Electronic Supplementary information

Electrochemical atomic force microscopy of two-dimensional trinuclear ruthenium molecular assembly and dynamics under redox state control

Soichiro Yoshimoto,^{a,*} Jinnosuke Kato,^b Hiroki Sakamoto,^c Hironori Minamoto,^b Keita Daicho,^d Kazuki Takamura,^d Naoki Shimomoto,^d Masaaki Abe ^{d,*}

^a-Institute of Industrial Nanomaterials, Kumamoto University, 2–39–1 Kurokami, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan.

b. Graduate School of Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, 2–39–1 Kurokami, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan.

^c Department of Applied Chemistry and Biochemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kumamoto University, 2-39-1 Kurokami, Chuo-ku, Kumamoto 860-8555. Japan

^{d.}Graduate School of Science, University of Hyogo, 3-2-1, Koto, Kamigori-cho, Ako-gun, Hyogo 678-1297, Japan

Experimental

Materials. The chemical reagents and solvents used in this study were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. All chemical syntheses were performed in air. The [Ru₃O(CHCl₂CO₂)₆(CH₃OH)₃]CHCl₂CO₂ complex was prepared as previously reported.¹

Methods. Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were obtained using a JASCO FT/IR-4000 instrument and the attenuated total reflectance (ATR) method. UV-vis spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-3600 plus UV-vis-NIR spectrometer using CH₃CN as the solvent. ¹H NMR spectra were obtained at 600 MHz on a JEOL ECZ-600R/S1 spectrometer using CDCl₃ as the solvent and TMS as an internal standard. Elemental analysis was performed at the Laboratory for Organic Elemental Microanalysis, Kyoto University.

Synthesis of [Ru₃O(CHCl₂CO₂)₆(bpy)₃] (bpy = 4,4'-bipyridine) (Complex 2). In a two-necked round-bottom flask, the precursor [Ru₃O(CHCl₂CO₂)₆(CH₃OH)₃]CHCl₂CO₂ (0.112 g, 0.085 mmol) and bpy (0.374 g, 2.395 mmol) were dissolved in MeOH (100 mL), and the solution was refluxed with stirring for 2 h, during which the initial dark green color of the solution changed to reddish brown. After cooling, the resulting solution was evaporated to dryness. The solid residue was dissolved in a minimal amount of MeOH, separated by size-exclusion column chromatography with Sephadex LH-20, and eluted with MeOH. A reddish-brown main band was collected and evaporated to dryness to give a crude solid that contained a small amount of bpy (based on ¹H NMR spectroscopy, CDCl₃). Recrystallization of the crude solid twice from CHCl₃/n-pentane yielded a pure compound. Yield: 59.2 mg (44.8%). Anal. Calcd. for [Ru₃O(CHCl₂COO)₆(bpy)₃] (C₄₂H₃₀Cl₁₂N₆O₁₃Ru₃): C, 32.43; H, 1.94; N = 5.40%. Found: C, 32.19; H, 1.86; N, 5.42%. FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1594 (m, ν_{asym}(COO)) and 1389 (s, ν_{sym}(COO)) (m = medium, s = strong). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 293 K) δ9.28 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H, 2,6-H of external pyridyl ring in bpy), 8.89 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 6H, 2,6-H of coordinated pyridyl ring in bpy), 8.81 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 6H, 3,5-H of

external pyridyl ring in bpy), 7.80 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 6H, 3,5-H of coordinated pyridyl ring in bpy), 5.76 (s, 6H, $CHCl_2CO_2^-$). UV–vis (CH₃CN) λ_{max} /nm (ϵ /M⁻¹ cm⁻¹): 935 (3,300), 400 (5,500), 243 (18,000).

Chemicals. Perchloric acid, sulfuric acid (ultrapure grade), and methanol (pure grade) were purchased from Cica Merck. All the chemicals were used without further purification. Complex 2-modified HOPG substrate was prepared by casting 10 μL of 2.3 μM Complex 2 methanolic solution onto a clean HOPG surface. After drying in air, the modified HOPG substrate was placed in an electrochemical glass cell through an O-ring (fluoro-power, P-6). The diameter of the O-ring was 0.6 cm, meaning that the electrode area was 0.283 cm².

Measurements. Electrochemical measurements were performed in 0.1 M HClO₄ and/or H₂SO₄ under an Ar atmosphere at 20–25 °C using an ALS/HCH model 650CY electrochemical analyzer (BAS Japan). For cyclic voltammetry, HOPG (Bruker, ZYB grade) substrates were used as the working electrodes after cleavage by scotch tape before each experiment. A reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) and coiled Pt wire were used as the reference and counter electrodes, respectively.

AFM measurements were conducted a multimode AFM equipped with a Nanoscope V controller (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) under ambient and/or electrochemical conditions with the ScanAsyst (PeakForceTapping) mode. EC-AFM measurements were performed in either 0.1 M HClO₄ or 0.1 M H₂SO₄ using an Electrochemistry TappingMode fluid cell (Bruker, MMTMEC) with SNL-10 and/or SCANASYST Fluid+ cantilever (Bruker, tip radius 2 nm). AFM images were obtained at scanning rates of 1 and/or 2 Hz. All potential values were referenced to an RHE.

References

1) A. Inatomi, M. Abe, and Y. Hisaeda, Aust. J. Chem., 2012, 65, 1599–1607.

Electronic Supplementary information