A DNA origami-based device for investigating DNA bending proteins by transmission electron microscopy

Ashwin Karthick Natarajan*†, Joonas Ryssy‡ and Anton Kuzyk*

Department of Neuroscience and Biomedical Engineering, Aalto University School of Science, P.O. Box 12200, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland.

† Present address: Max-Delbrück-Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association,

Structural Biology, Robert-Rössle-Straße 10, Berlin, Germany.

‡ Present address: Department of Chemistry, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Teknikringen 30, 10044 Stockholm, Sweden.

* Corresponding authors E-mail: ashwin.natarajan@aalto.fi, anton.kuzyk@aalto.fi

Supplementary Information





Figure S1. caDNAno design and illustration of the DNA origami template with design 1 bridge construction. Two individual strands form the bridge by hybridizing with each other and into origami.





Figure S2. caDNAno design and illustration of the DNA origami template with design 2 bridge construction. A single strand forms the bridge by hybridizing to both the origami bundles and to the complementary.



Figure S3. Illustration of the dsDNA bridge design in origami. The bridge staples have a two-nucleotide spacer and are designed to form an angle of 80° between the origami bundles. (A) Design 1: the bridge is formed by extensions of two individual staples from each arm; and (B) Design 2: the bridge is formed by a single strand hybridizing to both origami bundles and a complimentary strand.



Figure S4. Micrographs of origami devices with varying concentrations of bridge staples in design 1. Devices were folded with **(A)** 20 nM; **(B)** 40 nM; **(C)** 60 nM; **(D)** 80 nM; and **(E)** 100 nM bridge staples concentration during annealing. Scale bars: 100 nm.



Figure S5. Micrographs of origami devices with varying concentrations of bridge staples in design 2. Devices were folded with (A) 20 nM; (B) 40 nM; (C) 60 nM; (D) 80 nM; and (E) 100 nM bridge staples concentration during annealing. Scale bars: 100 nm.



Figure S6. Angle characterization of oirgami devices with varying concentrations of bridge staples in design 1. Devices were folded with (A) 20 nM; (B) 40 nM; (C) 60 nM; (D) 80 nM; and (E) 100 nM bridge staples concentration during annealing. Scale bars: 100 nm.



Figure S7. Angle characterization of origami devices with varying concentrations of bridge staples in design 2. Devices were folded with (A) 20 nM; (B) 40 nM; (C) 60 nM; (D) 80 nM; and (E) 100 nM bridge staples concentration during annealing. Scale bars: 100 nm.

Supplementary Note 1: Considerations for angle measurement.

The following considerations were taken while measuring the angle between the origami bundles.

- Only the acute angles were considered for angle measurement.
- If a structure exhibit bending on the grid surface such that two acute angles are not similar, the smallest acute angle was taken for angle measurement (yellow circle in Figure S8).
- If the bundles of the device overlap on top, the angle was measured only if the bundles were visibly forming an angle (orange circle in Figure S8). Hence, the number of devices forming less than 20° was small in the dataset.
- If the structure lands parallel on the TEM grid, they were not considered for measurement (red circles in Figure S8).
- The devices that are not completely visible, e.g., half structures found on the edge of the image and overlapping devices where the bundles cannot be differentiated (blue circles in Figure S8) were not considered for angle measurement.



Figure S8: Representative origami devices not considered for angle measurements. The circles **(A)** and **(B)** represent the devices not picked during angle measurements. Refer to Note S1 for information on the circles. Scale bars and diameter of circle: 100 nm.



Figure S9. Histogram of the acute angle between two bundles of DNA origami-based device for structures folded with varying concentrations of bridge staples in design 1. Devices were folded with (A) 20 nM; (B) 40 nM; (C) 60 nM; (D) 80 nM; and (E) 100 nM bridge staples concentration during annealing.



Figure S10. Histogram of the acute angle between two bundles of DNA origami-based device for structures folded with varying concentrations of bridge staple in design 2. Devices were folded with (A) 20 nM; (B) 40 nM; (C) 60 nM; (D) 80 nM and (E) 100 nM bridge staples concentration during annealing.

We hypothesized that when the bridging staple concentration was high (10-fold excess) in design 1, the individual staples hybridized to the scaffold in prescribed regions can find their complementary strand from the solution rather than the other staple strand, thereby forming structures without a defined angle. Whereas in design 2, while the highest yield was at 8-fold molar excess of bridging staples, the overall yield was relatively close in other experiments as well (\sim 37% for 4-fold excess, \sim 39% for 6-fold excess, and \sim 39% for 10-fold excess), implying little dependency on the bridging staple concentration.

Supplementary Note 2: Angle calculation

Upon addition of Human TBP to the origami structure, the TATA box bends ~90°^{1–3} decreasing the end-to-end distance of the bridge (AD- R_b) thereby bringing the origami bundles closer and changing the angle between the bundles. The change in angle was observed using a TEM. The geometry of the bridge and subsequent change in angle are presented in Figure S11. The end-to-end distance of the bridge between the origami bundles (R_b) can be calculated from the angle between the bundles using equation 1.

$$R_b = 2R. sin\left(\frac{\varphi_b}{2}\right) - 2r. cos\left(\frac{\varphi_b}{2}\right), \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \text{Eq. 1}$$

where R_b is the distance between the central pivot and the bridging staple, r is half the width of the origami bundle and φ_b is the angle between the origami bundles after TATA box is bent.

The bend angle of the TATA box upon TBP binding changes the end-to-end distance R_b which can be calculated as follows:

If A is considered the point of origin (0,0) then the co-ordinates of D are calculated by

$$x = L_1 cos \Theta + L_2 + L_3 cos \Theta, \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \text{Eq.2}$$
$$y = L_1 sin \Theta - L_3 sin \Theta \quad , \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \text{Eq.3}$$

where θ is $\alpha/2$ and α is the bend angle of the TATA box upon TBP binding. If DNA was considered as a beam entering and exiting a prism, then the overall bend angle (α) can be defined as the angle between the incoming and outgoing DNA helix. Then, the distance AD can be calculated as

Since the end-to-end distances relate to α and θ , the value of TATA box bend angle α can be calculated from the angle between the origami bundles θ . The value of α was calculated by solving the equations in Matlab.



Figure S11. Scheme of TBP binding to TATA box and subsequent bending. The change of angle reduces the end-to-end distance thereby bringing the origami bundles closer together. (A) Representation of TATA box bending by TBP where R=64 bps (\sim 21 nm) and r = \sim 7.5 nm; (B) Representation of the change in angle between the origami bundles caused by bending of TATA box by TBP and (C) Two-kink model of TATA box bending.



Figure S12. Histogram of the acute angle between two bundles of DNA origami-based device with consensus S1 sequence in design 1 incubated with TBP. The concentration of TBP was (A) 25 nM TBP; (B) 50 nM TBP; (C) 100 nM TBP and (D) 150 nM TBP. There was no significant change between the angle distribution of origami incubated with 100 nM and 150 nM of TBP.



Figure S13. Histogram of the acute angle between two bundles of DNA origami-based device with consensus S1 sequence in design 1 incubated with TBP and TFIIA. The concentrations of TBP and TFIIA were (A) 25 nM TBP and 25 nM TFIIA; (B) 50 nM TBP and 50 nM TFIIA and (C) 100 nM TBP and 100 nM TFIIA. The distributions have an observable emergence of peak around 55° angle formed by origami.



Figure S14. Histogram of the acute angle between two bundles of DNA origami-based device with S1 sequence incubated with TBP, TFIIA and TFIIB. The concentration of TBP, TFIIA and TFIIB were (**A**) 25 nM TBP and 25 nM TFIIB; (**B**) 50 nM TBP and 50 nM TFIIB; (**C**) 100 nM TBP and 100 nM TFIIB; (**D**) 25 nM TBP, 25 nM TFIIA and 25 nM TFIIB; (**E**) 50 nM TBP, 50 nM TFIIA and 50 nM TFIIB and (**F**) 100 nM TBP, 100 nM TFIIA and 100 nM TFIIB.



Figure S15. Micrographs of origami devices with S2 sequence in design 1 and their corresponding micrographs with measured angles. The micrographs represent (**A**) Control devices with S2 sequence forming 80° between the bundles; (**B**) Devices incubated with 100 nM TBP and (**C**) structures incubated with 100 nM TBP and 100 nM TFIIA. Scale bars: 100 nm.



Figure S16. Histogram of the acute angle between two bundles of DNA origami-based device with S2 sequence in design 1 incubated with TBP and TFIIA. The concentration of TBP and TFIIA were (A) 25 nM TBP and 25 nM TFIIA; (B) 50 nM TBP and 50 nM TFIIA and (C) 100 nM TBP and 100 nM TFIIA. The distribution has an observable peak around 55° angle formed by origami. The results were similar to that of the consensus sequence indicating that these bases do not alter the extent to which the TATA box is bent by TBP.



Figure S17. Control experiments of 80° forming origami with S1 sequence in design 1 incubated with TFIIA and TFIIB. The micrographs and their corresponding angle measurements for devices incubated with 50 nM TFIIA (**A**, **B**) and 50 nM TFIIB (**D**, **E**). Histogram of the acute angle between two bundles of DNA origamibased device incubated with (**C**) 50 nM TFIIA and (**F**) 50 nM TFIIB. Scale bars: 100 nm.



Figure S18. Micrographs of origami devices with scramble S3 sequence in design 1 bridge and their corresponding angle measurements. The micrographs and their corresponding angle measurements are represented in (**A**, **D**) Control devices with a bridge forming 80° between the bundles; (**B**, **E**) devices incubated with 50 nM TBP and (**C**, **F**) devices incubated with 50 nM TBP and 50 nM TFIIA respectively. Scale bars: 100 nm.



Figure S19. Histogram of the acute angle between two bundles of DNA origami-based device with scramble S3 sequence in design 1. The histograms represent (A) control devices; Sample incubated with (B) 50 nM TBP; (C) 50 nM TBP and 50 nM TFIIA and (D) 50 nM TBP and 50 nM TFIIB. There was no change in distribution compared to control, implying that the TATA box is required for the bending by TBP.

Start	End	Sequence	Length	Name
4[34]	13[34]	AATAATTTCAGCGGCTACGAATACACTA	28	corel
8[90]	6[77]	AGGTCCGGATATTCTGACGAGGATGGTT	28	core2
24[146]	18[133]	AGTAATCTTTTAGTCTAGAAAAAGCCTA	28	core3
25[161]	16[161]	GAAATACCATTGCATTAAGCAGCCTTTA	28	core4
26[146]	16[133]	TATTAATCAAGGCATAAAAATTTTTAGA	28	core5
16[104]	14[91]	TCATAGGTCTGAGAAAAACATCACGAAT	28	core6
22[146]	23[146]	TCTTTAATGCGCAGTTAGAGCCGTAAAA	28	core7
25[203]	17[216]	GTAGCAAATCGGCCCCATAAATTAATGC	28	core8
18[34]	27[34]	GGGGTGCCAATTCCAACGTCACCACTAC	28	core9
13[35]	1[48]	AAACACTTGAGTTTGTTAGCGTAACGAT	28	core10
4[223]	13[223]	AGCCTAACAATTTTTTCAACCAGGTGGC	28	core11
25[245]	16[245]	GAGCTAAGCTTTCCGCGATCGGGCGATT	28	core12
2[139]	0[126]	GCGAGAGCCAGACGCACCCTCAGAACCG	28	core13
26[62]	16[49]	GATTACAAACAGTACTTCTGTAAATCCC	28	core14
4[76]	13[76]	CGTTGGGGGAACAATTGTATCGCGCGAA	28	core15
4[118]	13[118]	TGAATCCCTGCGGATAGCTCATAACAGT	28	core16
26[230]	16[217]	CTGAGTAGGTAGCTCAGGGTTTTTTCAA	28	core17
23[203]	18[203]	GGCCTTCAAGAGTCACGGTAACTGGAGC	28	core18
27[140]	15[153]	CGAACGTATGATACATGCAATGCCTGAG	28	core19
9[245]	4[238]	ATCGATATAGAGCCGAGGCAGGAATGGAAACTAAC	35	core20
2[202]	13[195]	TTTAGCGCCGGTATGAGGGTTGATATAATTAGCAA	35	core21
12[174]	12[182]	TAAGATCAAGCCAACGCTCATAGCCGAAAGAACTG	35	core22
27[98]	16[105]	CTAAAGGCGGTGGGAGCGATAGCTTAGAATCAAAA	35	core23
25[140]	22[147]	ATCCTTTGCCCGACCACGACCGGGACATCTATTAG	35	core24
1[49]	12[42]	CTAAACAATGTACCGTAACACCATCTTTAATACGT	35	core25
23[175]	19[195]	CCTTCTGACCTGAAAAATGGAAATACCGACCGTAA	35	core26
18[132]	21[139]	CTAATAGCTTTTTCAGTGCCATATCTGGTCGAACT	35	core27
6[97]	8[91]	TAAATTGGGCTTGAAAACACCAGAACGACTCCAAC	35	core28
27[77]	16[84]	GTAAAGCCCTTGAACCTTAGAATCCTTGGACTACC	35	core29
5[84]	9[90]	CATTAAGAAAACGATTAATCATAAGGGAACAGGAT	35	core30
4[202]	13[209]	AATAAACTTGCTATACGGAATACGTAGAAAATACA	35	core31
22[83]	22[91]	AATATACTTTGAATACCAACACCTTGCTTTTAACG	35	core32
19[196]	23[202]	TCGATGATGTCCATCACGCTGAGTAATTCGCGTCT	35	core33
2[181]	13[174]	CGGGAGGAATCAGACCGGAATAGGTGTATTCTCCT	35	core34
6[153]	9[160]	AATAGATATGCAGAACGCGCCTCTGTCCAAGTACC	35	core35
25[77]	18[84]	CAATATACGGAATTTGAACCTCCGGCTTACATTTA	35	core36
21[196]	21[188]	TTTTGTTCGCCATCAAAAACGTAAGAATCCTAAAC	35	core37
1[154]	13[160]	TACCGCGACTCAGGAGGTTTATTAGTTTGACCATT	35	core38
22[209]	21[195]	ATAGGAAAAAATTCGATTGTATAAGCAAATATTTAGTTAATA	42	core39
7[175]	7[174]	ACTGAACACAAGAATTGAGTAAAGTAATTGACGGGAGAATTA	42	core40
19[168]	22[168]	ATTTAATTAAAACATACCGAACGAACCAACGTGGCACAGACA	42	core41
24[111]	20[98]	GTATTTCATCTTTAGGAATTGAGGAAGGGAACCTCGAAAAAT	42	core42

Supplementary Table S1: Staple sequences for the DNA origami template.

27[56]	17[69]	GTTTTTTTCACATACGTCGCTATTAATTAACCTTGCATAAAT	42	core43
4[139]	11[139]	CTTATCATTCCAAATTACCCTGACTAACCCAACATGCATCAT	42	core44
22[251]	21[237]	GGATAGGTGCATCTCCAGCTTTCGGTTGATAATCAGCGCATC	42	core45
7[140]	7[139]	TCAGCTAAAGTCCTGATTATAGTCAGAAATCGCGTTTCATGT	42	core46
23[77]	20[77]	TTGCGTACCTACCAATGAAACAAACATCATGTTACAAAATCG	42	core47
22[62]	22[63]	TTACATCGGGAGAGAATCCTGTTTAAAACAGAAATGTACCTT	42	core48
12[195]	8[182]	ACCCAAACAAAGTTTAAGAAACAAGAAACAGAGAGAGATAACCC	42	core49
3[238]	1[251]	CAACGGCGCCAAAGACGCAAAGACACCATTAGGATCCTATTT	42	core50
4[160]	11[160]	ATCAATAACTCATCGTCAATAACCTGTTATACAAATTCTTAC	42	core51
16[160]	26[147]	TTTCAACTAATGTGCCTGGAGTGACTCTGGCGAGAAAAACGT	42	core52
20[48]	20[49]	TGCATTAACGGGCAACAAACAATAACGGATTCATTTCCCAGC	42	core53
11[35]	4[35]	AAACGGGTAAAAATCGGTGTACAGACGGATCGGTTTGCGAAT	42	core54
17[238]	15[251]	AGAGAGGTCGTTAGGCGCTTAATGCGCCGCGCACGACGGCCA	42	core55
6[48]	6[49]	GGTGAATGGTCGCTGAGCTCATTCAGTGATCATTGTGTTCGA	42	core56
7[28]	8[42]	TAACCGAAAGGCCGGACAGCATCCAGGCGCATAGGCTTGCAG	42	core57
16[153]	21[153]	GCAAGGAAAGAATTATAATTATAATTTCAGTATTACTAAAAC	42	core58
10[153]	9[139]	CATATTTGAATATAAGACGACGACAATAAACAATAAGCCAGT	42	core59
13[119]	3[132]	TGATTCCCCACCCTATAACCCTCGTTTAGCTTTTGTAAGAAC	42	core60
16[244]	26[231]	AAGTTGGTAAAACGACTTAAGTGTCCTTACCCGCCAACTTGC	42	core61
7[112]	8[126]	GAAAGACGCGAACCCCTTTTGATGAGGCATTTTCGATTCGAG	42	core62
27[119]	14[133]	GAGCTTGTGCCATCGCTGAGAAGCATATATTTTAACGACAGT	42	core63
25[224]	22[224]	GTAATAACATCATCTTTTATAATTAAATGGTGTAGATTCAGC	42	core64
12[181]	1[195]	GCATGATTATTACGCAGTATGGTATAGCTATAGAAGGCTTAT	42	core65
2[76]	1[62]	TCAGTTGATACATATAGCAAGCCCAATAGGAACCCTTCAACT	42	core66
27[35]	14[49]	GTGAACCACTCTGAACCTCGATAAAGACGGAGGATAATCATT	42	core67
22[125]	24[112]	ATCAACACAATCAACGCTGAGAGCCAGCAGAACGCTTTAGAA	42	core68
6[76]	12[77]	TAATTTCTTTAAGATGACCAATTAGCCGGAACGAGCGGAGAT	42	core69
7[70]	5[83]	CTTGCCCATTACCCTAATCTTGACAAGAACCGAACACTGGCT	42	core70
18[244]	25[244]	AATCTACAAAGGCTCATATGTACCCCCGGAAGTGTAGAGCGG	42	core71
27[224]	17[237]	CCACCACAGTGCTGCAGTCACGACGTTGGTAACGCATTTTTG	42	core72
2[97]	13[97]	AGTAAAATACGAGGCACCACCCTCATTTACACGGTGTCTGGA	42	core73
12[41]	0[28]	AATGCCAAGTGAGATTCCAGACGTTAGTCCTCATACGTCACC	42	core74
13[224]	3[237]	AACATATTCAGTACATAAACAGTTAATGGTTTTAAATCTTAC	42	core75
14[174]	23[174]	CTATTTAAGCGAAACGCCAGCCTACATTCATTGGCATAGAAC	42	core76
10[125]	10[126]	AGGCAAAGAGGTCAATATAATGCGCTGAAAAGGTGGTAATTT	42	core77
2[118]	12[105]	AGTTTTGCACTATCCAGAACCGCCACCCTTCCATAACATGTT	42	core78
8[250]	11[244]	AGACTGCGGAACCCCCTCAGAGCCACCGGAGGTTAGCAAAAGACGGAA	48	core79
3[133]	1[153]	GGGTATTATTTTCAGCGAACGAGTAGATGTACCGCACGATAAAAATCAT	49	core80
25[56]	18[49]	TCTCAGATGATGGCATTATTTGCACGTGTTACCTGTTTTTAATGAGCAT	49	core81
23[91]	21[111]	AGGTTATCTAAAATTGAATAACAATCGCAAGACAAAGCAAATAAAT	49	core82
11[105]	7[111]	TTGCTGATTTTTGCAATTGCTAGACCGGAAGCAAAGTAAGAGGAAGCCC	49	core83
2[195]	7[202]	AACCTCCAGATTAGAGCCATATTTGTTTAGAGAATACAAAGTCAGAGGG	49	core84
27[161]	18[168]	GGAAGAACGCTCGCTAGGTAAAGATTCAGGGAGAAATAAAGCATAAGAA	49	core85
4[237]	9[244]	GAGCGTCATTGACAACCCTCAATAATCAAAAATCACTAGCGCGTGAAACC	49	core86

21[238]	18[245]	GTAACCGTCACGTTGTGAGCGAGTAACAATCCTGAGCACCGCTGTTGGG	49	core87
21[28]	18[35]	TTTTCACCCGCCTGTGGTTTGCCCCAGCATCGGCAAGTCGGGAAAGCCT	49	core88
2[216]	11[223]	TGAGTGCCCAGCTATTTGCCAAACACCAGAGCCGCATTACCAGAGGGAG	49	core89
16[83]	26[63]	TTTTTTGAGTGAATAATTTTCTCGGCTGACGCATTGGGGTCGTATTCCT	49	core90
27[203]	22[210]	TAGCGGTCACGCTGTCAAACTTACTTCTCGAGTAACTGTAGCTTAACCA	49	core91
15[252]	21[258]	GTGCCAAGCTGCAAGTGCGGGGGGGGCGCAACTTCTGGTACTCCAGGCCAGTT	49	core92
4[97]	11[104]	AAACAGTTAGACTGTGCAACTAAAGTAAGCGCAGACGGTCAGAGCTTAA	49	core93
18[69]	23[76]	ATTACCTAGCAAAAGCGAATTATTCGCCTGATTGCAGTAACAAAAGAAA	49	core94
7[182]	9[174]	ACCCTGAAACATAAAAACAGGATAAGAAAGAACAGTAGGGCTGGTTAAG	49	core95
21[154]	18[161]	ATCGCCATGAATGGTCTGGCCAACAGAGAGATTCAGACCTAATAAACAC	49	core96
2[160]	10[154]	TTCATCGTAGGAACGTACCGCATCGGCTATAATATCCCATCCGAATCGC	49	core97
0[237]	8[231]	GTTTTGCAAAAGAAACAAAAGAAATATTTCACCAGTCACCAATTTTCAT	49	core98
7[203]	11[209]	TAATTTTAGCAGCCTTTACAGAACGTCACCCTTTTACCAGAAGGAAACC	49	core99
14[258]	22[252]	AATTCATGCTACAGATAACGTACAGGAGCGCCAGAACCCGTCCGT	49	core100
25[35]	14[28]	TGTTCCAGGACTCCACAACCGAGCTCGAATTCGGCTTGTTCCTCCTG	49	core101
25[182]	14[175]	TCAATCGCAGAACACATAAAGTGTAATACTTTTGCAAAGGGTTTCTAAT	49	core102
1[252]	7[258]	CGGAACCACAGGAGCTGAATTAAAGCCAGTCAGACACCGCCAAGAGCCA	49	core103
2[223]	1[216]	AGTGCCTTGCCCGTCAGGCGGATAAGTGCCGTCGATCTAAGAACAACAG	49	core104
8[230]	7[223]	CGGCATTTCTTTTCGAGCCGCCACCAGAACCACATATTAGCGTTTGCCA	49	core105
22[41]	25[34]	CCCTTCACAGTGAGATGAATCGGCCAACGCTTTCCAAATCCCTGAGTGT	49	core106
14[48]	22[42]	TCTCCGAATCACCCAGAACGTGTTTGGATTCCGAAAGGCGAACTGATTG	49	core107
11[245]	0[238]	ATTATTCTACCAGCGCAGTCTTGTACTGGTAATAACCCCCTGTAGCGGG	49	core108
14[132]	22[126]	GCGGCCCACGGGGAGTTTGAGACAACTCAATACATTAATAGATTGGCAA	49	core109
21[217]	18[224]	ATTTTTGTCATTTTCAGCTTTCATCAACATCAGTGTGTCAATATCAGGT	49	core110
1[63]	7[69]	AATGCAGAGATTTAAACTAACAAGAAAAATGCGATAACTTTAAATAAGG	49	core111
27[182]	18[189]	GCGCTAGACCCCGCGAGAAAGGCCGGAGATGACCCCTAAATCAGGTGAT	49	core112
25[119]	14[112]	CAATTCGTAACATTACATCCATCAATAGTGAATTTTTAAGACTGTAAGC	49	core113
14[90]	22[84]	ATAGGGGACTAAATGAAGGAGATCCTGAGTTAGAAGATTTTCTCAGATG	49	corel14
12[104]	6[98]	TTAAATAGATAGCGTCCAATACCCTCAACATAAATATCAAAAAGATTAG	49	core115
8[41]	11[34]	GGAGTTATATATTCTTCTTAAACAGCTTTAATTGTAACGAGGTTCCATT	49	core116
9[161]	6[154]	GACAAAATAATTGATAATTTACGAGCAAGAAGCGCATTAGTTTATCAAC	49	core117
21[112]	18[119]	AAACCCTGTTGAAAGGAGCACTAACAACTTGAGGAGAGAAAATAGTAGC	49	corel18
2[55]	13[62]	CAGTTTTGTCGTCTATAGAAAGGAACAATGTGTCGGACCCCCAGCGATT	49	core119
0[258]	8[251]	AGAAGGACGGAATATATGGTTATTAAAGTGGGAATGCAGCACTTAGCGTC	50	core120
11[140]	2[140]	ATGCGTTTAGCTATAAACCAACAAAATA AAAAAAAAAA	28	handle A10 1
18[160]	27[160]	CGGAATCAGCAAAAACAGGAAAAGGAAG AAAAAAAAAA	28	handle A10 2
20[97]	25[97]	CTAAAGCAAGAAAATGGAAGGTTGTTTG AAAAAAAAAA	28	handle A10 3
3[77]	4[77]	CATTATTACAGGTTTCTACCAGTCAGGA AAAAAAAAAA	28	handle A10 4
4[181]	2[182]	TCCCAATGAAGCCTTAAATCACGACTTG AAAAAAAAAA	28	handle A10 5
9[140]	4[140]	ΑΑΤΑΑGAAACAACGAAGAAAAGTCTTTC ΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑ	28	handle A10 6
20[76]	25[76]	CGCAGAGGAAGATGTATCAAAAAATTCAT AAAAAAAAAA	28	handle A10 7
18[202]	27[202]	AAACAAGGGTTGTATTGCTGGGCAAGTG AAAAAAAAA	28	handle A10 8
18[223]	27[223]	CATTGCCCGGAGAGGAAGAACCGCGTAA AAAAAAAAA	28	handle A10 9
11[224]	2[224]	GGAAGGTGGCGACAATCCTGACGGGGTC AAAAAAAAAA	28	handle A10 10

18[48]	25[55]	AAAGTGTAAACCTGTCGTGAAATGGTGGACAAGAG AAAAAAAAAA	35	handle A10 11
16[132]	27[139]	ACCCTAGATAAATCATACAGGTTTAAAAAAGCCGG AAAAAAAAAA		handle A10 12
9[91]	4[98]	TAGAGAGTACCTTTGGATGGCGAATGACATGCTTT AAAAAAAAAA		handle A10 13
13[210]	2[203]	TACATAAGAAACGCAATAATATTTGCACGAGGCGT AAAAAAAAAA		handle A10 14
18[83]	27[76]	ACAATTTCATTTGACAATATAATCATCAAGGTGCC AAAAAAAAAA		handle A10 15
11[210]	4[203]	GAGGATTTTATCTTACCGAAGAAAATGAGTTACAA AAAAAAAAAA		handle A10 16
20[188]	25[181]	AATTGAGCAGAAGAGGTTTGATTATTTATTGACGC AAAAAAAAAA	35	handle A10 17
13[126]	2[119]	CAATTCTTTTGGGGCGCGATGATCGTCACAAAAGA AAAAAAAAAA	35	handle A10 18
14[195]	25[202]	CAAAATAGGCGCTGTAATATCTCAAATTAACCGTT AAAAAAAAAA	35	handle A10 19
18[188]	27[181]	AAATAAGGCGTTAACTCAGAGATATTACGGAGCGG AAAAAAAAAA	35	handle A10 20
14[111]	25[118]	AACTCGTGAGCCCCTGCGGAACTAGACTTTACAAA AAAAAAAAAA	35	handle A10 21
16[48]	27[55]	CGGGTACATACGAGCCGGAGACTATTAAAAATCAA AAAAAAAAAA	35	handle A10 22
9[175]	4[182]	CCCAATAATAAGAGAGTAAGCACGATTTTTATTTA AAAAAAAAAA	35	handle A10 23
21[140]	25[139]	GATAGCCACACCGCCTGCAACAAATATAAATAGATGTATTAA AAAAAAAAAA	42	handle A10 24
10[55]	2[56]	AGATGAACCGCGACCTGCTCCGATAAATTAAAACGGGAATAC AAAAAAAAAA	42	handle A10 25
13[98]	2[98]	AGTTTCATCAGAGCCATAGTAAGAGCAACCAGAGGGGGGTAAT AAAAAAAAAA	42	handle A10 26
4[55]	4[56]	TTAACTAAAGGAATTATCAGCTTGCTAATTACCTTATCTACG AAAAAAAAAA	42	handle A10 27
11[161]	4[161]	CAGTATAGCAAATGGAGAACAAGCAATTCCATGTAGAAACCA AAAAAAAAAA	42	handle A10 28
21[224]	25[223]	TTAAAGGGAAAAGCCCCAAAAACTAGCAAGGCCACTTGATTA AAAAAAAAAA	42	handle A10 29
13[77]	2[77]	ACAAAGTTCAGGGAACGCCAAAAGGAATTGTAGAAAGATTCA AAAAAAAAAA	42	handle A10 30
13[161]	2[161]	AGATACATCACCGTCCCAATAGCAAGCATTGCCGTTTTTATT AAAAAAAAAA	42	handle A10 31
8[223]	4[224]	TTCGGTCCAAGGCCGGAAACGTAGCACCCGCCAGCTTTCCAG AAAAAAAAAA	42	handle A10 32
18[118]	27[97]	ATATGCTGATGCAAAATCCAAAATTAATTAGGTTGGACCACCACGGAACC	49	handle A10 33
		ААААААААА		
8[125]	4[119]	CTTCAAATTCAAATGCAAAGCGGATTGCCAAAAATCAGGTCTTATTCAT	49	handle A10 34
		ΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑ		
22[167]	25[160]	ATATTTTTTAAAAAGAGGTGAGGCGGTCATCTTCTCCAGTCAGCTCATG	49	handle A10 35
25[09]	27[119]		40	handla A 10 26
23[90]	2/[110]		49	fiancie A10.50
8[199]	8[198]	ATATCAATGAAATAGCAATAGCTAGATAGCCCCCGAGCGCTA tt	-	Design 1
		TAGCAGCATCACAGATCACA GTATAAAAC CATGACTACCTATCCTACA		inverted a
15[210]	15[199]	ATCAATACCGTTCTAGCTGAAAAACATTACAG tt TGTAGGATAGGTAGTCATG		Design 1
		GTTTTATAC TGTGATCTGTGATGCTGCTA		inverted b
8[199]	8[198]	ATATCAATGAAATAGCAATAGCTAGATAGCCCCCGAGCGCTA tt		Design 1
		TAGCAGCATCACAGATCACA CTATAAAAG CATGACTACCTATCCTACA		consensus a
15[210]	15[199]	ATCAATACCGTTCTAGCTGAAAAACATTACAG tt TGTAGGATAGGTAGTCATG		Design 1
		CTTTTATAG TGTGATCTGTGATGCTGCTA	 	consensus b
0[100]	0[100]		<u> </u>	
8[199]	8[198]			Design I
15[210]	15[199]	ATCAATACCGTTCTAGCTGAAAAACATTACAG # TGTAGGATAGGTAGTCATG	┨────┤	Design 1
10[210]	19[177]	CCGAGAAGT TGTGATCTGTGATGCTGCTA		scramble b
			+	

15[210]	8[200]	ATCAATACCGTTCTAGCTGAAAAACATTACAG tt TAGCAGCATCACAGATCACA	Design 2 a
		GTATAAAAC CATGACTACCTATCCTACA tt	
		ATATCAATGAAATAGCAATAGCTAGATAGCCCCCGAGCGCTA	
		TGTAGGATAGGTAGTCATG GTTTTATAC TGTGATCTGTGATGCTGCTA	Design 2 b

Supplementary Table S2: Summary of the angular distribution in various designs and experimental conditions.

Bridge	Experimental conditions	Peak	The yield of		
design and		position in Histogram	(rounded off)		
sequence	Concentration optimization of bridging staples	mstogram	(i builded bil)		
	• 2-fold excess		~25%		
Design 1	• 4-fold excess		~40%		
with S1	• 6-fold excess	80±5°	~37%		
sequence	8-fold excess		~35%		
	• 10-fold excess		~31%		
	Concentration optimization of bridging staples				
	• 2-fold excess		~31%		
Design 2	• 4-fold excess		~37%		
with S1	• 6-fold excess	80±5°	~39%		
sequence	• 8-fold excess		~41%		
	• 10-fold excess		~39%		
Open	Devices with undefined angle	Flat	NA		
Devices	C C	distribution			
	Increasing concentrations of TBP				
	• 25 nM TBP	80±5°	~23%		
		65±5°	~36%		
	• 50 nM TBP	80±5°	~21%		
		65±5°	~39%		
	• 100 nM TBP	80±5°	~21%		
		65±5°	~40%		
	• 150 nM TBP	80±5°	~24%		
		65±5°	~39%		
	Increasing concentration of TBP + TFIIA				
	• 25 nM TBP + 25 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~24%		
		55±5°	~34%		
Design 1	• 50 nM TBP + 50 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~23%		
with S1		55±5°	~36%		
sequence	• 100 nM TBP + 100 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~16%		
		55±5°	~41%		
	Constant TBP concentration (50 nM) and increasing concentrations of TFIIA				
	• 50 nM TBP + 0 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~21%		
		65±5°	~39%		
	• 50 nM TBP + 25 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~23%		
		No second	NA		
		peak	-		
	• 50 nM TBP + 50 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~24%		
		55±5°	~34%		
	• 50 nM TBP + 100 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~19%		
		55±5°	~36%		

	Increasing concentration of TBP + TFIIB					
	• 25 nM TBP + 25 nM TFIIB	80±5°	~23%			
		65±5°	~29%			
	• 50 nM TBP + 50 nM TFIIB	80±5°	~22%			
		65±5°	~38%			
	• 100 nM TBP + 100 nM TFIIB	80±5°	~18%			
		65±5°	~40%			
	Increasing concentration of TBP + TFIIA+ TFIIB					
	• 25 nM TBP + 25 nM TFIIA + 25 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~23%			
		55±5°	~27%			
	• 50 nM TBP + 50 nM TFIIA + 50 nM TFIIB	80±5°	~24%			
		55±5°	~31%			
	• 100 nM TBP + 100 nM TFIIA + 100 nM TFIIB	80±5°	~20%			
		55±5°	~37%			
	Control experiments					
	• 50 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~43%			
	• 50 nM TFIIB	80±5°	~38%			
	Increasing concentrations of TBP					
	• 25 nM TBP	80±5°	~28%			
		65±5°	~25%			
	• 50 nM TBP	80±5°	~27%			
		65±5°	~30%			
Design 1	• 100 nM TBP	80±5°	~24%			
with S2		65±5°	~31%			
	Increasing concentration of TBP + TFIIA					
sequence	• 25 nM TBP + 25 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~23%			
		55±5°	~33%			
	• 50 nM TBP + 50 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~22%			
		55±5°	~37%			
	• 100 nM TBP + 100 nM TFIIA	80±5°	~19%			
		55±5°	~39%			
	Control devices forming an 80° angle between the	80±5°	~41%			
Design 1	Control devices incubated with					
with S3	• 50 nM TRP	80+5°	~40%			
sequence	• 50 mM TBD • 50 nM TBD + 50 nM TEU \wedge	80+5°	~41%			
sequence	• 50 mm TDT + 50 mm TTHA • 50 nM TDD \pm 50 nM TEHD	80±5°	~300%			
		00±3	~5770			

References

1 J. L. Kim, D. B. Nikolov and S. K. Burley, *Nature*, 1993, 365, 520–527.
2 Y. Kim, J. H. Geiger, S. Hahn and P. B. Sigler, *Nature*, 1993, 365, 512–520.
3 P. F. Kosa, G. Ghosh, B. S. DeDecker and P. B. Sigler, *PNAS*, 1997, 94, 6042–6047.