcDPE, o-BzcDPE+H⁺, and p-BzcDPE+H⁺ in different solvents.

Fig. S6. Solvato-fluorochromism of (a) *o*-**BzcDPE**, (b) *p*-**BzcDPE**, (c) *o*-**BzcDPE**+**H**⁺, and (d) *p*-**BzcDPE**+**H**⁺ in toluene, DCM, THF, and ACN (1 mM), respectively. The data are smoothed for better identification.

Study of AIE phenomenon of *o*-BzcDPE



Fig. S7. Fluorescence spectra of *o*-**BzcDPE** ($\lambda_{ex} = 320 \text{ nm}$) at $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$ in THF-H₂O mixture with f_w (vol%) : 0, 10, 30, 50, 70 and 90, respectively.

Optical titration of sulfuric acid Table S5

The amount of sulfuric acid (ppm)	3.0 mM of indicator (mL)	3.0 mM of sulfuric solution (mL)	Toluene (mL)	Total volume (mL)
0	1.00	0	2.00	
15	1.00	0.15	1.85	
29	1.00	0.30	1.70	
44	1.00	0.45	1.55	
59	1.00	0.60	1.40	
74	1.00	0.75	1.25	
88	1.00	0.90	1.10	3.00
104	1.00	1.05	0.95	
118	1.00	1.20	0.80	
132	1.00	1.35	0.65	
147	1.00	1.50	0.50	
162	1.00	1.65	0.35	
177	1.00	1.80	0.20	
191	1.00	1.95	0.05	

The preparation of solution for the spectrophotometric titration of sulfuric acid.

The calculation of the amount of sulfuric acid

In order to express the amount of sulfuric acid as parts per million (ppm), we assumed that the density of the mixed solution was 1.0 g/mL. Herein, we took 15 ppm for example, and the calculation method was shown below.

$$\frac{3 \text{ (mM) H}_2\text{SO}_4 \text{ toluene solution} \times 0.15 \text{ (mL)}}{3 \text{ (mL)}} = 0.15 \text{ (mM)} = 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (}\frac{\text{mole}}{\text{L}}\text{)}$$
$$= 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (}\frac{\text{mole}}{\text{kg}}\text{)} = 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (}\frac{\text{mole}}{\text{kg}}\text{)} \times 98.08 \text{ (}\frac{\text{g}}{\text{mole}}\text{)} = 14.712 \text{ (}\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{kg}}\text{)} \approx 15 \text{ ppm}$$



Fig. S8. Plots of the mean and standard deviation of (a)*o*-**BzcDPE** and (b)*p*-**BzcDPE** between fluorescence intensity and content of H_2SO_4 after repeating the titration experiment three times.



Fig. S9. The photograph of *p*-BzcDPE pre-stained filter paper in the presence of different cations solution (1 mM) only and coexisting with H^+ (1 mM) under UV light (365 nm).

рна4	pH24.5	рН≈5		
۲	٠	•		

Fig. S10. The sensing performance of *p*-**BzcDPE** pre-stained filter paper with pH values ranging from 4 to 5 under UV light (365 nm).



Fig. S11. Regeneration of *p*-BzcDPE pre-stained filter paper under UV light (365 nm).

Optical titration of PFOA

Table S6

The concentration of PFOA(mM)	15 mM of octanoic acid solution (mL)	1.0 mM of PFOA solution (mL)	1.0 mM of indicator (mL)	Toluene (mL)	Total volume (mL)
0	1.33	0	0.10	0.57	
0.01	1.33	0.02	0.10	0.55	
0.02	1.33	0.04	0.10	0.53	
0.03	1.33	0.06	0.10	0.51	
0.04	1.33	0.08	0.10	0.49	
0.05	1.33	0.10	0.10	0.47	2.00
0.06	1.33	0.12	0.10	0.45	
0.07	1.33	0.14	0.10	0.43	
0.08	1.33	0.16	0.10	0.41	
0.09	1.33	0.18	0.10	0.39	
0.10	1.33	0.20	0.10	0.37	

The preparation of solution for the spectrophotometric titration of PFOA.



Fig. S12. Sensing performance of *p*-BzcDPE pre-stained filter paper in the presence of aqueous PFOA solutions with different concentration under UV light (365 nm).

Time response and stability tests of *o*-BzcDPE and *p*-BzcDPE under different acid conditions.



Fig. S13. The fluorescence intensity versus reaction time of *o*-**BzcDPE** (1 mM) and *p*-**BzcDPE** (1 mM) under different amounts of H₂SO₄.

Quantum yield calculation and data of o-BzcDPE+H⁺ and p-BzcDPE+H⁺ in different solvent.

Herein, we took coumarin 6 as the reference compound for our quantum yield measurement. According to related literature, the calculation method we used was shown below [4].

$$\Phi = \left[\frac{A_s F_u n_u^2}{A_u F_s n_s^2}\right] \Phi_s$$

 Φ = quantum yield (The quantum yield (Φ_s) for Coumarin 6 is 0.78 in EtOH)

u = o-BzcDPE+H⁺ or p-BzcDPE+H⁺

A = absorbance

F = emission peak area

n = refractive index

Table. S7. Quantum yield data of *o*-BzcDPE+H⁺ in different solvents.

Compound	Solvent	Absorbance (nm)	Emission peak (nm)	Φ (%)
<i>o</i> -BzcDPE+H ⁺	Toluene	285-410	478	20.9
	THF	240-410	478	9.8
	DCM	235-410	510	3.3
	ACN	215-415	516	0.46

Table. S8. Quantum yield data of *p*-**BzcDPE**+**H**⁺ in different solvents.

Compound	Solvent	Absorbance (nm)	Emission peak (nm)	Φ (%)
<i>p</i> -BzcDPE+H ⁺	Toluene	240-430	466	60.9
	THF	230-455	507	34.6
	DCM	220-430	515	21.2
	ACN	200-455	563	0.65

Reference

[1] Secci D, Bolasco A, D'Ascenzio M, Della Sala F, Yáñez M, Carradori S. Conventional and Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of Benzimidazole Derivatives and TheirIn VitroInhibition of Human Cyclooxygenase. J Heterocycl Chem 2012;49:1187-95.

[2] Zhang G, Bai R-X, Li C-H, Feng C-G, Lin G-Q. Halogenation of 1,1diarylethylenes by N-halosuccinimides. Tetrahedron 2019;75:1658-62.

[3] Matoliukstyte A, Burbulis E, Grazulevicius JV, Gaidelis V, Jankauskas V. Carbazole-containing enamines as charge transport materials for electrophotography. Synth Met 2008;158:462-7.

[4] Williams ATR, Winfield SA, Miller JN. Relative fluorescence quantum yields using a computer-controlled luminescence spectrometer. Analyst 1983;108:1067-71.