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## 1. Methods and Materials

All reagents were purchased from Aladdin, Macklin and Adamas and used as received. Flash column chromatography was performed with Haiyang silica gel (200-300 mesh), All reaction mixtures and column eluents were monitored by TLC using commercial Huanghai glass plates (HSGF 254, $2.5 \times 8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The plates were visualized under UV radiation at 254 and 365 nm . NMR spectra were measured by a Bruker AV III HD 400 MHz. UV absorption spectra were recorded on an Agilent cary-5000 UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on a ACQUITY UPLC I-CLASS (ESI). Electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements were carried out on a Bruker EMX plus X-band spectrometer with 9.8 GHz microwave frequency. SQUID measurements were carried out on a Quantum Design (MPMS3SQUID VSM-094). Thermo gravimetric analysis (TGA) measurements were performed on Shimadzu TG-50 thermal gravimetric analyzer. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were measured on a Bruker Tensor-27 spectrometer. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectrum (EDX) were performed on a Phenom ProX electron microscope energy spectrum integrated machine. HRSEM were measured on a JEOL JSM-7500F scanning electron microscope. Cyclic voltammograms (CV) were measured on a Shanghai Chenhua CHI 660E electrochemical workstation. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) were measured on an Aglient 7800. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) were measured on a KRATOS AXIS Ultra DLD. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) were measured on a NETZSCH DSC214.

## 2.Preparation and characterization of radicals

### 2.1 Synthetic process

## Synthesis of methyl 4-(2-phenylhydrazine-1-carbonyl)benzoate (3a)



To an anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution ( 40 mL ) of phenylhydrazine $\mathbf{1 a}$ (2.2 $\mathrm{g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine ( $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) ( $3.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added methyl 4(chlorocarbonyl)benzoate $2(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h , monitored by TLC. The resulting precipitate was removed by suction filtration, followed by evaporation of THF under a reduced pressure. The yield solid was recrystallized from $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{EtOH}$, then dried under vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours to afford ethyl 4-(2-(4-(methoxycarbonyl)benzoyl)hydrazineyl)benzoate $\mathbf{3 a}$ as a white polycrystal ( $4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ).
${ }^{1}$ HNMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.14-7.19 (m, 2H), $7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ (DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 52.89,112.80,119.21,128.21,129.23,129.74,132.60,137.63,149.73$, 166.04, 166.13.

HRMS(ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 270.1004$, found [M+Na] 293.1129 .

## Synthesis of Methyl 4-[chloro(2-phenylhydrazinylidene)methyl]benzoate (4a)



To an acetonitrile (MeCN) ( 50 mL ) solution of compound $\mathbf{3 a}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added triphenylphosphine ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and carbon tetrachloride ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Then
the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h , monitored by TLC. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}=30: 1$ ) to give methyl 4-[chloro[2-(4-ethylbenzoate)hydrazinylidene]methyl]benzoate $\mathbf{4 a}$ as a yellow green solid ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ).
 7.34-7.38 (m, 2H), 8.01 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.09 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.19 (s, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 52.24,113.64,121.70,123.54,126.08,129.46,129.67,130.27$, 138.45, 142.86, 166.59.

HRMS(ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ 288.0666, found [M-Cl] ${ }^{+}$253.10375.

## Synthesis of DER



To an anhydrous THF solution $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ of $\mathbf{4 a}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $5(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. Then the mixture was heated to reflux for 24 h , monitored by TLC. The resulting mixture was cooled to room temperature and the precipitate was removed by suction filtration, followed by evaporation of THF under a reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}=30: 1$ ) to yield the intermediate as yellow oil. Then the residue was dissolved in dry DCM ( 10 mL ), and treated with 1,8 -diazabicyclo [5.4.0] undec-7-ene (DBU) ( 1 mL ) and $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ powder ( 20 mg ). The reaction mixture was stirred in open air for 24 h , upon filtration the resulting filtrate was evaporated to yield black crude solid. This solid was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}=20: 1$ ) and recrystallized from DCM/petroleum ether to give DER as a brown solid ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1}$ HNMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, reduced with $\sim 1$ equivalent ascorbic acid to form the triazine) $\delta$ $9.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS(ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 414.1454$, found 414.1772. Melting range: 256$258^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Synthesis of DAR



To a stirred mixture of DER ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL} / 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 5 h . After cooling to room temperature, THF was removed under a reduced pressure. pH value of the aqueous solution was adjusted to $5-6$ with 2 M HCl . The precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed with deionized water for three times, then dried under vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours to afford DAR as a black solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ).
${ }^{1}$ HNMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, reduced with $\sim 1$ equivalent ascorbic acid to form the triazine) $\delta$ $9.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS(ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 372.0984$, found 372.1050. Melting range: 365$370^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Synthesis of ethyl 4-hydrazineylbenzoate (1b)


s2
1b
To a stirred concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of ethyl 4-aminobenzoate $\mathbf{s} 2(11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 66$ mmol ) was added a freshly prepared solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water (30 mL ) dropwise over 10 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at room temperature for 90 minutes, the reaction mixture was added a solution of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(44.7 \mathrm{~g}, 198 \mathrm{mmol})$ in
concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The formed precipitate was collected by filtration and then dissolved in water ( 300 mL ). Then the pH of the solution was adjusted to $8-9$ by 2 M NaOH , the formed precipitate was filtered and dried under vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours to afford ethyl 4hydrazineylbenzoate 1b as a light yellow solid ( $9.75 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.37-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.55$ (s, 1H), $6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 14.4,60.3,110.6,120.7,131.4,154.6,166.7$.

HRMS(ESI ${ }^{+}$: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 181.0977, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$181.1061.

## Synthesis of ethyl 4-(2-(4-(methoxycarbonyl)benzoyl)hydrazineyl)benzoate (3b)



To an anhydrous THF solution ( 50 mL ) of $\mathbf{1 b}(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 20$
 $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h , monitored by TLC. The resulting precipitate was removed by suction filtration, followed by evaporation of THF under a reduced pressure. The yield solid was recrystallized from THF/EtOH, then dried under vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours to afford ethyl 4-(2-(4(methoxycarbonyl)benzoyl)hydrazineyl)benzoate $\mathbf{3 b}$ as a white solid ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ).
${ }^{1}$ HNMR (DMSO-d6, 400 MHz ) $\delta 1.25-1.31$ (m, 3H), 4.20-4.27 (m, 2H), 5.90 (s, 3H), $6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.68$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 14.8,52.9,60.3,111.6,119.8,128.3,129.8$, 131.2, 132.8, 137.2, 153.7, 166.0, 166.1, 166.1.

HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 342.1216$, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 365.1414$.

## Synthesis of methyl 4-[chloro[2-(4-ethylbenzoate)hydrazinylidene]methyl]benz oate (4b)



To an acetonitrile (MeCN) ( 80 mL ) solution of compound $\mathbf{3 b}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added triphenylphosphine ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and carbon tetrachloride ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Then the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h , monitored by TLC. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}=10: 1$ ) to give methyl 4-[chloro[2-(4-ethylbenzoate)hydrazinylidene]methyl]benzoate $\mathbf{4 b}$ as a yellow green solid ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 68$ \%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.39-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 14.76,52.7,60.6,113.8,122.3,124.0,126.9,130.0,130.5$, $131.2,138.5,148.1,165.9,166.1$.

HRMS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} 361.0955$, found $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 361.1003$.

## Synthesis of TER



To an anhydrous THF solution ( 20 mL ) of $\mathbf{4 b}(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{5}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. Then the mixture was heated to reflux for 24 h , monitored by TLC. The resulting mixture was cooled to room temperature and the precipitate was removed by suction filtration, followed by evaporation of THF under a reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}=15: 1$ ) to yield the intermediate as light yellow solid. Then the residue was dissolved in dry DCM ( 10 mL ), and treated with $1,8-$ diazabicyclo [5.4.0] undec-7-ene (DBU) ( 1 mL ) and $10 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ powder ( 20 mg ).

The reaction mixture was stirred in open air for 24 h , upon filtration the resulting filtrate was evaporated to yield black crude solid. This residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V}=20: 1$ ) and recrystallized from DCM/petroleum ether to give TER as a brown solid ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ). ${ }^{1}$ HNMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, reduced with $\sim 1$ equivalent ascorbic acid to form the triazine) $\delta$ 9.63 (s, 1H), 8.08 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.03 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS(ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd.for $\mathrm{C}_{2} 7 \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} 486.1665$, found 486.2016. Melting range: 227$229^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Synthesis of TAR



To a stirred mixture of TER ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL} / 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, then the reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 5 h . After cooling to room temperature, THF was removed under a reduced pressure. pH value of the aqueous solution was adjusted to $5-6$ with 2 M HCl . The precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed with deionized water for three times, then dried under vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours to afford TAR as a black solid ( $0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ).
${ }^{1}$ HNMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, reduced with $\sim 1$ equivalent ascorbic acid to form the triazine) $\delta$ $9.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59$ (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

HRMS(ESI ${ }^{+}$): calcd.for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} 416.0885$, found 416.0574. Melting range: $360-$ $365^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2 NMR and Mass spectra



Figure S1. ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectrum of 3a in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{1 b}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{3 b}$ in DMSO-d6.


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{4 b}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S6. ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectrum of DER in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$.


Figure S7. ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectrum of DAR in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.


Figure S8. ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectrum of TER in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.


Figure S9. ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectrum of TAR in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.


Figure S10. ${ }^{1}$ HNMR spectrum of Ascorbic Acid in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$.


Figure S11. ${ }^{13}$ CNMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 a}$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$.


Figure S12. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S13. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{1 b}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S14. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{3 b}$ in $\mathrm{DMSO}_{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}$.
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Figure S15. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{4 b}$ in $\mathrm{DMSO}_{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}$.


Figure S16. HRMS (ESI) spectrum of 3a.


Figure S17. HRMS (ESI) spectrum of $\mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S18. HRMS (ESI) spectrum of DER.


Figure S19. HRMS (ESI) spectrum of DAR.


Figure S20. HRMS (ESI) spectrum of 1b.


Figure S21. HRMS (ESI) spectrum of 3b.


Figure S22. HRMS (ESI) spectrum of 4b.


Figure S23. HRMS (ESI) spectrum of TER.


### 2.3 Thermostability measurement

All solid samples were dried under vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h before TGA measurements. TGA curves revealed no obvious weight loss between 30 and $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating that all radicals are stable up to $\sim 300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S25. TGA diagrams of (a) DER, DAR and (b) TER, TAR under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$; heating rate $=10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. DSC spectra of (c) DER, DAR and (d) TER, TAR.


Figure S26. ESR spectra of DAR (a) and TAR (b) in DMF at room temperature (black line), $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ maintained for 12 (red line) and 24 hours (blue line).

### 2.4 Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra

Before FT-IR measurements, the samples were dried in vacuum at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours, and the potassium bromide $(\mathrm{KBr})$ was dried in oven at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The absence of typical $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibration absorption above $3100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicates that intermediates are fully converted into radicals. The $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration bands shifted from $\sim 1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $\sim 1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, meanwhile broad peak appeared at 2500-3000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ caused by hydroxyl association of carbolic acid groups. These results proved that ester groups were hydrolyzed to carbolic acid groups.


Figure S27. FT-IR spectra of DER, DAR (a) and TER, TAR (b).

### 2.5 Absorption spectra and cyclic voltammetry

The normalized absorption spectra of these radicals displayed an intense absorption band at near 290 nm , along with weak absorption at near-ultraviolet and visible light regions.


Figure S28. Normalized UV absorption spectra of radicals in dichloromethane (DCM).

The CV spectra of DER, TER, DAR and TAR showed one reversible oxidation wave at $E^{\mathrm{OX}}{ }_{1 / 2}=0.55 \mathrm{~V}, 0.58 \mathrm{~V}, 0.17 \mathrm{~V}, 0.13 \mathrm{~V}$ and one reduction wave at $E^{\mathrm{red}}{ }_{1 / 2}=-0.61 \mathrm{~V}$, $-0.48 \mathrm{~V},-0.85 \mathrm{~V},-0.85 \mathrm{~V}$, respectively. Highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy levels were calculated to be -4.81 and -3.65 eV for DER, -4.84 and -3.78 eV for TER, -4.46 and -3.44 eV for DAR, -4.42 and -3.44 eV for TAR.


Figure S29. Cyclic voltammograms of radicals in dry DCM with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ as the supporting electrolyte, $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ as the reference electrode, glassy carbon as the working electrode, Pt wire as the counter electrode, and a scan rate of $100 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The red stars indicate the half-wave potential $E_{1 / 2}$.

### 2.6 Gaussian simulation calculation

All calculations were performed with the Gaussian 16 program suite. ${ }^{[1]}$ Full geometry optimizations were carried out at the (U)B3LYP/6-31G(d) level. The HOMO orbit was delocalized mainly on the backbone of benzotriazinyl moiety and the phenyl at $\mathrm{N}_{1}$, and the LUMO orbit was delocalized mainly on the backbone of benzotriazinyl moiety and the phenyl at $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. HOMO, LUMO and spin densities were illustrated using GaussianView 5.0.

Table S1. Calculated HOMO and LUMO orbits of DAR and TAR.


### 2.7. ESR spectra/simulation and data analysis

Simulations of solution ESR spectra were performed with the Easyspin program in Matlab. ${ }^{[2]}$

ESR spectra of DER and TER in DCM and DAR and TAR in DMF at room temperature show typical seven-line patterns consistent with the coupling of the unpaired electron with three chemically distinct nitrogen atoms of the triazinyl ring.

The hyperfine coupling constants (hfcc) obtained from simulated ESR spectra are $\alpha$ (N1) $=7.81, \alpha(\mathrm{~N} 2)=4.78$, and $\alpha(\mathrm{N} 3)=4.49 \mathrm{G}$ for DER, $\alpha(\mathrm{N} 1)=7.85, \alpha(\mathrm{~N} 2)=4.67$, and $\alpha(\mathrm{N} 3)=4.67 \mathrm{G}$ for DAR; $\alpha(\mathrm{N} 1)=7.39, \alpha(\mathrm{~N} 2)=4.65$, and $\alpha(\mathrm{N} 3)=4.60 \mathrm{G}$ for TER, $\alpha(\mathrm{N} 1)=7.58, \alpha(\mathrm{~N} 2)=4.71$, and $\alpha(\mathrm{N} 3)=4.61 \mathrm{G}$ for TAR. Spin density $(\rho)$ distributions were estimated from hyperfine splitting constants using McConnell's equation.


Figure S30. ESR spectra of DER (a) and TER (c) in DCM, DAR (b) and TAR (d) in DMF at room temperature. The black and red lines indicate experimental, simulated spectra, respectively.

Table S2. The hyperfine coupling constant (hfcc) $\alpha_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{G})$ and spin densities ( $\rho_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) estimated from hfcc using McConnell's equation ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ of DER, DAR, TER and TAR.

| Compound | $\alpha_{\mathrm{N}} 1 / \mathrm{G}$ | $\alpha_{\mathrm{N}} 2 / \mathrm{G}$ | $\alpha_{\mathrm{N}} 3 / \mathrm{G}$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{N}} 3$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DER | 7.81 | 4.78 | 4.49 | 0.312 | 0.225 | 0.212 |
| DAR | 7.85 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 0.314 | 0.220 | 0.220 |
| TER | 7.39 | 4.65 | 4.60 | 0.296 | 0.219 | 0.217 |
| TAR | 7.58 | 4.71 | 4.61 | 0.303 | 0.222 | 0.217 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \alpha_{\mathrm{N}}=Q_{\mathrm{N}} \rho_{\mathrm{N}}$, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{N}}$ are the hfcc in Gauss; $Q_{\mathrm{N}}=21.2 \mathrm{G}$ (for N 2 and N 3 ) and $Q_{\mathrm{N}}=$ 25 G (for N1).


Figure S31. The measured (black square) and fitted (solid red line) IT-T curves of solid DER (a), DAR (b), TER (c) and TAR (d) based on the variable temperature ESR measurements from 140 to 370 K . The solid lines are the fitting curves according to Bleaney-Bowers equation; g-factor was taken to be 2 .

## Data analysis

The values of singlet and triplet energy gap $\Delta E_{\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}}$ were roughly estimated to be -0.97 , $-1.01,-0.64$ and $-1.18 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for DER, DAR, TER, TAR respectively. Forbidden
transition signals $\Delta m s= \pm 2$ was observed for DER (Figure S32 a).


Figure S32. Variable temperature ESR spectra of solid DER (a), TER (b). Inset shows the half field forbidden transition signal at 140 K .

### 2.8 Magnetization measurements and data analysis

For SQUID measurements, magnetic susceptibility of powder samples of DER (11.9 mg ), DAR ( 14.0 mg ), UD-Zn (12.2 mg), TER ( 12.8 mg ), TAR ( 11.9 mg ) and UT-Zn $(7.0 \mathrm{mg})$, was measured in a polycarbonate capsule fitted in a brass straw as a function of temperature in heating ( $5 \mathrm{~K} \rightarrow 300 \mathrm{~K}, 3 \mathrm{~K}$ increasement in a range $5-50 \mathrm{~K}, 4 \mathrm{~K}$ increasement in a range $50-90 \mathrm{~K}, 15 \mathrm{~K}$ increasement in a range $90-300 \mathrm{~K}$.) at 1.0 T using a SQUID magnetometer. The data were corrected for both sample diamagnetism (Pascal's constants) and the diamagnetism of the sample holder (polycarbonate capsule) (Figure S33).


Figure S33. Temperature-dependent plots of $\chi \mathrm{T}$ measured at 1.0 T in the stable mode from 5 to 300 K. (a) DER (black triangle), DAR (blue square); (b) TER (black triangle), TAR (blue square). The solid lines are the fitting curves according to Bleaney-Bowers equation; g -factor was taken to be 2 .

## Data analysis

The SQUID data were roughly fitted with a modified Bleaney-Bowers equation.

$$
\chi=\frac{N g^{2} \mu_{\beta}^{2}}{k T}\left[\frac{2}{3+e^{-2 J / k T}}\right](1-\rho)+\frac{N g^{2} \mu_{\beta}^{2}}{2 k T} \rho+T I P(1-\rho) \quad \text { Equation S1 }
$$

Where $\rho$ is the fraction of $\mathrm{s}=1 / 2$ impurity, TIP is the temperature independent paramagnetism due to a small energy gap between group singlet state and excited triplet state

The estimated $2 J=-0.72 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{D E R}$, with $\rho=52.8 \%, T I P=0.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{emu} / \mathrm{mol}$, the Adj. R-Square $>0.995$.

The estimated $2 J=-0.12 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{D A R}$, with $\rho=71.7 \%, T I P=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{emu} / \mathrm{mol}$, the Adj. R-Square $>0.994$.

The estimated $2 J=-0.25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{T E R}$, with $\rho=38.2 \%, T I P=1.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{emu} / \mathrm{mol}$, the Adj. R-Square $>0.998$.

The estimated $2 J=-0.11 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{T A R}$, with $\rho=81.1 \%, T I P=2.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{emu} / \mathrm{mol}$, the Adj. R-Square >0.997.

## 3. Preparation and characterization of CPs

### 3.1 Ultrasonic synthesis:

CPs were prepared according to modified synthesis method reported by Farrukh Israr et al. ${ }^{[4]}$ A solid mixture of $50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ligand DAR and identical metal acetate $\left(\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ were dissolved in $4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~N}-$ diethylformamide (DEF). The formed precipitate was centrifuged and filtered upon ultrasonic irradiation for 30 min at the frequency of 40 kHz at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the resulting CPs UD-Cu and UD-Zn were solvent exchanged with DEF ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and DCM ( $3 \times 10$ mL ), respectively, followed by vacuum drying at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . UT-Cu and UT-Zn were prepared in a similar manner by $50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ligand TAR and $100 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ metal acetate $\left(\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. The $\Delta E_{\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}}$ values were estimated to be -1.18 and $-0.64 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for UD-Zn and UT-Zn, respectively.


Figure S34. Images of ultrasonic treated solution of ligands and metal acetates for 30 mins at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, UD-Cu (a), UT-Cu (b), UD-Zn (c), UT-Zn (d).


Figure S35. EPR spectra of UD-Cu, and UT-Cu at 77 and 140 K


Figure S36. Variable temperature ESR spectra of ICP UD-Zn from 140 to 190 K.


Figure S37. The measured (black square) and fitted (solid red line) IT-T curves of CPs UD-Zn (a), UT-Zn (b) based on the variable temperature ESR measurements from 140 to 370 K . The solid lines are the fitting curves according to Bleaney-Bowers equation; g -factor was taken to be 2 .


Figure S38. Normalized EPR spectra of DAR and acid digested UD-Zn (a), TAR and acid digested UT-Zn (b) in DEF at room temperature

### 3.3 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)

For XPS measurements, the auger electron spectra were also used to accurately explain the chemical state of elements. As shown in Figure S39 a-b, the peaks at around 569.5 eV are related to $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+} \mathrm{LMM}$, and the typical peaks at around 571.5 eV are corresponding to $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$LMM. Wide spectra of copper and carbon are also given in Figure S39 c-f. These results support the coexistence of two valence states of copper in CPs UD-Cu and UT-Cu.


Figure S39. The XPS Cu auger electron spectra of UD-Cu (a) and UT-Cu (b); the Cu 2 p wide spectra of UD-Cu (c) and UT-Cu (d).


Figure S40. XPS spectra of N 1s on DAR (a), TAR (b), UD-Cu (c), and UT-Cu (d).

Table S3. ICP-MS measurements of UD-Zn and UT-Zn.

| Name | Sample <br> quality <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | Constant <br> volume <br> $(\mathrm{mL})$ | Dilution <br> multiple | Zinc concentration in <br> original solution of <br> digestion solution <br> $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L})$ | Zinc content <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UD-Zn | 0.0153 | 25 | 1000 | 100114 | 16.36 |
| UT-Zn | 0.0195 | 25 | 1000 | 173618 | 22.26 |

The ratio of zinc ions to ligands was calculated to be 1:1 for UD-Zn and 1.5:1 for UT$\mathbf{Z n}$ according to inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS)
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## Coordinates for calculated geometries:

## DAR

| Symbol X |  | Y | Z |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | 1.318506 | 4.035393 | 0.072724 |
| C | 0.880426 | 2.788049 | -0.050674 |
| C | -0.367330 | 2.281755 | -0.069134 |
| C | -1.173594 | 3.369299 | 0.099830 |
| C | -0.778592 | 4.663708 | 0.131362 |
| C | 0.471533 | 5.014281 | 0.159602 |
| N | -0.615475 | 0.993802 | -0.092999 |
| C | -1.726636 | 0.303939 | -0.007356 |
| C | -1.669939 | -1.014755 | 0.185463 |
| N | -0.550715 | -1.635035 | 0.211053 |
| C | 0.546762 | -1.013263 | -0.010083 |
| N | 0.381445 | 0.222300 | -0.101689 |
| C | -3.055361 | 0.723820 | -0.086600 |
| C | -4.165011 | -0.083449 | 0.106776 |
| C | -3.942343 | -1.389382 | 0.344218 |
| C | -2.700286 | -1.907648 | 0.352669 |
| C | 1.669594 | -1.680327 | -0.154231 |
| C | 2.842050 | -1.115140 | -0.331574 |


| C | 3.991874 | -1.823045 | -0.319222 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 4.065423 | -3.153284 | -0.159067 |
| C | 2.824434 | -3.753164 | -0.068767 |
| C | 1.660759 | -3.012721 | -0.070699 |
| C | -5.359694 | 0.471751 | 0.035155 |
| O | -6.373512 | -0.171223 | 0.269264 |
| O | -5.498967 | 1.791294 | -0.237255 |
| C | 5.201172 | -3.867276 | -0.072851 |
| O | 5.200269 | -5.083212 | 0.097536 |
| O | 6.379624 | -3.175435 | -0.142823 |
| H | 2.421827 | 4.075151 | 0.053474 |
| H | 1.763914 | 2.071769 | -0.073780 |
| H | -2.219580 | 3.127217 | 0.197981 |
| H | -1.657414 | 5.333787 | 0.190683 |
| H | 0.810955 | 6.039780 | 0.222821 |
| H | -3.231745 | 1.743447 | -0.396729 |
| H | -4.733893 | -2.138426 | 0.605933 |
| H | -2.451905 | -2.946084 | 0.615936 |
| H | 3.006886 | -0.022020 | -0.504160 |
| H | 4.868655 | -1.189627 | -0.473075 |
| H | 2.714740 | -4.836188 | 0.020453 |
| H | 0.768560 | -3.683494 | 0.017924 |
| H | -4.671271 | 2.277624 | -0.387664 |
| H | 6.201044 | -2.216063 | -0.221380 |
| H |  |  |  |

## TAR

| Symbol X |  | Y | Z |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| C | 0.816120 | 3.770110 | -0.275426 |
| C | 0.716961 | 2.420798 | -0.320024 |


| C | -0.377848 | 1.769035 | 0.034186 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C | -1.297132 | 2.516967 | 0.600144 |
| C | -1.277677 | 3.865940 | 0.535310 |
| C | -0.215545 | 4.554694 | 0.112872 |
| N | -0.464461 | 0.507871 | -0.011815 |
| C | -1.560314 | -0.164920 | 0.079919 |
| C | -1.526186 | -1.504887 | 0.147715 |
| N | -0.402698 | -2.097910 | 0.080634 |
| C | 0.704838 | -1.488647 | -0.101455 |
| N | 0.543001 | -0.229598 | -0.285466 |
| C | -2.896983 | 0.308015 | -0.080446 |
| C | -4.047633 | -0.453242 | 0.117116 |
| C | -3.813579 | -1.766805 | 0.298712 |
| C | -2.598597 | -2.344400 | 0.259090 |
| C | 1.815229 | -2.151572 | -0.293126 |
| C | 2.974449 | -1.564621 | -0.443160 |
| C | 4.119216 | -2.271894 | -0.385001 |
| C | 4.132376 | -3.597179 | -0.196329 |
| C | 2.908607 | -4.219175 | -0.078677 |
| C | 1.760290 | -3.469953 | -0.155543 |
| O | -0.162360 | 5.883470 | -0.003590 |
| O | 0.842394 | 6.484260 | -0.396083 |
| O | -1.320917 | 6.632217 | 0.198756 |
| C | -5.258487 | 0.077340 | 0.013134 |
| O | -6.231227 | -0.626386 | 0.218167 |
| O | -5.462366 | 1.376034 | -0.275936 |
| C | 5.246324 | -4.304467 | -0.090540 |
| O | 5.242766 | -5.519755 | 0.071087 |
|  | -3.603194 | -0.180699 |  |


| H | 1.565949 | 1.854433 | -0.742649 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| H | -2.187934 | 2.085665 | 1.100652 |
| H | -2.157532 | 4.361122 | 0.902675 |
| H | -3.079857 | 1.345783 | -0.432504 |
| H | -4.634398 | -2.505351 | 0.489021 |
| H | -2.415345 | -3.417739 | 0.413539 |
| H | 3.127900 | -0.479671 | -0.625009 |
| H | 4.976237 | -1.616319 | -0.554246 |
| H | 2.785541 | -5.296700 | 0.046862 |
| H | 0.854906 | -4.114383 | -0.059382 |
| H | -2.011675 | 6.060519 | 0.605106 |
| H | -4.619678 | 1.846931 | -0.388614 |
| H | 6.296244 | -2.636852 | -0.242234 |

