## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR:** Establishing tungsten carbides as active catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> hydrogenation

## Pulse oxidation quantification of active sites for estimating turnover frequency (TOF):

$$TOF = \frac{F_{CO2} * X}{n_{WxC}}$$

Where  $F_{CO2}$  is the inlet molar flow rate of CO<sub>2</sub>, X is CO<sub>2</sub> conversion, and  $n_{WxC}$  is the number of moles of  $W_xC$ , determined by pulse oxidation (found in Table 1). For active site quantification, we assume each WC site adsorbs and reacts with two oxygen molecules to form the passivation layer of tungsten oxides.

## **Supporting Figures and Tables:**

**Table S1.** Median and average particle size of IWI and M catalysts carburized at 835 °C for 2 hours, 5 hours and 10 hours with reported standard deviations.

Catalyst	Median Particle	Average Particle		
Catalyst	Size (nm)	Size (nm)		
M 835 °C - 2hr	$9.4\pm7.5$	$12.4 \pm 7.5$		
M 835 °C - 5hr	$8.3\pm8.1$	$10.9\pm8.1$		
M 835 °C - 10hr	$11.1\pm8.4$	$12.9\pm8.4$		
IWI 835 °C - 2hr	$11.7\pm6.7$	$12.3\pm6.7$		
IWI 835 °C - 5hr	$11.1\pm7.0$	$11.7\pm7.0$		
IWI 835 °C - 10hr	$8.7\pm4.6$	$9.1\pm4.6$		



**Figure S1.** Particle size distributions of nano- $W_xC$  (M) carburized at 835 °C for a) 10 hours, b) 5 hours, and c) 2 hours, and IWI  $W_xC$  carburized at 835 °C for d) 10 hours, e) 5 hours and f) 2 hours with corresponding TEM images (g-m).

Table S2. BET surface areas of IWI and M catalysts.

Catalyst	BET Surface Area (m <sup>2</sup> /g)			
Catalyst	IWI	Μ		
Calcined at 550 °C	149	99		
Carburized at 600 °C	128	1.7		
Carburized at 835 °C	173	98		
Carburized at 1000 °C	112	68		



**Figure S2.** BET Isotherms of IWI catalysts. a) Calcined and b-d) carburized at each respective temperature.



Figure S3. BET Isotherms of M catalysts. a) Calcined and b-d) carburized at each respective temperature.



**Figure S4.** TEM images with 50 nm scale bar of nano- $W_xC$  after 12 hrs on stream during RWGS, and carburized at a,d) 1000 °C, b,e) 835 °C, and c,f) 600 °C, with respective particle size distributions (g-i).



**Figure S5.** TEM images with 50 nm scale bar of IWI  $W_xC$  after 12 hrs on stream during RWGS, and carburized at a,d) 1000 °C, b,e) 835 °C, and c,f) 600 °C, and respective particle size distributions (g-i).

Carburization	IWI Median ± Std. De	Particle Size ev. (nm)	M Median Particle Size ± Std. Dev. (nm)		
Temperature (°C)	As-Synthesized	As-Synthesized Post-RWGS		Post-RWGS	
600	$13.7\pm5.8$	$20.5\pm11.4$	$15.7\pm8.4$	$11.1 \pm 7.6$	
835	$11.1\pm7.0$	$17.1 \pm 7.1$	$7.2\pm6.1$	$13.7\pm6.3$	
1000	$13.0\pm7.4$	$14.4\pm9.4$	$6.7\pm7.3$	$9.4\pm5.0$	

**Table S3.** Median particle size of IWI and M catalysts carburized at 600 °C, 835 °C, and 1000 °C with reported standard deviation for as-synthesized and post-RWGS catalysts.

Table S4. Cumulative quantities of adsorbed  $O_2$  during pulse oxidation with 1%  $O_2/N_2$ .

Catalyst	Cumulative Quantity of O2 Adsorbed (µmol/g)					
M 600	2.5					
M 835	2.6					
M 1000	2.7					
IWI 600	2.7					
IWI 835	6.4					
IWI 1000	2.5					

Table S5. ICP-MS Loadings of IWI and M catalysts carburized at 600 °C, 835 °C and 1000 °C.

Catalyst	Tungsten Weight	Sodium Weight
Catalyst	Percent (%)	Percent (%)
M 600 °C	6.2	8.0
M 835 °C	6.8	8.0
M 1000 °C	7.4	8.0
IWI 600 °C	6.2	0.02
IWI 835 °C	7.1	0.02
IWI 1000 °C	8.5	0.02

C	omponent	IWI 600 °C	IWI 835 °C	IWI 1000 °C	М 600 °С	М 835 °С	М 1000 °С
W	Peak Position (eV)	31.0	31.2	30.3	30.8	30.3	30.9
	FWHM	0.8	1.0	1.3	0.9	1.1	1.3
W <sub>2</sub> C Po	Peak Position (eV)	31.4	31.5	31.6	31.3	31.2	31.2
	FWHM	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.9	1.8
WC	Peak Position (eV)	31.8	32.3	32.5	31.9	31.8	32.0
	FHWM	0.8	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.8	1.1
WO <sub>2</sub>	Peak Position (eV)	32.9	32.9	33.3	33.0	32.9	32.8
	FWHM	1.8	1.5	1.8	1.4	0.8	1.8
WO <sub>3</sub>	Peak Position (eV)	35.6	35.6	36.2	35.2	35.2	35.3
	FWHM	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.0

Table S6. Peak positions and FWHM for fit XPS spectra.

	T (°C)	P (MPa)	H <sub>2</sub> :CO <sub>2</sub> Ratio	GHSV (L/kg/s)	Conversion (%)	Carbon-based Selectivity		СО	CO STY	CO Production	
Catalyst						СО	(76) CH <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>2+</sub> Products	Yield (%)	(µmol CO/gcat/s)	(kg CO/ kgMetal/Day)
M 600 *	350	2.1	3	7.5	2.5	96.5	3.5	0	2.4	1.80	29.0
M 835 *	350	2.1	3	7.5	4.9	99.2	0.8	0	4.9	3.62	58.3
M 1000 *	350	2.1	3	7.5	13.9	94.6	5	0.4	13.1	9.78	157.8
IWI 600 *	350	2.1	3	7.5	6.5	88	11.3	0.7	5.7	4.26	68.6
IWI 835 *	350	2.1	3	7.5	9.2	94.9	4.9	0.2	8.7	6.50	104.8
IWI 1000 *	350	2.1	3	7.5	5.9	86.3	12.9	0.8	5.1	3.79	61.1
WC [1]	300	2	3	1.0	8.8	89.9	10.1	0	7.9	1.18	8.9
WC [1]	350	2	3	1.0	24.3	88.0	12.0	0	21.4	3.18	24.1
KWC [1]	300	2	3	1.0	4.8	100.0	0.0	0	4.8	0.71	5.4
KWC <sup>[1]</sup>	350	2	3	1.0	20.3	98.1	1.9	0	19.9	2.96	22.4
NaWC	300	2	3	1.0	1.9	100.0	0.0	0	1.9	0.28	2.1
NaWC <sup>[1]</sup>	350	2	3	1.0	13.6	100.0	0.0	0	13.6	2.02	15.3
Cu-ZnO <sup>[1]</sup>	270	3	3	5.6	5.3	93.2	0.0	6.8	4.9	2.45	59.3
Cu/Mo <sub>2</sub> C <sup>[2]</sup>	300	2	5	2.5	19.0	38.0	32.0	30.0	7.2	1.21	5.8
Ni/Mo <sub>2</sub> C <sup>[2]</sup>	300	2	5	2.5	29.0	29.0	64.0	7.0	8.4	1.41	6.8
Co/Mo <sub>2</sub> C <sup>[2]</sup>	300	2	5	2.5	31.0	19.0	37.0	44.0	5.9	0.99	4.8
Low T WGS Industrial Cu <sup>[3]</sup>	300	2.1	3	36.7	23.3	92.6	4.6	2.8	21.6	80.2	194.0
High T WGS Industrial FeCrOx	450	2.1	3	36.7	47.5	48.6	37.3	7.3	23.1	85.8	207.7
P-K-Mo <sub>2</sub> C/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	300	2.1	3	36.7	1.2	99.2	0.8	0.0	1.2	4.3	52.9
P-K-Mo <sub>2</sub> C/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	450	2.1	3	36.7	26.8	99.3	0.3	0.4	26.6	99.1	1219.0
P-K-Mo <sub>2</sub> C/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	450	2.1	3	18.3	33.1	99.9	0.1	0.0	33.0	61.4	755.6
P-K-Mo <sub>2</sub> C/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>[3]</sup>	450	2.1	3	1.8	42.1	99.1	0.8	0.2	41.7	7.8	95.5
L-K-Mo <sub>2</sub> C/γ-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	450	2.1	3	36.7	22.1	97.3	2.0	0.7	21.5	80.0	984.2

**Table S7.** Selected catalysts for benchmarking catalytic performance of IWI and M  $W_xC$  catalysts. The asterisk (\*) indicates this work.

## References

- [1] J. R. Morse, M. Juneau, J. W. Baldwin, M. D. Porosoff, H. D. Willauer, *Journal of CO2 Utilization* **2020**, *35*, 38-46.
- [2] W. Xu, P. J. Ramírez, D. Stacchiola, J. L. Brito, J. A. Rodriguez, *Catalysis Letters* 2015, 145, 1365-1373.
- M. Juneau, M. Vonglis, J. Hartvigsen, L. Frost, D. Bayerl, M. Dixit, G. Mpourmpakis, J. R. Morse, J. W. Baldwin, H. D. Willauer, M. D. Porosoff, *Energy & Environmental Science* 2020.