Supporting information

Surface Active Site Modulation of the S-scheme Heterojunction toward Exceptional

Photocatalytic Performance

Jing Wang,^{‡a} Zhongliao Wang,^{‡a} Jinfeng Zhang,^{‡a} Siang-Piao Chai,^c Kai Dai,^{*a} and

Jingxiang Low^{*b,c}

^aKey Laboratory of Green and Precise Synthetic Chemistry and Applications, Ministry of Education, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, 235000, P. R. China. Email: daikai940@chnu.edu.cn

^bHefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China. Email: jxlow@ustc.edu.cn

^cMultidisciplinary Platform of Advanced Engineering, Chemical Engineering Discipline, School of Engineering, Monash University, Bandar Sunway 47500, Selangor, Malaysia

[‡]These authors contributed equally to this work.

1. Materials

Sodium trithiocyanurate ($C_3N_3Na_3S_3$), cadmium nitrate hydrate ($Cd(NO_3)_2 \cdot 5H_2O$), nickel nitrate hydrate ($Ni(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$), thiourea (CH_4N_2S), absolute ethanol (C_2H_6O), sodium sulfide(Na_2S), sodium sulfite($NaSO_3$), chloroplatinic acid(H_2PtCl_6), thiourea (CH_4N_2S). Deionized water (18.25 M Ω) was used throughout the experiment.

2. Experimental details

2.1 Fabrication of CdCNS. 2.313 g Cd(NO₃)₂·5H₂O and 1.216 g C₃N₃Na₃S₃ were separately dissolved in 100 mL DI water and stirred violently until the color of the mixture turned transparent. Then, C₃N₃Na₃S₃ solution was added dropwise to the Cd(NO₃)₂·5H₂O solution. Subsequently, the mixture was aged with stirring at room temperature for 24 h. Finally, the CdCNS can be obtained by washing, and drying.

2.2 Synthesis of CdCNS/CdS porous composites. The CdCNS/CdS composite was prepared via calcination. Specifically, 0.5 g CdCNS was added to the crucible and calcined in a muffle furnace at varying temperatures of 300 °C, 400 °C, and 500 °C, and the obtained products were denoted as CdCNS/CdS300, CdCNS/CdS400, and CdCNS/CdS500, respectively.

2.4 Synthesis of X%NiS-CdCNS/CdS porous composites. The NiS was loaded on the CdCNS/CdS via light irradiation in the presence of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O as Ni source. For instance, 60 mg obtained CdCNS/CdS400 was mixed with 10 mL Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (0.0002 g/mL), 2.5 mL CH₄N₂S (0.0002 g/mL), 12 mL absolute ethanol and 12 mL DI mixture mixed. The mixture was then added in a three-necked flask and bubbled with N₂ for 30 min to remove air. Subsequently, the mixture was irradiated under 300 W Xe lamp for 15 min. After irradiation, the sample was washed via centrifugation for 6 times with DI water. Finally, the NiS-CdCNS/CdS can be obtained by freeze-drying. By dropping numbers Ni(NO₃)₂· $6H_2O$ solution by 6, 10 and 14, the NiS-CdCNS/CdS with NiS mass ratios of 0.6% (0.6% NiS-CdCNS/CdS400), 1% (1% NiS-CdCNS/CdS400) and 1.4% (1.4% NiS-CdCNS/CdS400) can be respectively prepared. 1% Pt-CdCNS/CdS400 was also prepared by substituting Ni(NO₃)₂· $6H_2O$ and CH₄N₂S with H₂PtCl₆.

3. Characterization

The crystal phase structures of prepared samples were examined via X-ray diffraction diffractometer (XRD RIGAKU) with Cu K α radiation. The surface morphology and the composition of prepared samples were studied by field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Hitachi S5500) with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM JEOL JEM-2010). The surface structures of the prepared samples were analyzed using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR Nicolet 6700) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS Thermo ESCALAB 250). The specific surface area of the prepared samples was determined by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method, using a surface analytical instrument (ASAP 2010) by N₂ gas adsorption. The diffuse reflectance absorption spectra (DRS) and photoluminescence (PL) of the prepared samples were measured by a UV-vis spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer Lambda 950) with BaSO₄ reference and a FLS920 fluorescence spectrometer at room temperature, respectively. The electrochemical measurements were recorded by a Shanghai Chenhua CHI-660D workstation in a 3-electrode cell. A Pt electrode and a calomel electrode were used as the counter and reference electrode, respectively.

4. Photocatalytic activity evaluation

The photocatalytic H_2 experiments were performed in a 250 mL Pyrex flask. Typically, 20 mg photocatalyst was dispersed in 50 mL aqueous solution of 0.35 M Na₂S·9H₂O and 0.25 M Na₂SO₃. The photoreactor was irradiated by a 300 W Xenon lamp (CEL-HXF300, Ceaulight, China) equipped with a 420 nm cut-off filter. The irradiation distance between the flask and the lamp was set to 20 cm. The generated H₂ was determined with gas chromatography (Ceaulight GC-7900, TCD). In addition, the apparent quantum efficiency (QE) for H₂ evolution was measured under the same photocatalytic reaction condition. The intensity and number of photons of the light source at 420 nm were measured by a light intensity meter. The QE was finally calculated according to Eq. (S1):

$$QE(\%) = \frac{number \ of \ evolved \ hydrogen \ atoms \ (N_H)}{number \ of \ incident \ photons \ (N_P)} \times 100\%$$

$$N_{P=} \frac{A \cdot I}{E} \cdot t = \frac{A \cdot I}{(hc/\lambda)} \cdot t$$

$$N_{P} = 2 \times n_{H_2} \times L$$
(S1)

where n_{H2} is the moles of evolved hydrogen; *L* is the Avogadro constant, 6.02×10^2 mol⁻¹; *I* is the light intensity at 420 nm; *A* is the illumination area; *E* is the photon energy; *h* is the Plank Constant, 6.63×10^{-34} J·s; *c* is the speed of light, 3×10^8 m/s.

5. Computational detail

The materials calculations were performed using optimized interface contact structures

of CdCNS/CdS and NiS/CdCNS shown in Fig. 10a and b, respectively. The charge distributions of the CdCNS/CdS and NiS/CdCNS heterostructures were analyzed by differential charge density. The calculation of the differential charge density difference was based on Density function theory (DFT) calculations through the CP2K-9.1 package. Perdew-Burke-Ernzerh (PBE) of functional was applied to describe the system. Unrestricted Kohn-Sham DFT was used as the electronic structure method in the Gaussian and Plane Waves (GPW) approach. The Goedecker-Teter-Hutter (GTH) pseudopotentials and Double- ζ molecularly optimized basis sets (DZVP-MOLOPT-GTH) were used for all elements. A plane-wave energy cutoff of 400 Ry has been employed. The geometries were optimized using the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) algorithm and the convergence criterion for the forces was set to 4.5*10–4 bohr/hartree. A vacuum layer of 15 Å was constructed to eliminate interactions between periodic structures of surface models. The van der Waals (vdW) interaction was complemented by Grimme's DFT-D3 method.



Fig. S1. SEM image of CdCNS/CdS400.



Fig. S2. TEM image of CdCNS/CdS400.



Fig. S3. TEM image of CdCNS.



Fig. S4. Pore size distribution curves of as-prepared photocatalysts.



Fig. S5. Comparison of photocatalytic H_2 generation performance of a series of photocatalysts.