Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Nanoscale. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

### Supporting information for

# In situ Cu single atoms anchoring on MOF-derived porous TiO<sub>2</sub> for efficient separation of photon-generated carriers and photocatalytic H<sub>2</sub> evolution

Yuxiang Ma<sup>a</sup>, Yumin Zhang <sup>\*a</sup>, Yiwen Ma<sup>a</sup>, Tianping Lv<sup>a</sup>, Bin Xiao<sup>a</sup>, Xinya

Kuang a, Xiyu Deng a, Jin Zhang a, Jianhong Zhao\*a, Qingju Liu \*a

<sup>a</sup> Yunnan Key Laboratory for Micro/Nano Materials & Technology, National Center for International Research on Photoelectric and Energy Materials, School of Materials and Energy, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, P. R. China.

E-mail: qjliu@ynu.edu.cn, zhangyumin@ynu.edu.cn, aries88323@163.com

#### **Experimental section**

**Characterizations.** Cu and Ti species contents were measured by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (PlasmaQuant PQ9000). The functional groups of the substances were measured by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (IR-2000, Tianjin Jingtuo Instrument Technology Co., Ltd.). The SSA was measured by specific surface and pore size analysis instrument (3H-2000PS2, Beishide Instrument Technology (Beijing) Co., Ltd.). Surface photovoltage (SPV) was measured by scanning Kelvin probe (SKP5050, Scotland KP Technology). The photoluminescence (PL) spectrum was measured by a photoluminescence spectrometer (HITACHI F-4500). Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out on an X-ray diffractometer (RIGAKUTTRIII-18KW) with a Cu K $\alpha$  source. The morphology was observed by transmission electron microscope (TEM) (JEM-2100). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) investigation was carried out to analyze the surface chemistry (K-Alpha+).

**Photocatalytic experiments.** The hydrogen production performance is tested by the high air tightness automatic online photocatalytic analysis system (Labsolar-6A, Beijing Perfectlight Technology Co., Ltd.). The catalyst (10 mg) and 90 ml of water with 20% methanol (30 mL) were mixed in a reaction cell, irradiated with a 300 W xenon arc lamp after removing the air. Meanwhile, the cooling water was used to maintain the temperature at 298.15 K. The generated H<sub>2</sub> was analyzed via a gas chromatograph (GC9790 II).

The determination of the apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) for photocatalytic  $H_2$  evolution rate was performed using a Multi-channel photochemical reactor system (PCX-50C, Beijing Perfectlight Technology Co., Ltd.) under the LED light source (Wavelength: 365 nm, light intensity: 42.13 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>). The as-prepared catalyst (20 mg) was uniformly dispersed in 30 mL methanol solution (containing  $H_2O$ /methanol, v/v=1:2) under magnetic stirring. The temperature of reaction was kept at 313.15 K by cool flowing water. The rest of the conditions were similar as stated above. The AQE

is calculated by using the following equation:

$$AQE = \frac{2MN_Ahc}{AIt\lambda} \times 100\%$$

where M is the amount of hydrogen molecules,  $N_A$  is the Avogadro's constant, h is the Planck constant, c is the light velocity, I is the intensity of the light, A is the irradiation area, t is the reaction time, and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of light.

**Electrochemical measurements.** Photoelectrochemical properties are measured by photoelectrochemical test system (PEC2000, Beijing Perfectlight Technology Co., Ltd.). The working electrode was prepared by loading samples on the ITO glass (5 cm<sup>2</sup>, 10 mg catalysis in 2800  $\mu$ L ethanol and 20  $\mu$ L naphthol). The reference electrode was Ag/AgCl, KCl (3 M). The Pt sheet was used as the counter electrode. EIS of Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts and TiO<sub>2</sub> were investigated at 0.25 V in 0.5 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> electrolyte.

## **Supplementary Figures**



Fig. S1. The specific surface areas of 0.75Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst and commercial TiO<sub>2</sub> (P25).



Fig. S2. XRD patterns of as-synthesized MIL-125 and Cu containing MIL-125 (CM) samples.



Fig. S3. FTIR spectroscopy of as-synthesized MIL-125 and CM samples.



Fig. S4 TEM images of (a) MIL-125, (b) 0.5CM, (c) 0.75CM, (d) 5CM and (e) 15CM and 20CM.



Fig. S5. EPR spectra of MIL-125, 0.75CM and 20 CM.



Fig. S6. TEM images of (a) 0.75Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub>, (b) 5Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub>, (c) 15Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub>, and (d) 20Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S7. (a-c) EDS mapping of 0.75Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub> at different magnifications.



Fig. S8. Ti 2p XPS spectra of  $TiO_2$  and  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$ .



Fig. S9. (a) Full XPS spectra, (b) O 1s XPS spectra, (c) Cu 2p XPS spectra and (d) Ti 2p XPS spectra of  $TiO_2$  with different amount of Cu loading.



Fig. S10. (a,b) TEM images of 0.75Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub> after 5-cycle tests with different magnification, (c) HRTEM image and (d) XRD pattern of 0.75Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub> after 5-cycle tests.



Fig. S11. (a) Survey spectrum of XPS analysis of  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$  and  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$  after cycling stability reaction. No peaks of other elements except Ti, O, Cu and C are observed. The C peak comes mainly from the atmospheric contamination for the exposure to air. (b) The XPS spectra of O 1s for  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$  and  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$  after cycling stability reaction. (c) The XPS spectra of Ti 2p for  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$  and  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$  after cycling stability reaction. (d) The XPS spectra of Cu 2p for  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$  and  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$  and  $0.75Cu-TiO_2$  after cycling stability reaction.



Fig. S12. The PL decay curves of 0.75Cu-TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst and TiO<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. S13. (a) Color changes of  $Cu-TiO_2$  before photoactivation, after photoactivation, after regeneration, respectively. (b) EPR spectra of  $Cu-TiO_2$  in various states.

## Supplementary Tables

Material	Loading amount	Reaction condition Activity		Ref.
Cu-TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.36 wt%	Methanol aqueous solution (30 vol%)	Methanol aqueous solution (30 vol%) $17.77 \text{ mmol g}^{-1}$ $h^{-1}$	
Cu-TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.75 wt%	Methanol aqueous solution (25 vol%)	$16.6 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$	NAT COMMUN 18 (2019) 620-626
Pt SA/Def-s-TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.57 wt%	CH <sub>3</sub> OH aqueous solution (20 vol%)	13.46 mmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	J ENERGY CHEM 62 (2021) 1-10
Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub>	1.74 wt%	Methanol aqueous solution (50 vol%)	6572 μmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-</sup>	ISCIENCE 24 (2021) 102938
Pt <sub>0.254</sub> /black TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.254 wt%	Methanol aqueous solution (10 vol%)	800.3 μmol g <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	ENVIRON CHEM LETT 19 (2021) 1815-1821
Pt/NCD/TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.78 wt%	0.3 M Na <sub>2</sub> S and 0.3 M Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> aqueous solution	57.3 mmol·mg <sub>Pt</sub> - $^{1}$ ·h <sup>-1</sup>	J MATER CHEM A 8 (2020) 14690- 14696
Pt-TiO <sub>2</sub>	8.7 wt%	TEOA aqueous solution (10 vol%)	$22.65 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$	NANO ENERGY 69 (2020) 104409
$Pd_{SA+C}/TiO_2-V_O$	0.39 wt%	Methanol aqueous solution (20 vol%)	18 .2 mmol·g <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup>	SMALL 17 (2020) 2006255

Table S1. Summary of representative photocatalysts

Sample	τ1 (ns)	τ2 (ns)	A1	A2	Decay Lifetime (ns)
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.8744	5.197	244.6892	147.2250	2.08
Cu-TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.5019	4.749	479.3375	35.3546	1.51

Table S2. Best fitted parameters of time-resolved PL spectra.

Decay lifetime is calculated by  $(A1\tau 1 + A2\tau 2)/(A1+A2)$