Supplementary Information

Ni/Co/Co₃O₄@C Nanorods Derived from MOF@MOF Hybrid for Efficient Overall Water Splitting

Dao Thi Dung,^{a,b,†} Do Van Lam,^{a,†} Euijin Roh,^c Sanghyeon Ji^d, Jong Min Yuk^d, Jae-Hyun Kim,^{a,b} Hyunuk Kim,^{b,c} and Seung-Mo Lee^{a,b,*}

^a Korea Institute of Machinery and Materials (KIMM), 156 Gajeongbuk-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34103, South Korea.

^b University of Science and Technology (UST), 217 Gajeong-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34113, South Korea.

° Korea Institute of Energy Research (KIER), 152 Gajeong-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34129, South Korea.

^d Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), 291 Deahak-ro, Yuseonggu, Deajeon, 34141, South Korea.

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding author: Seung-Mo Lee (sm.lee@kimm.re.kr)



Figure S1. (A) The time-temperature profiles of the microwave and hydrothermal synthesis. The microwave synthesis of the Co/Ni-MOF nanorods on Ni foam was swiftly conducted for 2 min at 100 °C, whereas the hydrothermal synthesis of Co/Ni-MOF lasted for 24 h at 130 °C. (B) The time-temperature profiles of the laser-scribing and pyrolysis process. The pyrolysis took several hours in a furnace, whereas the laser-scribing took only a few seconds.



Figure S2. SEM and TEM images of (A) Co-MOF, (B) Co/Ni-MOF, and (C) L-Co/Ni-MOF.



Figure S3. (A) XPS survey scan spectra of samples. (B) Deconvoluted C1s XPS spectra of samples before and after calibration. The C1s peak was used as a reference for the calibration, which was assumed to have a binding energy of 284.8 eV [S1, S2].



Figure S4. Phase and microstructure characteristics of the L-Co-MOF. (A, B) TEM images showing the microstructure of a representative L-Co-MOF nanorod. (C) SAED pattern. (D, E) HRTEM images showing the crystalline nanoparticles of Co with (111) and (200) planes.



Figure S5. Phase and microstructure characteristics of the L-Ni-MOF. (A, B) TEM images showing the microstructure of a representative L-Ni-MOF nanorod. (C, D) HRTEM images showing the crystalline nanoparticles of Ni with (111) and (200) planes.



Figure S6. Cyclic voltammetry profiles of the samples at different scan rates in a non-Faradaic potential range of 0.41 - 0.61 V vs RHE. (A) Ni@C, (B) Co/Co₃O₄@C, and (C) Ni/Co/Co₃O₄@C. (D) A plot of current density vs scan rate at a potential of 0.55 V vs RHE.



Figure S7. XRD patterns of the Ni/Co/Co₃O₄@C before and after the OER.



Figure S8. SEM-EDX mapping and elemental analysis of the Ni/Co/Co₃O₄@C (A) before and (B) after the OER.

 Table S1. The elemental composition of the samples evaluated by SEM-EDX analysis.

	Elemental composition						
Sample	C [wt%]	O [wt%]	Ni [wt%]	Co [wt%]			
Co-MOF	55.57	23.77	0	20.66			
Ni-MOF	46.35	28.13	25.52	0			
Co/Ni-MOF	36.95	22.52	19.14	21.39			
Co/Co ₃ O ₄ @C	45.68	9.48	0	44.84			
Ni@C	54.84	4.67	40.49	0			
Ni/Co/Co ₃ O ₄ @C	45.14	8.86	21.36	24.64			

Sample _	OER overpotential		HER overpotential		Water splitting voltage	Durability	Ref.
	@ 30 mA/cm ²	@ 100 mA/cm ²	@ 30 mA/cm ²	@ 100 mA/cm ²	@ 20 mA/cm ²	- 	
	[mV]	[mV]	[mV]	[mV]	[V]	[%@h]	
Ni/Co/Co ₃ O ₄ @C	246	350	143	224	1.6	91.6@24	This work
Ni ₃ Se ₄	231	255	175	300	1.55	100@8	S3
FeNiP/P-G	245	291	200	225	1.63	100@20	S4
FeMn-MOF	265	364	215	330	1.57	90@12	S5
Co-S-Mo	295	322	230	290	1.72	88.2@25	S6
FeNiSe ₂	270	333	425	240	1.64	70@6	S7
Co/Ni-MOF@Se	291	-	325	340	1.66	98@20	S8
NiCoP	270	346	120	175	1.56	94@11	S9
FeNi ₃ /NiFeO _x	270	-	175	-	1.66	75@2.5	S10
Ni-Co-P hollow	215	346	215	265	1.71	93.4@20	S11
CoFeP hollow	370	-	225	-	1.6	90@12	S12

Table S2. Comparison of electrocatalytic performances of various bifunctional electrocatalysts.

Supporting references

- [S1]. G. Greczynski and L. Hultman, Compromising science by ignorant instrument calibration-need to revisit half a century of published XPS data. *Angew. Chemie. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 5002–5006.
- [S2]. S. D. Fang, F. He, J. Xie and L. Xue, Calibration of binding energy positions with C1s for XPS results. J. Wuhan Univ. Technol. Mater. Sci. Ed., 2020, 35, 711–718.
- [S3]. S. Anantharaj, J. Kennedy and S. Kundu, Microwave-initiated facile formation of Ni₃Se₄ nanoassemblies for enhanced and stable water splitting in neutral and alkaline media. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces., 2017, 9, 8714–8728.
- [S4]. F. Bu, W. Chen, M. F. Aly Aboud, I. Shakir, J. Gu and Y. Xu, Microwave-assisted ultrafast synthesis of adjustable bimetal phosphide/graphene heterostructures from MOFs for efficient electrochemical water splitting. *J. Mater. Chem. A.*, 2019, **7**, 14526– 14535.
- [S5]. H. Guan, N. Wang, X. Feng, S. Bian, W. Li and Y. Chen, FeMn bimetallic MOF directly

applicable as an efficient electrocatalyst for overall water splitting. *Colloids Surf. A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.*, 2021, **624**, 126596.

- [S6]. S. Shit, W. Jang, S. Bolar, N. C. Murmu, H. Koo and T. Kuila, Effect of ion diffusion in cobalt molybdenum bimetallic sulfide toward electrocatalytic water splitting. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 21634–21644.
- [S7]. K. C. Majhi and M. Yadav, Bimetallic chalcogenide nanocrystallites as efficient electrocatalyst for overall water splitting. J. Alloys Compd., 2021, 852, 156736.
- [S8]. P. Luo, Z. Pang, Z. Qin, T. Wei, S. Li, Y. Hu and C. Wei, Strategies for improving Co/Nibased bimetal-organic framework to water splitting. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2020, 45, 28240–28251.
- [S9]. C. Du, L. Yang, F. Yang, G. Cheng and W. Luo, Nest-like NiCoP for highly efficient overall water splitting. ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 4131–4137.
- [S10]. X. Yan, L. Tian, K. Li, S. Atkins, H. Zhao, J. Murowchick, L. Liu and X. Chen, FeNi₃/NiFeO_x nanohybrids as highly efficient bifunctional electrocatalysts for overall water splitting. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **3**, 1600368.
- [S11]. [S11] E. Hu, Y. Feng, J. Nai, D. Zhao, Y. Hu and X. W. Lou, Construction of hierarchical Ni–Co–P hollow nanobricks with oriented nanosheets for efficient overall water splitting. *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 872–880.
- [S12]. Y. Du, H. Qu, Y. Liu, Y. Han, L. Wang and B. Dong, Bimetallic CoFeP hollow microspheres as highly efficient bifunctional electrocatalysts for overall water splitting in alkaline media. *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **465**, 816–823.