Electronic Supplementary Information

Hydrogel-based printing strategy for high performance flexible thermoelectric generators

Bo Wu,^a Jixin Geng,^a Yujie Lin,^a Chengyi Hou,^{*a} Qinghong Zhang,^b Yaogang Li,^{*b} and

Hongzhi Wang^a

^a State Key Laboratory for Modification of Chemical Fibers and Polymer Materials, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, China.

^d Engineering Research Center of Advanced Glasses Manufacturing Technology, Ministry Education, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Donghua University, Shanghai 201620, China.

Corresponding authors

*E-mail: hcy@dhu.edu.cn; yaogang_li@dhu.edu.cn



Fig. S1 (a) Schematic illustration showing the synthesis of Te NRs. (b) The preparation process of Ag_xTe and Cu_xTe NRs and the corresponding hydrogel pastes.



Fig. S2 XRD patterns of (a) Te NR, (b) Ag_xTe and (c) Cu_xTe with different nominal molar ratios. The nominal molar ratio was employed to define the subscripts in the chemical formulas, where the nominal molar ratio is the ratio of atoms in the initial reagent.



Fig. S3 (a) SEM image of Te NRs. The TEM and SAED images of (b) Ag_xTe and (c) Cu_xTe NRs



Fig. S4 (a) Viscosity of the CCN hydrogels as a function of shear rate. (b) Viscosity of the CCN/Ag₄Te pastes as a function of shear rate. (c) Viscosity of the CCN/Cu_{1.5}Te.pastes as a function of shear rate.



Fig. S5 Contact angels of the hydrogel pastes with different viscosity on cellulose paper.



Fig. S6 Contact angels of the hydrogel pastes with different viscosity on PI substrate.

θ = 65° CCN	0.249 wt%	θ = 75°	0.498 wt%	θ = 83°	0.99 wt%
		1			
θ = 67° CCN/Ag₄Te	0.249 wt%	θ = 76°	0.498 wt%	θ = 97°	0.99 wt%
θ = 70° CCN/Cu _{1.5} Te	0.249 wt%	θ = 80°	0.498 wt%	θ = 105°	0.99 wt%

Fig.S7 Contact angels of the hydrogel pastes with different viscosity on PVDF substrate.



Fig.S8 Contact angels of the hydrogel pastes with different viscosity on PP substrate.



Fig. S9 Printed TE legs using the pastes with different CCN concentrations.



Fig. S10 The photos of bio-3D printer



Fig. S11 TE patterns printed on different substrates



Fig. S12 (a, b) The photos of the printed f-TEG. (c) The structure and photo of the printed zigzag device. (d) The structure and photo of the reel device. (e) The structure and photo of the planar device.



Fig. S13 (a) Output voltage and current of f-TEG as a function of humidity when the temperature difference is 50 K. (b) Output power of f-TEG as a function of humidity when the temperature difference is 50 K (c) Output voltage and current of f-TEG at a temperature gradient of 50 K during the continuous 110 h test.

p-type material	n-type material	Organics	Ratio (wt%)	σ (S/cm)	ref
Sb ₂ Te ₃	Bi ₂ Te ₃	Epoxy resin	18-20	20-80	[1]
	Bi ₂ Te ₃	Epoxy resin	10-20	20-120	[2]
Bi _{0.5} Sb _{1.5} Te ₃	Bi	ероху	~8	~120/20	[3]
⊳	Bi ₂ Te _{2.7} Se _{0.3}	dipropylene glycol monomethylether	50	20/60	[4]
Bi _{0.5} Sb _{1.5} Te ₃	Bi ₂ Se _{0.3} Te _{2.7}	polystyrene	2	7.8/31.5	[5]
Sb ₂ Te ₃	Bi _{1.8} Te _{3.2}	ероху	~10	78/6.8	[6]
 	Bi ₂ Te _{2.7} Se _{0.3}	EG/Glycerol (annealing)	~35	11.6-169	[7]
Cu _{1.5} Te	Ag₄Te	CCN	0.625	~734.6/145.1	This work

Table S1. Resistance and TE output of flexible TEGs in previous reports

[1] A. Chen, D. Madan, P. K. Wright and J. W. Evans, *J. Micromech. Microeng.*, 2011, 21, 104006.

[2] D. Madan, Z. Wang, A. Chen, R.-c. Juang, J. Keist, P. K. Wright and J. W. Evans, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2012, 4, 6117.

[3] D. Madan, Z. Wang, A. Chen, R. Winslow, P. K. Wright and J. W. Evans, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, 104, 013902.

[4] Z. Lu, M. Layani, X. Zhao, L. P. Tan, T. Sun, S. Fan, Q. Yan, S. Magdassi and H. H.Hng, *Small*, 2014, 10, 3551.

[5] C. Navone, M. Soulier, M. Plissonnier and A. Seiler, *J. Electron. Mater.*, 2010, 39, 1755.

[6] Z. Cao, E. Koukharenko, M. Tudor, R. Torah and S. Beeby, *Sens. Actuators, A*, 2016, 238, 196.

[7] M. S-Javash, W. Kuang, C. Dun and Y. Zhang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, 29, 1901930.