## Impact of N-donor auxiliary ligands on two new Co(II)-based MOFs with N-heterocyclic ligands and magnetism study

Xiuyan Dong<sup>a</sup>\*, Xiaoyu Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Yuyan Li<sup>a</sup>, Dingqi Xiong<sup>a</sup>, Pengkun Fu<sup>a</sup>,

Mohd Afzal<sup>b</sup>, Abdullah Alarifi<sup>b</sup>, Hiroshi Sakiyama<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, P. R. China <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, College of Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia <sup>c</sup>Department of Science, Faculty of Science, Yamagata University, 1-4-12 Kojirakawa, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan

**Correspondence:** Xiuyan Dong, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou 730070, P. R. China. Email:dongxy@mail.lzjtu.cn

## Contents

PXRD, IR and Thermogravimetric Analysis

**Figure S1.** Temperature dependence of  $\chi_A$  for **1.** 

**Figure S2.** Temperature dependence of  $\chi_A$  for **2.** 

Figure S3. The coordination modes of L in 1-2.

Figure S4. Simulated and observed PXRD patterns of complexes 1-2.

Figure S5. Infrared spectra of complexes 1-2.

Figure S6. The TG curves of complexes 1-2.

**Figure S7.** Hirshfeld surfaces of complexes **1-2** mapped with d norm, shape-Index and curvedness.

**Figure S8.** Two-dimensional fingerprint plots overall plot, and those delineated into H…H, C…H/H…C, O…H/H…O contacts.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for complexes 1-2.

Table S2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complexes 1-2.

**Table S3**. Hydrogen bond parameters [Å, °] for complex 2.

## PXRD, IR and Thermogravimetric Analysis

Powder X-ray diffraction was used to determine the phase purity of the bulk sample in addition to the structural properties of complexes. Experiments revealed that the PXRD patterns of complexes 1 and 2 matches the patterns simulated by singlecrystal structure data, implying that the obtained complexes have superior phase purity.

The broad absorption concentrated at 3448 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the IR spectra (Fig. S5), which can be attributed to the O-H stretching vibration of the water molecule in **2**. The absence of the protonated carboxylic groups predicted absorption band near 1700 cm<sup>-1</sup> suggests that the H<sub>2</sub>L acid has been completely deprotonated. And it also exhibits the characteristic antisymmetric (1607 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and symmetric (1505 and 1382 cm<sup>-1</sup>) carboxylate group stretching bands in **1** and antisymmetric (1599 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and symmetric (1535 and 1390 cm<sup>-1</sup>) carboxylate group stretching bands in **2**.

The thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of **1-2** was carried out to examine their thermal stability. The TG data is shown in Figure S6. For **1**, the weight barely changed before 500 °C due to the lack of lattice and coordinated water molecules, and then the backbone decomposition occurred. For **2**, the TG curve shows a first weight loss from 150 to 200 °C, which corresponds to the loss of coordinated water molecules, and upon further heating, the structure is stable up to 400 °C, and then has a sharp weight loss between 400 and 500 °C owing to the collapse of the structure.



**Figure S1.** Temperature dependence of  $\chi_A$  for **1** ( $\circ$ ). Theoretical curve (—) is drawn with the parameters ( $\lambda$ ,  $\kappa$ ,  $\Delta$ , J, zJ') = (–133 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 0.93, 470



 $cm^{-1}$ , 4.39  $cm^{-1}$ , -1.81  $cm^{-1}$ ).

**Figure S2.** Temperature dependence of  $\chi_A$  for **2** ( $\circ$ ). Theoretical curve (—) is drawn with the parameters ( $\lambda$ ,  $\kappa$ ,  $\Delta$ , J, zJ') = (-173 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 0.90, -47

 $cm^{-1}$ , -1.42  $cm^{-1}$ , -18.0  $cm^{-1}$ ).



Figure S3. The coordination modes of L in 1-2.



Figure S4. Simulated and observed PXRD patterns of complexes 1-2.



Figure S5. Infrared spectra of complexes 1-2.



Figure S6. The TG curves of complexes 1-2.



Figure S7. Hirshfeld surfaces of complexes 1-2 mapped with d norm,

shape-Index and curvedness.



**Figure S8.** Two-dimensional fingerprint plots overall plot, and those delineated into H…H, C…H/H…C, O…H/H…O contacts.

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for complexes 1-2.

Complex	1	2
Empirical formula	$C_{46}H_{28}Co_2N_6O_8$	$C_{24}H_{21}CoN_4O_7$
Formula weight	910.60	536.38
Temperature (K)	298	193
Wavelength (Å)	1.54184	1.34139
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic

Space group	C2/c	<i>P</i> -1
Unit cell dimension		
a (Å)	17.1211(2)	9.4774(3)
b (Å)	16.1892(2)	10.1088(3)
c (Å)	15.1628(2)	13.6080(4)
α (°)	90	111.289(1)
β (°)	97.034(1)	107.704(1)
γ (°)	90	92.871(1)
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	4171.15(9)	1138.15(6)
D <sub>x</sub> (Mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.450	1.565
μ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	6.753	4.443
Z	4	2
F (000)	1856	552
GOF	1.070	1.115
Crystal size (mm)	$0.05 \times 0.03 \times 0.02$	$0.110 \times 0.08 \times 0.07$
$\theta$ range (°)	3.771 to 67.046	3.232 to 53.890
Index ranges	$-20 \le h \le 15,$	$-11 \le h \le 10,$
	$-17 \le k \le 19,$	$-12 \le k \le 12,$
	$-17 \le l \le 18$	<i>−</i> 16 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 16
Reflections collected	12530	17385
Independent reflections	3671 [R(int) = 0.0330]	4155 [R(int) = 0.0338]
Completeness to θmax (%)	θ=67.684 (97.5%)	θ=53.594 (99.8%)
Data/restraints/parameters	3671/1/280	4155/4/329
Final $R_1$ , $wR_2$ indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0354, 0.0876	0.0364, 0.1267
$R_1, wR_2$ indices (all data)	0.0441, 0.0966	0.0374, 0.1279
$\Delta  ho_{ m max,min}({ m e}{ m \AA}^{-3})$	0.290, -0.408	0.772, -0.942

 Table S2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complexes 1-2.

	Com	plex 1	
Co1—O1#1 2.1150 (17)	Co1—O3	2.0317 (16) Co1—N1#3	2.119 (2)
Co1—O2#2 2.1880 (17)	Co1—O4#2	2.1386 (17) Co1—N3	2.183 (2)
O1#1—Co1—O2#2	91.10 (7)	O3—Co1—N3	85.48 (7)
O1#1—Co1—O4#2	95.12 (7)	O4#2—Co1—O2#2	60.82 (6)
O1#1—Co1—N1#3	83.62 (7)	O4#2—Co1—N3	90.68 (8)
O1#1—Co1—N3	172.34 (7)	N1#3—Co1—O2#2	90.65 (7)
O3—Co1—O1#1	98.96 (7)	N1#3—Co1—O4#2	151.45 (7)
O3—Co1—O2#2	154.58 (7)	N1#3—Co1—N3	88.90 (8)
O3—Co1—O4#2	94.88 (7)	N3—Co1—O2#2	87.37 (7)
O3—Co1—N1#3	113.54 (7)		

Symmetry codes for #1 -x+2, y, -z+3/2; #2 x+1, y, z; #3 x+1/2, y-1/2, z; #4 x-1, y, z; #5 x-1/2, y+1/2, z; #6 -x+2, y, -z+1/2.

	Complex 2					
Co1-	-02	2.0450 (15)	Co1—N3	2.0995 (18)	Co1—O6	2.1748 (15)
Co1–	05	2.0585 (16)	Co1—N1#2	2.1727 (19)	Co1—O6#3	2.1751 (15)
(	02—С	o1—O5	89.99 (6)	N3—Co	1—06	94.60 (7)
(	02—С	o1—N3	178.89 (6)	N1#2—C	ol—O6	92.66 (6)
(	О5—С	o1—N3	88.94 (7)	O2—Co1-	—O6#3	86.24 (6)
0	2—Со	1—N1#2	87.29 (6)	O5—Co1-	—O6#3	88.35 (6)
0	5—Со	1—N1#2	95.74 (7)	N3—Co1-	—O6#3	93.45 (7)
N	3—Со	1—N1#2	93.10 (7)	N1#2—Co	1—06#3	172.34 (6)
(	О2—С	o1—06	86.42 (6)	O6—Co1-	—O6#3	82.85 (6)
(	О5—С	o1—O6	170.69 (6)			

Symmetry codes for #1 -x, -y, -z+2; #2 -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; #3 -x, -y, -z+1.

## **Table S3**. Hydrogen bond parameters [Å, °] for complex 2.

Compound 2				
D–H···A	D–H	Н…А	D····A	D–H…A
O1S-H1S1…N2#2	0.98	2.39	3.1207(1)	131
O1S-H1S3…O1#4	0.98	2.19	2.9155(1)	129
O5–H5B…O3#1	0.98	1.66	2.6344(1)	169
O5–H5C…O3#2	0.96	1.78	2.6895(1)	157
O6–H6A…O4#3	0.97	1.70	2.6620(1)	167
O6–H6B…O1	0.97	1.61	2.5748(1)	169
O6–H6B…O2	0.97	2.42	2.8907(1)	109
C19–H19…O4#3	0.95	2.20	3.1473(1)	171
Symmetry code: #1 1-x, -y, -z; #2 x, y, 1+z; #3 -1+x, y, 1+z; #4 1+x, y, z.				