Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2022

New Journal of Chemistry

Visible-emitting Cu(I) complexes with N-functionalized benzotriazole-based ligands

Jesús Castro, Valentina Ferraro, and Marco Bortoluzzi

Electronic supporting Information



Fig. S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of trz^{OMe}-btz (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S2. ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of trz^{OMe}-btz (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ¹H-¹³C HSQC (CDCl₃, 298 K).

¹H NMR



Fig. S4. ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of trz^{OEt}-btz (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ¹H-¹³C HSQC (CDCl₃, 298 K).

¹H NMR







Fig. S6. ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of trz^{OPh}-btz (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ¹H-¹³C HSQC (CDCl₃, 298 K).

X-Ray structures of trz^{OMe}-btz and trz^{OPh}-btz

The ligand trz^{OMe}-btz crystallizes in the monoclinic $P2_1/c$ group with two equivalent molecules in the asymmetric unit. One of them is shown in Fig. S7a (see Fig. S8 for both the molecules in the asymmetric unit). On the other hand, trz^{OPh}-btz crystallizes in triclinic *P*-1 space group and the molecule found in the asymmetric unit is shown in Fig. 7b. Crystal data and structure refinement are reported in Table S1.

Both molecules of trz^{OMe}-btz are essentially planar, being the root-mean square deviation from the best fitted plane calculated for 15 atoms (methoxy groups excluded) respectively of 0.070 and 0.029 Å, an the O-bonded methyl groups are one in *syn* and the other one in *anti* to the benzotriazole group with respect to the para nitrogen. They also belong to the plane defined by the other atoms, although one of the methyl groups is deviated by 0.27 Å.

The triazinyl-benzotriazole fragment is planar also for trz^{OPh}-btz and the root-mean-square deviation of the atoms in the previously mentioned fragment (trz^{OMe}-btz) is only 0.0062 Å (see also the superimposition reported in Fig. S9). On the other hand, the phenyl rings in trz^{OPh}-btz are situated both in anti and their planes are almost perpendicular to the molecule, the dihedral angles being 75.0(1) and 59.8(1)°.

For what concerns the supramolecular network, in trz^{OMe}-btz the distance between the centroids of a 1,3,5triazine ring and a benzene ring of a neighbour molecule (sym. op. 1-x, 1-y, 1-z) is only 3.4442(7) Å, allowing a double π,π -stacking interaction (see Fig. S10a). In the asymmetric unit there is another double π,π stacking interaction, as observable in Fig. S10b, between the 1,2,3-triazole ring of one molecule and the 1,3,5-triazine ring of another molecule. In this case the distances between centroids are slightly longer, 3.9752(7) and 3.9822(7) Å. Despite the steric hindrance of the O-bonded phenyl rings, also trz^{OPh}-btz shows a double π,π -stacking interaction (see Fig. S10c), with a distance of 3.640(3) Å between the 1,3,5-triazine ring and the benzene ring of the benzotriazole. Finally, in both compounds some non-classical hydrogen bonds are observable, as depicted in Fig. S11.



Fig. S7. Ellipsoid representation of one of the molecules found in the asymmetric unit of trz^{OMe}-btz (a) and of trz^{OPh}-btz (b).



Fig. S8. Asymmetric unit of trz^{OMe}-btz.



Fig. S9. Superimposition of trz^{OMe}-btz and trz^{OPh}-btz.



Fig. S10. π , π -stacking interactions.



Fig. S11. Hydrogen bonds in trz^{OMe} -btz and trz^{OPh} -btz.

Compound	trz ^{ome} -btz	trz ^{OPh} -btz
Empirical formula	$C_{11} H_{10} N_6 O_2$	$C_{21} H_{14} N_6 O_2$
Formula weight	258.25	382.38
Temperature	100(2) К	100(2) K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	P2,/c	Р-1
Unit cell dimensions	a = 14.3117(9) Å	a = 6.7497(7) Å
	b = 10.6121(6) Å	b = 10.5961(10) Å
	c = 15.8829(10) Å	c = 12.9511(13) Å
	α = 90°	α = 74.696(4)°
	β = 113.323(2)°	β = 82.773(4)°
	γ = 90°	γ = 81.119(4)°
Volume	2215.1(2) Å ³	879.19(15) ų
Z	8	2
Density (calculated)	1.549 Mg/m ³	1.444 Mg/m ³
Absorption coefficient	0.114 mm ⁻¹	0.098 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	1072	396
Crystal size	0.255 x 0.155 x 0.094 mm	0.139 x 0.054 x 0.014 mm
Theta range for data collection	2.467 to 28.301°	2.009 to 26.462°
Index ranges	$-19 \le h \le 19$	$-8 \le h \le 8$
	$-14 \le k \le 14$	$-13 \le k \le 13$
	-21 ≤ / ≤ 21	-16 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 16
Reflections collected	55418	3627
Independent reflections	5496 [<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0388]	3627 [<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.1108]
Reflections observed (>2σ)	4781	3209
Data Completeness	0.998	0.994
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7376 and 0.7145	0.7454 and 0.5587
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	5496 / 0 / 349	3627 / 0 / 262
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.044	1.190
Final R indices $[I>2\sigma(I)]$	<i>R</i> ₁ = 0.0348	$R_1 = 0.0882$
	wR ₂ = 0.0935	$wR_2 = 0.2144$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0409$	$R_1 = 0.0997$
	wR ₂ = 0.0978	$wR_2 = 0.2223$
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.393 and -0.221 e.Å ⁻³	0.416 and -0.444 e.Å ⁻³

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for ligands trz^{OMe}-btz and trz^{OPh}-btz.



Fig. S12. ¹H NMR spectrum of **1a**, [Cu(py-btz)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 233 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S13. ¹H NMR spectrum of **2a**, [Cu(pym-btz)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S14. ¹H NMR spectrum of **3a**, [Cu(trz^{OMe}-btz)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 243 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S15. ¹H NMR spectrum of **4a**, [Cu(trz^{OEt}-btz)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 243 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S16. ¹H NMR spectrum of **5a**, [Cu(trz^{OPh}-btz)(PPh₃)₂][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 243 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S17. ¹H NMR spectrum of **1b**, [Cu(py-btz)(P^{*i*}Pr₃)₂][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S18. ¹H NMR spectrum of **2b**, [Cu(pym-btz)(P^{*i*}Pr₃)₂][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S19. ¹H NMR spectrum of **3b**, [Cu(trz^{OMe}-btz)(P^{*i*}Pr₃)₂][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S20. ¹H NMR spectrum of **4b**, $[Cu(tr2^{oll}-bt2)(PⁱPr₃)₂][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).$



Fig. S21. ¹H NMR spectrum of **1c**, [Cu(py-btz)(μ-dppe)]₂[BF₄]₂ (CDCl₃, 213 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 213 K).



Fig. S22. ¹H NMR spectrum of **2c**, [Cu(pym-btz)(μ-dppe)]₂[BF₄]₂ (CDCl₃, 273 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S23. ¹H NMR spectrum of **3c**, [Cu(trz^{OMe}-btz)(μ-dppe)]₂[BF₄]₂ (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S24. ¹H NMR spectrum of **4c**, [Cu(trz^{OEt}-btz)(μ-dppe)]₂[BF₄]₂ (CDCl₃, 233 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S25. ¹H NMR spectrum of **5c**, [Cu(trz^{OPh}-btz)(μ-dppe)]₂[BF₄]₂ (CDCl₃, 213 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S26. ¹H NMR spectrum of **1d**, [Cu(py-btz)(DPEphos)][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 233 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 273 K).



Fig. S27. ¹H NMR spectrum of **2d**, [Cu(pym-btz)(DPEphos)][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 298 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S28. ¹H NMR spectrum of **3d**, [Cu(trz^{OMe}-btz)(DPEphos)][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 243 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S29. ¹H NMR spectrum of **4d**, [Cu(trz^{OEt}-btz)(DPEphos)][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 243 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).



Fig. S30. ¹H NMR spectrum of **5d**, [Cu(trz^{OPh}-btz)(DPEphos)][BF₄] (CDCl₃, 313 K). Inset: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum (CDCl₃, 298 K).

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for complexes 1a and 2a.	
--	--

Compound	[Cu(py-btz)(PPh ₃) ₂][BF ₄]	[Cu(pym-btz)(PPh ₃) ₂][BF ₄]
Empirical formula	C ₄₇ H ₃₈ B Cu F ₄ N ₄ P ₂	C ₄₆ H ₃₇ B Cu F ₄ N ₅ P ₂
Moiety formula	C ₄₇ H ₃₈ Cu N ₄ P ₂ , B F ₄	C ₄₆ H ₃₇ Cu N ₅ P ₂ , B F ₄
Formula weight	871.10	872.09
Temperature	100(2) К	100(2) K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	P-1	P-1
Unit cell dimensions	a = 12.5593(9) Å	a = 11.5371(7) Å
	b = 13.8159(9) Å	b = 13.8789(7) Å
	c = 14.4530(9) Å	c = 14.6175(8) Å
	α = 73.092(2)°	α = 76.379(2)°
	β = 68.530(2)°	β = 75.218(2)°
	γ = 63.003(2)°	γ = 80.553(2)°
Volume	2055.9(2) Å ³	2185.9(2) Å ³
Z	2	2
Density (calculated)	1.407 Mg/m ³	1.325 Mg/m ³
Absorption coefficient	0.667 mm ⁻¹	0.628 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	896	896
Crystal size	0.243 x 0.202 x 0.183 mm	0.214 x 0.076 x 0.034 mm
Theta range for data collection	2.928 to 28.315°	2.510 to 28.311°
Index ranges	$-16 \le h \le 16$	-15≤ <i>h</i> ≤15
	$-18 \le k \le 18$	-18≤ <i>k</i> ≤18
	-19 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 19	-19≤ <i>l</i> ≤19
Reflections collected	92382	134529
Independent reflections	10220 [Rint = 0.0266]	10868 [<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0478]
Reflections observed (>2o)	9675	9733
Data Completeness	0.998	0.997
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7457 and 0.6946	0.7388 and 0.6985
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
Data / restraints / parameters	10220/0/532	10868/0/532
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.041	1.058
Final R indices [I>2o(I)]	$R_1 = 0.0268$	<i>R</i> ₁ = 0.0443
	w <i>R</i> ₂ = 0.0656	wR ₂ = 0.1164
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0287$	$R_1 = 0.0498$
	w <i>R</i> ₂ = 0.0667	wR ₂ = 0.1199
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.395 and -0.373 e.Å-3	1.397 and -0.864 e.Å ⁻³



Fig. S31. Ellipsoid plot of the cations [1a]⁺ and [2a]⁺. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Fig. S32. Best superimposition of the cations [1a]⁺ and [2a]⁺.



Fig. S33. π , π -staking interaction in complex 2a.

Compound	[Cu(py-btz)(DPEphos)][BF ₄]	[Cu(pym-btz)(P ⁱ Pr ₃) ₂][BF ₄]	[Cu(pym-btz)(dppe)] ₂ [BF ₄] ₂
Empirical formula	C ₄₇ H ₃₆ B Cu F ₄ N ₄ O P ₂	C ₂₈ H ₄₉ B Cu F ₄ N ₅ P ₂	$C_{72}H_{62}B_2Cu_2F_8N_{10}P_4$
Moiety formula	C ₄₇ H ₃₆ Cu N ₄ O P ₂ , B F ₄	C ₂₈ H ₄₉ Cu N ₅ P ₂ , B F ₄	C ₇₂ H ₆₂ Cu ₂ N ₁₀ P ₄ , 2(B F ₄)
Formula weight	885.09	668.01	1491.89
Temperature	100(2) K	100(2) K	100(2) K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic	Triclinic
Space group	C2/c	P212121	P-1
Unit cell dimensions	a = 29.5710(14) Å	a = 13.5696(6) Å	a = 12.8974(8) Å
	b = 22.0467(9) Å	b = 15.0666(7) Å	b = 13.1088(8) Å
	c = 25.9445(10) Å	c = 16.2774(8) Å	c = 13.3025(7) Å
	α = 90°	α = 90°	α = 111.144(2)°
	$\beta = 91.716(2)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 90^{\circ}$	β = 99.259(2)°
	γ = 90°	γ = 90°	γ = 109.024(2)°
Volume	16906.8(12) ų	3327.9(3) Å ³	1881.56(19) Å ³
Z	16	4	1
Density (calculated)	1.391 Mg/m ³	1.333 Mg/m ³	1.317 Mg/m ³
Absorption coefficient	0.652 mm ⁻¹	0.801 mm ⁻¹	0.717 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	7264	1408	764
Crystal size	0.215 x 0.173 x 0.083 mm	0.173 x 0.135 x 0.109 mm	0.173 x 0.121 x 0.063 mm
Theta range for data collection	1.931 to 28.304°	1.954 to 28.307°	2.042 to 28.328°
Index ranges	-39 ≤ h ≤ 39	$-18 \le h \le 18$	$-17 \le h \le 17$
	-29 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 29	$-20 \le k \le 20$	$-17 \le k \le 17$
	-34 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 33	-21 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 21	-17 ≤ / ≤ 17
Reflections collected	234094	45415	86819
Independent reflections	20984 [<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0567]	8263 [<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0330]	9358 [<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0436]
Reflections observed (>2o)	16754	8088	7986
Data Completeness	0.998	0.999	0.998
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7336 and 0.6915	0.7457 and 0.6651	0.7457 and 0.7013
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	20984 / 0 / 1177	8263 / 0 / 382	9358 / 39 / 465
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.021	1.089	1.043
Final R indices [I>2o(I)]	$R_1 = 0.0415$	$R_1 = 0.0535$	$R_1 = 0.0858$
	w <i>R</i> ₂ = 0.10208	w <i>R</i> ₂ = 0.1396	w <i>R</i> ₂ = 0.2467
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0578$	$R_1 = 0.0543$	$R_1 = 0.0962$
	$wR_2 = 0.1120$	$wR_2 = 0.1402$	$wR_2 = 0.2572$
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.520 and -0.940 e.Å ⁻³	3.158 and -0.743 e.Å ⁻³	4.207 and -2.328 e.Å ⁻³

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for complexes 1d, 2b and 2c.

Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for complexes 3b, 4d and 5d.	
--	--

Compound	[Cu(trz ^{OMe} -btz)(P ⁱ Pr ₃) ₂][BF ₄]	[Cu(trz ^{OEt_} btz)(DPEphos)][BF ₄]	[Cu(trz ^{OPh} -btz)(DPEphos)][BF ₄]
Empirical formula	$C_{29} \ H_{52} \ B \ Cu \ F_4 \ N_6 \ O_2 \ P_2$	$C_{49} \ H_{42} \ B \ Cu \ F_4 \ N_6 \ O_3 \ P_2$	$C_{61}H_{52}BCuF_4N_6O_4P_2$
Moiety formula	C ₂₉ H ₅₂ Cu N ₆ O ₂ P ₂ , B F ₄	C ₄₉ H ₄₂ Cu N ₆ O ₃ P ₂ , B F ₄	C ₅₇ H ₄₂ Cu N ₆ O ₃ P ₂ , B F ₄ , C ₄ H ₁₀ O
Formula weight	729.05	975.17	1145.37
Temperature	100(2) К	100(2) K	100(2) K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1	P21/c	<i>P</i> -1
Unit cell dimensions	a = 10.1027(6) Å	a = 14.7130(12) Å	a = 11.3664(5) Å
	b = 12.8600(9) Å	b = 23.4115(16) Å	b = 12.6813(6) Å
	c = 13.8962(10) Å	c = 14.0533(12) Å	c = 20.9098(10) Å
	α = 95.446(3)°	α = 90°	α = 96.034(2)°
	β = 100.595(2)°	β = 106.376(3)°	β = 105.307(2)°
	γ = 93.419(2)°	γ = 90°	γ = 106.960(2)°
Volume	1761.1(2) Å ³	4644.3(6) ų	2725.9(2) ų
Z	2	4	2
Density (calculated)	1.375 Mg/m ³	1.395 Mg/m ³	1.395 Mg/m ³
Absorption coefficient	0.768 mm ⁻¹	0.604 mm ⁻¹	0.528 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	768	2008	1184
Crystal size	0.282 x 0.255 x 0.173 mm	0.273 x 0.156 x 0.087 mm	0.174 x 0.131 x 0.078 mm
Θ range for data collection	2.057 to 28.329°	1.972 to 28.304°	1.970 to 28.302°
Index ranges	$-13 \le h \le 13$	$-19 \le h \le 19$	$-15 \le h \le 15$
	$-17 \le k \le 17$	$-31 \le k \le 31$	$-16 \le k \le 16$
	-18 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 18	-18 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 18	-27 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 27
Reflections collected	109259	139660	168957
Independent reflections	8766 [<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0418]	11546 [<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0355]	13508 [<i>R</i> _{int} = 0.0384]
Reflections observed (>2σ)	8168	10628	12432
Data Completeness	0.996	0.999	0.999
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7457 and 0.6550	0.7457 and 0.6835	0.7447 and 0.7134
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	8766 / 0 / 420	11546/1/567	13508/0/714
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.017	1.046	1.027
Final R indices $[I>2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0291$	$R_1 = 0.1067$	$R_1 = 0.0298$
	$wR_2 = 0.0755$	w <i>R</i> ₂ = 0.2984	$wR_2 = 0.0741$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0318$	$R_1 = 0.1132$	$R_1 = 0.0333$
	w <i>R</i> ₂ = 0.0771	w <i>R</i> ₂ = 0.3056	w <i>R</i> ₂ = 0.0761
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.728 and -0.479 e.Å ⁻³	5.560 and -4.058 e.Å ⁻³	0.354 and -0.417 e.Å ⁻³



Fig. S34. Ellipsoid plot of the cations **[2b]**⁺, **[1d]**⁺, **[2c]**²⁺, **[3b]**⁺, **[4d]**⁺ and **[5d]**⁺. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

	1a	2a
Cu-N(1)	2.0670(10)	2.1309(17)
Cu-N(12)	2.1160(11)	2.0710(17)
Cu-P(1)	2.2540(4)	2.2642(6)
Cu-P(2)	2.2279(3)	2.2316(5)
N(1)-Cu-N(12)	78.51(4)	78.03(7)
N(1)-Cu-P(1)	117.03(3)	102.27(5)
N(1)-Cu-P(2)	111.66(3)	119.58(5)
N(12)-Cu-P(1)	101.08(3)	106.68(5)
N(12)-Cu-P(2)	120.82(3)	120.86(5)
P(1)-Cu-P(2)	120.417(13)	120.71(2)

Table S5. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for the complexes 1a and 2a.

Table S6. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for the complexes 1d (mol. 1 and 2) and 2b.

	1d (mol. 1)	1d (mol. 2)	2b
Cu-N(1)	2.0886(17)	2.0526(19)	2.159(4)
Cu-N(12)	2.0532(17)	2.0423(19)	2.156(4)
Cu-P(1)	2.2803(6)	2.1932(5)	2.3001(13)
Cu-P(2)	2.2360(6)	2.280(2)	2.3026(13)
		2.279(3)	
N(1)-Cu-N(12)	78.42(6)	79.23(8)	75.58(14)
N(1)-Cu-P(1)	125.02(5)	130.17(5)	105.91(11)
N(1)-Cu-P(2)	110.46(5)	102.34(8)	113.19(11)
		97.20(11)	
N(12)-Cu-P(1)	110.35(5)	117.67(5)	111.75(11)
N(12)-Cu-P(2)	104.95(5)	98.76(12)	102.84(11)
		108.82(14)	
P(1)-Cu-P(2)	118.21(2)	118.76(5)	132.95(5)
		117.00(7)	

Cu-N(1)	2.090(3)
Cu-N(12)	2.072(4)
Cu-P(1')	2.2480(11)
Cu-P(2)	2.2435(11)
N(12)-Cu-N(1)	78.10(14)
N(12)-Cu-P(2)	112.48(10)
N(1)-Cu-P(2)	122.57(10)
N(12)-Cu-P(1')	112.58(11)
N(1)-Cu-P(1')	112.51(10)
P(2)-Cu-P(1')	113.54(4)

 Table S7. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for the dimeric 2c complex.

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: i: 1-x; 1-y, 1-z

	_		
Table S8. Selected bond le	engths [Å] and angles	[°] for the complexes 3b ,	4d and 5d.

	3b	4d	5d	
Cu-N(11)	2.1505(11)	2.080(4)	2.0792(10)	
Cu-N(2)	2.1672(11)	2.087(4)	2.0814(11)	
Cu-P(1)	2.2744(4)	2.2040(12)	2.2555(3)	
Cu-P(2)	2.2853(4)	2.2820(12)	2.2482(3)	
N(11)-Cu-N(2)	74.70(4)	77.94(15)	77.46(4)	
N(11)-Cu-P(1)	108.78(3)	123.45(11)	110.97(3)	
N(11)-Cu-P(2)	107.08(3)	99.79(11)	118.95(3)	
N(2)-Cu-P(1)	110.96(3)	127.69(10)	120.88(3)	
N(2)-Cu-P(2)	110.61(3)	100.72(11)	114.54(3)	
P(1)-Cu-P(2)	130.506(14)	118.22(5)	110.717(13)	



Fig. S35. Best superimposition of complexes 2a and 2b.



Fig. S36. Superimposition between free and coordinated ligand trz^{OPh}-btz in complex **5d**. Carbon atoms are in violet for the free ligand trz^{OPh}-btz and in green for **5d** (DPEphos and hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity).

Structure [ML ₄]	SP-4	T-4	SS-4	vTBPY-4	$\tau_4{}^1$	τ'4 ²
[1 a]⁺	25.062	3.573	8.565	3.436	0.84	0.84
[1d] ⁺ (Cu1)	28.789	3.438	7.889	2.362	0.83	0.81
[1d]+ (Cu2) ^(a)	25.322	3.799	7.071	4.186	0.79	0.75
[1d] ⁺ (Cu2) ^(b)	29.700	3.635	7.319	2.916	0.79	0.76
[2a]⁺	29.852	3.329	9.404	3.345	0.84	0.84
[2b]⁺	29.166	4.189	7.319	3.412	0.81	0.75
[2 c] ⁺	27.067	3.303	8.156	3.195	0.88	0.85
[3b]⁺	31.638	3.918	7.907	2.881	0.84	0.78
[4d]⁺	30.136	3.701	8.086	3.754	0.77	0.76
[5d]⁺	25.478	3.547	8.590	3.923	0.85	0.85

Table S9. S H A P E v2.1 Continuous Shape Measures calculation and other tetrahedral parameters.

SP-4, Square-planar; **T-4**, Tetrahedron; **SS-4**, Seesaw; **vTBPY-4**, Vacant trigonal bipyramid [C_{3v}]

 $\ensuremath{^{(a,b)}}$ There are two disordered phosphorus atoms position.



Fig. S37. Absorption spectra of complexes 10⁻⁵ M in CH₂Cl₂ (1a, 3a, 4a and 5a, purple line; 1b, 3b and 4b, violet line; 1c, 3c, 4c and 5c, navy blue line; 1d, 3d, 4d and 5d, blue line).